

Reference Sheet for Discrete Maths

Propositional Calculus

Order of decreasing binding power: $=, \neg, \wedge/\vee, \Rightarrow/\Leftarrow, \equiv/\neq$.

Equivalence is the only equivalence relation that is associative
 $((p \equiv q) \equiv r) \equiv (p \equiv (q \equiv r))$, and it is symmetric and has identity **true**.

Discrepancy (difference) ' \neq ' is symmetric, associative, has identity '**false**', mutually associates with equivalence $((p \neq q) \equiv r) \equiv (p \neq (q \equiv r))$, and mutually interchanges with it as well $(p \neq q \equiv r) \equiv (p \equiv q \neq r)$. Finally, negation commutes with difference: $\neg(p \equiv q) \equiv \neg p \equiv q$.

Implication has the alternative definition $p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$, thus having **true** as both left identity and right zero; it distributes over \equiv in the second argument, and is self-distributive; and has the properties:

Shunting $p \wedge q \Rightarrow r \equiv p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)$

Contrapositive $p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$

Leibniz $e = f \Rightarrow E[z \asymp e] = E[z := f]$

Modus Ponens

$$\begin{aligned} p \wedge (p \Rightarrow q) &\equiv p \wedge q \\ p \wedge (q \Rightarrow p) &\equiv p \\ p \wedge (p \Rightarrow q) &\Rightarrow q \end{aligned}$$

It is a *linear* order relation generated by '**false** \Rightarrow **true**'; whence "from false, follows anything": **false** $\Rightarrow p$. Moreover it has the useful properties "(3.62) Contextualisation": $p \Rightarrow (q \equiv r) \equiv p \wedge q \equiv p \wedge r$ —we *have* the context p in each side of the equivalence—and $p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r) \equiv p \wedge q \Rightarrow p \wedge r$. Implication is "Sub-associative": $((p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r))$. Finally, we have " \equiv -Elimination": $(p \equiv q \equiv r) \Rightarrow s \equiv p \Rightarrow s \equiv q \Rightarrow s \equiv r \Rightarrow s$.

Conjunction and disjunction distribute over one another, are both associative and symmetric, \vee has identity **false** and zero **true** whereas \wedge has identity **true** and zero **false**, \vee distributes over $\vee, \equiv, \wedge, \Rightarrow, \Leftarrow$ whereas \wedge distributes over $\equiv - \equiv$ in that $p \wedge (q \equiv r \equiv s) \equiv p \wedge q \equiv p \wedge r \equiv p \wedge s$, and they satisfy,

Excluded Middle	Contradiction	Absorption	De Morgan
$p \vee \neg p$	$p \wedge \neg p \equiv \text{false}$	$p \wedge (q \vee \neg p) \equiv p \wedge q$	$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$
		$p \vee (q \vee \neg p) \equiv p \vee q$	$\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$

Most importantly, they satisfy the "**Golden Rule**": $p \wedge q \equiv p \equiv q \equiv p \vee q$.

The many other properties of these operations—such as weakening laws and other absorption laws and case-analysis (\sqcup -char)—can be found by looking at the list of *lattice properties*—since the Booleans are a lattice.

Orders

An *order* is a relation $\sqsubseteq : \tau \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ satisfying the following three properties:

Reflexivity	Transitivity	Mutual Inclusion
$a \sqsubseteq a$	$a \sqsubseteq b \wedge b \sqsubseteq c \Rightarrow a \sqsubseteq c$	$a \sqsubseteq b \wedge b \sqsubseteq a \equiv a = b$

Indirect Inclusion is like 'set inclusion' and Indirect Equality is like 'set extensionality'.

Indirect Equality (from above)	Indirect Inclusion (from above)
$x = y \equiv (\forall z \bullet x \sqsubseteq z \equiv y \sqsubseteq z)$	$x \sqsubseteq y \equiv (\forall z \bullet y \sqsubseteq z \Rightarrow x \sqsubseteq z)$

Indirect Equality (from below)	Indirect Inclusion (from below)
$x = y \equiv (\forall z \bullet z \sqsubseteq x \equiv z \sqsubseteq y)$	$x \sqsubseteq y \equiv (\forall z \bullet z \sqsubseteq x \Rightarrow z \sqsubseteq y)$

An order is *bounded* if there are elements $\top, \perp : \tau$ being the lower and upper bounds of all other elements:

Top Element	$a \sqsubseteq \top$	Bottom Element	$\perp \sqsubseteq a$
Top is maximal	$\top \sqsubseteq a \equiv a = \top$	Bottom is minimal	$a \sqsubseteq \perp \equiv a = \perp$

Lattices

A *lattice* is a pair of operations $\sqcap, \sqcup : \tau \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \tau$ specified by the properties:

\sqcup-Characterisation	\sqcap-Characterisation
$a \sqsubseteq c \wedge b \sqsubseteq c \equiv a \sqcup b \sqsubseteq c$	$c \sqsubseteq a \wedge c \sqsubseteq b \equiv c \sqsubseteq a \sqcap b$

The operations act as providing the greatest lower bound, 'glb', 'supremum', or 'meet', by \sqcap ; and the least upper bound, 'lub', 'infimum', or 'join', by \sqcup .

Let \square be one of \sqcap or \sqcup , then:

Symmetry of \square	Associativity of \square	Idempotency of \square
$a \square b = b \square a$	$(a \square b) \square c = a \square (b \square c)$	$a \square a = a$

Zero of \square	Identity of \square	Absorption	Self-Distributivity of \square
$a \sqcup \top = \top$	$a \sqcup \perp = a$	$a \sqcap (b \sqcup a) = a$	$a \square (b \square c) = (a \square b) \square (a \square c)$
$a \sqcap \perp = \perp$	$a \sqcap \top = a$	$a \sqcup (b \sqcap a) = a$	

Weakening / Strengthening	Induced Defs. of Inclusion	Golden Rule
$a \sqsubseteq a \sqcup b$	$a \sqsubseteq b \equiv a \sqcup b = b$	$a \sqcap b = a \equiv b = a \sqcup b$
$a \sqcap b \sqsubseteq a$	$a \sqsubseteq b \equiv a \sqcap b = a$	$a \sqcap b = a \sqcup b \equiv a = b$
$a \sqcap b \sqsubseteq a \sqcup b$		$a \sqcup b \sqsubseteq a \sqcap b \equiv a = b$
	Monotonicity of \square	
	$a \sqsubseteq b \wedge c \sqsubseteq d \Rightarrow a \square c \sqsubseteq b \square d$	

Duality Principle:

If a statement S is a theorem, then so is $S[(\sqsubseteq, \sqcap, \sqcup, \top, \perp) := (\supseteq, \sqcup, \sqcap, \perp, \top)]$.

Conditionals

“If to \wedge ” may be taken as axiom from which we may prove the remaining ‘alternative definitions’ “if to \dots ”.

if to \wedge	$P[z = \text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}] \equiv (b \Rightarrow P[z = x]) \wedge (\neg b \Rightarrow P[z := x])$
if to \vee	$P[z = \text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}] \equiv (b \wedge P[z = x]) \vee (\neg b \wedge P[z := x])$
if to \neq	$P[z = \text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}] \equiv b \wedge P[z = x] \neq \neg b \wedge P[z := x]$
if to \equiv	$P[z = \text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}] \equiv b \Rightarrow P[z = x] \equiv \neg b \Rightarrow P[z := x]$

Note that the “ \equiv ” and “ \neq ” rules can be parsed in multiple ways since ‘ \equiv ’ is associative, and ‘ \neq ’ mutually associates with ‘ \neq ’.

if true	$\text{if true then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi} = x$
if false	$\text{if false then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi} = y$
then true	$\text{if } R \text{ then true else } P \text{ fi} = R \vee P$
then false	$\text{if } R \text{ then false else } P \text{ fi} = \neg R \wedge P$
else true	$\text{if } R \text{ then } P \text{ else true fi} = R \Rightarrow P$
else false	$\text{if } R \text{ then } P \text{ else false fi} = R \wedge P$

if swap	$\text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi} = \text{if } \neg b \text{ then } y \text{ else } x \text{ fi}$
if idempotency	$\text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } x \text{ fi} = x$
if guard strengthening	$\text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi} = \text{if } b \wedge x \neq y \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}$
if Context	$\text{if } b \text{ then } E \text{ else } F \text{ fi} = \text{if } b \text{ then } E[b = \text{true}] \text{ else } F[b = \text{false}] \text{ fi}$
if Distributivity	$P[z = \text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}] = \text{if } b \text{ then } P[z = x] \text{ else } P[z = y] \text{ fi}$
if junctivity	$(\text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}) \oplus (\text{if } b \text{ then } x' \text{ else } y' \text{ fi})$ $= \text{if } b \text{ then } (x \oplus x') \text{ else } (y \oplus y') \text{ fi}$

Quantification

Let $_ \oplus _$ be an associative and symmetric operation with identity Id .

Abbreviation	$(\oplus x \bullet P) = (\oplus x \mid \text{true} \bullet P)$
Empty range	$(\oplus x \mid \text{false} \bullet P) = \text{Id}$
One-point rule	$(\oplus x \mid x = E \bullet P) = P[x = E]$
Distributivity	$(\oplus x \mid R \bullet P \oplus Q) = (\oplus x \mid R \bullet P) \oplus (\oplus x \mid R \bullet Q)$
Nesting	$(\oplus x, y \mid X \wedge Y \bullet P) = (\oplus x \mid X \bullet (\oplus y \mid Y \bullet P))$
Dummy renaming	$(\oplus x \mid R \bullet P) = (\oplus y \mid R[x = y] \bullet P[x = y])$
Disjoint Range split	$(\oplus x \mid R \vee S \bullet P) = (\oplus x \mid R \bullet P) \oplus (\oplus x \mid S \bullet Q)$ <i>provided $R \wedge S \equiv \text{false}$</i>
Range split	$(\oplus x \mid R \vee S \bullet P) \oplus (\oplus x \mid R \wedge S \bullet P)$ $= (\oplus x \mid R \bullet P) \oplus (\oplus x \mid S \bullet Q)$
Idempotent Range split	$(\oplus x \mid R \vee S \bullet P) = (\oplus x \mid R \bullet P) \oplus (\oplus x \mid S \bullet Q)$ <i>provided \oplus is idempotent</i>

Set Theory

The set theoretic symbols $\in, =, \subseteq$, are defined as follows.

Axiom, Set Membership: $F \in \{x \mid R \bullet E\} \equiv (\exists x \mid R \bullet F = E)$

Axiom, Extensionality: $S = T \equiv (\forall x \bullet x \in S \equiv x \in T)$

Axiom, Subset: $S \subseteq T \equiv (\forall x \bullet x \in S \Rightarrow x \in T)$

As witnessed by the following definitions, it is the \in relation that *translates set theory to propositional logic*.

Universe	$x \in \mathbf{U}$	$\equiv \text{true}$
Empty set	$x \in \emptyset$	$\equiv \text{false}$
Union	$x \in S \cup T$	$\equiv x \in S \vee x \in T$
Intersection	$x \in S \cap T$	$\equiv x \in S \wedge x \in T$
Complement	$x \in \sim S$	$\equiv x \notin S$
Difference	$x \in S - T$	$\equiv x \in S \wedge x \notin T$
Power set	$S \in \mathbb{P}T$	$\equiv S \subseteq T$

The pairs \emptyset/false , \mathbf{U}/true , \cup/\vee , \cap/\wedge , \subseteq/\Rightarrow , \sim/\neg are related by \in and so all equational theorems of propositional logic also hold for set theory —indeed, that is because both are Boolean algebras.

→ Set difference is a residual wrt \cup , and so satisfies the division properties below.

→ Subset is an order and so satisfies the aforementioned order properties. It is bounded below by \emptyset and above by \mathbf{U} .

The relationship between set comprehension and quantifier notation is:

Set comprehension as union $\{x \mid R \bullet P\} = (\cup x \mid R \bullet \{P\})$

Combinatorics

Axiom, Size:	$\#S = (\Sigma x \mid x \in S \bullet 1)$
Axiom, Interval:	$m..n = \{x : \mathbb{Z} \mid m \leq x \leq n\}$

The following theorems serve to define ‘ $\#$ ’ for the usual set theory operators.

Positive definite	$\#S \subseteq 0 \equiv S = \emptyset$
Power set size	$\#\mathbb{P}S = 2^{\#S}$
Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion	$\#(S \cup T) = \#S + \#T - \#(S \cap T)$
Monotonicity	$S \subseteq T \Rightarrow \#S \leq \#T$
Difference rule	$S \subseteq T \Rightarrow \#(T - S) = \#T - \#S$
Complement size	$\#(\sim S) = \#\mathbf{U} - \#S$
Range size	$(\Sigma x : \mathbf{U} \mid x \notin S \bullet 1) = \#\mathbf{U} - \#S$
Interval size	$\#(m..n) = n - m + 1 \text{ for } m \leq n$
Pigeonhole Principle	$(\Sigma i : 1..n \bullet E)/n \leq (\uparrow i : 1..n \bullet E)$
(“ $\text{min} \leq \text{avg} \leq \text{max}$ ”)	$(\downarrow i : 1..n \bullet E) \leq (\Sigma i : 1..n \bullet E)/n$

Rule of sum: $\#(\cup i \mid R i \bullet P) = (\Sigma i \mid R i \bullet \#P)$
provided the range is pairwise disjoint: $\forall i, j \bullet R i \wedge R j \equiv i = j$.

Rule of product: $\#(\times i \mid R i \bullet P) = (\Pi i \mid R i \bullet \#P)$

Residuals, Division

Suppose we have an associative operation $_ \circ _$ with identity ld and two operations “under \backslash ” and “over $/$ ” specified as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Characterisation of } / & \text{Characterisation of } \backslash \\ a \circ b \sqsubseteq c \equiv a \sqsubseteq c / b & a \circ b \sqsubseteq c \equiv b \sqsubseteq a \backslash c \end{array}$$

When \circ is symmetric, as in the special cases $\circ = \sqcap$, the divisions coincide: $x / y = y \backslash x$.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{Cancellation} & (a/b) \circ b \sqsubseteq a & a \circ (a \backslash b) \sqsubseteq b \\ \text{Dividing a division} & (a/b)/c = a/(c \circ b) & a \backslash (b \backslash c) = (b \circ a) \backslash c \\ \text{Division of multiples} & a \sqsubseteq (a \circ b)/b & b \sqsubseteq a \backslash (a \circ b) \end{array}$$

$$\text{Monotonicity of } \circ \quad a \sqsubseteq a' \wedge b \sqsubseteq b' \Rightarrow a \circ b \sqsubseteq a' \circ b'$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{Numerator monotonicity} & b \sqsubseteq b' \Rightarrow a \backslash b \sqsubseteq a \backslash b' & b \sqsubseteq b' \Rightarrow b/a \sqsubseteq b'/a \\ \text{Denominator antitonicity} & a' \sqsubseteq a \Rightarrow a \backslash b \sqsubseteq a' \backslash b & a' \sqsubseteq a \Rightarrow b/a \sqsubseteq b/a' \\ \text{Self-reflexivity} & \text{ld} \sqsubseteq a \backslash a & \text{ld} \sqsubseteq a/a \\ \text{Denominator Identity} & \text{ld} \backslash a = a & a/\text{ld} = a \\ \text{Numerator Zero} & a \backslash \top = \top & \top/a = \top \\ \text{Wraparound rule} & \perp \backslash a = \top & a/\perp = \top \end{array}$$

Exact division:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\exists z \bullet y = x \circ z) & \equiv x \circ (x \backslash y) = y \\ (\exists z \bullet y = x \backslash z) & \equiv x \backslash (x \circ y) = y \end{array}$$

Division for the special case $\circ = \sqcap$ is known *the relative pseudo-complement*: Denoted $x \rightarrow y$ (“ x implies y ”), it is *the largest piece ‘outside’ of x that is still included in y* . The relative pseudocomplement *internalises inclusion*, $z \sqsubseteq (x \rightarrow y) \Rightarrow (z \sqsubseteq x \Rightarrow z \sqsubseteq y)$; more generally: $x \sqsubseteq y \equiv \text{ld} \sqsubseteq x \rightarrow y$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Pseudo-complement} & \text{Semi-complement} \\ x \sqcap a \sqsubseteq b \equiv x \sqsubseteq a \rightarrow b & a - b \sqsubseteq x \equiv a \sqsubseteq b \sqcup x \\ \\ \text{Strong modus ponens} & \text{Absorption} \\ a \sqcap (a \rightarrow b) = a \sqcap b & (x \sqcup b) - b = x - b \\ a \rightarrow (x \sqcap a) = a \rightarrow x & (a - b) \sqcup b = a \sqcup b \end{array}$$

Division for the special case $\circ = \sqcup$ in the *dual order* (\sqsupset) is known as *the difference* or *relative semi-complement*: Denoted $x - y$ (“ x without y ”), it is *the smallest piece that along with y ‘covers’ x* ; i.e., it is the least value that ‘complements’ (“fill up together”) y to include x . (Possibly for this reason, set difference is sometimes denoted $S \backslash T$ in other books!)

Converse —an over-approximation of inverse (A4)

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{Co-distributivity} & \sim, \text{Involutive} & \text{Monotonicity} \\ (x \circ y)^\sim = y^\sim \circ x^\sim & x^{\sim\sim} = x & x \sqsubseteq y \Rightarrow x^\sim \sqsubseteq y^\sim \\ \\ \text{Identity} & \text{Isotonicity} & \text{Connection} & \text{Elimination} \\ \text{ld}^\sim = \text{ld} & x \sqsubseteq y \equiv x^\sim \sqsubseteq y^\sim & a^\sim \sqsubseteq b \equiv a \sqsubseteq b^\sim & x^\sim = y^\sim \equiv x = y \end{array}$$

Named Properties

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{reflexive} & x \equiv \text{ld} \sqsubseteq x & \text{symmetric} & x \equiv x^\sim = x \\ \text{irreflexive} & x \equiv \text{ld} \sqcap x = \perp & \text{antisymmetric} & x \equiv x \sqcap x^\sim \sqsubseteq \text{ld} \\ \text{transitive} & x \equiv x \circ x \sqsubseteq x & \text{asymmetric} & x \equiv x \sqcap x^\sim = \perp \\ \text{idempotent} & x \equiv x \circ x = \text{ld} & & \end{array}$$

The above properties are preserved by converse: Let P be any of the above properties, then $Px \equiv P(x^\sim)$.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{univalent} & x \equiv x^\sim \circ x \sqsubseteq \text{ld} & \text{injective} & x \equiv x \circ x^\sim \sqsubseteq \text{ld} \\ \text{total} & x \equiv \text{ld} \sqsubseteq x \circ x^\sim & \text{surjective} & x \equiv \text{ld} \sqsubseteq x^\sim \circ x \\ \text{mapping} & x \equiv \text{total } x \wedge \text{univalent } x & \text{bijective} & x \equiv \text{surjective } x \wedge \text{injective } x \\ \text{iso} & x \equiv \text{mapping } x \wedge \text{bijective } x & & \end{array}$$

Duality theorems

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{univalent } (x^\sim) & \equiv \text{injective } x \\ \text{total } (x^\sim) & \equiv \text{surjective } x \\ \text{mapping } (x^\sim) & \equiv \text{bijective } x \\ \text{iso } (x^\sim) & \equiv \text{iso } x \end{array}$$

Invertibility theorems

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{total } x \wedge \text{injective } x \Rightarrow x \circ x^\sim = \text{ld} & \\ \text{iso } x \equiv x \circ x^\sim = \text{ld} \wedge x^\sim \circ x = \text{ld} & \\ \text{iso } x \Rightarrow (\exists g \bullet x \circ g = \text{ld} = g \circ x) & \end{array}$$

Shunting laws:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{univalent } f & \Rightarrow (x \circ f \sqsubseteq y \Leftarrow x \sqsubseteq y \circ f^\sim) \\ \text{total } f & \Rightarrow (x \circ f \sqsubseteq y \Rightarrow x \sqsubseteq y \circ f^\sim) \\ \text{mapping } f & \Rightarrow (x \circ f \sqsubseteq y \equiv x \sqsubseteq y \circ f^\sim) \end{array}$$

Relations

Relations are sets of pairs ...

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{Tortoise} & x \langle R \rangle y & \equiv \langle x, y \rangle \in R \\ \text{Extensionality} & R = S & \equiv (\forall x, y \bullet x \langle R \rangle y \equiv x \langle S \rangle y) \\ \text{Inclusion} & R \sqsubseteq S & \equiv (\forall x, y \bullet x \langle R \rangle y \Rightarrow x \langle S \rangle y) \\ \text{Empty} & u \langle \emptyset \rangle v & \equiv \text{false} \\ \text{Universe} & u \langle A \times B \rangle v & \equiv u \in A \wedge v \in B \\ \text{Complement} & u \langle \sim S \rangle v & \equiv \neg(u \langle S \rangle v) \\ \text{Union} & u \langle S \cup T \rangle v & \equiv u \langle S \rangle v \vee u \langle T \rangle v \\ \text{Intersection} & u \langle S \cap T \rangle v & \equiv u \langle S \rangle v \wedge u \langle T \rangle v \\ \text{Difference} & u \langle S - T \rangle v & \equiv u \langle S \rangle v \wedge \neg(u \langle T \rangle v) \\ \text{PseudoComplement} & u \langle S \rightarrow T \rangle v & \equiv u \langle S \rangle v \Rightarrow u \langle T \rangle v \\ \text{An Identity} & u \langle \text{Id } A \rangle v & \equiv u = v \in A \\ \text{The Identity} & u \langle \text{ld} \rangle v & \equiv u = v \\ \text{Converse} & u \langle R^\sim \rangle v & \equiv v \langle R \rangle u \\ \text{Composition} & u \langle R \circ S \rangle v & \equiv (\exists x \bullet u \langle R \rangle x \wedge x \langle S \rangle v) \\ \text{Under Division} & u \langle R \backslash S \rangle v & \equiv (\forall x \bullet x \langle R \rangle u \Rightarrow x \langle S \rangle v) \\ \text{Over Division} & u \langle S / R \rangle v & \equiv (\forall x \bullet v \langle R \rangle x \Rightarrow u \langle S \rangle x) \end{array}$$

Interpreting Named Properties

We will interpret the named properties using

- ◇ Relations: Formulae on sets of pairs; “ $\forall x \bullet \dots$ ”
- ◇ Graphs: Dots and lines on a page
- ◇ Matrices: 1s and 0s on a grid
- ◇ Programs: Transformations of inputs to outputs

Properties of a relationship flavour

reflexive	$R \equiv (\forall b \bullet b(R)b)$ Every node in a graph has a ‘loop’, a line to itself The diagonal of a matrix is all 1s
irreflexive	$R \equiv (\forall b \bullet \neg(b(R)b))$ No node in a graph has a loop The diagonal of a matrix is all 0s
symmetric	$R \equiv (\forall b, c \bullet b(R)c \equiv c(R)b)$ The graph is undirected; we have a symmetric matrix
antisymmetric	$R \equiv (\forall b, c \bullet b(R)c \wedge c(R)b \Rightarrow b = c)$ Mutually related nodes are necessarily self-loops “Mutually related items are necessarily indistinguishable”
asymmetric	$R \equiv (\forall b, c \bullet b(R)c \Rightarrow \neg(c(R)b))$ At most 1 edge (regardless of direction) relating any 2 nodes
transitive	$R \equiv (\forall b, c, d \bullet b(R)c \wedge c(R)d \Rightarrow b(R)d)$ Paths can always be shortened (but nonempty)
idempotent	$R \equiv$ Lengths of paths can be changed arbitrarily (nonzero)

“Relations are simple graphs”

Relations directly represent *simple graphs*: Dots (*nodes*) and at most 1 line (*edge*) between any two. E.g., cities and highways (ignoring multiple highways).

Treating R as a graph:

R	A bunch of dots on a page and an arrow from x to y when $x(R)y$
R^\sim	Flip the arrows in the graph
$\text{Dom } R$	The nodes that have an outgoing edge
$\text{Ran } R$	The nodes that have an incoming edge
$x(R)y$	A path of length 1 (an edge) from x to y
$x(R \circ R)y$	A path of length 2 from x to y
$R \cup R^\sim$	The associated undirected graph (“symmetric closure”)

Properties of an operational flavour

univalent	$R \equiv (\forall b, c, c' \bullet b(R)c \wedge b(R)c' \Rightarrow c = c')$ —aka “partial function” Graph: Every node has at most one outgoing edge Matrix: Every row has at most one 1 Prog: The program is deterministic, same-input yields same-output
injective	$R \equiv (\forall b, b', c \bullet b(R)c \wedge b'(R)c \Rightarrow b = b')$ Graph: Every node has at most one incoming edge Matrix: Every column has at most one 1 Prog: The program preserves distinctness (by contraposition)
total	$R \equiv (\forall b \bullet \exists c \bullet b(R)c)$ Graph: Every node has at least one outgoing edge Matrix: Every row has at least one 1 Prog: The program terminates; has at least one output for each input
surjective	$R \equiv (\forall c \bullet \exists b \bullet b(R)c)$ Graph: Every node has at least one incoming edge Matrix: Every column has at least one 1 Prog: All possible outputs arise from some input
mapping	$R \equiv \text{total } R \wedge \text{univalent } R$ —also known as a “(total) function” Graph: Every node has exactly one outgoing edge Matrix: Every row has exactly one 1 Prog: The program always terminates with a unique output
bijjective	$R \equiv \text{surjective } R \wedge \text{injective } R$ Graph: Every node has exactly one incoming edge Matrix: Every column has exactly one 1 Prog: Every output arises from a unique input
iso	$R \equiv \text{mapping } R \wedge \text{bijjective } R$ Graph: It’s a bunch of ‘circles’ Matrix: It’s a permutation; a re-arrangement of the identity matrix Prog: A non-lossy protocol associating inputs to outputs