Reference Sheet for Discrete Maths

Propositional Calculus

Order of decreasing binding power: =, \neg , \land/\lor , \Rightarrow/\Leftarrow , $\equiv/\not\equiv$.

Equivales is the only equivalence relation that is associative $((p \equiv q) \equiv r) \equiv (p \equiv (q \equiv r))$, and it is symmetric and has identity true.

Discrepancy (difference) ' $\not\equiv$ ' is symmetric, associative, has identity 'false', mutually associates with equivales $((p \not\equiv q) \equiv r) \equiv (p \not\equiv (q \equiv r))$, and mutually interchanges with it as well $(p \not\equiv q \equiv r) \equiv (p \equiv q \not\equiv r)$. Finally, negation commutes with difference: $\neg (p \equiv q) \equiv \neg p \equiv q$.

Implication has the alternative definition $p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \lor q$, thus having true as both left identity and right zero; it distributes over \equiv in the second argument, and is self-distributive; and has the properties:

Shunting
$$p \wedge q \Rightarrow r \equiv p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)$$

Contrapositive $p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$
Leibniz $e = f \Rightarrow E[z = e] = E[z = f]$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \textbf{\textit{Modus Ponens}} & & & & & \\ & p \wedge (p \Rightarrow q) & \equiv & p \wedge q \\ & p \wedge (q \Rightarrow p) & \equiv & p \\ & p \wedge (p \Rightarrow q) & \Rightarrow & q \end{array}$$

It is a linear order relation generated by 'false \Rightarrow true'; whence "from false, follows anything": false \Rightarrow p. Moreover it has the useful properties "(3.62) Contextualisation": $p \Rightarrow (q \equiv r) \equiv p \land q \equiv p \land r$ —we have the context p in each side of the equivalence— and $p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r) \equiv p \land q \Rightarrow p \land r$. Implication is "Subassociative": $((p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r))$. Finally, we have " \equiv - \equiv Elimination": $(p \equiv q \equiv r) \Rightarrow s \equiv p \Rightarrow s \equiv q \Rightarrow s \equiv r \Rightarrow s$.

Conjunction and disjunction distribute over one another, are both associative and symmetric, \vee has identity false and zero true whereas \wedge has identity true and zero false, \vee distributes over $\vee, \equiv, \wedge, \Rightarrow, \Leftarrow$ whereas \wedge distributes over $\equiv - \equiv$ in that $p \wedge (q \equiv r \equiv s) \equiv p \wedge q \equiv p \wedge r \equiv p \wedge s$, and they satisfy,

Most importantly, they satisfy the "Golden Rule": $p \land q \equiv p \equiv q \equiv p \lor q$.

The many other properties of these operations —such as weakening laws and other absorption laws and case-analysis (\sqcup -char)— can be found by looking at the list of *lattice properties* —since the Booleans are a lattice.

Orders

An order is a relation $\sqsubseteq \sqsubseteq : \tau \to \tau \to \mathbb{B}$ satisfying the following three properties:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{Reflexivity} & \textbf{Transitivity} & \textbf{Mutual Inclusion} \\ a \sqsubseteq a & a \sqsubseteq b \land b \sqsubseteq c \Rightarrow a \sqsubseteq c & a \sqsubseteq b \land b \sqsubseteq a \equiv a = b \\ \end{array}$$

Indirect Inclusion is like 'set inclusion' and Indirect Equality is like 'set extensionality'.

Indirect Equality (from above)
$$x=y\equiv (\forall z\bullet x\sqsubseteq z\equiv y\sqsubseteq z)$$
 Indirect Inclusion (from above) $x\sqsubseteq y\equiv (\forall z\bullet x\sqsubseteq z\equiv z\boxtimes z)$ Indirect Equality (from below) $x=y\equiv (\forall z\bullet z\sqsubseteq x\equiv z\sqsubseteq y)$ Indirect Inclusion (from below) $x\sqsubseteq y\equiv (\forall z\bullet z\sqsubseteq x\Rightarrow z\sqsubseteq y)$

An order is bounded if there are elements \top , \bot : τ being the lower and upper bounds of all other elements:

Top Element $a \sqsubseteq \top$ Bottom Element $\bot \sqsubseteq a$ Top is maximal $\top \Box a \equiv a = \top$ Bottom is minimal $a \Box \bot \equiv a = \top$

Lattices

A *lattice* is a pair of operations \Box , \Box : $\tau \to \tau \to \tau$ specified by the properties:

The operations act as providing the greatest lower bound, 'glb', 'supremum', or 'meet', by \sqcap ; and the least upper bound, 'lub', 'infimum', or 'join', by \sqcup .

Let \square be one of \square or \sqcup , then:

Symmetry of
$$\square$$
 Associativity of \square Idempotency of \square $a\square b = b\square a$ $(a\square b)\square c = a\square (b\square c)$ $a\square a = a$

Zero of \square Identity of \square Absorption Self-Distributivity of \square $a \sqcup \top = \top$ $a \sqcup \bot = a$ $a \sqcap (b \sqcup a) = a$ $a\square (b\square c) = (a\square b)\square (a\square c)$ $a \sqcap \bot = \bot$ $a \sqcap \top = a$ $a \sqcup (b \sqcap a) = a$

Weakening Induced Defs. of Inclusion Golden Rule

/ Strengthening $a \sqsubseteq b \equiv a \sqcup b = b$ $a \sqcap b = a \equiv b = a \sqcup b$ $a \sqcap b \equiv a \equiv b$ $a \sqcap b \equiv a \equiv b$ $a \sqcap b \equiv a \equiv b$
 $a \sqcap b \sqsubseteq a \sqcup b$ Monotonicity of \square $a \sqsubseteq b \land c \sqsubseteq d \Rightarrow a \square c \sqsubseteq b \square d$

Duality Principle:

If a statement S is a theorem, then so is $S[(\Box, \neg, \bot, \top, \bot) := (\neg, \bot, \neg, \bot, \top)].$

Conditionals

"If to \wedge " may be taken as axiom from which we may prove the remaining 'alternative definitions' "if to \cdots ".

```
\begin{array}{llll} \textbf{if to} \land & P[z \coloneqq \textbf{if} \, b \, \textbf{then} \, x \, \textbf{else} \, y \, \textbf{fi}] & \equiv & (b \ \Rightarrow \ P[z \coloneqq x]) & \land \ (\neg b \ \Rightarrow \ P[z \coloneqq x]) \\ \textbf{if to} \lor & P[z \coloneqq \textbf{if} \, b \, \textbf{then} \, x \, \textbf{else} \, y \, \textbf{fi}] & \equiv & (b \ \land \ P[z \vDash x]) & \lor \ (\neg b \ \land \ P[z \coloneqq x]) \\ \textbf{if to} \not\equiv & P[z \coloneqq \textbf{if} \, b \, \textbf{then} \, x \, \textbf{else} \, y \, \textbf{fi}] & \equiv & b \ \land \ P[z \vDash x] & \not\equiv \ \neg b \ \Rightarrow \ P[z \coloneqq x] \\ \textbf{if to} \equiv & P[z \coloneqq \textbf{if} \, b \, \textbf{then} \, x \, \textbf{else} \, y \, \textbf{fi}] & \equiv & b \ \Rightarrow \ P[z \vDash x] & \equiv \ \neg b \ \Rightarrow \ P[z \coloneqq x] \end{array}
```

Note that the " \equiv " and " \neq " rules can be parsed in multiple ways since ' \equiv ' is associative, and ' \equiv ' mutually associates with ' \neq '.

if true	if true then x else y fi $= x$
if false	if false then x else y fi $= y$
then true	if R then true else P fi $= R \lor P$
then false	if R then false else P fi $= \neg R \wedge P$
else true	if R then P else true fi $= R \Rightarrow P$
else false	if R then P else false fi $= R \wedge P$

 $\text{if } s\mathbf{wap} \qquad \qquad \text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi } = \text{ if } \neg b \text{ then } y \text{ else } x \text{ fi }$

if idempotency if b then x else x fi = x

if guard strengthening if b then x else y fi = if $b \land x \neq y$ then x else y fi

if Context if b then E else F fi = if b then E[b = true] else F[b = false] fi

if Distributivity P[z = if b then x else y fi] = if b then P[z = x] else P[z = y] fi

if junctivity (if b then x else y fi) \oplus (if b then x' else y' fi) = if b then $(x \oplus x')$ else $(y \oplus y')$ fi

Quantification

Let ___ be an associative and symmetric operation with identity ld.

Abbreviation	$(\oplus x \bullet P) = (\oplus x \mid true \bullet P)$
Empty range	$(\oplus x \mid false \bullet P) = Id$
One-point rule	$(\oplus x \mid x = E \bullet P) = P[x = E]$
Distributivity	$(\oplus x \mid R \bullet P \oplus Q) = (\oplus x \mid R \bullet P) \oplus (\oplus x \mid R \bullet Q)$
Nesting	$(\oplus x, y \mid X \land Y \bullet P) = (\oplus x \mid X \bullet (\oplus y \mid Y \bullet P))$
Dummy renaming	$(\oplus x \mid R \bullet P) = (\oplus y \mid R[x = y] \bullet P[x = y])$
One-point rule Distributivity Nesting	$(\oplus x \mid x = E \bullet P) = P[x = E]$ $(\oplus x \mid R \bullet P \oplus Q) = (\oplus x \mid R \bullet P) \oplus (\oplus x \mid R \bullet Q)$ $(\oplus x, y \mid X \land Y \bullet P) = (\oplus x \mid X \bullet (\oplus y \mid Y \bullet P))$

Disjoint Range split $(\oplus x \mid R \lor S \bullet P) = (\oplus x \mid R \bullet P) \oplus (\oplus x \mid S \bullet Q)$ provided $R \land S \equiv$ false

Range split $(\oplus x \mid R \lor S \bullet P) \oplus (\oplus x \mid R \land S \bullet P) \\ = (\oplus x \mid R \bullet P) \oplus (\oplus x \mid S \bullet Q)$

Idempotent Range split $(\oplus x \mid R \lor S \bullet P) = (\oplus x \mid R \bullet P) \oplus (\oplus x \mid S \bullet Q)$ $provided \oplus \text{is idempotent}$

Set Theory

The set theoretic symbols \in , =, \subseteq , are defined as follows.

Axiom, Set Membership: $F \in \{x \mid R \bullet E\} \equiv (\exists x \mid R \bullet F = E)$

Axiom, Extensionality: $S = T \equiv (\forall x \bullet x \in S \equiv x \in T)$

Axiom, Subset: $S \subseteq T \equiv (\forall x \bullet x \in S \Rightarrow x \in T)$

As witnessed by the following definitions, it is the \in relation that translates set theory to propositional logic.

${f Universe}$	$x \in \mathbf{U}$	\equiv	true
Empty set	$x \in \emptyset$	\equiv	false
Union	$x \in S \cup T$	\equiv	$x \in S \vee x \in T$
Intersection	$x \in S \cap T$	\equiv	$x \in S \land x \in T$
Complement	$x \in \sim S$	\equiv	$x \not \in S$
Difference	$x \in S - T$	\equiv	$x \in S \land x \not \in T$
Power set	$S \in \mathbb{P}T$	\equiv	$S \subseteq T$

The pairs \emptyset /false, \mathbf{U} /true, \cup/\vee , \cap/\wedge , \subseteq/\Rightarrow , $^{\sim}/\neg$ are related by \in and so all equational theorems of propositional logic also hold for set theory —indeed, that is because both are Boolean algebras.

- \rightarrow Set difference is a residual wrt \cup , and so satisfies the division properties below.
- \rightarrow Subset is an order and so satisfies the aforementioned order properties. It is bounded below by \emptyset and above by \mathbf{U} .

The relationship between set comprehension and quantifier notation is:

Set comprehension as union $\{x \mid R \bullet P\} = (\cup x \mid R \bullet \{P\})$

Combinatorics

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Axiom, Size:} & \#S = (\Sigma x \mid x \in S \bullet 1) \\ \textbf{Axiom, Interval:} & m..n = \{x : \mathbb{Z} \mid m \leq x \leq n\} \\ \end{array}$

The following theorems serve to define '#' for the usual set theory operators.

 $\#S \subseteq 0 \equiv S = \emptyset$ Positive definite $\#\mathbb{P}S = 2^{\#S}$ Power set size Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion $\#(S \cup T) = \#S + \#T - \#(S \cap T)$ Monotonicity $S \subseteq T \Rightarrow \#S < \#T$ $S \subseteq T \Rightarrow \#(T - S) = \#T - \#S$ Difference rule Complement size $\#(\sim S) = \#\mathbf{U} - \#S$ Range size $(\Sigma x : \mathbf{U} \mid x \notin S \bullet 1) = \#\mathbf{U} - \#S$ Interval size #(m..n) = n - m + 1 for m < nPigeonhole Principle $(\Sigma i: 1..n \bullet E)/n < (\uparrow i: 1..n \bullet E)$ $(\downarrow i:1..n \bullet E) \leq (\Sigma i:1..n \bullet E)/n$ $("min \leq avg \leq max")$

Rule of sum: $\#(\cup i \mid Ri \bullet P) = (\Sigma i \mid Ri \bullet \#P)$ provided the range is pairwise disjoint: $\forall i, j \bullet Ri \land Rj \equiv i = j$.

Rule of product: $\#(\times i \mid Ri \bullet P) = (\Pi i \mid Ri \bullet \# P)$

Residuals, Division

Suppose we have an associative operation $_{-9}^{\circ}$ with identity Id and two operations "under \setminus " and "over /" specified as follows.

Characterisation of / Characterisation of \\
$$a \circ b \subseteq c \equiv a \subseteq c/b \\ a \circ b \subseteq c \equiv b \subseteq a \circ c \\$$

When \S is symmetric, as in the special cases $\S = \square$, the divisions coincide: $x/y = y \setminus x$.

Cancellation
$$(a/b)$$
 $\, \mathring{\varsigma} \, b \sqsubseteq a$ $a \, \mathring{\varsigma} (a \setminus b) \sqsubseteq b$ Dividing a division $(a/b)/c = a/(c \, \mathring{\varsigma} \, b)$ $a \setminus (b \setminus c) = (b \, \mathring{\varsigma} \, a) \setminus c$ Division of multiples $a \sqsubseteq (a \, \mathring{\varsigma} \, b)/b$ $b \sqsubseteq a \setminus (a \, \mathring{\varsigma} \, b)$

Monotonicity of
$$\ \ a \sqsubseteq a' \land b \sqsubseteq b' \Rightarrow a \ \ b \sqsubseteq a' \ \ b'$$

Numerator monotonicity	$b \sqsubseteq b' \Rightarrow a \backslash b \sqsubseteq a \backslash b'$	$b \sqsubseteq b' \Rightarrow b/a \sqsubseteq b'/a$
Denominator antitonicity	$a' \sqsubseteq a \Rightarrow a \backslash b \sqsubseteq a' \backslash b$	$a' \sqsubseteq a \Rightarrow b/a \sqsubseteq b/a'$
Self-reflexivity	$Id \sqsubseteq a \backslash a$	$Id \sqsubseteq a/a$
Denominator Identity	$Id \backslash a = a$	a/Id = a
Numerator Zero	$a \backslash \top = \top$	$\top/a = \top$
Wraparound rule	$\bot \ a = \top$	$a/\bot = \top$

Exact division:

$$(\exists z \bullet y = x \, \hat{\varsigma} \, z) \quad \equiv \quad x \, \hat{\varsigma}(x \setminus y) = y$$
$$(\exists z \bullet y = x \setminus z) \quad \equiv \quad x \setminus (x \, \hat{\varsigma} \, y) = y$$

Division for the special case $\ \ = \ \square$ is known the relative pseudo-complement: Denoted $x \to y$ ("x implies y"), it is the largest piece 'outside' of x that is still included in y. The relative pseudocomplement internalises inclusion, $z \sqsubseteq (x \to y) \Rightarrow (z \sqsubseteq x \Rightarrow z \sqsubseteq y)$; more generally: $x \sqsubseteq y \equiv \operatorname{Id} \sqsubseteq x \setminus y$.

Pseudo-complement Semi-complement
$$x \sqcap a \sqsubseteq b \equiv x \sqsubseteq a \rightarrow b$$
 $a - b \sqsubseteq x \equiv a \sqsubseteq b \sqcup x$
Strong modus ponens Absorption

$$a \sqcap (a \to b) = a \sqcap b \qquad (x \sqcup b) - b = x - b$$

$$a \to (x \sqcap a) = a \to x \qquad (a - b) \sqcup b = a \sqcup b$$

Division for the special case $\ \ = \ \sqcup$ in the dual order $(\ \supseteq)$ is known as the difference or relative semi-complement: Denoted x-y ("x without y"), it is the smallest piece that along with y 'covers' x; i.e., it is the least value that 'complements' ("fill up together") y to include x. (Possibly for this reason, set difference is sometimes denoted $S \setminus T$ in other books!)

Converse

Axioms,

Co-distributivity
$$\sim$$
, Involutive Monotonicity $(x \circ y) = y \circ x$ $x = x$ $x = y \Rightarrow x = y$

Theorems,

Named Properties

```
reflexive x \equiv \operatorname{Id} \sqsubseteq x symmetric x \equiv x \subseteq x irreflexive x \equiv \operatorname{Id} \square x = \bot antisymmetric x \equiv x \square x \subseteq x and asymmetric x \equiv x \square x \subseteq x asymmetric x \equiv x \square x \subseteq x asymmetric x \equiv x \square x \subseteq x idempotent x \equiv x \square x \subseteq x
```

The above properties are preserved by converse: Let P be any of the above properties, then $Px \equiv P(x^{\smile})$.

```
univalent x
                                   x \subseteq \mathfrak{g} x \sqsubseteq \mathsf{Id}
                                                                                 injective
                                                                                                                      x \circ x \subseteq \mathsf{Id}
total
                           \equiv
                                  \mathsf{Id} \sqsubseteq x \, \mathfrak{g} \, x \mathsf{c}
                                                                                 surjective x \equiv
                                                                                                                      \mathsf{Id} \sqsubseteq x \lor \S x
                    x
                                                                                 bijective
                                                                                                      x \equiv
                           \equiv total x \wedge univalent x
                                                                                                                      surjective x \wedge \text{injective } x
mapping
                           \equiv mapping x \land bijective x
```

Duality theorems

Invertiblility theorems

```
\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{total} x \wedge \operatorname{injective} x \Rightarrow x \, \S \, x^{\smile} = \operatorname{Id} \\ \operatorname{iso} x & \equiv \quad x \, \S \, x^{\smile} = \operatorname{Id} \, \wedge \, x^{\smile} \, \S \, x = \operatorname{Id} \\ \operatorname{iso} x & \Rightarrow \quad (\exists g \bullet \, x \, \S \, g = \operatorname{Id} = g \, \S \, x) \end{array}
```

Shunting laws:

```
\begin{array}{lll} \text{univalent } f & \Rightarrow & (x \, \S \, f \sqsubseteq y \, \Leftarrow \, x \sqsubseteq y \, \S \, f^{\smile}) \\ \text{total } f & \Rightarrow & (x \, \S \, f \sqsubseteq y \, \Rightarrow \, x \sqsubseteq y \, \S \, f^{\smile}) \\ \text{mapping } f & \Rightarrow & (x \, \S \, f \sqsubseteq y \, \equiv \, x \sqsubseteq y \, \S \, f^{\smile}) \end{array}
```

Relations

Relations are sets of pairs . . .

```
x (R) y
Tortoise
                                                  \langle x, y \rangle \in R
                                                 (\forall x, y \bullet x (R) y \equiv x (S) y)
Extensionality
                          R = S
                          R \subseteq S
                                                 (\forall x, y \bullet x (R) y \Rightarrow x (S) y)
Inclusion
                          u (\emptyset) v
Empty
Universe
                          u (A \times B) v
                                            \equiv u \in A \land v \in B
                          u (\sim S)v
                                                 \neg(u (S)v)
Complement
                                            \equiv u(S)v \vee u(T)v
                          u (S \cup T)v
Union
Intersection
                          u (S \cap T)v
                                            \equiv u(S)v \wedge u(T)v
                                            \equiv u(S)v \wedge \neg(u(T)v)
                          u (S-T)v
Difference
                         u(S \Rightarrow T)v \equiv u(S)v \Rightarrow u(T)v
PseudoComplement
An Identity
                          u ( \mathbb{I} A ) v
                                             \equiv u = v \in A
The Identity
                          u ( ld ) v
                                            \equiv u = v
                                            \equiv v (R) u
Converse
                          u ( R\sim ) v
Composition
                          u (R : S) v
                                            \equiv (\exists x \bullet u (R) x \wedge x (S) v)
                          u(R/S)v
                                            \equiv (\forall x \bullet x (R) u \Rightarrow x (S) v)
Over Division
Under Division
                          u (S \setminus R) v
                                             \equiv (\forall x \bullet v (R) x \Rightarrow u (S) x)
```