Reference Sheet for Discrete Maths

Propositional Calculus

Order of decreasing binding power: =, \neg , \land/\lor , \Rightarrow/\Leftarrow , $\equiv/\not\equiv$.

Equivales is the only equivalence relation that is associative $((p \equiv q) \equiv r) \equiv (p \equiv (q \equiv r))$, and it is symmetric and has identity true.

Discrepancy (difference) ' $\not\equiv$ ' is symmetric, associative, has identity 'false', mutually associates with equivales $((p \not\equiv q) \equiv r) \equiv (p \not\equiv (q \equiv r))$, and mutually interchanges with it as well $(p \not\equiv q \equiv r) \equiv (p \equiv q \not\equiv r)$. Finally, negation commutes with difference: $\neg (p \equiv q) \equiv \neg p \equiv q$.

Implication has the alternative definition $p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \lor q$, thus having true as both left identity and right zero; it distributes over \equiv in the second argument, and is self-distributive; and has the properties:

Shunting
$$p \land q \Rightarrow r \equiv p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)$$

Contrapositive $p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$
Leibniz $e = f \Rightarrow E[z = e] = E[z = f]$

Modus Ponens

$$\begin{array}{cccc} p \wedge (p \Rightarrow q) & \equiv & p \wedge q \\ p \wedge (q \Rightarrow p) & \equiv & p \\ p \wedge (p \Rightarrow q) & \Rightarrow & q \end{array}$$

It is a linear order relation generated by 'false \Rightarrow true'; whence "from false, follows anything": false \Rightarrow p. Moreover it has the useful properties "(3.62) Contextualisation": $p \Rightarrow (q \equiv r) \equiv p \wedge q \equiv p \wedge r$ —we have the context p in each side of the equivalence— and $p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r) \equiv p \wedge q \Rightarrow p \wedge r$. Implication is "Subassociative": $((p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r))$. Finally, we have " \equiv - \equiv Elimination": $(p \equiv q \equiv r) \Rightarrow s \equiv p \Rightarrow s \equiv q \Rightarrow s \equiv r \Rightarrow s$.

Conjunction and disjunction distribute over one another, are both associative and symmetric, \vee has identity false and zero true whereas \wedge has identity true and zero false, \vee distributes over $\vee, \equiv, \wedge, \Rightarrow, \Leftarrow$ whereas \wedge distributes over $\equiv -\equiv$ in that $p \wedge (q \equiv r \equiv s) \equiv p \wedge q \equiv p \wedge r \equiv p \wedge s$, and they satisfy,

Most importantly, they satisfy the "Golden Rule": $p \land q \equiv p \equiv q \equiv p \lor q$.

Max \uparrow **and Min** \downarrow each distribute over the other, addition distributes over both, subtraction acts like De Morgans, the operators are selective, and non-negative multiplication distributes over both. (*Tropical mathematics* is math with ' \uparrow , +' instead of '+, \times '.)

The many other properties of these operations —such as weakening laws and other absorption laws and case-analysis (\sqcup -char)— can be found by looking at the list of *lattice* properties —since both the Booleans (\Rightarrow , \land , \lor) and numbers (<, \downarrow , \uparrow) are lattices.

Orders

An order is a relation \sqsubseteq : $\tau \to \tau \to \mathbb{B}$ satisfying the following three properties:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{Reflexivity} & \textbf{Transitivity} & \textbf{Mutual Inclusion} \\ a \sqsubseteq a & a \sqsubseteq b \land b \sqsubseteq c \Rightarrow a \sqsubseteq c & a \sqsubseteq b \land b \sqsubseteq a \equiv a = b \\ \end{array}$$

Indirect Inclusion is like 'set inclusion' and Indirect Equality is like 'set extensionality'.

Indirect Equality (from above)
$$x=y\equiv (\forall z\bullet x\sqsubseteq z\equiv y\sqsubseteq z)$$
 Indirect Inclusion (from above) $x\sqsubseteq y\equiv (\forall z\bullet x\sqsubseteq z\equiv y\sqsubseteq z)$ Indirect Equality (from below) $x=y\equiv (\forall z\bullet z\sqsubseteq x\equiv z\sqsubseteq y)$ Indirect Inclusion (from below) $x\sqsubseteq y\equiv (\forall z\bullet z\sqsubseteq x\Rightarrow z\sqsubseteq y)$

An order is bounded if there are elements \top , \bot : τ being the lower and upper bounds of all other elements:

Top Element $a \sqsubseteq \top$ Bottom Element $\bot \sqsubseteq a$ Top is maximal $\top \sqsubseteq a \equiv a = \top$ Bottom is minimal $a \sqsubseteq \bot \equiv a = \bot$

Lattices

A *lattice* is a pair of operations \Box , \Box : $\tau \to \tau \to \tau$ specified by the properties:

The operations act as providing the greatest lower bound, 'glb', 'supremum', or 'meet', by \sqcap ; and the least upper bound, 'lub', 'infimum', or 'join', by \sqcup .

Let \square be one of \square or \sqcup , then:

Symmetry of
$$\Box$$
 Associativity of \Box Idempotency of \Box $a\Box b=b\Box a$ $(a\Box b)\Box c=a\Box (b\Box c)$ $a\Box a=a$

The following four properties are all equivalent:

Duality Principle:

If a statement S is a theorem, then so is $S[(\sqsubseteq, \sqcap, \sqcup, \top, \bot) := (\supseteq, \sqcup, \sqcap, \bot, \top)].$

Conditionals

"If to \wedge " may be taken as axiom from which we may prove the remaining 'alternative definitions' "if to \cdots ".

```
\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{if to} \land & P[z = \textbf{if } b \, \textbf{then} \, x \, \textbf{else} \, y \, \textbf{fi}] & \equiv & (b \Rightarrow P[z = x]) & \land & (\neg b \Rightarrow P[z = x]) \\ \textbf{if to} \lor & P[z = \textbf{if } b \, \textbf{then} \, x \, \textbf{else} \, y \, \textbf{fi}] & \equiv & (b \land P[z = x]) & \lor & (\neg b \land P[z = x]) \\ \textbf{if to} \not\equiv & P[z = \textbf{if } b \, \textbf{then} \, x \, \textbf{else} \, y \, \textbf{fi}] & \equiv & b \land P[z = x] & \not\equiv & \neg b \land P[z = x] \\ \textbf{if to} \equiv & P[z = \textbf{if } b \, \textbf{then} \, x \, \textbf{else} \, y \, \textbf{fi}] & \equiv & b \Rightarrow P[z = x] & \equiv & \neg b \Rightarrow P[z = x] \\ \end{array}
```

Note that the " \equiv " and " \neq " rules can be parsed in multiple ways since ' \equiv ' is associative, and ' \equiv ' mutually associates with ' \neq '.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{if true} & \textbf{if true then } x \operatorname{else} y \operatorname{fi} = x \\ \textbf{if false} & \textbf{if false then } x \operatorname{else} y \operatorname{fi} = y \\ \textbf{then true} & \textbf{if } R \operatorname{then true} \operatorname{else} P \operatorname{fi} = R \vee P \\ \textbf{then false} & \textbf{if } R \operatorname{then false} \operatorname{else} P \operatorname{fi} = \neg R \wedge P \\ \textbf{else true} & \textbf{if } R \operatorname{then} P \operatorname{else true} \operatorname{fi} = R \Rightarrow P \\ \textbf{else false} & \textbf{if } R \operatorname{then} P \operatorname{else false} \operatorname{fi} = R \wedge P \\ \end{array}$

 $\text{if } s\mathbf{wap} \qquad \qquad \text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi } = \text{ if } \neg b \text{ then } y \text{ else } x \text{ fi }$

if idempotency if b then x else x fi = x

if guard strengthening if b then x else y fi = if $b \land x \neq y$ then x else y fi

if Context if b then E else F fi = if b then E[b = true] else F[b = false] fi

 $\text{if } \mathbf{Distributivity} \qquad \qquad P[z = \mathsf{if} \ b \ \mathsf{then} \ x \ \mathsf{else} \ y \ \mathsf{fi}] \ = \ \mathsf{if} \ b \ \mathsf{then} \ P[z = x] \ \mathsf{else} \ P[z = y] \ \mathsf{fi}$

if junctivity $(if b then x else y fi) \oplus (if b then x' else y' fi)$

= if b then $(x \oplus x')$ else $(y \oplus y')$ fi

Quantification

Let $_\oplus_$ be an associative and symmetric operation with identity Id.

Abbreviation	$(\oplus x \bullet P) = (\oplus x \mid true \bullet P)$
Empty range	$(\oplus x \mid false \bullet P) = Id$
One-point rule	$(\oplus x \mid x = E \bullet P) = P[x = E]$
Distributivity	$(\oplus x \mid R \bullet P \oplus Q) = (\oplus x \mid R \bullet P) \oplus (\oplus x \mid R \bullet Q)$
Nesting	$(\oplus x, y \mid X \land Y \bullet P) = (\oplus x \mid X \bullet (\oplus y \mid Y \bullet P))$
Dummy renaming	$(\oplus x \mid R \bullet P) = (\oplus y \mid R[x = y] \bullet P[x = y])$

Disjoint Range split $(\oplus x \mid R \lor S \bullet P) = (\oplus x \mid R \bullet P) \oplus (\oplus x \mid S \bullet Q)$ provided $R \land S \equiv$ false

Range split $(\oplus x \mid R \lor S \bullet P) \oplus (\oplus x \mid R \land S \bullet P) \\ = (\oplus x \mid R \bullet P) \oplus (\oplus x \mid S \bullet Q)$

Idempotent Range split $(\oplus x \mid R \lor S \bullet P) = (\oplus x \mid R \bullet P) \oplus (\oplus x \mid S \bullet Q)$ $provided \oplus \text{is idempotent}$

Set Theory

The set theoretic symbols \in , =, \subseteq , are defined as follows.

Axiom, Set Membership: $F \in \{x \mid R \bullet E\} \equiv (\exists x \mid R \bullet F = E)$

Axiom, Extensionality: $S = T \equiv (\forall x \bullet x \in S \equiv x \in T)$

Axiom, Subset: $S \subseteq T \equiv (\forall x \bullet x \in S \Rightarrow x \in T)$

As witnessed by the following definitions, it is the \in relation that translates set theory to propositional logic.

Universe $x \in \mathbf{U}$ trueEmpty set $x \in \emptyset$ \equiv false Complement $x \in {\sim}S$ $\equiv x \notin S$ Union $x \in S \cup T$ $\equiv x \in S \lor x \in T$ $x \in S \cap T$ Intersection $\equiv x \in S \land x \in T$ **PseudoComplement** $x \in S \rightarrow T$ $\equiv x \in S \Rightarrow x \in T$ Difference $x \in S - T$ $\equiv x \in S \land x \notin T$ $\equiv S \subseteq T$ Power set $S \in \mathbb{P}T$

The pairs \emptyset | false, \mathbf{U} | true, \cup | \vee , \cap | \wedge , \subseteq | \Rightarrow , \sim | \neg are related by \in and so all equational theorems of propositional logic also hold for set theory —indeed, that is because both are Boolean algebras.

 \rightarrow Set difference is a residual wrt \cup , and so satisfies the division properties below.

 \rightarrow Subset is an order and so satisfies the aforementioned order properties. It is bounded below by \emptyset and above by \mathbf{U} .

The relationship between set comprehension and quantifier notation is:

Combinatorics

Axiom, Size: $\#S = (\Sigma x \mid x \in S \bullet 1)$ Axiom, Interval: $m..n = \{x : \mathbb{Z} \mid m \le x \le n\}$

The following theorems serve to define '#' for the usual set theory operators.

 $\#S \leq 0 \equiv S = \emptyset$ Positive definite $\#\mathbb{P}S = 2^{\#S}$ Power set size Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion $\#(S \cup T) = \#S + \#T - \#(S \cap T)$ Monotonicity $S \subseteq T \Rightarrow \#S \le \#T$ $S \subseteq T \Rightarrow \#(T-S) = \#T - \#S$ Difference rule $\#(\sim S) = \#\dot{\mathbf{U}} - \#\dot{S}$ Complement size Range size $(\Sigma x : \mathbf{U} \mid x \notin S \bullet 1) = \#\mathbf{U} - \#S$ Interval size #(m..n) = n - m + 1 for m < n $(\Sigma i:1..n \bullet E)/n < (\uparrow i:1..n \bullet E)$ Pigeonhole Principle $(\downarrow i:1..n \bullet E) < (\Sigma i:1..n \bullet E)/n$ ("min < avq < max")

Rule of sum: $\#(\cup i \mid Ri \bullet P) = (\Sigma i \mid Ri \bullet \#P)$ provided the range is pairwise disjoint: $\forall i, j \bullet Ri \land Rj \equiv i = j$.

Rule of product: $\#(\times i \mid Ri \bullet P) = (\Pi i \mid Ri \bullet \# P)$

Converse —an over-approximation of inverse (A4)

Residuals, Division

Suppose we have an associative operation $_{-}$ %_ with identity Id and two operations "under \" and "over /" specified as follows.

```
Characterisation of / Characterisation of \\ a \circ b \subseteq c \equiv a \subseteq c/b \\ a \circ b \subseteq c \equiv b \subseteq a \circ c \\ \ext{}
```

When \hat{s} is symmetric, as in the special cases $\hat{s} = \Pi$, the divisions coincide: $x/y = y \setminus x$.

Cancellation (a/b) $\S b \sqsubseteq a$ a $\S (a \setminus b) \sqsubseteq b$ Dividing a division (a/b)/c = a/(c $\S b)$ $a \setminus (b \setminus c) = (b$ $\S a) \setminus c$ Division of multiples $a \sqsubseteq (a$ $\S b)/b$ $b \sqsubseteq a \setminus (a$ $\S b)$

Numerator monotonicity $b \sqsubseteq b' \Rightarrow a \setminus b \sqsubseteq a \setminus b'$ $b \sqsubseteq b' \Rightarrow b/a \sqsubseteq b'/a$ Denominator antitonicity $a' \sqsubseteq a \Rightarrow a \setminus b \sqsubseteq a' \setminus b$ $a' \sqsubseteq a \Rightarrow b/a \sqsubseteq b'/a'$

Exact division $(\exists z \bullet y = x \, \mathring{\varsigma} \, z) \equiv x \, \mathring{\varsigma} (x \setminus y) = y$ Exact division $(\exists z \bullet y = x \setminus z) \equiv x \setminus (x \, \mathring{\varsigma} \, y) = y$

Modal and Dedekind rules: ('=' instead of '=' since ';' may not be cancellable)

Division for the special case $\ \ = \ \square$ is known the relative pseudo-complement: Denoted $x \to y$ ("x implies y"), it is the largest piece 'outside' of x that is still included in y. The relative pseudocomplement internalises inclusion, $z \sqsubseteq (x \to y) \Rightarrow (z \sqsubseteq x \Rightarrow z \sqsubseteq y)$; more generally: $x \sqsubseteq y \equiv \operatorname{Id} \sqsubseteq x \backslash y$.

Pseudo-complement Semi-complement $x \sqcap a \sqsubseteq b \equiv x \sqsubseteq a \rightarrow b$ $a - b \sqsubseteq x \equiv a \sqsubseteq b \sqcup x$

Strong modus ponens Absorption $a \sqcap (a \rightarrow b) = a \sqcap b$ $(x \sqcup b) - b = x - b$ $a \rightarrow (x \sqcap a) = a \rightarrow x$ $(a - b) \sqcup b = a \sqcup b$

Division for the special case $\ \ = \ \sqcup$ in the dual order ($\ \square$) is known as the difference or relative semi-complement: Denoted x-y ("x without y"), it is the smallest piece that along with y 'covers' x; i.e., it is the least value that 'complements' ("fill up together") y to include x. (Possibly for this reason, set difference is sometimes denoted $S \setminus T$ in other books!)

Named Properties

The above properties are preserved by converse: Let P be any of the above properties, then $Px \equiv P(x^{\sim})$.

```
univalent x
                                 x \subseteq \mathfrak{g} x \sqsubseteq \mathsf{Id}
                                                                              injective
                                                                                                                  x \, x \subseteq \mathsf{Id}
total
                          \equiv
                                 \mathsf{Id} \sqsubseteq x \, \mathfrak{g} \, x \mathsf{c}
                                                                              surjective x \equiv
                                                                                                                  \mathsf{Id} \sqsubseteq x \lor \S x
                   x
                                                                              bijective
                          \equiv total x \land univalent x
                                                                                                   x \equiv
                                                                                                                  surjective x \wedge \text{injective } x
mapping
                  x
                       \equiv mapping x \land bijective x
                   x
```

Duality theorems

Invertiblility theorems

```
\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{total} x \wedge \operatorname{injective} x \Rightarrow x\, \mathring{\mathfrak{z}}\, x^{\smile} = \operatorname{Id} \\ \operatorname{iso} x & \equiv \quad x\, \mathring{\mathfrak{z}}\, x^{\smile} = \operatorname{Id} \, \wedge \, x^{\smile}\, \mathring{\mathfrak{z}}\, x = \operatorname{Id} \\ \operatorname{iso} x & \Rightarrow \quad (\exists g \bullet \, x\, \mathring{\mathfrak{z}}\, g = \operatorname{Id} = g\, \mathring{\mathfrak{z}}\, x) \end{array}
```

Shunting laws:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{univalent } f & \Rightarrow & (x\, \mathring{\varsigma}\, f \sqsubseteq y \, \Leftarrow \, x \sqsubseteq y\, \mathring{\varsigma}\, f^{\smile}) \\ \text{total } f & \Rightarrow & (x\, \mathring{\varsigma}\, f \sqsubseteq y \, \Rightarrow \, x \sqsubseteq y\, \mathring{\varsigma}\, f^{\smile}) \\ \text{mapping } f & \Rightarrow & (x\, \mathring{\varsigma}\, f \sqsubseteq y \, \equiv \, x \sqsubseteq y\, \mathring{\varsigma}\, f^{\smile}) \end{array}$$

Relations

Relations are sets of pairs . . .

```
x (R) y
Tortoise
                                                     (\forall x, y \bullet x (R) y \equiv x (S) y)
Extensionality
                            R = S
                                                    (\forall x, y \bullet x (R) y \Rightarrow x (S) y)
Inclusion
                            R \subseteq S
                            u (\emptyset) v
                                                    false
Empty
Universe
                            u (A \times B) v
                                               \equiv
                                                     u \in A \land v \in B
Complement
                            u (\sim S) v
                                                \equiv \neg(u (S)v)
                            u ( S \cup T ) v
                                               \equiv u(S)v \vee u(T)v
Union
Intersection
                            u (S \cap T)v
                                                \equiv u(S)v \wedge u(T)v
                            u (S - T)v
                                                     u(S)v \wedge \neg(u(T)v)
Difference
PseudoComplement
                           u(S \Rightarrow T)v \equiv
                                                     u(S)v \Rightarrow u(T)v
An Identity
                            u ( \mathbb{I} A ) v
                                                \equiv u = v \in A
                            u \left( \operatorname{Id} \right) v
The Identity
                                                \equiv u = v
Converse
                            u ( R\sim ) v
                                                    v ( R ) u
Composition
                            u (R;S)v
                                                \equiv (\exists x \bullet u(R)x \wedge x(S)v)
                                                \equiv (\forall x \bullet x (S) u \Rightarrow x (R) v) 
 \equiv (\forall y \bullet v (S) y \Rightarrow u (R) y) 
Under Division
                            u (S \setminus R) v
Over Division
                            u (R/S)v
```

Division generalises extensional subset inclusion and indirect reasoning for orders.

- u is related by 'R over S' to v precisely when "anything is R-over u if it is S-over v."
- u is related by 'S under R' to v precisely when "everything S-under u is also R-under v."

Example: Define E via $x \in X$ via $X \subseteq X \in X$, then $A \subseteq X \subseteq X$ then $A \subseteq X \subseteq X$. Example (Indirect inclusion): Define L via $X \subseteq X$ via $X \subseteq X$ then $X \subseteq X$.

Interpreting Named Properties

We will interpret the named properties using

 \diamond Relations: Formulae on sets of pairs; " $\forall x \bullet \dots$ "

 $\diamond\,$ Graphs: Dots and lines on a page

♦ Matrices: 1s and 0s on a grid

♦ Programs: Transformations of inputs to outputs

Properties of a relationship flavour

The following properties are what one may ascribe to a *comparative relationship*, such as equality or inclusion.

reflexive $R \equiv (\forall b \bullet b (R) b)$

Every node in a graph has a 'loop', a line to itself (Thus, paths can always be increased in length: $R \subseteq R$; R) The diagonal of a matrix is all 1s

irreflexive $R \equiv (\forall b \bullet \neg (b (R) b))$

No node in a graph has a loop The diagonal of a matrix is all 0s

symmetric $R \equiv (\forall b, c \bullet b (R) c \equiv c (R) b)$

The graph is undirected; we have a symmetric matrix

antisymmetric $R \equiv (\forall b, c \bullet b (R) c \wedge c (R) b \Rightarrow b = c)$

Mutually related nodes are necessairly self-loops "Mutually related items are necessairly indistinguishable"

asymmetric $R \equiv (\forall b, c \bullet b (R) c \Rightarrow \neg (c (R) b))$

At most 1 edge (regardless of direction) relating any 2 nodes

transitive $R \equiv (\forall b, c, d \bullet b (R) c (R) d \Rightarrow b (R) d)$

Paths can always be shortened (but nonempty)

idempotent $R \equiv \text{Lengths of paths can be changed arbitrarily (nonzero)}$

Prog: Outputs fed back into the program don't change. Ex: Pressing 'send' on an email only sends it once.

Ex: Pressing send on an email only sends it on

Intuitively, by considering the interpretations only, we find

 $\mathsf{reflexive}\,R\ \wedge\ \mathsf{transitive}\,R\ \Rightarrow\ \mathsf{idempotent}\,R$

Super cool stuff!

"Relations are simple graphs"

Relations directly represent *simple graphs*: Dots (nodes) and at most 1 line (edge) between any two. E.g., cities and highways (ignoring multiple highways).

Treating R as a graph:

R A bunch of dots on a page and an arrow from x to y when $x \in \mathbb{R}$ y

 R^{\smile} Flip the arrows in the graph

Dom R The nodes that have an outgoing edge Ran R The nodes that have an incoming edge $x \in R \setminus Y$ A path of length 1 (an edge) from x to y

x (R; R) y A path of length 2 from x to y

 $R \cup R^{\smile}$ The associated undirected graph ("symmetric closure")

Properties of an operational flavour

The following properties are what one may ascribe to a process or an operation.

univalent $R \equiv (\forall b, c, c' \bullet b \ (R) c \land b \ (R) c' \Rightarrow c = c')$ —aka "partial function"

Graph: Every node has at most one outgoing edge

Matrix: Every row has at most one 1

Prog: The program is deterministic, same-input yields same-output

injective $R \equiv (\forall b, b', c \bullet b (R) c \wedge b' (R) c \Rightarrow b = b')$

Graph: Every node has at most one incoming edge

Matrix: Every column has at most one 1

Prog: The program preserves distinctness (by contraposition)

total $R \equiv (\forall b \bullet \exists c \bullet b (R) c)$

Graph: Every node has at least one outgoing edge

Matrix: Every row has at least one 1

Prog: The program terminates; has at least one output for each input

surjective $R \equiv (\forall c \bullet \exists b \bullet b (R) c)$

Graph: Every node has at least one incoming edge

Matrix: Every column has at least one 1

Prog: All possible outputs arise from some input

mapping $R \equiv \text{total } R \wedge \text{univalent } R - \text{also known as a "(total) function"}$

Graph: Every node has exactly one outgoing edge

Matrix: Every row has exactly one 1

Prog: The program always terminates with a unique output

bijective $R \equiv \text{surjective } R \wedge \text{injective } R$

Graph: Every node has exactly one incoming edge

Matrix: Every column has exactly one 1

Prog: Every output arises from a unique input

iso $R \equiv \mathsf{mapping}\, R \land \mathsf{bijective}\, R$

Graph: It's a bunch of 'circles'

Matrix: It's a permutation; a re-arrangement of the identity matrix

Prog: A non-lossy protocol associating inputs to outputs