

Reference Sheet for Discrete Maths

Propositional Calculus

Order of decreasing binding power: $=, \neg, \wedge/\vee, \Rightarrow/\Leftarrow, \equiv/\neq$.

Equivalence is the only equivalence relation that is associative $((p \equiv q) \equiv r) \equiv (p \equiv (q \equiv r))$, and it is symmetric and has identity **true**.

Discrepancy (difference) ' \neq ' is symmetric, associative, has identity '**false**', mutually associates with equivalence $((p \neq q) \equiv r) \equiv (p \neq (q \equiv r))$, and mutually interchanges with it as well $(p \neq q \equiv r) \equiv (p \equiv q \neq r)$. Finally, negation commutes with difference: $\neg(p \equiv q) \equiv \neg p \equiv q$.

Implication has the alternative definition $p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$, thus having **true** as both left identity and right zero; it distributes over \equiv in the second argument, and is self-distributive; and has the properties:

Shunting $p \wedge q \Rightarrow r \equiv p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)$

Contrapositive $p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$

Leibniz $e = f \Rightarrow E[z \asymp e] = E[z := f]$

Modus Ponens

$$\begin{aligned} p \wedge (p \Rightarrow q) &\equiv p \wedge q \\ p \wedge (q \Rightarrow p) &\equiv p \\ p \wedge (p \Rightarrow q) &\Rightarrow q \end{aligned}$$

It is a *linear* order relation generated by '**false** \Rightarrow **true**'; whence "from false, follows anything": **false** $\Rightarrow p$. Moreover it has the useful properties "(3.62) Contextualisation": $p \Rightarrow (q \equiv r) \equiv p \wedge q \equiv p \wedge r$ —we *have* the context p in each side of the equivalence—and $p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r) \equiv p \wedge q \Rightarrow p \wedge r$. Implication is "Sub-associative": $((p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r))$. Finally, we have " \equiv - \equiv Elimination": $(p \equiv q \equiv r) \Rightarrow s \equiv p \Rightarrow s \equiv q \Rightarrow s \equiv r \Rightarrow s$.

Conjunction and disjunction distribute over one another, are both associative and symmetric, \vee has identity **false** and zero **true** whereas \wedge has identity **true** and zero **false**, \vee distributes over $\vee, \equiv, \wedge, \Rightarrow, \Leftarrow$ whereas \wedge distributes over $\equiv - \equiv$ in that $p \wedge (q \equiv r \equiv s) \equiv p \wedge q \equiv p \wedge r \equiv p \wedge s$, and they satisfy,

Excluded Middle	Contradiction	Absorption	De Morgan
$p \vee \neg p$	$p \wedge \neg p \equiv \text{false}$	$p \wedge (q \vee \neg p) \equiv p \wedge q$	$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$
		$p \vee (q \vee \neg p) \equiv p \vee q$	$\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$

Most importantly, they satisfy the "**Golden Rule**": $p \wedge q \equiv p \equiv q \equiv p \vee q$.

The many other properties of these operations—such as weakening laws and other absorption laws and case-analysis (\sqcup -char)—can be found by looking at the list of *lattice properties*—since the Booleans are a lattice.

Orders

An *order* is a relation $\sqsubseteq : \tau \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ satisfying the following three properties:

Reflexivity	Transitivity	Mutual Inclusion
$a \sqsubseteq a$	$a \sqsubseteq b \wedge b \sqsubseteq c \Rightarrow a \sqsubseteq c$	$a \sqsubseteq b \wedge b \sqsubseteq a \equiv a = b$

Indirect Inclusion is like 'set inclusion' and Indirect Equality is like 'set extensionality'.

Indirect Equality (from above)	Indirect Inclusion (from above)
$x = y \equiv (\forall z \bullet x \sqsubseteq z \equiv y \sqsubseteq z)$	$x \sqsubseteq y \equiv (\forall z \bullet y \sqsubseteq z \Rightarrow x \sqsubseteq z)$

Indirect Equality (from below)	Indirect Inclusion (from below)
$x = y \equiv (\forall z \bullet z \sqsubseteq x \equiv z \sqsubseteq y)$	$x \sqsubseteq y \equiv (\forall z \bullet z \sqsubseteq x \Rightarrow z \sqsubseteq y)$

An order is *bounded* if there are elements $\top, \perp : \tau$ being the lower and upper bounds of all other elements:

Top Element	$a \sqsubseteq \top$	Bottom Element	$\perp \sqsubseteq a$
Top is maximal	$\top \sqsubseteq a \equiv a = \top$	Bottom is minimal	$a \sqsubseteq \perp \equiv a = \perp$

Lattices

A *lattice* is a pair of operations $\sqcap, \sqcup : \tau \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \tau$ specified by the properties:

\sqcup-Characterisation	\sqcap-Characterisation
$a \sqsubseteq c \wedge b \sqsubseteq c \equiv a \sqcup b \sqsubseteq c$	$c \sqsubseteq a \wedge c \sqsubseteq b \equiv c \sqsubseteq a \sqcap b$

The operations act as providing the greatest lower bound, 'glb', 'supremum', or 'meet', by \sqcap ; and the least upper bound, 'lub', 'infimum', or 'join', by \sqcup .

Let \square be one of \sqcap or \sqcup , then:

Symmetry of \square	Associativity of \square	Idempotency of \square
$a \square b = b \square a$	$(a \square b) \square c = a \square (b \square c)$	$a \square a = a$

Zero of \square	Identity of \square	Absorption	Self-Distributivity of \square
$a \sqcup \perp = \perp$	$a \sqcup \top = a$	$a \sqcap (b \sqcup a) = a$	$a \square (b \square c) = (a \square b) \square (a \square c)$
$a \sqcap \perp = \perp$	$a \sqcap \top = a$	$a \sqcup (b \sqcap a) = a$	

Weakening	Induced Defs. of Inclusion	Golden Rule
$a \sqsubseteq b \equiv a \sqcup b = b$	$a \sqsubseteq b \equiv a \sqcap b = a$	$a \sqcap b = a \equiv b = a \sqcup b$
$a \sqsubseteq a \sqcup b$		$a \sqcap b = a \sqcup b \equiv a = b$
$a \sqcap b \sqsubseteq a$		$a \sqcup b \sqsubseteq a \sqcap b \equiv a = b$
$a \sqcap b \sqsubseteq a \sqcup b$		

Monotonicity of \square
$a \sqsubseteq b \wedge c \sqsubseteq d \Rightarrow a \square c \sqsubseteq b \square d$

Duality Principle:

If a statement S is a theorem, then so is $S[(\sqsubseteq, \sqcap, \sqcup, \top, \perp) := (\sqsupseteq, \sqcup, \sqcap, \perp, \top)]$.

Conditionals

“If to \wedge ” may be taken as axiom from which we may prove the remaining ‘alternative definitions’ ‘if to \dots ’.

if to \wedge	$P[z = \text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}]$	\equiv	$(b \Rightarrow P[z = x]) \wedge (\neg b \Rightarrow P[z := x])$
if to \vee	$P[z = \text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}]$	\equiv	$(b \wedge P[z = x]) \vee (\neg b \wedge P[z := x])$
if to \neq	$P[z = \text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}]$	\equiv	$b \wedge P[z = x] \neq \neg b \wedge P[z := x]$
if to \equiv	$P[z = \text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}]$	\equiv	$b \Rightarrow P[z = x] \equiv \neg b \Rightarrow P[z := x]$

Note that the “ \equiv ” and “ \neq ” rules can be parsed in multiple ways since ‘ \equiv ’ is associative, and ‘ \equiv ’ mutually associates with ‘ \neq ’.

if true	$\text{if true then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi} = x$
if false	$\text{if false then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi} = y$
then true	$\text{if } R \text{ then true else } P \text{ fi} = R \vee P$
then false	$\text{if } R \text{ then false else } P \text{ fi} = \neg R \wedge P$
else true	$\text{if } R \text{ then } P \text{ else true fi} = R \Rightarrow P$
else false	$\text{if } R \text{ then } P \text{ else false fi} = R \wedge P$

if swap	$\text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi} = \text{if } \neg b \text{ then } y \text{ else } x \text{ fi}$
if idempotency	$\text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } x \text{ fi} = x$
if guard strengthening	$\text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi} = \text{if } b \wedge x \neq y \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}$
if Context	$\text{if } b \text{ then } E \text{ else } F \text{ fi} = \text{if } b \text{ then } E[b = \text{true}] \text{ else } F[b = \text{false}] \text{ fi}$
if Distributivity	$P[z = \text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}] = \text{if } b \text{ then } P[z = x] \text{ else } P[z = y] \text{ fi}$
if junctivity	$(\text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}) \oplus (\text{if } b \text{ then } x' \text{ else } y' \text{ fi})$ $= \text{if } b \text{ then } (x \oplus x') \text{ else } (y \oplus y') \text{ fi}$

Converse

Axioms,

Co-distributivity	$(x \circ y)^\sim = y^\sim \circ x$
\sim, Involutive	$x^{\sim\sim} = x$
Monotonicity	$x \sqsubseteq y \Rightarrow x^\sim \sqsubseteq y^\sim$

Theorems,

Connection	$a^\sim \sqsubseteq b \equiv a \sqsubseteq b^\sim$
Elimination	$x^\sim = y^\sim \equiv x = y$

Named Properties

univalent	$x \equiv x^\sim \circ x \sqsubseteq \text{Id}$	injective	$x \equiv x \circ x^\sim \sqsubseteq \text{Id}$
total	$x \equiv \text{Id} \sqsubseteq x \circ x^\sim$	surjective	$x \equiv \text{Id} \sqsubseteq x^\sim \circ x$
mapping	$x \equiv \text{total } x \wedge \text{univalent } x$	bijjective	$x \equiv \text{surjective } x \wedge \text{injective } x$
iso	$x \equiv \text{mapping } x \wedge \text{bijjective } x$		

Duality theorems

univalent	$(x^\sim) \equiv \text{injective } x$
total	$(x^\sim) \equiv \text{surjective } x$
mapping	$(x^\sim) \equiv \text{bijjective } x$
iso	$(x^\sim) \equiv \text{iso } x$

Invertibility theorems

total	$x \wedge \text{injective } x \Rightarrow x \circ x^\sim = \text{Id}$
iso	$x \equiv x \circ x^\sim = \text{Id} \wedge x^\sim \circ x = \text{Id}$
iso	$x \Rightarrow (\exists g \bullet x \circ g = \text{Id} = g \circ x)$

Division

$$\text{Characterisation of } /: a \circ b \sqsubseteq c \equiv a \sqsubseteq c / b$$

$$\text{Characterisation of } \backslash: a \circ b \sqsubseteq c \equiv b \sqsubseteq a \backslash c$$

Exact division:

$$\begin{aligned} (\exists z \bullet y = x \circ z) &\equiv x \circ (x \backslash y) = y \\ (\exists z \bullet y = x \backslash z) &\equiv x \backslash (x \circ y) = y \end{aligned}$$

Shunting laws:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{univalent } f &\Rightarrow (x \circ f \sqsubseteq y \Leftarrow x \sqsubseteq y \circ f^\sim) \\ \text{total } f &\Rightarrow (x \circ f \sqsubseteq y \Rightarrow x \sqsubseteq y \circ f^\sim) \\ \text{mapping } f &\Rightarrow (x \circ f \sqsubseteq y \equiv x \sqsubseteq y \circ f^\sim) \end{aligned}$$