

Reference Sheet for Discrete Maths

Propositional Calculus

Order of decreasing binding power: $=, \neg, \wedge/\vee, \Rightarrow/\Leftarrow, \equiv/\neq$.

Equivalence is the only equivalence relation that is associative
 $((p \equiv q) \equiv r) \equiv (p \equiv (q \equiv r))$, and it is symmetric and has identity **true**.

Discrepancy (difference) ' \neq ' is symmetric, associative, has identity '**false**', mutually associates with equivalence $((p \neq q) \equiv r) \equiv (p \neq (q \equiv r))$, and mutually interchanges with it as well $(p \neq q \equiv r) \equiv (p \equiv q \neq r)$. Finally, negation commutes with difference: $\neg(p \equiv q) \equiv \neg p \equiv q$.

Implication has the alternative definition $p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$, thus having **true** as both left identity and right zero; it distributes over \equiv in the second argument, and is self-distributive; and has the properties:

Shunting $p \wedge q \Rightarrow r \equiv p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)$

Contrapositive $p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$

Leibniz $e = f \Rightarrow E[z \asymp e] = E[z := f]$

Modus Ponens

$$\begin{aligned} p \wedge (p \Rightarrow q) &\equiv p \wedge q \\ p \wedge (q \Rightarrow p) &\equiv p \\ p \wedge (p \Rightarrow q) &\Rightarrow q \end{aligned}$$

It is a *linear* order relation generated by '**false** \Rightarrow **true**'; whence "from false, follows anything": **false** $\Rightarrow p$. Moreover it has the useful properties "(3.62) Contextualisation": $p \Rightarrow (q \equiv r) \equiv p \wedge q \equiv p \wedge r$ —we *have* the context p in each side of the equivalence—and $p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r) \equiv p \wedge q \Rightarrow p \wedge r$. Implication is "Sub-associative": $((p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r))$. Finally, we have " \equiv -Elimination": $(p \equiv q \equiv r) \Rightarrow s \equiv p \Rightarrow s \equiv q \Rightarrow s \equiv r \Rightarrow s$.

Conjunction and disjunction distribute over one another, are both associative and symmetric, \vee has identity **false** and zero **true** whereas \wedge has identity **true** and zero **false**, \vee distributes over $\vee, \equiv, \wedge, \Rightarrow, \Leftarrow$ whereas \wedge distributes over $\equiv - \equiv$ in that $p \wedge (q \equiv r \equiv s) \equiv p \wedge q \equiv p \wedge r \equiv p \wedge s$, and they satisfy,

Excluded Middle

$$p \vee \neg p$$

Contradiction

$$p \wedge \neg p \equiv \text{false}$$

Absorption

$$p \wedge (q \vee \neg p) \equiv p \wedge q$$

$$p \vee (q \vee \neg p) \equiv p \vee q$$

De Morgan

$$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$$

$$\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$$

Most importantly, they satisfy the "**Golden Rule**": $p \wedge q \equiv p \equiv q \equiv p \vee q$.

The many other properties of these operations—such as weakening laws and other absorption laws and case-analysis (\sqcup -char)—can be found by looking at the list of *lattice properties*—since the Booleans are a lattice.

Orders

An *order* is a relation $\sqsubseteq : \tau \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ satisfying the following three properties:

Reflexivity

$$a \sqsubseteq a$$

Transitivity

$$a \sqsubseteq b \wedge b \sqsubseteq c \Rightarrow a \sqsubseteq c$$

Mutual Inclusion

$$a \sqsubseteq b \wedge b \sqsubseteq a \equiv a = b$$

Indirect Inclusion is like 'set inclusion' and Indirect Equality is like 'set extensionality'.

Indirect Equality (from above)

$$x = y \equiv (\forall z \bullet x \sqsubseteq z \equiv y \sqsubseteq z)$$

Indirect Inclusion (from above)

$$x \sqsubseteq y \equiv (\forall z \bullet y \sqsubseteq z \Rightarrow x \sqsubseteq z)$$

Indirect Equality (from below)

$$x = y \equiv (\forall z \bullet z \sqsubseteq x \equiv z \sqsubseteq y)$$

Indirect Inclusion (from below)

$$x \sqsubseteq y \equiv (\forall z \bullet z \sqsubseteq x \Rightarrow z \sqsubseteq y)$$

An order is *bounded* if there are elements $\top, \perp : \tau$ being the lower and upper bounds of all other elements:

Top Element

$$a \sqsubseteq \top$$

Bottom Element

$$\perp \sqsubseteq a$$

Top is maximal

$$\top \sqsubseteq a \equiv a = \top$$

Bottom is minimal

$$a \sqsubseteq \perp \equiv a = \perp$$

Lattices

A *lattice* is a pair of operations $\sqcap, \sqcup : \tau \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \tau$ specified by the properties:

\sqcup -Characterisation

$$a \sqsubseteq c \wedge b \sqsubseteq c \equiv a \sqcup b \sqsubseteq c$$

\sqcap -Characterisation

$$c \sqsubseteq a \wedge c \sqsubseteq b \equiv c \sqsubseteq a \sqcap b$$

The operations act as providing the greatest lower bound, 'glb', 'supremum', or 'meet', by \sqcap ; and the least upper bound, 'lub', 'infimum', or 'join', by \sqcup .

Let \square be one of \sqcap or \sqcup , then:

Symmetry of \square

$$a \square b = b \square a$$

Associativity of \square

$$(a \square b) \square c = a \square (b \square c)$$

Idempotency of \square

$$a \square a = a$$

Zero of \square

$$a \sqcup \top = \top$$

Identity of \square

$$a \sqcup \perp = a$$

Absorption

$$a \sqcap (b \sqcup a) = a$$

Self-Distributivity of \square

$$a \square (b \square c) = (a \square b) \square (a \square c)$$

$$a \sqcap \perp = \perp$$

$$a \sqcap \top = a$$

$$a \sqcup (b \sqcap a) = a$$

Weakening

/ Strengthening

$$a \sqsubseteq a \sqcup b$$

$$a \sqcap b \sqsubseteq a$$

$$a \sqcap b \sqsubseteq a \sqcup b$$

Induced Defs. of Inclusion

$$a \sqsubseteq b \equiv a \sqcup b = b$$

$$a \sqsubseteq b \equiv a \sqcap b = a$$

Monotonicity of \square

$$a \sqsubseteq b \wedge c \sqsubseteq d \Rightarrow a \square c \sqsubseteq b \square d$$

Golden Rule

$$a \sqcap b = a \equiv b = a \sqcup b$$

$$a \sqcap b = a \sqcup b \equiv a = b$$

$$a \sqcup b \sqsubseteq a \sqcap b \equiv a = b$$

Duality Principle:

If a statement S is a theorem, then so is $S[(\sqsubseteq, \sqcap, \sqcup, \top, \perp) := (\supseteq, \sqcup, \sqcap, \perp, \top)]$.

Conditionals

“If to \wedge ” may be taken as axiom from which we may prove the remaining ‘alternative definitions’ “if to \dots ”.

if to \wedge	$P[z = \text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}] \equiv (b \Rightarrow P[z = x]) \wedge (\neg b \Rightarrow P[z = x])$
if to \vee	$P[z = \text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}] \equiv (b \wedge P[z = x]) \vee (\neg b \wedge P[z = x])$
if to \neq	$P[z = \text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}] \equiv b \wedge P[z = x] \neq \neg b \wedge P[z = x]$
if to \equiv	$P[z = \text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}] \equiv b \Rightarrow P[z = x] \equiv \neg b \Rightarrow P[z = x]$

Note that the “ \equiv ” and “ \neq ” rules can be parsed in multiple ways since ‘ \equiv ’ is associative, and ‘ \neq ’ mutually associates with ‘ \neq ’.

if true	$\text{if true then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi} = x$
if false	$\text{if false then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi} = y$
then true	$\text{if } R \text{ then true else } P \text{ fi} = R \vee P$
then false	$\text{if } R \text{ then false else } P \text{ fi} = \neg R \wedge P$
else true	$\text{if } R \text{ then } P \text{ else true fi} = R \Rightarrow P$
else false	$\text{if } R \text{ then } P \text{ else false fi} = R \wedge P$

if swap	$\text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi} = \text{if } \neg b \text{ then } y \text{ else } x \text{ fi}$
if idempotency	$\text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } x \text{ fi} = x$
if guard strengthening	$\text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi} = \text{if } b \wedge x \neq y \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}$
if Context	$\text{if } b \text{ then } E \text{ else } F \text{ fi} = \text{if } b \text{ then } E[b = \text{true}] \text{ else } F[b = \text{false}] \text{ fi}$
if Distributivity	$P[z = \text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}] = \text{if } b \text{ then } P[z = x] \text{ else } P[z = y] \text{ fi}$
if junctivity	$(\text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y \text{ fi}) \oplus (\text{if } b \text{ then } x' \text{ else } y' \text{ fi})$ $= \text{if } b \text{ then } (x \oplus x') \text{ else } (y \oplus y') \text{ fi}$

Quantification

Let $_ \oplus _$ be an associative and symmetric operation with identity Id .

Abbreviation	$(\oplus x \bullet P) = (\oplus x \mid \text{true} \bullet P)$
Empty range	$(\oplus x \mid \text{false} \bullet P) = \text{Id}$
One-point rule	$(\oplus x \mid x = E \bullet P) = P[x = E]$
Distributivity	$(\oplus x \mid R \bullet P \oplus Q) = (\oplus x \mid R \bullet P) \oplus (\oplus x \mid R \bullet Q)$
Nesting	$(\oplus x, y \mid X \wedge Y \bullet P) = (\oplus x \mid X \bullet (\oplus y \mid Y \bullet P))$
Dummy renaming	$(\oplus x \mid R \bullet P) = (\oplus y \mid R[x = y] \bullet P[x = y])$
Disjoint Range split	$(\oplus x \mid R \vee S \bullet P) = (\oplus x \mid R \bullet P) \oplus (\oplus x \mid S \bullet P)$ <i>provided $R \wedge S \equiv \text{false}$</i>
Range split	$(\oplus x \mid R \vee S \bullet P) \oplus (\oplus x \mid R \wedge S \bullet P)$ $= (\oplus x \mid R \bullet P) \oplus (\oplus x \mid S \bullet P)$
Idempotent Range split	$(\oplus x \mid R \vee S \bullet P) = (\oplus x \mid R \bullet P) \oplus (\oplus x \mid S \bullet P)$ <i>provided \oplus is idempotent</i>

Set Theory

The set theoretic symbols $\in, =, \subseteq$, are defined as follows.

Axiom, Set Membership: $F \in \{x \mid R \bullet E\} \equiv (\exists x \mid R \bullet F = E)$

Axiom, Extensionality: $S = T \equiv (\forall x \bullet x \in S \equiv x \in T)$

Axiom, Subset: $S \subseteq T \equiv (\forall x \bullet x \in S \Rightarrow x \in T)$

As witnessed by the following definitions, it is the \in relation that *translates set theory to propositional logic*.

Universe	$x \in \mathbf{U}$	$\equiv \text{true}$
Empty set	$x \in \emptyset$	$\equiv \text{false}$
Union	$x \in S \cup T$	$\equiv x \in S \vee x \in T$
Intersection	$x \in S \cap T$	$\equiv x \in S \wedge x \in T$
Complement	$x \in \sim S$	$\equiv x \notin S$
Difference	$x \in S - T$	$\equiv x \in S \wedge x \notin T$
Power set	$S \in \mathbb{P}T$	$\equiv S \subseteq T$

The pairs \emptyset/false , \mathbf{U}/true , \cup/\vee , \cap/\wedge , \subseteq/\Rightarrow , \sim/\neg are related by \in and so all equational theorems of propositional logic also hold for set theory —indeed, that is because both are Boolean algebras.

→ Set difference is a residual wrt \cup , and so satisfies the division properties below.

→ Subset is an order and so satisfies the aforementioned order properties. It is bounded below by \emptyset and above by \mathbf{U} .

The relationship between set comprehension and quantifier notation is:

Set comprehension as union $\{x \mid R \bullet P\} = (\cup x \mid R \bullet \{P\})$

Combinatorics

Axiom, Size:	$\#S = (\Sigma x \mid x \in S \bullet 1)$
Axiom, Interval:	$m..n = \{x : \mathbb{Z} \mid m \leq x \leq n\}$

The following theorems serve to define ‘ $\#$ ’ for the usual set theory operators.

Positive definite	$\#S \subseteq 0 \equiv S = \emptyset$
Power set size	$\#\mathbb{P}S = 2^{\#S}$
Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion	$\#(S \cup T) = \#S + \#T - \#(S \cap T)$
Monotonicity	$S \subseteq T \Rightarrow \#S \leq \#T$
Difference rule	$S \subseteq T \Rightarrow \#(T - S) = \#T - \#S$
Complement size	$\#(\sim S) = \#\mathbf{U} - \#S$
Range size	$(\Sigma x : \mathbf{U} \mid x \notin S \bullet 1) = \#\mathbf{U} - \#S$
Interval size	$\#(m..n) = n - m + 1 \text{ for } m \leq n$
Pigeonhole Principle	$(\Sigma i : 1..n \bullet E)/n \leq (\uparrow i : 1..n \bullet E)$
(“ $\text{min} \leq \text{avg} \leq \text{max}$ ”)	$(\downarrow i : 1..n \bullet E) \leq (\Sigma i : 1..n \bullet E)/n$

Rule of sum: $\#(\cup i \mid R i \bullet P) = (\Sigma i \mid R i \bullet \#P)$
provided the range is pairwise disjoint: $\forall i, j \bullet R i \wedge R j \equiv i = j$.

Rule of product: $\#(\times i \mid R i \bullet P) = (\Pi i \mid R i \bullet \#P)$

Residuals, Division

Suppose we have an associative operation $_;_$ with identity ld and two operations “under \backslash ” and “over $/$ ” specified as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Characterisation of } / & \text{Characterisation of } \backslash \\ a ; b \sqsubseteq c \equiv a \sqsubseteq c / b & a ; b \sqsubseteq c \equiv b \sqsubseteq a \backslash c \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Cancellation} & (a/b) ; b \sqsubseteq a \quad a ; (a \backslash b) \sqsubseteq b \\ \text{Dividing a division} & (a/b)/c = a/(c ; b) \quad a \backslash (b \backslash c) = (b ; a) \backslash c \\ \text{Division of multiples} & a \sqsubseteq (a ; b)/b \quad b \sqsubseteq a \backslash (a ; b) \end{array}$$

$$\text{Monotonicity of } ; \quad a \sqsubseteq a' \wedge b \sqsubseteq b' \Rightarrow a ; b \sqsubseteq a' ; b'$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{Numerator monotonicity} & b \sqsubseteq b' \Rightarrow a \backslash b \sqsubseteq a \backslash b' & b \sqsubseteq b' \Rightarrow b/a \sqsubseteq b'/a \\ \text{Denominator antitonicity} & a' \sqsubseteq a \Rightarrow a \backslash b \sqsubseteq a' \backslash b & a' \sqsubseteq a \Rightarrow b/a \sqsubseteq b/a' \\ \text{Self-reflexivity} & \text{ld} \sqsubseteq a \backslash a & \text{ld} \sqsubseteq a/a \\ \text{Denominator Identity} & \text{ld} \backslash a = a & a/\text{ld} = a \\ \text{Numerator Zero} & a \backslash \top = \top & \top/a = \top \\ \text{Wraparound rule} & \perp \backslash a = \top & a/\perp = \top \end{array}$$

Exact division:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\exists z \bullet y = x ; z) & \equiv \quad x ; (x \backslash y) = y \\ (\exists z \bullet y = x \backslash z) & \equiv \quad x \backslash (x ; y) = y \end{array}$$

Converse

Axioms,

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{Co-distributivity} & \sim, \text{ Involutive} & \text{Monotonicity} \\ (x ; y)^\sim = y^\sim ; x & x^{\sim\sim} = x & x \sqsubseteq y \Rightarrow x^\sim \sqsubseteq y^\sim \end{array}$$

Theorems,

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{Identity} & \text{Connection} & \text{Elimination} \\ \text{ld}^\sim = \text{ld} & a^\sim \sqsubseteq b \equiv a \sqsubseteq b^\sim & x^\sim = y^\sim \equiv x = y \end{array}$$

Named Properties

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{univalent} & x \equiv & x^\sim ; x \sqsubseteq \text{ld} \\ \text{total} & x \equiv & \text{ld} \sqsubseteq x ; x^\sim \\ \text{mapping} & x \equiv & \text{total } x \wedge \text{univalent } x \\ \text{iso} & x \equiv & \text{mapping } x \wedge \text{bijective } x \end{array} \quad \left| \quad \begin{array}{lll} \text{injective} & x \equiv & x ; x^\sim \sqsubseteq \text{ld} \\ \text{surjective} & x \equiv & \text{ld} \sqsubseteq x^\sim ; x \\ \text{bijective} & x \equiv & \text{surjective } x \wedge \text{injective } x \end{array} \right.$$

Duality theorems

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{univalent } (x^\sim) & \equiv & \text{injective } x \\ \text{total } (x^\sim) & \equiv & \text{surjective } x \\ \text{mapping } (x^\sim) & \equiv & \text{bijective } x \\ \text{iso } (x^\sim) & \equiv & \text{iso } x \end{array}$$

Invertibility theorems

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{total } x \wedge \text{injective } x \Rightarrow x ; x^\sim = \text{ld} \\ \text{iso } x \equiv x ; x^\sim = \text{ld} \wedge x^\sim ; x = \text{ld} \\ \text{iso } x \Rightarrow (\exists g \bullet x ; g = \text{ld} = g ; x) \end{array}$$

Shunting laws:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{univalent } f \Rightarrow & (x ; f \sqsubseteq y \Leftarrow x \sqsubseteq y ; f^\sim) \\ \text{total } f \Rightarrow & (x ; f \sqsubseteq y \Rightarrow x \sqsubseteq y ; f^\sim) \\ \text{mapping } f \Rightarrow & (x ; f \sqsubseteq y \equiv x \sqsubseteq y ; f^\sim) \end{array}$$