Functional Pearl: Do-it-yourself module types

ANONYMOUS AUTHOR(S)

Can parameterised records and algebraic datatypes be derived from one pragmatic declaration?

Record types give a universe of discourse, parameterised record types fix parts of that universe ahead of time, and algebraic datatypes give us first-class syntax, whence evaluators and optimisers.

The answer is in the affirmative. Besides a practical shared declaration interface, which is extensible in the language, we also find that common data structures correspond to simple theories.

1 INTRODUCTION

All too often, when we program, we write the same information two or more times in our code, in different guises. For example, in Haskell, we may write a class, a record to reify that class, and an algebraic type to give us a syntax for programs written using that class. In proof assistants, this tends to get worse rather than better, as parametrized records give us a means to "stage" information. From here on, we will use Agda~Norell [2007] for our examples.

Concretely, suppose we have two monoids $(M_1, __{91-}^\circ, Id_1)$ and $(M_2, __{92-}^\circ, Id_2)$, if we know ¹ that ceq: $M_1 \equiv M_2$ then it is "obvious" that $Id_2 \ _{92}^\circ (x \ _{91}^\circ Id_1) \equiv x$ for all $x : M_1$. However, as written, this does not type-check. This is because $__{92-}^\circ = expects$ elements of M_2 but has been given an element of M_1 . Because we have ceq in hand, we can use subst to transport things around. The resulting formula, shown as the type of claim below, then typechecks, but is hideous. "subst hell" only gets worse. Below, we use pointed magmas for brevity, as the problem is the same.

```
record Magma0 : Set1 where
    field
        Carrier : Set
        _9_ : Carrier → Carrier → Carrier
        Id : Carrier

module Awkward-Formulation (A B : Magma0)
        (ceq : Magma0.Carrier A ≡ Magma0.Carrier B)
        where
            open Magma0 A renaming (Id to Id1; _9_ to _91_)
            open Magma0 B renaming (Id to Id2; _9_ to _92_)

claim : ∀ x → Id2 92 subst id ceq (x 91 Id1) ≡ subst id ceq x claim = {!!}
            {- "{!!}" stands for a "hole" in Agda, needing replacement by an expression -}
```

It should not be this difficult to state a trivial fact. We could make things artifically prettier by defining coe to be subst id ceq without changing the heart of the matter. But if Magma₀ is the definition used in the library we are using, we are stuck with it, if we want to be compatible with other work.

2018. 2475-1421/2018/1-ART \$15.00 https://doi.org/

¹ The propositional equality $M_1 \equiv M_2$ means the M_i are convertible with each other when all free variables occurring in the M_i are instantiated, and otherwise are not necessarily identical. A stronger equality operator cannot be expressed in Agda.

Ideally, we would prefer to be able to express that the carriers are shared "on the nose", which can be done as follows:

```
record Magma<sub>1</sub> (Carrier : Set) : Set where
field

_%_ : Carrier → Carrier → Carrier
Id : Carrier

module Nicer

(M : Set) {- The shared carrier -}

(A B : Magma<sub>1</sub> M)

where

open Magma<sub>1</sub> A renaming (Id to Id<sub>1</sub>; _%_ to _%<sub>1</sub>_)

open Magma<sub>1</sub> B renaming (Id to Id<sub>2</sub>; _%_ to _%<sub>2</sub>_)

claim : ∀ x → Id<sub>2</sub> %<sub>2</sub> (x %<sub>1</sub> Id<sub>1</sub>) ≡ x

claim = {!!}
```

This is the formaluation we expected, without noise. Thus it seems that it would be better to expose the carrier. But, before long, we'd find a different concept, such as homomorphism, which is awkward in this way, and cleaner using the first approach. These two approaches are called bundled and unbundled respectively?.

The definitions of homomorphism themselves (see below) is not so different, but the definition of composition already starts to be quite unwieldly.

So not only are there no general rules for when to bundle or not, it is in fact guaranteed that any given choice will be sub-optimal for certain applications. Furthermore, these types are equivalent, as we can "pack away" an exposed piece, e.g., $\mathsf{Monoid_0} \cong \Sigma \ \mathsf{M} : \mathbf{Set} \bullet \mathsf{Monoid_1} \ \mathsf{M}$. The developers of the Agda standard library [agd 2020] have chosen to expose all types and function symbols while bundling up the proof obligations at one level, and also provide a fully bundled form as a wrapper. This is also the method chosen in Lean [Hales 2018], and in Coq [Spitters and van der Weegen 2011].

While such a choice is workable, it is still not optimal. There are bundling variants that are unavailable, and would be more convenient for certain application.

We will show an automatic technique for unbundling data at will; thereby resulting in *bundling-independent representations* and in *delayed unbundling*. Our contributions are to show:

(1) Languages with sufficiently powerful type systems and meta-programming can conflate record and term datatype declarations into one practical interface. In addition, the contents of these grouping mechanisms may be function symbols as well as propositional invariants —an example is shown at the end of Section 3. We identify the problem and the subtleties in shifting between representations in Section 2.

- (2) Parameterised records can be obtained on-demand from non-parameterised records (Section 3).
 - As with Magma₀, the traditional approach [Gross et al. 2014] to unbundling a record requires the use of transport along propositional equalities, with trivial refl-exivity proofs. In Section 3, we develop a combinator, _:waist_, which removes the boilerplate necessary at the type specialisation location as well as at the instance declaration location.
- (3) Programming with fixed-points of unary type constructors can be made as simple as programming with term datatypes (Section 4).

As an application, in Section 5 we show that the resulting setup applies as a semantics for a declarative pre-processing tool that accomplishes the above tasks.

For brevity, and accessibility, a number of definitions are elided and only dashed pseudo-code is presented in the paper, with the understanding that such functions need to be extended homomorphically over all possible term constructors of the host language. Enough is shown to communicate the techniques and ideas, as well as to make the resulting library usable. The details, which users do not need to bother with, can be found in the appendices.

2 THE PROBLEMS

There are a number of problems, with the number of parameters being exposed being the pivotal concern. To exemplify the distinctions at the type level as more parameters are exposed, consider the following approaches to formalising a dynamical system —a collection of states, a designated start state, and a transition function.

```
record DynamicSystem₀ : Set₁ where
field
State : Set
start : State
next : State → State

record DynamicSystem₁ (State : Set) : Set where
field
start : State
next : State → State

record DynamicSystem₂ (State : Set) (start : State) : Set where
field
next : State → State
```

Each DynamicSystem_i is a type constructor of i-many arguments; but it is the types of these constructors that provide insight into the sort of data they contain:

identity function. Notice that id_i exposes i-many details at the type level to indicate the sort it consists of. However, notice that id_0 is a type of functions whereas id_1 is a function on types. Indeed, the latter two are derived from the first one: $id_{i+1} = \Pi \rightarrow \lambda id_i$ The latter identity is proven by reflexivity in the appendices.

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{id}_0 \ : \ \textbf{Set}_1 \\ \textbf{id}_0 \ = \ \Pi \ \ \textbf{X} \ : \ \textbf{Set} \ \bullet \ \Pi \ \ \textbf{e} \ : \ \textbf{X} \ \bullet \ \textbf{X} \\ \\ \textbf{id}_1 \ : \ \Pi \ \ \textbf{X} \ : \ \textbf{Set} \ \bullet \ \textbf{Set} \\ \textbf{id}_1 \ = \ \lambda \ \ (\textbf{X} \ : \ \textbf{Set}) \ \rightarrow \ \Pi \ \ \textbf{e} \ : \ \textbf{X} \ \bullet \ \textbf{X} \\ \\ \textbf{id}_2 \ : \ \Pi \ \ \textbf{X} \ : \ \textbf{Set} \ \bullet \ \Pi \ \ \textbf{e} \ : \ \textbf{X} \ \bullet \ \textbf{Set} \\ \textbf{id}_2 \ = \ \lambda \ \ (\textbf{X} \ : \ \textbf{Set}) \ \ (\textbf{e} \ : \ \textbf{X}) \ \rightarrow \ \textbf{X} \end{array}
```

Of course, there is also the need for descriptions of values, which leads to term datatypes. We shall refer to the shift from record types to algebraic data types as **the termtype problem**. Our aim is to obtain all of these notions —of ways to group data together— from a single user-friendly context declaration, using monadic notation.

3 MONADIC NOTATION

 There is little use in an idea that is difficult to use in practice. As such, we conflate records and termtypes by starting with an ideal syntax they would share, then derive the necessary artefacts that permit it. Our choice of syntax is monadic do-notation [Moggi 1991; ?]:

```
\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{DynamicSystem} \,:\, \mathsf{Context}\,\, \ell_1 \\ \mathsf{DynamicSystem} \,=\, \mathsf{do}\,\, \mathsf{State} \,\leftarrow\, \mathbf{Set} \\ \mathsf{start} \,\leftarrow\, \mathsf{State} \\ \mathsf{next} \,\,\leftarrow\, (\mathsf{State} \,\rightarrow\, \mathsf{State}) \\ \mathsf{End} \end{array}
```

Here Context, End, and the underlying monadic bind operator are unknown. Since we want to be able to *expose* a number of fields at will, we may take Context to be types indexed by a number denoting exposure. Moreover, since records are product types, we expect there to be a recursive definition whose base case will be the identity of products, the unit type $\mathbb{1}$ —which corresponds to T in the Agda standard library and to () in Haskell.

Table 1. Elaborations of DynamicSystem at various exposure levels

With these elaborations of DynamicSystem to guide the way, we resolve two of our unknowns.

```
{- "Contexts" are exposure-indexed types -} Context = \lambda \ell \to \mathbb{N} \to Set \ell {- Every type can be used as a context -}
```

```
'_ : \forall {\ell} \rightarrow Set \ell \rightarrow Context \ell

' S = \lambda _ \rightarrow S

{- The "empty context" is the unit type -}

End : \forall {\ell} \rightarrow Context \ell

End = ' \mathbb{1}
```

It remains to identify the definition of the underlying bind operation >>=. Usually, for a type constructor m, bind is typed $\forall \{X \ Y : Set\} \rightarrow m \ X \rightarrow (X \rightarrow m \ Y) \rightarrow m \ Y$. It allows one to "extract an X-value for later use" in the m Y context. Since our m = Context is from levels to types, we need to slightly alter bind's typing.

```
_>>=_ : \forall {a b}

\rightarrow (\Gamma : Context a)

\rightarrow (\forall {n} \rightarrow \Gamma n \rightarrow Context b)

\rightarrow Context (a \uplus b)

(\Gamma >>= f) zero = \Sigma \gamma : \Gamma 0 • f \gamma 0

(\Gamma >>= f) (suc n) = \Pi \gamma : \Gamma n • f \gamma n
```

The definition here accounts for the current exposure index: If zero, we have *record types*, otherwise *function types*. Using this definition, the above dynamical system context would need to be expressed using the lifting quote operation.

```
'Set >>= \lambda State → 'State >>= \lambda start → '(State → State) >>= \lambda next → End {- or -} do State ← 'Set start ← 'State next ← '(State → State) End
```

Interestingly [Bird 2009; Hudak et al. 2007], use of do-notation in preference to bind, >>=, was suggested by John Launchbury in 1993 and was first implemented by Mark Jones in Gofer. Anyhow, with our goal of practicality in mind, we shall "build the lifting quote into the definition" of bind:

```
_>>=_ : \forall {a b}

\rightarrow (\Gamma : Set a) -- Main difference

\rightarrow (\Gamma \rightarrow Context b)

\rightarrow Context (a \uplus b)

(\Gamma >>= f) zero = \Sigma \gamma : \Gamma • f \gamma 0

(\Gamma >>= f) (suc n) = \Pi \gamma : \Gamma • f \gamma n
```

Listing 1. Semantics: Context do-syntax is interpreted as Π - Σ -types

With this definition, the above declaration DynamicSystem typechecks. However, DynamicSystem $i \neq DynamicSystem_i$, instead DynamicSystem i are "factories": Given i-many arguments, a product value is formed. What if we want to *instantiate* some of the factory arguments ahead of time?

```
\mathcal{N}_0: DynamicSystem 0 {- See the elaborations in Table 1 -} \mathcal{N}_0 = \mathbb{N}, 0, suc, tt  \mathcal{N}_1 : \text{DynamicSystem 1}  \mathcal{N}_1 = \lambda \text{ State} \to ???  {- Impossible to complete if "State" is empty! -}
```

247 {- "Instantiaing" X to be $\mathbb N$ in "DynamicSystem 1" -} 248 $\mathcal N_1$ ' : let State = $\mathbb N$ in Σ start : State \bullet Σ s : (State \to State) \bullet 1 249 $\mathcal N_1$ ' = 0 , suc , tt

It seems what we need is a method, say $\Pi \to \lambda$, that takes a Π -type and transforms it into a λ -expression. One could use a universe, an algebraic type of codes denoting types, to define $\Pi \to \lambda$. However, one can no longer then easily use existing types since they are not formed from the universe's constructors, thereby resulting in duplication of existing types via the universe encoding. This is neither practical nor pragmatic.

As such, we are left with pattern matching on the language's type formation primitives as the only reasonable approach. The method $\Pi \rightarrow \lambda$ is thus a macro ² that acts on the syntactic term representations of types. Below is main transformation —the details can be found in Appendix A.7.

```
\Pi \rightarrow \lambda \ (\Pi \ a : A \bullet \tau) = (\lambda \ a : A \bullet \tau)
```

That is, we walk along the term tree replacing occurrences of Π with λ . For example,

```
\begin{array}{l} & \Pi \!\!\to\!\! \lambda \ (\Pi \!\!\to\!\! \lambda \ (\text{DynamicSystem 2})) \\ \equiv \{ \text{- Definition of DynamicSystem at exposure level 2 -} \\ & \Pi \!\!\to\!\! \lambda \ (\Pi \!\!\to\!\! \lambda \ (\Pi \ X : \textbf{Set} \bullet \Pi \ s : X \bullet \Sigma \ n : X \to X \bullet \mathbb{1})) \\ \equiv \{ \text{- Definition of } \Pi \!\!\to\!\! \lambda \ -\} \\ & \Pi \!\!\to\!\! \lambda \ (\lambda \ X : \textbf{Set} \bullet \Pi \ s : X \bullet \Sigma \ n : X \to X \bullet \mathbb{1}) \\ \equiv \{ \text{- Homomorphy of } \Pi \!\!\to\!\! \lambda \ -\} \\ & \lambda \ X : \textbf{Set} \bullet \Pi \!\!\to\!\! \lambda \ (\Pi \ s : X \bullet \Sigma \ n : X \to X \bullet \mathbb{1}) \\ \equiv \{ \text{- Definition of } \Pi \!\!\to\!\! \lambda \ -\} \\ & \lambda \ X : \textbf{Set} \bullet \lambda \ s : X \bullet \Sigma \ n : X \to X \bullet \mathbb{1} \end{array}
```

For practicality, _:waist_ is a macro (defined in Appendix A.8) acting on contexts that repeats $\Pi \rightarrow \lambda$ a number of times in order to lift a number of field components to the parameter level.

We can now "fix arguments ahead of time". Before such demonstration, we need to be mindful of our practicality goals: One declares a grouping mechanism with do \dots End, which in turn has its instance values constructed with (\dots, \dots) .

```
-- Expressions of the form "··· , tt" may now be written "\langle \cdots \rangle" infixr 5 \langle \_ \rangle \langle \rangle : \forall {\ell} \rightarrow 1 {\ell} \langle \rangle = tt \langle : \forall {\ell} {S : Set \ell} \rightarrow S \rightarrow S \langle : Set \ell \rangle S \rightarrow S \langle : Set \ell
```

²A *macro* is a function that manipulates the abstract syntax trees of the host language. In particular, it may take an arbitrary term, shuffle its syntax to provide possibly meaningless terms or terms that could not be formed without pattern matching on the possible syntactic constructions.

 The following instances of grouping types demonstrate how information moves from the body level to the parameter level.

```
\mathcal{N}^0 : DynamicSystem :waist 0

\mathcal{N}^0 = \langle N , 0 , suc \rangle

\mathcal{N}^1 : (DynamicSystem :waist 1) N

\mathcal{N}^1 = \langle 0 , suc \rangle

\mathcal{N}^2 : (DynamicSystem :waist 2) N 0

\mathcal{N}^2 = \langle suc \rangle

\mathcal{N}^3 : (DynamicSystem :waist 3) N 0 suc

\mathcal{N}^3 = \langle
```

Using :waist i we may fix the first i-parameters ahead of time. Indeed, the type (DynamicSystem :waist 1) \mathbb{N} is the type of dynamic systems over carrier \mathbb{N} , whereas (DynamicSystem :waist 2) \mathbb{N} 0 is the type of dynamic systems over carrier \mathbb{N} and start state 0.

Examples of the need for such on-the-fly unbundling can be found in numerous places in the Haskell standard library. For instance, the standard libraries [dat 2020] have two isomorphic copies of the integers, called Sum and Product, whose reason for being is to distinguish two common monoids: The former is for *integers with addition* whereas the latter is for *integers with multiplication*. An orthogonal solution would be to use contexts:

With this context, (Monoid ℓ_0 : waist 2) M \oplus is the type of monoids over *particular* types M and *particular* operations \oplus . Of-course, this is orthogonal, since traditionally unification on the carrier type M is what makes typeclasses and canonical structures [Mahboubi and Tassi 2013] useful for ad-hoc polymorphism.

4 TERMTYPES AS FIXED-POINTS

We have a practical monadic syntax for possibly parameterised record types that we would like to extend to termtypes. Algebraic data types are a means to declare concrete representations of the least fixed-point of a functor; see [Swierstra 2008] for more on this idea. for more on this idea. In particular, the description language $\mathbb D$ for dynamical systems, below, declares concrete constructors for a fixpoint of a certain functor F; i.e., $\mathbb D\cong Fix\ F$ where:

```
data Fix (F : Set \rightarrow Set) : Set where \mu : F (Fix F) \rightarrow Fix F
```

345 346

347

348 349

357

359

361

363

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374 375

376 377

378 379

380

381

382 383 384

385

386

387 388

389

391 392 The problem is whether we can derive F from DynamicSystem. Let us attempt a quick calculation sketching the necessary transformation steps (informally expressed via "⇒"):

```
do X \leftarrow Set; z \leftarrow X; s \leftarrow (X \rightarrow X); End
⇒ {- Use existing interpretation to obtain a record. -}
 \Sigma \ \mathsf{X} \ : \ \mathbf{Set} \ \bullet \ \Sigma \ \mathsf{z} \ : \ \mathsf{X} \ \bullet \ \Sigma \ \mathsf{s} \ : \ (\mathsf{X} \ \to \ \mathsf{X}) \ \bullet \ \mathbb{1}
⇒ {- Pull out the carrier, ":waist 1",
     to obtain a type constructor using "\Pi \rightarrow \lambda". -}
 \lambda X : Set • \Sigma z : X • \Sigma s : (X \rightarrow X) • 1
⇒ {- Termtype constructors target the declared type,
     so only their sources matter. E.g., 'z : X' is a
    nullary constructor targeting the carrier 'X'.
    This introduces 1 types, so any existing
    occurances are dropped via ℚ. -}
 \lambda X : Set \bullet \Sigma z : \mathbb{1} \bullet \Sigma s : X \bullet \mathbb{0}
⇒ {- Termtypes are sums of products. -}
 \lambda X : Set •
                          1 ⊎
                                          X ₩ 0
⇒ {- Termtypes are fixpoints of type constructors. -}
 Fix (\lambda X \bullet 1 \uplus X) -- i.e., \mathbb{D}
```

Since we may view an algebraic data-type as a fixed-point of the functor obtained from the union of the sources of its constructors, it suffices to treat the fields of a record as constructors, then obtain their sources, then union them. That is, since algebraic-datatype constructors necessarily target the declared type, they are determined by their sources. For example, considered as a unary constructor op: $A \to B$ targets the type termtype B and so its source is A. The details on the operations $\downarrow \downarrow$, $\Sigma \to \biguplus$, and sources characterised by the pseudocode below can be found in appendices A.3.4, A.11.4, and A.11.3, respectively. It suffices to know that $\Sigma \to \biguplus$ rewrites dependent-sums into sums, which requires the second argument to lose its reference to the first argument which is accomplished by $\downarrow \downarrow$; further details can be found in the appendix.

```
\begin{array}{l} \upprox \tau = \text{``reduce all de Bruijn indices within $\tau$ by 1"} \\ \upprox \u
```

It is instructive to work through the process of how \mathbb{D} is obtained from termtype in order to demonstrate that this approach to algebraic data types is practical.

With the pattern declarations, we can actually use these more meaningful names, when pattern matching, instead of the seemingly daunting μ -inj-ections. For instance, we can immediately see that the natural numbers act as the description language for dynamical systems:

```
to : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{N}

to startD = 0

to (nextD x) = suc (to x)

from : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{D}

from zero = startD

from (suc n) = nextD (from n)
```

Readers whose language does not have **pattern** clauses need not despair. With the macro Inj n x = μ (inj₂ n (inj₁ x)), we may define startD = Inj 0 tt and nextD e = Inj 1 e —that is, constructors of termtypes are particular injections into the possible summands that the termtype consists of. Details on this macro may be found in appendix A.11.6.

5 RELATED WORKS

 Surprisingly, conflating parameterised and non-parameterised record types with termtypes within a language in a practical fashion has not been done before.

The PackageFormer [Al-hassy 2019; Al-hassy et al. 2019] editor extension reads contexts —in nearly the same notation as ours— enclosed in dedicated comments, then generates and imports Agda code from them seamlessly in the background whenever typechecking transpires. The framework provides a fixed number of meta-primitives for producing arbitrary notions of grouping mechanisms, and allows arbitrary Emacs Lisp [Graham 1995] to be invoked in the construction of complex grouping mechanisms.

Table 2. Comparing the in-language Context mechanism with the PackageFormer editor extension

	PackageFormer	Contexts
Type of Entity	Preprocessing Tool	Language Library
Specification Language	Lisp + Agda	Agda
Well-formedness Checking	X	✓
Termination Checking	✓	✓
Elaboration Tooltips	✓	X
Rapid Prototyping	✓	✓ (Slower)
Usability Barrier	None	None
Extensibility Barrier	Lisp	Weak Metaprogramming

The original PackageFormer paper provided the syntax necessary to form useful grouping mechanisms but was shy on the semantics of such constructs. We have chosen the names of our combinators to closely match those of PackageFormer's with an aim of furnishing the mechanism with semantics by construing the syntax as semantics-functions; i.e., we have a shallow embedding of PackageFormer's constructs as Agda entities:

PackageFormer's _:kind_ meta-primitive dictates how an abstract grouping mechanism should be viewed in terms of existing Agda syntax. However, unlike PackageFormer, all of our syntax consists of legitimate Agda terms. Since language syntax is being manipulated, we are forced to define it as a macro:

Table 3. Contexts as a semantics for PackageFormer constructs

Syntax	Semantics
PackageFormer	Context
:waist	:waist
- ⊕ →	Forward function application
:kind	:kind, see below
:level	Agda built-in
:alter-elements	Agda macros

```
data Kind : Set where
    'record : Kind
    'typeclass : Kind
    'data : Kind

C :kind 'record = C 0
C :kind 'typeclass = C :waist 1
C :kind 'data = termtype (C :waist 1)
```

We did not expect to be able to assign a full semantics to PackageFormer's syntactic constructs due to Agda's substantially weak metaprogramming mechanism. However, it is important to note that PackageFormer's Lisp extensibility expedites the process of trying out arbitrary grouping mechanisms—such as partial-choices of pushouts and pullbacks along user-provided assignment functions— since it is all either string or symbolic list manipulation. On the Agda side, using contexts, it would require exponentially more effort due to the limited reflection mechanism and the intrusion of the stringent type system.

6 CONCLUSION

 Starting from the insight that related grouping mechanisms could be unified, we showed how related structures can be obtained from a single declaration using a practical interface. The resulting framework, based on contexts, still captures the familiar record declaration syntax as well as the expressivity of usual algebraic datatype declarations —at the minimal cost of using pattern declarations to aide as user-chosen constructor names. We believe that our approach to using contexts as general grouping mechanisms with a practical interface are interesting contributions.

We used the focus on practicality to guide the design of our context interface, and provided interpretations both for the rather intuitive "contexts are name-type records" view, and for the novel "contexts are fixed-points" view for termtypes. In addition, to obtain parameterised variants, we needed to explicitly form "contexts whose contents are over a given ambient context" —e.g., contexts of vector spaces are usually discussed with the understanding that there is a context of fields that can be referenced— which we did using monads. These relationships are summarised in the following table.

To those interested in exotic ways to group data together —such as, mechanically deriving product types and homomorphism types of theories— we offer an interface that is extensible using Agda's reflection mechanism. In comparison with, for example, special-purpose preprocessing tools, this has obvious advantages in accessibility and semantics.

To Agda programmers, this offers a standard interface for grouping mechanisms that had been sorely missing, with an interface that is so familiar that there would be little barrier to its use. In particular, as we have shown, it acts as an in-language library for exploiting relationships between

492

499 500

501

502

512

513

507

508

514 515 516

517

518 519 520

521

527 528 529

526

530 531 532

533 534 535 536 537

538 539

Table 4. Contexts embody all kinds of grouping mechanisms

Concept	Concrete Syntax	Description
Context	do S \leftarrow Set; s \leftarrow S; n \leftarrow (S \rightarrow S); End	"name-type pairs"
Record Type	Σ S : Set \bullet Σ s : S \bullet Σ n : S \to S \bullet 1	"bundled-up data"
Function Type	Π S • Σ s : S • Σ n : S \rightarrow S • $\mathbb{1}$	"a type of functions"
Type constructor	$\lambda \ S \bullet \Sigma \ s : S \bullet \Sigma \ n : S \to S \bullet 1$	"a function on types"
Algebraic datatype	data $\mathbb D$: Set where s : $\mathbb D$; n : $\mathbb D$ $ o$ $\mathbb D$	"a descriptive syntax"

free theories and data structures. As we have only presented the high-level definitions of the core combinators, leaving the Agda-specific details to the appendices, it is also straightforward to translate the library into other dependently-typed languages.

7 VECTOR SPACES

Consider the signature of vector spaces V over a field F.

```
VecSpcSig : Context \ell_1
VecSpcSig = do F
                                   ← Set
                                   ← Set
                                   \leftarrow F
                                   \leftarrow F
                            _+ \leftarrow (F \rightarrow F \rightarrow F)
                            o \leftarrow V
                            _* \leftarrow (F \rightarrow V \rightarrow V)
                            \_\cdot\_\leftarrow (V \rightarrow V \rightarrow F)
                           End_0
```

We can expose V and F so that they can be varied.

```
VSInterface : (Field Vectors : Set) → Set
VSInterface F V = (VecSpcSig :waist 2) F V
```

We conjecture that the terms over such vector space signatures are similar to lists (vectors) consisting of elements (field scalars), but we also have two additional nullary constructors, a pairing constructor, and a branching constructor. That is, we have a structure amalgamating both lists and binary trees.

```
data Ring (Scalar : Set) : Set where
  zeros: Ring Scalar
  ones : Ring Scalar
  \mathsf{plus}_s: \mathsf{Scalar} \to \mathsf{Scalar} \to \mathsf{Ring} \; \mathsf{Scalar}
  zero<sub>v</sub> : Ring Scalar
          : Scalar 
ightarrow Ring Scalar 
ightarrow Ring Scalar
           : Ring Scalar \rightarrow Ring Scalar \rightarrow Ring Scalar
```

We confirm this claim by relying on the mechanical approach to forming term types, then witnessing a view between the two.

```
VSTerm : (Field : Set) → Set
VSTerm = \lambda F \rightarrow termtype ((VecSpcSig :waist 2) F)
\{-\cong \text{ Fix } (\lambda \text{ X} \to \mathbb{1} \text{ } --\text{ Representation of additive unit, zero } \}
                             -- Representation of multiplicative unit, one
                   ⊎ F x F -- Pair of scalars to be summed
```

```
-- Representation of the zero vector
                                       ⊎ F x X -- Pair of arguments to be scalar-producted
541
                                       ⊎ X × X -- Pair of vectors to be dot-producted
                  -}
543
                  -- Convenience synonyms for more compact presentation & meaningful names
                 pattern \mathbb{O}_s = \mu \text{ (inj}_1 \text{ tt)}
547
                 pattern \mathbb{1}_s = \mu \text{ (inj}_2 \text{ (inj}_1 \text{ tt))}
                 pattern _+s_ x y = \mu (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>1</sub> (x , (y , tt))))
                 pattern \mathbb{O}_v = \mu \text{ (inj}_2 \text{ (inj}_2 \text{ (inj}_1 \text{ tt))))}
                 pattern \_*_v\_ x xs = \mu (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>1</sub> (x , (xs , tt))))))
                 pattern \_\cdot_v\_ xs ys = \mu (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>1</sub> (xs , (ys , tt)))))))
551
          Now the view: It simply associated constructors of the same shape, recursively.
553
                 view : \forall \{F\} \rightarrow VSTerm F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}ing F
                 view \mathbb{O}_s = zero_s
555
                 view 1_s = one<sub>s</sub>
                 view (x +_s y) = plus_s x y
557
                 view \mathbb{O}_{\tau} = zero,
                 view (x *_v xs) = prod x (view xs)
559
                 view (xs \cdot_{v} ys) = dot (view xs) (view ys)
561
          Neato.
562
```

REFERENCES

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587 588 2020. Agda Standard Library. https://github.com/agda/agda-stdlib

 $2020. \ Haskell\ Basic\ Libraries-Data. Monoid.\ http://hackage.haskell.org/package/base-4.12.0.0/docs/Data-Monoid.html\\ Musa\ Al-hassy.\ 2019.\ The\ Next\ 700\ Module\ Systems:\ Extending\ Dependently-Typed\ Languages\ to\ Implement\ Module\ Systems$

Features In The Core Language. https://alhassy.github.io/next-700-module-systems-proposal/thesis-proposal.pdf
Musa Al-hassy, Jacques Carette, and Wolfram Kahl. 2019. A language feature to unbundle data at will (short paper). In
Proceedings of the 18th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Generative Programming: Concepts and Experiences,
GPCE 2019, Athens, Greece, October 21-22, 2019, Ina Schaefer, Christoph Reichenbach, and Tijs van der Storm (Eds.). ACM,
14–19. https://doi.org/10.1145/3357765.3359523

Richard Bird. 2009. Thinking Functionally with Haskell. (2009). https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9781316092415

Ana Bove, Peter Dybjer, and Ulf Norell. 2009. A Brief Overview of Agda — A Functional Language with Dependent Types. In Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics, 22nd International Conference, TPHOLs 2009, Munich, Germany, August 17–20, 2009. Proceedings. 73–78. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-03359-9_6

François Garillot, Georges Gonthier, Assia Mahboubi, and Laurence Rideau. 2009. Packaging Mathematical Structures. In *Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics (Lecture Notes in Computer Science)*, Tobias Nipkow and Christian Urban (Eds.), Vol. 5674. Springer, Munich, Germany. https://hal.inria.fr/inria-00368403

Paul Graham. 1995. ANSI Common Lisp. Prentice Hall Press, USA.

Jason Gross, Adam Chlipala, and David I. Spivak. 2014. Experience Implementing a Performant Category-Theory Library in Coq. arXiv:math.CT/1401.7694v2

Tom Hales. 2018. A Review of the Lean Theorem Prover. https://jiggerwit.wordpress.com/2018/09/18/a-review-of-the-lean-theorem-prover/

Paul Hudak, John Hughes, Simon L. Peyton Jones, and Philip Wadler. 2007. A history of Haskell: being lazy with class. In Proceedings of the Third ACM SIGPLAN History of Programming Languages Conference (HOPL-III), San Diego, California, USA, 9-10 June 2007, Barbara G. Ryder and Brent Hailpern (Eds.). ACM, 1–55. https://doi.org/10.1145/1238844.1238856

Assia Mahboubi and Enrico Tassi. 2013. Canonical Structures for the working Coq user. In *ITP 2013, 4th Conference on Interactive Theorem Proving (LNCS)*, Sandrine Blazy, Christine Paulin, and David Pichardie (Eds.), Vol. 7998. Springer, Rennes, France, 19–34. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-39634-2_5

Eugenio Moggi. 1991. Notions of Computation and Monads. *Inf. Comput.* 93, 1 (1991), 55–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/0890-5401(91)90052-4

Ulf Norell. 2007. Towards a Practical Programming Language Based on Dependent Type Theory. Ph.D. Dissertation. Dept. Comp. Sci. and Eng., Chalmers Univ. of Technology.

Bas Spitters and Eelis van der Weegen. 2011. Type classes for mathematics in type theory. Mathematical Structures in Computer Science 21, 4 (2011), 795–825. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129511000119

Wouter Swierstra. 2008. Data types à la carte. J. Funct. Program. 18, 4 (2008), 423–436. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0956796808006758

Jim Woodcock and Jim Davies. 1996. Using Z: Specification, Refinement, and Proof. Prentice-Hall, Inc., USA.

8 OLD WHY SYNTAX

MAYBE_DELETE

The archetype for records and termtypes —algebraic data types— are monoids. They describe untyped compositional structures, such as programs in dynamically type-checked language. In turn, their termtype is linked lists which reify a monoid value —such as a program— as a sequence of values —i.e., a list of language instructions— which 'evaluate' to the original value. The shift to syntax gives rise to evaluators, optimisers, and constrained recursion-induction principles.

9 OLD GRAPH IDEAS

MAYBE_DELETE

9.1 From the old introduction section

For example, there are two ways to implement the type of graphs in the dependently-typed language Agda [Bove et al. 2009; Norell 2007]: Having the vertices be a parameter or having them be a field of the record. Then there is also the syntax for graph vertex relationships. Suppose a library designer decides to work with fully bundled graphs, Graph₀ below, then a user decides to write the function comap, which relabels the vertices of a graph, using a function f to transform vertices.

```
record Graph_0: Set_1 where  \begin{array}{c} constructor \ \langle\_,\_\rangle_0 \\ field \\ Vertex: Set \\ Edges: Vertex \rightarrow Vertex \rightarrow Set \\ \\ comap_0: \{A \ B: Set\} \\ & \rightarrow \ (f: A \rightarrow B) \\ & \rightarrow \ (\Sigma \ G: Graph_0 \bullet Vertex \ G \equiv B) \\ & \rightarrow \ (\Sigma \ H: Graph_0 \bullet Vertex \ H \equiv A) \\ \\ comap_0 \ \{A\} \ f \ (G \ , \ refl) = \langle \ A \ , \ (\lambda \ x \ y \rightarrow Edges \ G \ (f \ x) \ (f \ y)) \ \rangle_0 \ , \ refl \\ \end{array}
```

Since the vertices are packed away as components of the records, the only way for f to refer to them is to awkwardly refer to seemingly arbitrary types, only then to have the vertices of the input graph G and the output graph H be constrained to match the type of the relabelling function f. Without the constraints, we could not even write the function for $Graph_0$. With such an importance, it is surprising to see that the occurrences of the constraint proofs are uninsightful refl-exivity proofs.

What the user would really want is to unbundle $Graph_0$ at will, to expose the first argument, to obtain $Graph_1$ below. Then, in stark contrast, the implementation $comap_1$ does not carry any excesses baggage at the type level nor at the implementation level.

```
\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{record} \ \mathsf{Graph}_1 \ \ (\mathsf{Vertex} \ : \ \textbf{Set}) \ : \ \mathsf{Set}_1 \ \textbf{where} \\ & \textbf{constructor} \ \langle \_ \rangle_1 \\ & \textbf{field} \\ & \texttt{Edges} \ : \ \mathsf{Vertex} \ \rightarrow \ \mathsf{Vertex} \ \rightarrow \ \textbf{Set} \\ & \texttt{comap}_1 \ : \ \{ \mathsf{A} \ \mathsf{B} \ : \ \textbf{Set} \} \\ & \rightarrow \ (\mathsf{f} \ : \ \mathsf{A} \ \rightarrow \ \mathsf{B}) \\ & \rightarrow \ \mathsf{Graph}_1 \ \mathsf{B} \\ & \rightarrow \ \mathsf{Graph}_1 \ \mathsf{A} \\ & \texttt{comap}_1 \ f \ \langle \ \mathsf{edges} \ \rangle_1 \ = \ \langle \ (\lambda \ \mathsf{x} \ \mathsf{y} \ \rightarrow \ \mathsf{edges} \ (\mathsf{f} \ \mathsf{x}) \ (\mathsf{f} \ \mathsf{y})) \ \rangle_1 \end{array}
```

With Graph₁, one immediately sees that the comap operation "pulls back" the vertex type. Such an observation for Graph₀ is not as easy; requiring familiarity with quantifier laws such as the one-point rule and quantifier distributivity.

10 OLD FREE DATATYPES FROM THEORIES

MAYBE_DELETE

Astonishingly, useful programming datatypes arise from termtypes of theories (contexts). That is, if $C: \mathbf{Set} \to \mathbf{Context} \ \ell_0$ then $\mathbb{C}' = \lambda \ \mathsf{X} \to \mathbf{termtype} \ (C \ \mathsf{X} : \mathsf{waist} \ 1)$ can be used to form 'free, lawless, C-instances'. For instance, earlier we witnessed that the termtype of dynamical systems is essentially the natural numbers.

Table 5. Data structures as free theories

Theory	Termtype
Dynamical Systems	N
Pointed Structures	Maybe
Monoids	Binary Trees

To obtain trees over some 'value type' Ξ , one must start at the theory of "monoids containing a given set Ξ ". Similarly, by starting at "theories of pointed sets over a given set Ξ ", the resulting

 termtype is the Maybe type constructor —another instructive exercise to the reader: Show that $\mathbb{P}\cong$ Maybe.

```
PointedOver : Set \rightarrow Context (\ellsuc \ell_0)

PointedOver \Xi = do Carrier \leftarrow Set \ell_0

point \leftarrow Carrier

embed \leftarrow (\Xi \rightarrow Carrier)

End

P : Set \rightarrow Set

P X = termtype (PointedOver X :waist 1)

-- Pattern synonyms for more compact presentation pattern nothingP = \mu (inj<sub>1</sub> tt) -- : \mathbb{P}

pattern justP e = \mu (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>1</sub> e)) -- : \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}
```

The final entry in the table is a well known correspondence, that we can, not only formally express, but also prove to be true. We present the setup and leave it as an instructive exercise to the reader to present a bijective pair of functions between \mathbb{M} and TreeSkeleton. Hint: Interactively case-split on values of \mathbb{M} until the declared patterns appear, then associate them with the constructors of TreeSkeleton.

```
\mathbb{M}: Set \mathbb{M}= termtype (Monoid \ell_0 :waist 1) 
-- Pattern synonyms for more compact presentation pattern emptyM = \mu (inj<sub>1</sub> tt) -- : \mathbb{M} pattern branchM l r = \mu (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>1</sub> (l , r , tt))) -- : \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{M} pattern absurdM a = \mu (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>2</sub> a)))) -- absurd values of \mathbb{Q} data TreeSkeleton : Set where empty : TreeSkeleton \to TreeSkeleton \to TreeSkeleton \to TreeSkeleton
```

10.1 Collection Context

```
Collection : \forall \ \ell \rightarrow \mathsf{Context} \ (\ell \mathsf{suc} \ \ell)
Collection \ell = \mathsf{do}

Elem \leftarrow \mathsf{Set} \ \ell
Carrier \leftarrow \mathsf{Set} \ \ell
insert \leftarrow (\mathsf{Elem} \rightarrow \mathsf{Carrier} \rightarrow \mathsf{Carrier})
\emptyset \leftarrow \mathsf{Carrier}
isEmpty \leftarrow (\mathsf{Carrier} \rightarrow \mathsf{Bool})
insert-nonEmpty \leftarrow \forall \ \{\mathsf{e} : \mathsf{Elem}\} \ \{\mathsf{x} : \mathsf{Carrier}\} \rightarrow \mathsf{isEmpty} \ (\mathsf{insert} \ \mathsf{e} \ \mathsf{x}) \equiv \mathsf{false}
End \{\ell\}

ListColl : \{\ell : \mathsf{Level}\} \rightarrow \mathsf{Collection} \ \ell \ 1
ListColl E = \langle \mathsf{List} \ \mathsf{E}
, _::__
, []
, (\lambda \ \{\ [] \rightarrow \mathsf{true}; \ \_ \rightarrow \mathsf{false}\})
```

, $(\lambda \ \{x\} \ \{x = x_1\} \rightarrow refl)$

736 737 738

779780

781 782

783

784

PointedOver.

```
NCollection = (Collection \ell_0 :waist 2)
739
                                                 ("Elem"
                                                                    = Digit)
740
                                                 ("Carrier" = N)
                     -- i.e., (Collection \ell_0 :waist 2) Digit N
                     stack : NCollection
                     stack = ( "insert"
                                                              = (\lambda d s \rightarrow suc (10 * s + \# \rightarrow \mathbb{N} d))
                                      "empty stack" = 0
747
                                      "is-empty"
                                                             = (\lambda \{ \emptyset \rightarrow \mathsf{true}; \_ \rightarrow \mathsf{false} \})
749
                                   -- Properties --
                                   , (\lambda \{d : Digit\} \{s : \mathbb{N}\} \rightarrow refl \{x = false\})
751
752
         10.2 Elem, Carrier, insert projections
753
                     Elem
                                      : \forall \{\ell\} \rightarrow \text{Collection } \ell \ \emptyset \rightarrow \textbf{Set} \ \ell
754
755
                     Elem
                                      = \lambda C \rightarrow Field \emptyset C
757
                     Carrier : \forall \{\ell\} \rightarrow \text{Collection } \ell \ \emptyset \rightarrow \text{Set } \ell
                     Carrier_1 : \forall \{\ell\} \rightarrow Collection \ \ell \ 1 \rightarrow (\gamma : \textbf{Set} \ \ell) \rightarrow \textbf{Set} \ \ell
758
759
                     Carrier<sub>1</sub>': \forall \{\ell\} \{ \gamma : \mathbf{Set} \ \ell \} (C : (Collection \ell :waist 1) \gamma) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set} \ \ell
760
                     Carrier = \lambda C \rightarrow Field 1 C
761
                     \mathsf{Carrier}_1 \ \ = \ \lambda \ \mathsf{C} \ \gamma \ \to \ \mathsf{Field} \ \emptyset \ (\mathsf{C} \ \gamma)
762
                     Carrier<sub>1</sub>' = \lambda C \rightarrow Field 0 C
763
764
                                 : \forall \{\ell\} (C : Collection \ell 0) \rightarrow (Elem C \rightarrow Carrier C \rightarrow Carrier C)
765
                     insert<sub>1</sub> : \forall \{\ell\} (C : Collection \ell 1) (\gamma : Set \ell) \rightarrow \gamma \rightarrow \text{Carrier}_1 C \gamma \rightarrow \text{Carrier}_2
766
767
                     \mathsf{insert}_1' : \forall \{\ell\} \{ \gamma : \mathsf{Set} \ \ell \} \ (\mathsf{C} : (\mathsf{Collection} \ \ell : \mathsf{waist} \ 1) \ \gamma) \to \gamma \to \mathsf{Carrier}_1' \ \mathsf{C} -
768
                                     = \lambda C \rightarrow Field 2 C
769
                     insert
                     insert_1 = \lambda C \gamma \rightarrow Field 1 (C \gamma)
770
                     insert<sub>1</sub>' = \lambda C \rightarrow Field 1 C
771
772
                     insert<sub>2</sub> : \forall \{\ell\} (C : Collection \ell 2) (El Cr : Set \ell) \rightarrow El \rightarrow Cr \rightarrow Cr
773
                     774
775
776
                     insert_2 = \lambda C El Cr \rightarrow Field \emptyset (C El Cr)
                     insert<sub>2</sub>' = \lambda C \rightarrow Field \emptyset C
777
778
```

MAYBE DELETE

Proc. ACM Program. Lang., Vol. 1, No. 1, Article . Publication date: January 2018.

11 OLD WHAT ABOUT THE META-LANGUAGE'S PARAMETERS?

For example, a pointed set needn't necessarily be termined with End.

Besides: waist, another way to introduce parameters into a context grouping mechanism is to use the language's existing utility of parameterising a context by another type —as was done earlier in

: 4 PointedSets

 $_{-}$ = refl

786 787

788 789

790

791

792

794

795 796

797

798

800

802

804

806

807

808

809 810

811

812

813 814

815

816

817

818 819

820

821

822

823

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832 833

```
PointedSet : Context \ell_1
PointedSet = do Carrier \leftarrow Set
point \leftarrow Carrier
End \{\ell_1\}
```

We instead form a grouping consisting of a single type and a value of that type, along with an instance of the parameter type Ξ .

```
\begin{array}{lll} \mathsf{PointedPF} \; : \; (\Xi \; : \; \mathsf{Set}_1) \; \to \; \mathsf{Context} \; \ell_1 \\ \mathsf{PointedPF} \; \Xi \; = \; \mathsf{do} \; \mathsf{Carrier} \; \leftarrow \; \begin{matrix} \mathsf{Set} \\ & \mathsf{point} \end{matrix} \; \leftarrow \; \mathsf{Carrier} \\ ` \; \Xi & \\ \end{array}
```

Clearly PointedPF $1 \approx \text{PointedSet}$, so we have a more generic grouping mechanism. The natural next step is to consider other parameters such as PointedSet in-place of Ξ .

```
-- Convenience names
                                                   :kind 'record
         PointedSet_r = PointedSet
         PointedPF<sub>r</sub> = \lambda \Xi \rightarrow PointedPF \Xi :kind 'record
         -- An extended record type: Two types with a point of each.
         TwoPointedSets = PointedPF<sub>r</sub> PointedSet<sub>r</sub>
          : TwoPointedSets
               \equiv ( \Sigma Carrier<sub>1</sub> : Set \bullet \Sigma point<sub>1</sub> : Carrier<sub>1</sub>
                 • \Sigma Carrier<sub>2</sub> : Set • \Sigma point<sub>2</sub> : Carrier<sub>2</sub> • 1)
         _{-} = refl
         -- Here's an instance
         one : PointedSet :kind 'record
         one = \mathbb{B} , false , tt
         -- Another; a pointed natural extended by a pointed bool,
         -- with particular choices for both.
         two : TwoPointedSets
         two = \mathbb{N} , \emptyset , one
More generally, record structure can be dependent on values:
         \_PointedSets : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow Set_1
         zero PointedSets = 1
         suc n PointedSets = PointedPF_r (n PointedSets)
```

 \equiv (Σ Carrier₁ : **Set** \bullet Σ point₁ : Carrier₁

• Σ Carrier₂ : **Set** • Σ point₂ : Carrier₂

• Σ Carrier₃ : **Set** • Σ point₃ : Carrier₃

• Σ Carrier₄ : **Set** • Σ point₄ : Carrier₄ • $\mathbb{1}$)

Using traditional grouping mechanisms, it is difficult to create the family of types n PointedSets since the number of fields, $2 \times n$, depends on n.

It is interesting to note that the termtype of PointedPF is the same as the termtype of PointedOver, the Maybe type constructor!

```
PointedD : (X : Set) \rightarrow Set<sub>1</sub>

PointedD X = termtype (PointedPF (Lift _ X) :waist 1)

-- Pattern synonyms for more compact presentation

pattern nothingP = \mu (inj<sub>1</sub> tt)

pattern justP x = \mu (inj<sub>2</sub> (lift x))

casingP : \forall {X} (e : PointedD X)

\rightarrow (e = nothingP) \uplus (\Sigma x : X • e = justP x)

casingP nothingP = inj<sub>1</sub> refl

casingP (justP x) = inj<sub>2</sub> (x , refl)
```

12 OLD NEXT STEPS

834

835

837

839

843

845

847

849

850

851

852

853

855

857

858

859

860

861

862

863 864

865 866

867

868

869 870

871

872

873

874 875

876

877 878

880

881 882

MAYBE_DELETE

We have shown how a bit of reflection allows us to have a compact, yet practical, one-stop-shop notation for records, typeclasses, and algebraic data types. There are a number of interesting directions to pursue:

- How to write a function working homogeneously over one variation and having it lift to other variations.
 - Recall the comap from the introductory section was written over Graph: kind 'typeclass; how could that particular implementation be massaged to work over Graph: kind k for any k.
- The current implementation for deriving termtypes presupposes only one carrier set positioned as the first entity in the grouping mechanism.
 - How do we handle multiple carriers or choose a carrier from an arbitrary position or by name? PackageFormer handles this by comparing names.
- How do we lift properties or invariants, simple ≡-types that 'define' a previous entity to be top-level functions in their own right?

Lots to do, so little time.

A APPENDICES

Below is the entirety of the Context library discussed in the paper proper.

```
module Context where
```

A.1 Imports

```
open import Level renaming (_U_ to _\oplus_; suc to \ellsuc; zero to \ell_0) open import Relation.Binary.PropositionalEquality open import Relation.Nullary open import Data.Nat open import Data.Fin as Fin using (Fin) open import Data.Maybe hiding (_>>=_) open import Data.Bool using (Bool ; true ; false) open import Data.List as List using (List ; [] ; _::_ ; _::^r_; sum) \ell_1 = \text{Level.suc } \ell_0
```

A.2 Quantifiers $\Pi: \bullet/\Sigma: \bullet$ and Products/Sums

We shall using Z-style quantifier notation [Woodcock and Davies 1996] in which the quantifier dummy variables are separated from the body by a large bullet.

In Agda, we use \: to obtain the "ghost colon" since standard colon: is an Agda operator.

Even though Agda provides \forall (x : τ) \rightarrow fx as a built-in syntax for Π -types, we have chosen the Z-style one below to mirror the notation for Σ -types, which Agda provides as record declarations. In the paper proper, in the definition of bind, the subtle shift between Σ -types and Π -types is easier to notice when the notations are so similar that only the quantifier symbol changes.

```
open import Data. Empty using (\bot)
open import Data.Sum
open import Data.Product
open import Function using (_o_)
\Sigma:• : \forall {a b} (A : Set a) (B : A \rightarrow Set b) \rightarrow Set _
\Sigma : \bullet = \Sigma
infix -666 ∑:•
syntax \Sigma : \bullet A (\lambda x \rightarrow B) = \Sigma x : A \bullet B
\Pi: \bullet : \forall \{a \ b\} \ (A : \mathbf{Set} \ a) \ (B : A \rightarrow \mathbf{Set} \ b) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set} \ \_
\Pi: \bullet A B = (x : A) \rightarrow B x
infix -666 ∏:•
syntax \Pi: \bullet A (\lambda \times A) = \Pi \times A \bullet B
record \top {\ell} : Set \ell where
   constructor tt
\mathbb{1} = \top \{\ell_0\}
О = ⊥
```

A.3 Reflection

884

885

886

888

890

891

892

896

898

900

902

904

905

906

907 908

909 910 911

912

913

914

915 916

917

918

919

920

921

922 923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

We form a few metaprogramming utilities we would have expected to be in the standard library.

```
import Data.Unit as Unit open import Reflection hiding (name; Type) renaming (_>>=_ to _>>=_m_)
```

A.3.1 Single argument application.

```
_app_ : Term \rightarrow Term \rightarrow Term \rightarrow (def f args) app arg' = def f (args :: r arg (arg-info visible relevant) arg') (con f args) app arg' = con f (args :: r arg (arg-info visible relevant) arg') {-# CATCHALL #-} tm app arg' = tm
```

Notice that we maintain existing applications:

```
quoteTerm (f x) app quoteTerm y \approx quoteTerm (f x y)
```

A.3.2 Reify \mathbb{N} term encodings as \mathbb{N} values.

```
toN : Term \rightarrow \mathbb{N}
toN (lit (nat n)) = n
\{-\# CATCHALL \#-\}
toN \_ = \emptyset
```

A.3.3 The Length of a Term.

```
\texttt{arg-term} \; : \; \forall \; \{\ell\} \; \{\texttt{A} \; : \; \textcolor{red}{\textbf{Set}} \; \ell\} \; \rightarrow \; (\texttt{Term} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{A}) \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{Arg} \; \texttt{Term} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{A}
                      arg-term f (arg i x) = f x
933
                       {-# TERMINATING #-}
935
                      length_t : Term \rightarrow \mathbb{N}
                      length_t (var x args)
                                                          = 1 + sum (List.map (arg-term length<sub>t</sub> ) args)
937
                      length_t (con c args)
                                                          = 1 + sum (List.map (arg-term length<sub>t</sub> ) args)
                      length_t (def f args)
                                                            = 1 + sum (List.map (arg-term length_t ) args)
                      length_t (lam v (abs s x)) = 1 + length_t x
939
                      length_t (pat-lam cs args) = 1 + sum (List.map (arg-term length_t ) args)
                                                          = 1 + length<sub>t</sub> Bx
                      length_t (\Pi[ x : A ] Bx)
941
                       {-# CATCHALL #-}
                       -- sort, lit, meta, unknown
                      length_t t = 0
943
```

Here is an example use:

945

946 947

948

949

950

951

953

955

957

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968 969

970

971 972

973

974 975

976

977

980

```
_ : length<sub>t</sub> (quoteTerm (Σ x : \mathbb{N} \bullet x ≡ x)) ≡ 10 = refl
```

A.3.4 Decreasing de Brujin Indices. Given a quantification ($\oplus x : \tau \bullet fx$), its body fx may refer to a free variable x. If we decrement all de Bruijn indices fx contains, then there would be no reference to x.

```
var-dec_0 : (fuel : \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow Term \rightarrow Term
var-dec_0 zero t = t
-- Let's use an "impossible" term.
var-dec_0 (suc n) (var zero args)
                                            = def (quote ⊥) []
var-dec_0 (suc n) (var (suc x) args) = var x args
var-dec<sub>0</sub> (suc n) (con c args)
                                            = con c (map-Args (var-dec<sub>0</sub> n) args)
var-dec_0 (suc n) (def f args)
                                            = def f (map-Args (var-dec<sub>0</sub> n) args)
var-dec_0 (suc n) (lam v (abs s x))
                                            = lam v (abs s (var-dec<sub>0</sub> n x))
var-dec<sub>0</sub> (suc n) (pat-lam cs args)
                                            = pat-lam cs (map-Args (var-dec<sub>0</sub> n) args)
var-dec_0 (suc n) (\Pi[ s : arg i A ] B) = \Pi[ s : arg i (var-dec_0 n A) ] var-dec_0 n B
{-# CATCHALL #-}
-- sort, lit, meta, unknown
var-dec_0 n t = t
```

In the paper proper, var-dec was mentioned once under the name $\downarrow \! \downarrow$.

```
var-dec : Term \rightarrow Term
var-dec t = var-dec<sub>0</sub> (length<sub>t</sub> t) t
```

Notice that we made the decision that x, the body of $(\oplus x \bullet x)$, will reduce to \mathbb{O} , the empty type. Indeed, in such a situation the only Debrujin index cannot be reduced further. Here is an example:

```
_ : \forall {x : N} → var-dec (quoteTerm x) ≡ quoteTerm \bot _ = refl
```

A.4 Context Monad

```
Context = \lambda \ell \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow Set \ell

infix -1000 '__
'__: \forall \{\ell\} \rightarrow Set \ell \rightarrow Context \ell
' S = \lambda _ \rightarrow S

End : \forall \{\ell\} \rightarrow Context \ell
End = ' \top

End<sub>0</sub> = End \{\ell_0\}
```

```
_>>=_ : \forall {a b}

\rightarrow (Γ : Set a) -- Main difference

\rightarrow (Γ \rightarrow Context b)

\rightarrow Context (a \uplus b)

(Γ >>= f) N.zero = Σ γ : Γ • f γ 0

(Γ >>= f) (suc n) = (γ : Γ) \rightarrow f γ n
```

A.5 () Notation

981

982

983

984

985

986

987 988

989

990

991

992

993 994

995

996

997

998 999

1000

1029

As mentioned, grouping mechanisms are declared with do . . . End, and instances of them are constructed using $\langle \ . \ . \ . \ \rangle$.

A.6 DynamicSystem Context

```
DynamicSystem : Context (\ellsuc Level.zero)
1001
                        DynamicSystem = do X \leftarrow Set
1002
                                                      z \leftarrow X
1003
                                                      s \leftarrow (X \rightarrow X)
                                                     End {Level.zero}
1004
1005
                        -- Records with n-Parameters, n : 0..3
1006
                       A B C D : Set_1
1007
                        A = DynamicSystem 0 -- \Sigma X : Set \bullet \Sigma z : X \bullet \Sigma s : X \to X \bullet T
1008
                       \mathsf{B} = \mathsf{DynamicSystem} \ 1 \ -- \ (\mathsf{X} : \mathsf{Set}) \ \to \ \Sigma \ \mathsf{z} : \mathsf{X} \ \bullet \ \Sigma \ \mathsf{s} : \mathsf{X} \ \to \ \mathsf{X} \ \bullet \ \mathsf{T}
                        C = DynamicSystem 2 -- (X : Set)
                                                                             (z:X) \rightarrow \Sigma s:X \rightarrow X \bullet T
1009
                       D = DynamicSystem 3 -- (X : Set)
                                                                              (z:X) \rightarrow (s:X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow T
1010
1011
                        \_ : A \equiv (\Sigma X : Set \bullet \Sigma z : X \bullet \Sigma s : (X \rightarrow X) \bullet T) ; \_ = refl
1012
                        \_ : B \equiv (\blacksquare X : Set \bullet \Sigma z : X \bullet \Sigma s : (X \rightarrow X) \bullet T) ; \_ = refl
1013
                        \_ : C \equiv (\Pi X : \mathbf{Set} \bullet \Pi z : X \bullet \Sigma s : (X \to X) \bullet T) ; <math>\_ = refl
                        \_ : D \equiv (\Pi X : Set • \Pi z : X • \Pi s : (X \rightarrow X) • T) ; \_ = refl
1014
1015
                        stability : \forall \{n\} \rightarrow DynamicSystem (3 + n)
1016
                                                      ≡ DynamicSystem 3
1017
                        stability = refl
1018
                        B-is-empty : ¬ B
1019
                        B-is-empty b = proj_1(b \perp)
1020
1021
                        \mathcal{N}_0 : DynamicSystem 0
1022
                        \mathcal{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} , \emptyset , suc , tt
1023
                        N : DynamicSystem ∅
1024
                        \mathcal{N} = \langle \mathbb{N}, \emptyset, \operatorname{suc} \rangle
1025
1026
                        B-on-N : Set
1027
                        B-on-N = let X = N in \Sigma z : X • \Sigma s : (X \rightarrow X) • T
1028
```

```
ex : B-on-ℕ
                      ex = \langle 0, suc \rangle
1031
1032
         A.7 \Pi \rightarrow \lambda
1033
                      \Pi \rightarrow \lambda-helper : Term \rightarrow Term
1034
                      \Pi \rightarrow \lambda-helper (pi a b)
                                                               = lam visible b
1035
                      \Pi \rightarrow \lambda-helper (lam a (abs x y)) = lam a (abs x (\Pi \rightarrow \lambda-helper y))
                      {-# CATCHALL #-}
1037
                      \Pi \rightarrow \lambda-helper x = x
1038
                      macro
1039
                        \Pi → \lambda : Term → Term → TC Unit.\top
1040
                         \Pi \rightarrow \lambda tm goal = normalise tm >>=<sub>m</sub> \lambda tm' \rightarrow unify (\Pi \rightarrow \lambda-helper tm') goal
1041
1042
         A.8 _:waist_
1043
                      waist-helper : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Term} \to \mathsf{Term}
1044
                      waist-helper zero t
                                                    = t
1045
                      waist-helper (suc n) t = waist-helper n (\Pi \rightarrow \lambda-helper t)
1046
1047
                         \_:waist\_: Term \rightarrow Term \rightarrow Term \rightarrow TC Unit.\top
1048
                         \_:waist\_ t n goal =
                                                        normalise (t app n)
1049
                                                      >>=_m \lambda t' \rightarrow unify (waist-helper (to\mathbb N n) t') goal
1050
                  DynamicSystem :waist i
1051
                      A' : Set<sub>1</sub>
1052
                      B' : \forall (X : Set) \rightarrow Set
1053
                      C' : \forall (X : Set) (x : X) \rightarrow Set
1054
                      D' : \forall (X : Set) (x : X) (s : X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow Set
1055
1056
                      A' = DynamicSystem :waist 0
                      B' = DynamicSystem :waist 1
1057
                      C' = DynamicSystem :waist 2
1058
                      D' = DynamicSystem :waist 3
1059
1060
                      N^0: A'
1061
                      \mathcal{N}^0 = \langle \mathbb{N}, \emptyset, \operatorname{suc} \rangle
1062
                      N¹ : B' N
1063
                      \mathcal{N}^1 = \langle \emptyset, \text{suc} \rangle
1064
1065
                      N2 : C' N 0
1066
                      \mathcal{N}^2 = \langle \text{ suc } \rangle
1067
                      N^3: D' N 0 suc
1068
                      \mathcal{N}^3 = \langle \rangle
1069
         It may be the case that \Gamma 0 \equiv \Gamma :waist 0 for every context \Gamma.
1070
                      _ : DynamicSystem 0 ≡ DynamicSystem :waist 0
1071
                      _{-} = refl
1072
1073
         A.10 Field projections
1074
                      \mathsf{Field}_0 : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Term} \to \mathsf{Term}
1075
                      Field_0 zero c = def (quote proj<sub>1</sub>) (arg (arg-info visible relevant) c :: [])
1076
                      Field_0 (suc n) c = Field_0 n (def (quote proj<sub>2</sub>) (arg (arg-info visible relevant) c :: []))
1077
```

1127

 $sources_1 t = t$

```
macro
                       \textbf{Field} \; : \; \mathbb{N} \; \rightarrow \; \mathsf{Term} \; \rightarrow \; \mathsf{TC} \; \; \mathsf{Unit}. \top
1080
                       Field n t goal = unify goal (Field<sub>0</sub> n t)
1081
1082
        A.11 Termtypes
1083
        Using the guide, ??, outlined in the paper proper we shall form D_i for each stage in the calculation.
1084
        A.11.1 Stage 1: Records.
1086
                    D_1 = DynamicSystem 0
1087
                    1-records : D_1 \equiv (\Sigma \ X : \textbf{Set} \bullet \Sigma \ z : X \bullet \Sigma \ s : (X \rightarrow X) \bullet \top)
1088
                    1-records = refl
1089
1090
        A.11.2 Stage 2: Parameterised Records.
1091
                    D_2 = DynamicSystem :waist 1
1092
                    2-funcs : D_2 \equiv (\lambda \ (X : \mathbf{Set}) \rightarrow \Sigma \ z : X \bullet \Sigma \ s : (X \rightarrow X) \bullet \top)
1093
                    2-funcs = refl
1094
1095
                   Stage 3: Sources. Let's begin with an example to motivate the definition of sources.
        A.11.3
1096
                            quoteTerm (\forall \{x : \mathbb{N}\} \to \mathbb{N})
1097
                         \equiv pi (arg (arg-info hidden relevant) (quoteTerm \mathbb{N})) (abs "x" (quoteTerm \mathbb{N}))
                    _{-} = refl
1098
1099
        We now form two sources-helper utilities, although we suspect they could be combined into one
1100
        function.
1101
                    sources_0 : Term \rightarrow Term
                     - Otherwise:
1102
                    sources_0 (\Pi[ a : arg i A ] (\Pi[ b : arg _ Ba ] Cab)) =
1103
                         \texttt{def} \ (\textbf{quote} \ \_\textbf{X}\_) \ (\texttt{vArg} \ \texttt{A}
1104
                                              :: vArg (def (quote _x_)
1105
                                                              (vArg (var-dec Ba) :: vArg (var-dec (var-dec (sources<sub>0</sub> Cab))) :: []))
1106
                    sources_0 (\Pi[ a : arg (arg-info hidden _) A ] Ba) = quoteTerm \mathbb{O}
1107
                    sources_0 (\Pi[x:arg i A]Bx) = A
1108
                    {-# CATCHALL #-}
1109
                    -- sort, lit, meta, unknown
1110
                    sources_0 t = quoteTerm 1
1111
                    {-# TERMINATING #-}
1112
                    \texttt{sources}_1 \; : \; \mathsf{Term} \; \to \; \mathsf{Term}
1113
                    sources_1 (\Pi[ a : arg (arg-info hidden _) A ] Ba) = quoteTerm \mathbb O
1114
                    sources_1 \ ( \mbox{$\Pi$[ a : arg i A ] ($\Pi$[ b : arg \_ Ba ] Cab)) = def \ ( \mbox{$\tt quote \_x\_)$} \ ( \mbox{$\tt vArg A ::} \ )
1115
                       vArg (def (quote _x_) (vArg (var-dec Ba) :: vArg (var-dec (var-dec (sources<sub>0</sub> Cab))) :: [])) :: [])
1116
                    sources_1 (\Pi[ x : arg i A ] Bx) = A
                    sources_1 (def (quote \Sigma) (\ell_1 :: \ell_2 :: \tau :: body))
1117
                         = def (quote \Sigma) (\ell_1 :: \ell_2 :: map-Arg sources_0 \tau :: List.map (map-Arg sources_1) body)
1118
                    -- This function introduces 1s, so let's drop any old occurances a la 0.
1119
                    sources_1 (def (quote T) _) = def (quote 0) []
1120
                    sources_1 (lam v (abs s x))
                                                            = lam v (abs s (sources<sub>1</sub> x))
1121
                    sources_1 (var x args) = var x (List.map (map-Arg sources<sub>1</sub>) args)
                    sources_1 (con c args) = con c (List.map (map-Arg sources<sub>1</sub>) args)
1122
                    sources_1 (def f args) = def f (List.map (map-Arg sources<sub>1</sub>) args)
1123
                    sources<sub>1</sub> (pat-lam cs args) = pat-lam cs (List.map (map-Arg sources<sub>1</sub>) args)
1124
                    {-# CATCHALL #-}
1125
                    -- sort, lit, meta, unknown
```

We now form the macro and some unit tests. 1128 1129 macro 1130 $\textbf{sources} \; : \; \mathsf{Term} \; \rightarrow \; \mathsf{Term} \; \rightarrow \; \mathsf{TC} \; \; \mathsf{Unit}. \, \mathsf{T}$ sources tm goal = normalise tm >>= $_m$ λ tm' \rightarrow unify (sources $_1$ tm') goal 1131 1132 $_$: sources ($\mathbb{N} \to \mathbf{Set}$) $\equiv \mathbb{N}$ 1133 $_{-}$ = refl _ : sources (Σ x : (N → Fin 3) • N) ≡ (Σ x : N • N) $_{-}$ = refl 1137 _ : ∀ {ℓ : Level} {A B C : **Set**} \rightarrow sources $(\Sigma \times (A \rightarrow B) \bullet C) \equiv (\Sigma \times A \bullet C)$ 1139 _ : sources (Fin 1 → Fin 2 → Fin 3) \equiv (Σ _ : Fin 1 • Fin 2 × 1) 1141 $_{-}$ = refl 1142 1143 _ : sources (Σ f : (Fin 1 → Fin 2 → Fin 3 → Fin 4) • Fin 5) $\equiv (\Sigma f : (Fin 1 \times Fin 2 \times Fin 3) \bullet Fin 5)$ 1145 $_{-}$ = refl 1146 $_: \forall \{A B C : Set\} \rightarrow sources (A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C) \equiv (A \times B \times 1)$ 1147 _ = refl 1148 1149 $_$: \forall {A B C D E : **Set**} \rightarrow sources (A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow D \rightarrow E) 1150 $\equiv \Sigma \ \mathsf{A} \ (\lambda \ _ \ \to \ \Sigma \ \mathsf{B} \ (\lambda \ _ \ \to \ \Sigma \ \mathsf{C} \ (\lambda \ _ \ \to \ \Sigma \ \mathsf{D} \ (\lambda \ _ \ \to \ \mathsf{T}))))$ $_{-}$ = refl 1151 1152 Design decision: Types starting with implicit arguments are *invariants*, not *constructors*. 1153 -- one implicit 1154 $_$: sources $(\forall \{x : \mathbb{N}\} \rightarrow x \equiv x) \equiv \mathbb{O}$ _ = refl 1155 1156 -- multiple implicits 1157 _ : sources (\forall {x y z : \mathbb{N} } → x \equiv y) \equiv \mathbb{O} 1158 $_{-}$ = refl 1159 The third stage can now be formed. 1160 D_3 = sources D_2 1161 1162 3-sources : $D_3 \equiv \lambda \ (X : Set) \rightarrow \Sigma \ z : \mathbb{1} \bullet \Sigma \ s : X \bullet \mathbb{0}$ 1163 3-sources = refl 1164 Stage 4: $\Sigma \rightarrow \forall$ -Replacing Products with Sums. 1165 {-# TERMINATING #-} 1166 $\Sigma \rightarrow \uplus_0 : \mathsf{Term} \rightarrow \mathsf{Term}$ 1167 $\Sigma \rightarrow \uplus_0$ (def (quote Σ) ($h_1 :: h_0 :: arg i A :: arg i_1 (lam v (abs s x)) :: []))$ 1168 = def (quote $_ \uplus _$) ($h_1 :: h_0 :: arg i A :: vArg (<math>\Sigma \rightarrow \uplus_0$ (var-dec x)) :: []) 1169 -- Interpret "End" in do-notation to be an empty, impossible, constructor. $\Sigma \rightarrow \uplus_0$ (def (quote \top) _) = def (quote \bot) [] 1170 -- Walk under λ 's and Π 's. 1171 $\Sigma \rightarrow \uplus_0 \text{ (lam v (abs s x))} = \text{lam v (abs s } (\Sigma \rightarrow \uplus_0 x))$ 1172 $\Sigma \rightarrow \uplus_0 (\Pi[x:A]Bx) = \Pi[x:A]\Sigma \rightarrow \uplus_0 Bx$ 1173 {-# CATCHALL #-} 1174 $\Sigma \rightarrow \uplus_0 t = t$ 1175

```
1177
                         macro
                            \Sigma \!\! \to \!\! \uplus \; : \; \mathsf{Term} \; \to \; \mathsf{Term} \; \to \; \mathsf{TC} \; \; \mathsf{Unit}. \top
1178
                            \Sigma \to \uplus tm goal = normalise tm >>=_m \lambda tm' \to unify (\Sigma \to \uplus_0 tm') goal
1179
1180
                         -- Unit tests
1181
                          \underline{\phantom{a}}: \Sigma \rightarrow \uplus (\Pi X : \mathbf{Set} \bullet (X \rightarrow X))
                                                                                    \equiv (\Pi \ X : \mathbf{Set} \bullet (X \to X)); \ \_ = refl
                           \Sigma \rightarrow \forall (\Pi \ X : \mathbf{Set} \bullet \Sigma \ s : X \bullet X) \equiv (\Pi \ X : \mathbf{Set} \bullet X \ \forall X) ; \_ = \mathsf{refl}
                           \underline{\quad : \ \Sigma \rightarrow \uplus \ (\Pi \ X : \textbf{Set} \ \bullet \ \Sigma \ s : (X \rightarrow X) \ \bullet \ X) \ \equiv \ (\Pi \ X : \textbf{Set} \ \bullet \ (X \rightarrow X) \ \uplus \ X) \quad ; \ \underline{\quad} = \ \mathsf{refl}}
                          \underline{\quad}:\ \Sigma\to \uplus\ (\Pi\ \mathsf{X}:\ \mathsf{Set}\ \bullet\ \Sigma\ \mathsf{z}:\mathsf{X}\ \bullet\ \Sigma\ \mathsf{s}:\ (\mathsf{X}\ \to\ \mathsf{X})\ \bullet\ \top\ \{\ell_0\})\ \equiv\ (\Pi\ \mathsf{X}:\ \mathsf{Set}\ \bullet\ \mathsf{X}\ \uplus\ (\mathsf{X}\ \to\ \mathsf{X})\ \uplus\ \bot)\quad ;\ \underline{\quad}=\ \mathsf{ref}.
                         D_4 = \Sigma \rightarrow \uplus D_3
                         4-unions : D_4 \equiv \lambda \ X \rightarrow \mathbb{1} \ \uplus \ X \ \uplus \ \mathbb{0}
                         4-unions = refl
          A.11.5 Stage 5: Fixpoint and proof that \mathbb{D} \cong \mathbb{N}.
1190
                         {-# NO_POSITIVITY_CHECK #-}
1191
                         data Fix \{\ell\} (F : Set \ell \rightarrow Set \ell) : Set \ell where
1192
                            \mu : F (Fix F) \rightarrow Fix F
1193
                         \mathbb{D} = Fix D_4
1194
1195
                         -- Pattern synonyms for more compact presentation
1196
                         pattern zeroD = \mu (inj<sub>1</sub> tt)
                                                                               -- : D
1197
                         pattern sucD e = \mu (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>1</sub> e)) -- : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}
1198
                         to : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{N}
1199
                         to zeroD
                                             = 0
1200
                         to (sucD x) = suc (to x)
1201
1202
                         from : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{D}
                                           = zeroD
1203
                          from zero
                          from (suc n) = sucD (from n)
1204
1205
                          toofrom : \forall n \rightarrow to (from n) \equiv n
1206
                          to∘from zero
                                                = refl
1207
                         toofrom (suc n) = cong suc (toofrom n)
1208
                         fromoto : \forall d \rightarrow \text{from (to d)} \equiv d
1209
                         from⊙to zeroD
                                                 = refl
1210
                         fromoto (sucD x) = cong sucD (fromoto x)
1211
          A.11.6 termtype and Inj macros. We summarise the stages together into one macro: "termtype
1212
           : UnaryFunctor \rightarrow Type".
1213
1214
                             termtype : Term \rightarrow Term \rightarrow TC Unit.\top
1215
                             termtype tm goal =
1216
                                                    normalise tm
1217
                                           >=_m \lambda \text{ tm'} \rightarrow \text{unify goal (def (quote Fix) ((vArg ($\Sigma \rightarrow \uplus_0 (sources_1 tm'))) :: []))}
1218
          It is interesting to note that in place of pattern clauses, say for languages that do not support
1219
          them, we would resort to "fancy injections".
1220
                         Inj_0 : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Term} \to \mathsf{Term}
1221
                         Inj<sub>o</sub> zero c
                                                 = con (quote inj<sub>1</sub>) (arg (arg-info visible relevant) c :: [])
                         Inj_0 (suc n) c = con (quote inj_2) (vArg (Inj_0 n c) :: [])
1223
1224
                         -- Duality!
1225
```

```
-- i-th projection: proj_1 \circ (proj_2 \circ \cdots \circ proj_2)
                         -- i-th injection: (inj_2 \circ \cdots \circ inj_2) \circ inj_1
1227
1228
                         macro
1229
                             Inj : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Term} \to \mathsf{Term} \to \mathsf{TC} \; \mathsf{Unit}. \mathsf{T}
1230
                             Inj n t goal = unify goal ((con (quote \mu) []) app (Inj<sub>0</sub> n t))
1231
          With this alternative, we regain the "user chosen constructor names" for \mathbb{D}:
1232
                          startD : D
1233
                          startD = Inj \emptyset (tt \{\ell_0\})
1234
1235
                          \mathsf{nextD'} \; : \; \mathbb{D} \; \to \; \mathbb{D}
                         nextD' d = Inj 1 d
1236
1237
          A.12 Monoids
1238
1239
           A.12.1 Context.
1240
                         Monoid : \forall \ \ell \rightarrow \text{Context } (\ell \text{suc } \ell)
1241
                         Monoid \ell = do Carrier \leftarrow Set \ell
                                                 Τd
                                                              ← Carrier
1242
                                                                ← (Carrier → Carrier → Carrier)
                                                  _⊕_
1243
                                                  leftId \leftarrow \forall \{x : Carrier\} \rightarrow x \oplus Id \equiv x
1244
                                                  rightId \leftarrow \forall \{x : Carrier\} \rightarrow Id \oplus x \equiv x
1245
                                                  \mathsf{assoc} \quad \leftarrow \ \forall \ \{x \ y \ z\} \ \rightarrow \ (x \ \oplus \ y) \ \oplus \ z \ \equiv \ x \ \oplus \ (y \ \oplus \ z)
1246
                                                 End \{\ell\}
1247
          A.12.2 Termtypes.
1248

    M : Set

1249
                          M = \text{termtype (Monoid } \ell_0 : \text{waist 1)}
1250
                          {- ie Fix (\lambda X \rightarrow 1
                                                                       -- Id, nil leaf
1251
                                                   \forall X \times X \times 1 -- \_\oplus\_, branch
                                                                        -- src of leftId
1252
                                                   ₩ ()
                                                                        -- src of rightId
1253
                                                   1254
                                                                        -- the "End \{\ell\}"
1255
                          -}
1256
1257
                          -- Pattern synonyms for more compact presentation
                                                                                                                       -- : M
                          pattern emptyM
                                                           = \mu (inj<sub>1</sub> tt)
1258
                          pattern branchM l r = \mu (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>1</sub> (l , r , tt)))
                                                                                                                      -- : \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{M}
1259
                          pattern absurdM a = \mu (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>2</sub> a)))) -- absurd values of \mathbb{O}
1260
1261
                          data TreeSkeleton : Set where
                             empty : TreeSkeleton
1262
                             branch : TreeSkeleton \rightarrow TreeSkeleton \rightarrow TreeSkeleton
1263
1264
           A.12.3 \mathbb{M} \cong \text{TreeSkeleton}.
1265
                          \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} : \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{TreeSkeleton}
1266
                          \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ \mathsf{emptyM} = \mathsf{empty}
1267
                          \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ (\mathsf{branchM} \ 1 \ \mathsf{r}) = \mathsf{branch} \ (\mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ 1) \ (\mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ \mathsf{r})
1268
                          \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ (\mathsf{absurdM} \ (\mathsf{inj}_1 \ ()))
                          \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \; (\mathsf{absurdM} \; (\mathsf{inj}_2 \; ()))
1269
1270
                          \mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} : \mathsf{TreeSkeleton} \to \mathbb{M}
1271
                          M←Tree empty = emptyM
1272
                          \mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ (\mathsf{branch} \ 1 \ \mathsf{r}) = \mathsf{branchM} \ (\mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ 1) \ (\mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ \mathsf{r})
1273
1274
```

```
1275
                           \mathbb{M} {\leftarrow} \mathsf{Tree} {\circ} \mathbb{M} {\rightarrow} \mathsf{Tree} \; : \; \forall \; \mathsf{m} \; {\rightarrow} \; \mathbb{M} {\leftarrow} \mathsf{Tree} \; \left( \mathbb{M} {\rightarrow} \mathsf{Tree} \; \mathsf{m} \right) \; \equiv \; \mathsf{m}
                           \mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} \circ \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \text{ emptyM} = \mathsf{refl}
1276
                           \mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} \circ \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ (\mathsf{branchM} \ 1 \ r) = \mathsf{cong}_2 \ \mathsf{branchM} \ (\mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} \circ \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ 1) \ (\mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} \circ \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ r)
1277
                           M \leftarrow Tree \circ M \rightarrow Tree (absurdM (inj_1 ()))
                           \mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} \circ \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ (\mathsf{absurdM} \ (\mathsf{inj}_2 \ ()))
                           \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \circ \mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} : \forall \ t \rightarrow \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ (\mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ t) \equiv t
                           \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \circ \mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ \mathsf{empty} = \mathsf{refl}
1281
                           \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \circ \mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} (branch 1 r) = cong<sub>2</sub> branch (\mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \circ \mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} 1) (\mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \circ \mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} r)
1282
1283
           A.13 :kind
1284
                           data Kind : Set where
1285
                               'record
                                                 : Kind
                                'typeclass : Kind
1287
                               'data
                                                 : Kind
1288
                           macro
1289
                               \_:kind\_: Term \rightarrow Term \rightarrow Term \rightarrow TC Unit.\top
1290
                               _:kind_ t (con (quote 'record) _) goal = normalise (t app (quoteTerm ∅))
1291
                                                                  >>=_m \lambda t' \rightarrow unify (waist-helper 0 t') goal
1292
                               _:kind_ t (con (quote 'typeclass) _) goal = normalise (t app (quoteTerm 1))
                                                                  >>=_m \lambda t' \rightarrow \text{unify (waist-helper 1 t') goal}
1293
                               _:kind_ t (con (quote 'data) _) goal = normalise (t app (quoteTerm 1))
1294
                                                                   >>=_m \lambda t' \rightarrow \text{normalise (waist-helper 1 t')}
1295
                                                                  >>=_m \lambda t'' \rightarrow unify goal (def (quote Fix) ((vArg (\Sigma \rightarrow \uplus_0 (sources_1 t''))) :: [])
1296
                               _:kind_ t _ goal = unify t goal
1297
           Informally, _:kind_ behaves as follows:
1298
                                                            = C :waist 0
                            C :kind 'record
1299
                            C :kind 'typeclass = C :waist 1
1300
                            C :kind 'data
                                                            = termtype (C :waist 1)
1301
           A.14 termtype PointedSet \cong 1
1302
                            -- termtype (PointedSet) ≅ ⊤!
1303
                           One : Context (\ell suc \ell_0)
1304
                                           = do Carrier \leftarrow Set \ell_0
                           0ne
1305
                                                    point \leftarrow Carrier
1306
                                                    End \{\ell_0\}
1307
                           One: Set
1308
                           One = termtype (One :waist 1)
1309
1310
                           \text{view}_1 \; : \; \mathbb{O}\text{ne} \; \to \; \mathbb{1}
1311
                           view_1 emptyM = tt
1312
                      The Termtype of Graphs is Vertex Pairs
1313
1314
           From simple graphs (relations) to a syntax about them: One describes a simple graph by presenting
1315
           edges as pairs of vertices!
1316
                           PointedOver<sub>2</sub> : Set \rightarrow Context (\ellsuc \ell_0)
1317
                           PointedOver<sub>2</sub> \Xi = do Carrier \leftarrow Set \ell_0
                                                                     relation \leftarrow (\Xi \rightarrow \Xi \rightarrow Carrier)
1318
```

End $\{\ell_0\}$

 \mathbb{P}_2 X = termtype (PointedOver₂ X :waist 1)

 $\mathbb{P}_2 \;:\; \mathsf{Set} \,\to\, \mathsf{Set}$

1319 1320

1321

```
1324
                        pattern _{=} x y = \mu (inj<sub>1</sub> (x , y , tt))
1325
                        view_2 : \forall \{X\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_2 \ X \rightarrow X \times X
1326
                        view_2 (x \rightleftharpoons y) = x , y
1327
1328
          A.16 No 'constants', whence a type of inifinitely branching terms
1329
                        {\tt PointedOver_3} \ : \ {\tt Set} \ \to \ {\tt Context} \ (\ell_0)
1330
                        PointedOver<sub>3</sub> \Xi
                                                 = do relation \leftarrow (\Xi \rightarrow \Xi \rightarrow \Xi)
1331
                                                            End \{\ell_0\}
1332
                        \mathbb{P}_3: Set
1333
                        \mathbb{P}_3 = termtype (\lambda X \rightarrow PointedOver<sub>3</sub> X 0)
1334
1335
                     \mathbb{P}_2 again!
          A.17
1336
                        PointedOver<sub>4</sub> : Context (\ellsuc \ell_0)
1337
                        PointedOver<sub>4</sub>
                                                     = do \Xi \leftarrow Set
1338
                                                              Carrier \leftarrow Set \ell_0
1339
                                                              relation \leftarrow (\Xi \rightarrow \Xi \rightarrow Carrier)
                                                              End \{\ell_0\}
1340
1341
                        -- The current implementation of "termtype" only allows for one "Set" in the body.
1342
                        -- So we lift both out; thereby regaining \mathbb{P}_2!
1343
1344
                        \mathbb{P}_4: Set \rightarrow Set
                        \mathbb{P}_4 X = termtype ((PointedOver<sub>4</sub> :waist 2) X)
1345
1346
                        pattern \rightleftharpoons x y = \mu (inj<sub>1</sub> (x , y , tt))
1347
1348
                        case_4 : \forall \{X\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_4 \ X \rightarrow Set_1
1349
                        case_4 (x \rightleftharpoons y) = Set
1350
                        -- Claim: Mention in paper.
1351
1352
                                 \mathsf{P}_1 : Set 	o Context = \lambda \Xi 	o do \cdots End
1353
                        -- \cong P<sub>2</sub> :waist 1
1354
                        -- where P_2: Context = do \Xi \leftarrow Set; \cdots End
1355
                     \mathbb{P}_4 again – indexed unary algebras; i.e., "actions"
1356
                        PointedOver<sub>8</sub> : Context (\ellsuc \ell_0)
1357
                        PointedOver<sub>8</sub>
                                                     = do Index
                                                                             ← Set
1358
                                                              Carrier
                                                                              ← Set
1359
                                                              Operation \leftarrow (Index \rightarrow Carrier \rightarrow Carrier)
1360
                                                              End \{\ell_0\}
1361
                        \mathbb{P}_8 \; : \; \mathsf{Set} \; \to \; \mathsf{Set}
1362
                        \mathbb{P}_8 \ X = \text{termtype } ((\text{PointedOver}_8 : \text{waist 2}) \ X)
1363
1364
                        pattern \_\cdot\_ x y = \mu (inj<sub>1</sub> (x , y , tt))
1365
1366
                        \texttt{view}_8 \; : \; \forall \; \{\mathtt{I}\} \; \rightarrow \; \mathbb{P}_8 \; \; \mathtt{I} \; \rightarrow \; \mathsf{Set}_1
                        view_8 (i \cdot e) = Set
1367
1368
              **COMMENT Other experiments
1369
                        {- Yellow:
1370
1371
                        PointedOver<sub>5</sub> : Context (\ellsuc \ell_0)
```

```
1373
                        PointedOver<sub>5</sub> = do One \leftarrow Set
                                                        Two ← Set
1374
                                                        Three \leftarrow (One \rightarrow Two \rightarrow Set)
1375
                                                        End \{\ell_0\}
1376
1377
                        \mathbb{P}_5: Set \rightarrow Set<sub>1</sub>
1378
                        \mathbb{P}_5 X = termtype ((PointedOver<sub>5</sub> :waist 2) X)
                        -- Fix (\lambda Two → One × Two)
1379
1380
                        pattern \underline{\phantom{a}}::_{5} x y = \mu (inj<sub>1</sub> (x , y , tt))
1381
1382
                        \mathsf{case}_5 \;:\; \forall \; \{\mathsf{X}\} \;\rightarrow\; \mathbb{P}_5 \;\; \mathsf{X} \;\rightarrow\; \mathsf{Set}_1
1383
                        case_5 (x ::_5 xs) = Set
1384
                        -}
1385
1386
1387
1388
                        {-- Dependent sums
1389
                        PointedOver_6 : Context \ell_1
1390
                        PointedOver_6 = do Sort \leftarrow Set
1391
                                                     Carrier \leftarrow (Sort \rightarrow Set)
1392
                                                     End \{\ell_0\}
1393
                        \mathbb{P}_6 : Set<sub>1</sub>
1394
                        \mathbb{P}_6 = termtype ((PointedOver<sub>6</sub> :waist 1) )
1395
                        -- Fix (\lambda X \rightarrow X)
1396
1397
                        -}
1398
1399
1400
                        -- Distinuighed subset algebra
1401
1402
                        open import Data.Bool renaming (Bool to B)
1403
1404
                        PointedOver<sub>7</sub> : Context (\ellsuc \ell_0)
1405
                                                   = do Index \leftarrow Set
                        PointedOver<sub>7</sub>
1406
                                                                     \leftarrow (Index \rightarrow \mathbb{B})
                                                              Is
1407
                                                               End \{\ell_0\}
1408
                        -- The current implementation of "termtype" only allows for one "Set" in the body.
1409
                        -- So we lift both out; thereby regaining \mathbb{P}_2!
1410
1411
                        \mathbb{P}_7: Set \rightarrow Set
1412
                        \mathbb{P}_7 \ X = \text{termtype} \ (\lambda \ (\_: Set) \rightarrow (PointedOver_7 : waist 1) \ X)
1413
                        -- \mathbb{P}_1 X \cong X
1414
                        pattern _{=} x y = \mu (inj<sub>1</sub> (x , y , tt))
1415
1416
                        \mathsf{case}_7 \;:\; \forall \; \{\mathtt{X}\} \;\rightarrow\; \mathbb{P}_7 \;\; \mathtt{X} \;\rightarrow\; \mathsf{Set}
1417
                        case_7 \{X\} (\mu (inj_1 x)) = X
1418
                        -}
1419
1420
1421
```

```
1422
1423
1424
                   PointedOver9 : Context \ell_1
1425
                   PointedOver<sub>9</sub>
                                        = do Carrier ← Set
1426
                                                  End \{\ell_0\}
1427
                   -- The current implementation of "termtype" only allows for one "Set" in the body.
                   -- So we lift both out; thereby regaining \mathbb{P}_2!
1429
1430
1431
                   \mathbb{P}_9 = termtype (\lambda (X : Set) \rightarrow (PointedOver_9 :waist 1) X)
1432
                    -- \cong \mathbb{O} \cong Fix (\lambda X \to \mathbb{O})
                   -}
1433
1434
        A.19 Fix Id
1435
                   PointedOver_{10} : Context \ell_1
1436
                   PointedOver_{10}
                                            = do Carrier ← Set
1437
                                                   next
                                                          ← (Carrier → Carrier)
1438
                                                   End \{\ell_0\}
1439
                   -- The current implementation of "termtype" only allows for one "Set" in the body.
1440
                   -- So we lift both out; thereby regaining \mathbb{P}_2!
1441
1442
                   \mathbb{P}_{10} : Set
1443
                   \mathbb{P}_{10} = termtype (\lambda (X : Set) \rightarrow (PointedOver<sub>10</sub> :waist 1) X)
1444
                    -- Fix (\lambda \ X \to X), which does not exist.
1445
1446
1447
1448
1449
1450
1451
1452
1453
1454
1455
1456
1457
1458
1459
1460
1461
1462
1463
1464
1465
1466
1467
1468
1469
```