Functional Pearl: Do-it-yourself module types

ANONYMOUS AUTHOR(S)

Can parameterised records and algebraic datatypes be derived from one pragmatic declaration?

Record types give a universe of discourse, parameterised record types fix parts of that universe ahead of time, and algebraic datatypes give us first-class syntax, whence evaluators and optimisers.

The answer is in the affirmative. Besides a practical shared declaration interface, which is extensible in the language, we also find that common data structures correspond to simple theories.

1 INTRODUCTION

 All too often, when we program, we write the same information two or more times in our code, in different guises. For example, in Haskell, we may write a class, a record to reify that class, and an algebraic type to give us a syntax for programs written using that class. In proof assistants, this tends to get worse rather than better, as parametrized records give us a means to "stage" information. From here on, we will use Agda~Norell [2007] for our examples.

Concretely, suppose we have two monoids $(M_1, _{\circ_1^1}, Id_1)$ and $(M_2, _{\circ_2^2}, Id_2)$, if we know that $ceq: M_1 \equiv M_2$ then it is "obvious" that $Id_2 \circ_2 (x \circ_1 Id_1) \equiv x$ for all $x: M_1$. However, as written, this does not type-check. This is because $_{\circ_2^2}$ expects elements of M_2 but has been given an element of M_1 . Because we have ceq in hand, we can use subst to transport things around. The resulting formula then typechecks, but is hideous. "subst hell" only gets worse. Below, we use pointed magmas for brevity, as the problem is the same.

```
record Magma<sub>0</sub> : Set<sub>1</sub> where

field

Carrier : Set

_%_ : Carrier → Carrier → Carrier

Id : Carrier

module Akward-Formulation (A B : Magma<sub>0</sub>)

(ceq : Magma<sub>0</sub>.Carrier A ≡ Magma<sub>0</sub>.Carrier B)

where

open Magma<sub>0</sub> A renaming (Id to Id<sub>1</sub>; _%_ to _%1_)

open Magma<sub>0</sub> B renaming (Id to Id<sub>2</sub>; _%_ to _%2_)

claim : ∀ x → Id<sub>2</sub> %2 subst id ceq (x %1 Id<sub>1</sub>) ≡ subst id ceq x claim = {!!}
```

It should not be this difficult to state a trivial fact. We could make things artifically prettier by defining coe to be subst id ceq without changing the heart of the matter. But if Magma₀ is the definition used in the library we are using, we are stuck with it, if we want to be compatible with other work.

Ideally, we would prefer to be able to express that the carriers are shared "on the nose", which can be done as follows:

```
record Magma_1 (Carrier : Set) : Set where field 
 _{-}^{\circ} : Carrier \rightarrow Carrier \rightarrow Carrier
```

2018. 2475-1421/2018/1-ART \$15.00 https://doi.org/

```
Id : Carrier

module Nicer
  (M : Set)     {- The shared carrier -}
  (A B : Magma<sub>1</sub> M)
  where
    open Magma<sub>1</sub> A renaming (Id to Id<sub>1</sub>; _%_ to _%<sub>1</sub>_)
    open Magma<sub>1</sub> B renaming (Id to Id<sub>2</sub>; _%_ to _%<sub>2</sub>_)

claim : ∀ x → Id<sub>2</sub> %<sub>2</sub> (x %<sub>1</sub> Id<sub>1</sub>) ≡ x
  claim = {!!}
```

This is the formaluation we expected, without noise. Thus it seems that it would be better to expose the carrier. But, before long, we'd find a different concept, such as homomorphism, which are awkward in this way, and cleaner using the first approach. These two approaches are called *bundled* and *unbundled* respectively?

The definitions of homomorphism themselves (see below) is not so different, but the definition of composition already starts to be quite unwieldly.

So not only are there no general rules for when the bundle or not, it is in fact guaranteed that any given choice will be sub-optimal for certain applications. Furthermore, these types are equivalent, as we can "pack away" an exposed piece, e.g., $Monoid_0 \cong \Sigma M : Set \bullet Monoid_1 M$. The developers of the Agda standard library agd [2020] have chosen to expose all types and function symbols while bundling up the proof obligations at one level, and also provide a fully bundled form as a wrapper. This is also the method chosen in Lean [Hales 2018], and in Coq [Spitters and van der Weegen 2011].

While such a choice is workable, it is still not optimal. There are bundling variants that are unavailable, and would be more convenient for certain application.

We will show an automatic technique for unbundling data at will; thereby resulting in *bundling-independent representations* and in *delayed unbundling*. Our contributions are to show:

- (1) Languages with sufficiently powerful type systems and meta-programming can conflate record and term datatype declarations into one practical interface. In addition, the contents of these grouping mechanisms may be function symbols as well as propositional invariants —an example is shown at the end of Section 3. We identify the problem and the subtleties in shifting between representations in Section 2.
- (2) Parameterised records can be obtained on-demand from non-parameterised records (Section 3).
 - As with Magma₀, the traditional approach [Gross et al. 2014] to unbundling a record requires the use of transport along propositional equalities, with trivial refl-exivity proofs. In

Section 3, we develop a combinator, _:waist_, which removes the boilerplate necessary at the type specialisation location as well as at the instance declaration location.

(3) Programming with fixed-points of unary type constructors can be made as simple as programming with term datatypes (Section 4).

As an application, in Section 5 we show that the resulting setup applies as a semantics for a declarative pre-processing tool that accomplishes the above tasks.

For brevity, and accessibility, a number of definitions are elided and only dashed pseudo-code is presented in the paper, with the understanding that such functions need to be extended homomorphically over all possible term constructors of the host language. Enough is shown to communicate the techniques and ideas, as well as to make the resulting library usable. The details, which users do not need to bother with, can be found in the appendices.

2 THE PROBLEMS

There are a number of problems, with the number of parameters being exposed being the pivotal concern. To exemplify the distinctions at the type level as more parameters are exposed, consider the following approaches to formalising a dynamical system —a collection of states, a designated start state, and a transition function.

```
record DynamicSystem<sub>0</sub> : Set<sub>1</sub> where
    field
        State : Set
        start : State
        next : State → State

record DynamicSystem<sub>1</sub> (State : Set) : Set where
    field
        start : State
        next : State → State

record DynamicSystem<sub>2</sub> (State : Set) (start : State) : Set where
    field
        next : State → State
```

Each DynamicSystem $_i$ is a type constructor of i-many arguments; but it is the types of these constructors that provide insight into the sort of data they contain:

```
Type Kind

DynamicSystem<sub>0</sub> Set<sub>1</sub>

DynamicSystem<sub>1</sub> II X : Set • Set

DynamicSystem<sub>2</sub> II X : Set • II x : X • Set
```

We shall refer to the concern of moving from a record to a parameterised record as **the unbundling problem** [Garillot et al. 2009]. For example, moving from the *type* Set₁ to the *function type* Π X: Set \bullet Set gets us from DynamicSystem₀ to something resembling DynamicSystem₁, which we arrive at if we can obtain a *type constructor* λ X: Set \bullet ····. We shall refer to the latter change as *reification* since the result is more concrete, it can be applied; it will be denoted by $\Pi \rightarrow \lambda$. To clarify this subtlety, consider the following forms of the polymorphic identity function. Notice that id_i *exposes i*-many details at the type level to indicate the sort it consists of. However, notice that id_0 is a type of functions whereas id_1 is a function on types. Indeed, the latter two are derived from the first one: $\mathrm{id}_{i+1} = \Pi \rightarrow \lambda$ id_i The latter identity is proven by reflexivity in the appendices.

Of course, there is also the need for descriptions of values, which leads to the following term datatypes. We shall refer to the shift from record types to algebraic data types as **the termtype problem**. Our aim is to obtain all of these notions —of ways to group data together— from a single user-friendly context declaration, using monadic notation.

3 MONADIC NOTATION

 There is little use in an idea that is difficult to use in practice. As such, we conflate records and termtypes by starting with an ideal syntax they would share, then derive the necessary artefacts that permit it. Our choice of syntax is monadic do-notation [Moggi 1991; ?]:

```
\begin{array}{lll} {\sf DynamicSystem} \ : \ {\sf Context} \ \ell_1 \\ {\sf DynamicSystem} \ = \ {\sf do} \ {\sf State} \ \leftarrow \ {\sf Set} \\ & {\sf start} \ \leftarrow \ {\sf State} \\ & {\sf next} \ \leftarrow \ ({\sf State} \ \rightarrow \ {\sf State}) \\ & {\sf End} \end{array}
```

Here Context, End, and the underlying monadic bind operator are unknown. Since we want to be able to *expose* a number of fields at will, we may take Context to be types indexed by a number denoting exposure. Moreover, since records are a product type, we expect there to be a recursive definition whose base case will be the essential identity of products, the unit type 1.

Table 1. Elaborations of DynamicSystem at various exposure levels

```
Exposure Elaboration

0 \Sigma State : Set • \Sigma start : X • \Sigma next : State \rightarrow State • \mathbb{1}

1 \Pi State : Set • \Sigma start : X • \Sigma next : State \rightarrow State • \mathbb{1}

2 \Pi State : Set • \Pi start : X • \Sigma next : State \rightarrow State • \mathbb{1}

3 \Pi State : Set • \Pi start : X • \Pi next : State \rightarrow State • \mathbb{1}
```

With these elaborations of DynamicSystem to guide the way, we resolve two of our unknowns.

```
{- "Contexts" are exposure-indexed types -} Context = \lambda \ell \to N \to Set \ell {- Every type is a context -} '_-: \forall {\ell} \to Set \ell \to Context \ell ' S = \lambda _ \to S {- The "empty context" is the unit type -} End : \forall {\ell} \to Context \ell End = ' 1
```

It remains to identify the definition of the underlying bind operation >>=. Classically, for a type constructor m, bind is typed $\forall \{X \ Y : Set\} \rightarrow m \ X \rightarrow (X \rightarrow m \ Y) \rightarrow m \ Y$. It allows one to "extract an X-value for later use" in the m Y context. Since our m = Context is from levels to types, we need to slightly alter bind's typing.

```
\begin{array}{l} \_>>=\_: \ \forall \ \{a\ b\}\\ \ \to \ (\Gamma: \ \mathsf{Context}\ a)\\ \ \to \ (\forall \ \{n\} \to \Gamma\ n \to \mathsf{Context}\ b)\\ \ \to \ \mathsf{Context}\ (a \uplus b)\\ (\Gamma>>=f) \ \mathsf{zero} = \Sigma\ \gamma: \Gamma\ \emptyset \bullet f\ \gamma\ \emptyset\\ (\Gamma>>=f) \ (\mathsf{suc}\ n) = \Pi\ \gamma: \Gamma\ n \bullet f\ \gamma\ n \end{array}
```

The definition here accounts for the current exposure index: If zero, we have *record types*, otherwise *function types*. Using this definition, the above dynamical system context would need to be expressed using the lifting quote operation.

```
'Set >>= \lambda State → 'State >>= \lambda start → '(State → State) >>= \lambda next → End {- or -} do State ← 'Set start ← 'State next ← '(State → State) End
```

Interestingly [Bird 2009; Hudak et al. 2007], use of do-notation in preference to bind, >>=, was suggested by John Launchbury in 1993 and was first implemented by Mark Jones in Gofer. Anyhow, with our goal of practicality in mind, we shall "build the lifting quote into the definition" of bind: With this definition, the above declaration DynamicSystem typechecks. However, DynamicSystem *i*

Listing 1. Semantics: Context do-syntax is interpreted as Π - Σ -types

 \ncong DynamicSystem_i, instead DynamicSystem i are "factories": Given i-many arguments, a product value is formed. What if we want to *instantiate* some of the factory arguments ahead of time?

It seems what we need is a method, say $\Pi \to \lambda$, that takes a Π -type and transforms it into a λ -expression. One could use a universe, an algebraic type of codes denoting types, to define $\Pi \to \lambda$. However, one can no longer then easily use existing types since they are not formed from the

universe's constructors, thereby resulting in duplication of existing types via the universe encoding. This is not practical nor pragmatic.

As such, we are left with pattern matching on the language's type formation primitives as the only reasonable approach. The method $\Pi \rightarrow \lambda$ is thus a macro that acts on the syntactic term representations of types. Below is main transformation —the details can be found in Appendix A.7.

 $\boxed{\Pi \rightarrow \lambda \ (\Pi \ a : A \bullet \tau) = (\lambda \ a : A \bullet \tau)}$ That is, we walk along the term tree replacing occurrences of Π with λ . For example,

246

247

248

249

251 252 253

255

257

259

261

263

265

267 268 269

270

271

272

273

275

277

278

279 280

281

282

283

284

285

286 287

288

289 290

291

293 294

```
\Pi \rightarrow \lambda \ (\Pi \rightarrow \lambda \ (DynamicSystem 2))
≡{- Definition of DynamicSystem at exposure level 2 -}
    \Pi \rightarrow \lambda \ (\Pi \rightarrow \lambda \ (\Pi \ X : \mathbf{Set} \bullet \Pi \ s : X \bullet \Sigma \ n : X \rightarrow X \bullet \mathbb{1}))
\equiv \{-\text{ Definition of } \prod \rightarrow \lambda - \}
    \Pi \rightarrow \lambda \ (\lambda \ X : \mathbf{Set} \bullet \Pi \ \mathbf{s} : X \bullet \Sigma \ \mathbf{n} : X \rightarrow X \bullet \mathbb{1})
\equiv \{-\text{ Homomorphy of } \Pi \rightarrow \lambda - \}
    \lambda \ \mathsf{X} : \mathbf{Set} \bullet \Pi \rightarrow \lambda \ (\Pi \ \mathsf{s} : \mathsf{X} \bullet \Sigma \ \mathsf{n} : \mathsf{X} \rightarrow \mathsf{X} \bullet \mathbb{1})
\equiv \{-\text{ Definition of } \Pi \rightarrow \lambda - \}
    \lambda X : Set • \lambda s : X • \Sigma n : X \rightarrow X • 1
```

For practicality, _:waist_ is a macro acting on contexts that repeats $\Pi \rightarrow \lambda$ a number of times in order to lift a number of field components to the parameter level.

```
\tau :waist n = \Pi \rightarrow \lambda^n (\tau n)
f^{0} x = x

f^{n+1} x = f^{n} (f x)
```

We can now "fix arguments ahead of time". Before such demonstration, we need to be mindful of our practicality goals: One declares a grouping mechanism with do . . . End, which in turn has its instance values constructed with $\langle \dots \rangle$.

```
-- Expressions of the form "··· , tt" may now be written "⟨ ··· ⟩"
infixr 5 ( _)
\langle \rangle : \forall \{\ell\} \rightarrow \mathbb{1} \{\ell\}
\langle \rangle = tt
\langle : \forall \{\ell\} \{S : \mathbf{Set} \ \ell\} \rightarrow S \rightarrow S
\langle s = s \rangle
\_\ : \forall \{\ell\} \{S : \mathbf{Set} \ \ell\} \rightarrow S \rightarrow S \times (\mathbb{1} \ \{\ell\})
s \rangle = s, tt
```

The following instances of grouping types demonstrate how information moves from the body level to the parameter level.

```
N<sup>0</sup> : DynamicSystem :waist ∅
\mathcal{N}^0 = \langle \mathbb{N}, \emptyset, \operatorname{suc} \rangle
N^1: (DynamicSystem: waist 1) \mathbb{N}
\mathcal{N}^1 = \langle 0, \text{suc} \rangle
N² : (DynamicSystem :waist 2) № 0
\mathcal{N}^2 = \langle \text{ suc } \rangle
```

```
\mathcal{N}^3 : (DynamicSystem :waist 3) \mathbb{N} 0 suc \mathcal{N}^3 = \langle \rangle
```

Using :waist i we may fix the first i-parameters ahead of time. Indeed, the type (DynamicSystem :waist 1) \mathbb{N} is the type of dynamic systems over carrier \mathbb{N} , whereas (DynamicSystem :waist 2) \mathbb{N} 0 is the type of dynamic systems over carrier \mathbb{N} and start state 0.

Examples of the need for such on-the-fly unbundling can be found in numerous places in the Haskell standard library. For instance, the standard libraries [dat 2020] have two isomorphic copies of the integers, called Sum and Product, whose reason for being is to distinguish two common monoids: The former is for *integers with addition* whereas the latter is for *integers with multiplication*. An orthogonal solution would be to use contexts:

```
Monoid : \forall \ \ell \rightarrow \mathsf{Context} \ (\ell \mathsf{suc} \ \ell)
Monoid \ell = \mathsf{do} \ \mathsf{Carrier} \leftarrow \mathsf{Set} \ \ell
- \oplus_- \qquad \leftarrow \ (\mathsf{Carrier} \rightarrow \mathsf{Carrier})
\mathsf{Id} \qquad \leftarrow \mathsf{Carrier}
\mathsf{leftId} \leftarrow \forall \ \{ \mathsf{x} : \mathsf{Carrier} \} \rightarrow \mathsf{x} \oplus \mathsf{Id} \equiv \mathsf{x}
\mathsf{rightId} \leftarrow \forall \ \{ \mathsf{x} : \mathsf{Carrier} \} \rightarrow \mathsf{Id} \oplus \mathsf{x} \equiv \mathsf{x}
\mathsf{assoc} \qquad \leftarrow \forall \ \{ \mathsf{x} \ \mathsf{y} \ \mathsf{z} \} \rightarrow (\mathsf{x} \oplus \mathsf{y}) \oplus \mathsf{z} \equiv \mathsf{x} \oplus (\mathsf{y} \oplus \mathsf{z})
\mathsf{End} \ \{ \ell \}
```

With this context, (Monoid ℓ_0 : waist 2) M \oplus is the type of monoids over *particular* types M and *particular* operations \oplus . Of-course, this is orthogonal, since traditionally unification on the carrier type M is what makes typeclasses and canonical structures [Mahboubi and Tassi 2013] useful for ad-hoc polymorphism.

4 TERMTYPES AS FIXED-POINTS

We have a practical monadic syntax for possibly parameterised record types that we would like to extend to termtypes. Algebraic data types are a means to declare concrete representations of the least fixed-point of a functor; see [Swierstra 2008] for more on this idea. for more on this idea. In particular, the description language $\mathbb D$ for dynamical systems, below, declares concrete constructors for a certain fixpoint F; i.e., $\mathbb D\cong \operatorname{Fix} F$ where:

```
data \mathbb{D}: Set where startD: \mathbb{D} nextD: \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}

F: Set \to Set
F = \lambda (D: Set) \to 1 \uplus D

data Fix (F: Set \to Set): Set where \mu: F (Fix F) \to Fix F
```

The problem is whether we can derive F from DynamicSystem. Let us attempt a quick calculation.

```
do X \leftarrow Set; z \leftarrow X; s \leftarrow (X \rightarrow X); End 

\Rightarrow {- Use existing interpretation to obtain a record. -} 

\Sigma X : Set \bullet \Sigma z : X \bullet \Sigma s : (X \rightarrow X) \bullet 1 

\Rightarrow {- Pull out the carrier, ":waist 1", to obtain a type constructor using "\Pi \rightarrow \lambda" 

\lambda X : Set \bullet \Sigma z : X \bullet \Sigma s : (X \rightarrow X) \bullet 1 

\Rightarrow {- Termtype constructors target the declared type, so only their sources matte 

E.g., 'z : X' is a nullary constructor targeting the carrier 'X'.
```

```
This introduces 1 types, so any existing occurances are dropped via \mathbb{O}. -} \lambda X : Set \bullet \Sigma z : 1 \bullet \Sigma s : X \bullet \mathbb{O} \Rightarrow {- Termtypes are sums of products. -} \lambda X : Set \bullet 1 \oplus X \oplus \mathbb{O} \Rightarrow {- Termtypes are fixpoints of type constructors. -} Fix (\lambda X \bullet 1 \oplus X) -- i.e., \mathbb{D}
```

Since we may view an algebraic data-type as a fixed-point of the functor obtained from the union of the sources of its constructors, it suffices to treat the fields of a record as constructors, then obtain their sources, then union them. That is, since algebraic-datatype constructors necessarily target the declared type, they are determined by their sources. For example, considered as a unary constructor op: $A \to B$ targets the type termtype B and so its source is A. The details on the operations $\downarrow \!\!\downarrow$, $\Sigma \to \!\!\!\downarrow \!\!\downarrow$, sources shown below can be found in appendices A.3.4, A.11.4, and A.11.3, respectively.

```
\begin{array}{l} \downarrow \hspace{-0.2cm} \tau \hspace{-0.2cm} = \hspace{-0.2cm} \text{``reduce all de brujin indices within } \tau \hspace{-0.2cm} \text{by 1''} \\ \Sigma \to \hspace{-0.2cm} \hspace{-0.2cm} \hspace{-0.2cm} \Sigma \to \hspace{-0.2cm} \hspace{-0cm} \hspace{-0.2cm} \hspace
```

It is instructive to visually see how $\mathbb D$ is obtained from termtype in order to demonstrate that this approach to algebraic data types is practical.

With the pattern declarations, we can actually use these more meaningful names, when pattern matching, instead of the seemingly daunting μ -inj-ections. For instance, we can immediately see that the natural numbers act as the description language for dynamical systems:

```
to : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{N}

to startD = 0

to (nextD x) = suc (to x)

from : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{D}

from zero = startD

from (suc n) = nextD (from n)
```

Readers whose language does not have **pattern** clauses need not despair. With the macro Inj n x = μ (inj₂ n (inj₁ x)), we may define startD = Inj 0 tt and nextD e = Inj 1 e —that is, constructors of termtypes are particular injections into the possible summands that the termtype consists of. Details on this macro may be found in appendix A.11.6.

5 RELATED WORKS

 Surprisingly, conflating parameterised and non-parameterised record types with termtypes within a language in a practical fashion has not been done before.

 The PackageFormer [Al-hassy 2019; Al-hassy et al. 2019] editor extension reads contexts —in nearly the same notation as ours— enclosed in dedicated comments, then generates and imports Agda code from them seamlessly in the background whenever typechecking transpires. The framework provides a fixed number of meta-primitives for producing arbitrary notions of grouping mechanisms, and allows arbitrary Emacs Lisp [Graham 1995] to be invoked in the construction of complex grouping mechanisms.

Table 2. Comparing the in-language Context mechanism with the PackageFormer editor extension

	PackageFormer	Contexts
Type of Entity	Preprocessing Tool	Language Library
Specification Language	Lisp + Agda	Agda
Well-formedness Checking	X	✓
Termination Checking	✓	✓
Elaboration Tooltips	✓	×
Rapid Prototyping	✓	✓ (Slower)
Usability Barrier	None	None
Extensibility Barrier	Lisp	Weak Metaprogramming

The original PackageFormer paper provided the syntax necessary to form useful grouping mechanisms but was shy on the semantics of such constructs. We have chosen the names of our combinators to closely match those of PackageFormer's with an aim of furnishing the mechanism with semantics by construing the syntax as semantics-functions; i.e., we have a shallow embedding of PackageFormer's constructs as Agda entities:

Table 3. Contexts as a semantics for PackageFormer constructs

Syntax	Semantics
PackageFormer	Context
:waist	:waist
	Forward function application
:kind	:kind, see below
:level	Agda built-in
:alter-elements	Agda macros

PackageFormer's _:kind_ meta-primitive dictates how an abstract grouping mechanism should be viewed in terms of existing Agda syntax. However, unlike PackageFormer, all of our syntax consists of legitimate Agda terms. Since language syntax is being manipulated, we are forced to define it as a macro:

```
'record : Kind
'typeclass : Kind
'data : Kind

C :kind 'record = C 0
C :kind 'typeclass = C :waist 1
C :kind 'data = termtype (C :waist 1)
```

data Kind : Set where

We did not expect to be able to assign a full semantics to PackageFormer's syntactic constructs due to Agda's substantially weak metaprogramming mechanism. However, it is important to note that PackageFormer's Lisp extensibility expedites the process of trying out arbitrary grouping mechanisms—such as partial-choices of pushouts and pullbacks along user-provided assignment functions— since it is all either string or symbolic list manipulation. On the Agda side, using contexts, it would require exponentially more effort due to the limited reflection mechanism and the intrusion of the stringent type system.

6 CONCLUSION

Starting from the insight that related grouping mechanisms could be unified, we showed how related structures can be obtained from a single declaration using a practical interface. The resulting framework, based on contexts, still captures the familiar record declaration syntax as well as the expressivity of usual algebraic datatype declarations —at the minimal cost of using pattern declarations to aide as user-chosen constructor names. We believe that our approach to using contexts as general grouping mechanisms with a practical interface are interesting contributions.

We used the focus on practicality to guide the design of our context interface, and provided interpretations both for the rather intuitive "contexts are name-type records" view, and for the novel "contexts are fixed-points" view for termtypes. In addition, to obtain parameterised variants, we needed to explicitly form "contexts whose contents are over a given ambient context" —e.g., contexts of vector spaces are usually discussed with the understanding that there is a context of fields that can be referenced— which we did using monads. These relationships are summarised in the following table.

Table 4. Contexts embody all kinds of grouping mechanisms

Concept	Concrete Syntax	Description
Context	do S \leftarrow Set; s \leftarrow S; n \leftarrow (S \rightarrow S); End	"name-type pairs"
Record Type	Σ S : Set \bullet Σ s : S \bullet Σ n : S \to S \bullet 1	"bundled-up data"
Function Type	$\Pi \ S \bullet \Sigma \ s : S \bullet \Sigma \ n : S \to S \bullet \mathbb{1}$	"a type of functions"
Type constructor	$\lambda \ S \bullet \Sigma \ s : S \bullet \Sigma \ n : S \to S \bullet 1$	"a function on types"
Algebraic datatype	data $\mathbb D$: Set where s : $\mathbb D$; n : $\mathbb D$ $ o$ $\mathbb D$	"a descriptive syntax"

To those interested in exotic ways to group data together —such as, mechanically deriving product types and homomorphism types of theories— we offer an interface that is extensible using Agda's reflection mechanism. In comparison with, for example, special-purpose preprocessing tools, this has obvious advantages in accessibility and semantics.

To Agda programmers, this offers a standard interface for grouping mechanisms that had been sorely missing, with an interface that is so familiar that there would be little barrier to its use. In particular, as we have shown, it acts as an in-language library for exploiting relationships between free theories and data structures. As we have only presented the high-level definitions of the core combinators, leaving the Agda-specific details to the appendices, it is also straightforward to translate the library into other dependently-typed languages.

REFERENCES

2020. Agda Standard Library. https://github.com/agda/agda-stdlib

2020. Haskell Basic Libraries — Data.Monoid. http://hackage.haskell.org/package/base-4.12.0.0/docs/Data-Monoid.html Musa Al-hassy. 2019. The Next 700 Module Systems: Extending Dependently-Typed Languages to Implement Module System Features In The Core Language. https://alhassy.github.io/next-700-module-systems-proposal/thesis-proposal.pdf

Musa Al-hassy, Jacques Carette, and Wolfram Kahl. 2019. A language feature to unbundle data at will (short paper). In Proceedings of the 18th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Generative Programming: Concepts and Experiences, GPCE 2019, Athens, Greece, October 21-22, 2019, Ina Schaefer, Christoph Reichenbach, and Tijs van der Storm (Eds.). ACM, 14–19. https://doi.org/10.1145/3357765.3359523

Richard Bird. 2009. Thinking Functionally with Haskell. (2009). https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9781316092415

Ana Bove, Peter Dybjer, and Ulf Norell. 2009. A Brief Overview of Agda — A Functional Language with Dependent Types. In Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics, 22nd International Conference, TPHOLs 2009, Munich, Germany, August 17–20, 2009. Proceedings. 73–78. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-03359-9_6

François Garillot, Georges Gonthier, Assia Mahboubi, and Laurence Rideau. 2009. Packaging Mathematical Structures. In *Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics (Lecture Notes in Computer Science)*, Tobias Nipkow and Christian Urban (Eds.), Vol. 5674. Springer, Munich, Germany. https://hal.inria.fr/inria-00368403

Paul Graham. 1995. ANSI Common Lisp. Prentice Hall Press, USA.

Tom Hales. 2018. A Review of the Lean Theorem Prover. https://jiggerwit.wordpress.com/2018/09/18/a-review-of-the-lean-theorem-prover/

Paul Hudak, John Hughes, Simon L. Peyton Jones, and Philip Wadler. 2007. A history of Haskell: being lazy with class. In Proceedings of the Third ACM SIGPLAN History of Programming Languages Conference (HOPL-III), San Diego, California, USA, 9-10 June 2007, Barbara G. Ryder and Brent Hailpern (Eds.). ACM, 1–55. https://doi.org/10.1145/1238844.1238856

Assia Mahboubi and Enrico Tassi. 2013. Canonical Structures for the working Coq user. In *ITP 2013, 4th Conference on Interactive Theorem Proving (LNCS)*, Sandrine Blazy, Christine Paulin, and David Pichardie (Eds.), Vol. 7998. Springer, Rennes, France, 19–34. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-39634-2_5

Eugenio Moggi. 1991. Notions of Computation and Monads. Inf. Comput. 93, 1 (1991), 55–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/0890-5401(91)90052-4

Ulf Norell. 2007. *Towards a Practical Programming Language Based on Dependent Type Theory.* Ph.D. Dissertation. Dept. Comp. Sci. and Eng., Chalmers Univ. of Technology.

Bas Spitters and Eelis van der Weegen. 2011. Type classes for mathematics in type theory. *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science* 21, 4 (2011), 795–825. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960129511000119

Wouter Swierstra. 2008. Data types à la carte. J. Funct. Program. 18, 4 (2008), 423–436. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0956796808006758

Jim Woodcock and Jim Davies. 1996. Using Z: Specification, Refinement, and Proof. Prentice-Hall, Inc., USA.

7 OLD WHY SYNTAX

MAYBE_DELETE

The archetype for records and termtypes —algebraic data types— are monoids. They describe untyped compositional structures, such as programs in dynamically type-checked language. In turn, their termtype is linked lists which reify a monoid value —such as a program— as a sequence of values —i.e., a list of language instructions— which 'evaluate' to the original value. The shift to syntax gives rise to evaluators, optimisers, and constrained recursion-induction principles.

8 OLD GRAPH IDEAS

MAYBE_DELETE

8.1 From the old introduction section

For example, there are two ways to implement the type of graphs in the dependently-typed language Agda [Bove et al. 2009; Norell 2007]: Having the vertices be a parameter or having them be a field of the record. Then there is also the syntax for graph vertex relationships. Suppose a library designer decides to work with fully bundled graphs, Graph₀ below, then a user decides to write the function comap, which relabels the vertices of a graph, using a function f to transform vertices.

```
record Graph_0: Set_1 where  \begin{array}{c} constructor \ \langle\_,\_\rangle_0 \\ field \\ Vertex: Set \\ Edges: Vertex \rightarrow Vertex \rightarrow Set \\ \\ comap_0: \{A \ B: Set\} \\ & \rightarrow \ (f: A \rightarrow B) \\ & \rightarrow \ (\Sigma \ G: Graph_0 \bullet Vertex \ G \equiv B) \\ & \rightarrow \ (\Sigma \ H: Graph_0 \bullet Vertex \ H \equiv A) \\ \\ comap_0 \ \{A\} \ f \ (G \ , \ refl) = \langle \ A \ , \ (\lambda \ x \ y \rightarrow Edges \ G \ (f \ x) \ (f \ y)) \ \rangle_0 \ , \ refl \\ \end{array}
```

Since the vertices are packed away as components of the records, the only way for f to refer to them is to awkwardly refer to seemingly arbitrary types, only then to have the vertices of the input graph G and the output graph G be constrained to match the type of the relabelling function f. Without the constraints, we could not even write the function for G-raph $_0$. With such an importance, it is surprising to see that the occurrences of the constraint proofs are uninsightful ref1-exivity proofs.

What the user would really want is to unbundle $Graph_0$ at will, to expose the first argument, to obtain $Graph_1$ below. Then, in stark contrast, the implementation $comap_1$ does not carry any excesses baggage at the type level nor at the implementation level.

```
\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{record} \ \mathsf{Graph}_1 \ \ (\mathsf{Vertex} \ : \ \textbf{Set}) \ : \ \mathsf{Set}_1 \ \textbf{where} \\ & \textbf{constructor} \ \langle \_ \rangle_1 \\ & \textbf{field} \\ & \texttt{Edges} \ : \ \mathsf{Vertex} \ \rightarrow \ \mathsf{Vertex} \ \rightarrow \ \textbf{Set} \\ & \texttt{Comap}_1 \ : \ \{ \mathsf{A} \ \mathsf{B} \ : \ \textbf{Set} \} \\ & \rightarrow \ (\mathsf{f} \ : \ \mathsf{A} \ \rightarrow \ \mathsf{B}) \\ & \rightarrow \ \mathsf{Graph}_1 \ \mathsf{B} \\ & \rightarrow \ \mathsf{Graph}_1 \ \mathsf{A} \\ & \texttt{comap}_1 \ f \ \langle \ \mathsf{edges} \ \rangle_1 \ = \ \langle \ (\lambda \ \mathsf{x} \ \mathsf{y} \ \rightarrow \ \mathsf{edges} \ (\mathsf{f} \ \mathsf{x}) \ (\mathsf{f} \ \mathsf{y})) \ \rangle_1 \end{array}
```

With Graph₁, one immediately sees that the comap operation "pulls back" the vertex type. Such an observation for Graph₀ is not as easy; requiring familiarity with quantifier laws such as the one-point rule and quantifier distributivity.

9 OLD FREE DATATYPES FROM THEORIES

MAYBE_DELETE

Astonishingly, useful programming datatypes arise from termtypes of theories (contexts). That is, if $C: \mathbf{Set} \to \mathbf{Context} \ \ell_0$ then $\mathbb{C}' = \lambda \ \mathsf{X} \to \mathbf{termtype} \ (C \ \mathsf{X}: \mathsf{waist} \ 1)$ can be used to form 'free, lawless, C-instances'. For instance, earlier we witnessed that the termtype of dynamical systems is essentially the natural numbers.

Table 5. Data structures as free theories

Theory	Termtype
Dynamical Systems	N
Pointed Structures	Maybe
Monoids	Binary Trees

To obtain trees over some 'value type' Ξ , one must start at the theory of "monoids containing a given set Ξ ". Similarly, by starting at "theories of pointed sets over a given set Ξ ", the resulting

 termtype is the Maybe type constructor —another instructive exercise to the reader: Show that $\mathbb{P}\cong$ Maybe.

```
PointedOver : Set \rightarrow Context (\ellsuc \ell_0)

PointedOver \Xi = do Carrier \leftarrow Set \ell_0

point \leftarrow Carrier

embed \leftarrow (\Xi \rightarrow Carrier)

End

P : Set \rightarrow Set

P X = termtype (PointedOver X :waist 1)

-- Pattern synonyms for more compact presentation pattern nothingP = \mu (inj<sub>1</sub> tt) -- : \mathbb{P}

pattern justP e = \mu (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>1</sub> e)) -- : \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}
```

The final entry in the table is a well known correspondence, that we can, not only formally express, but also prove to be true. We present the setup and leave it as an instructive exercise to the reader to present a bijective pair of functions between \mathbb{M} and TreeSkeleton. Hint: Interactively case-split on values of \mathbb{M} until the declared patterns appear, then associate them with the constructors of TreeSkeleton.

```
\mathbb{M}: Set \mathbb{M}= termtype (Monoid \ell_0 :waist 1) 
-- Pattern synonyms for more compact presentation pattern emptyM = \mu (inj<sub>1</sub> tt) -- : \mathbb{M} pattern branchM l r = \mu (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>1</sub> (l , r , tt))) -- : \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{M} pattern absurdM a = \mu (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>2</sub> a)))) -- absurd values of \mathbb{Q} data TreeSkeleton : Set where empty : TreeSkeleton \to TreeSkeleton \to TreeSkeleton
```

9.1 Collection Context

```
Collection : \forall \ \ell \rightarrow \mathsf{Context} \ (\ell \mathsf{suc} \ \ell)
Collection \ell = \mathsf{do}

Elem \leftarrow \mathsf{Set} \ \ell
Carrier \leftarrow \mathsf{Set} \ \ell
insert \leftarrow (\mathsf{Elem} \rightarrow \mathsf{Carrier} \rightarrow \mathsf{Carrier})
\emptyset \leftarrow \mathsf{Carrier}
isEmpty \leftarrow (\mathsf{Carrier} \rightarrow \mathsf{Bool})
insert-nonEmpty \leftarrow \forall \ \{\mathsf{e} : \mathsf{Elem}\} \ \{\mathsf{x} : \mathsf{Carrier}\} \rightarrow \mathsf{isEmpty} \ (\mathsf{insert} \ \mathsf{e} \ \mathsf{x}) \equiv \mathsf{false}
End \{\ell\}

ListColl : \{\ell : \mathsf{Level}\} \rightarrow \mathsf{Collection} \ \ell \ 1
ListColl E = \langle \mathsf{List} \ \mathsf{E}
, \ \ldots
, \ []
```

, (λ { [] \rightarrow true; $_$ \rightarrow false})

, $(\lambda \ \{x\} \ \{x = x_1\} \rightarrow refl)$

638 639

681 682

683

684

685 686 PointedOver.

```
640
                                                      NCollection = (Collection \ell_0 :waist 2)
641
                                                                                                                              ("Elem"
                                                                                                                                                                              = Digit)
                                                                                                                              ("Carrier" = N)
                                                      -- i.e., (Collection \ell_0 :waist 2) Digit N
                                                       stack : NCollection
                                                      stack = ( "insert"
                                                                                                                                                               = (\lambda d s \rightarrow suc (10 * s + \# \rightarrow \mathbb{N} d))
                                                                                                  "empty stack" = 0
                                                                                                                                                           = (\lambda \{ \emptyset \rightarrow \mathsf{true}; \_ \rightarrow \mathsf{false} \})
                                                                                                  "is-empty"
                                                                                          -- Properties --
651
                                                                                          , (\lambda \{d : Digit\} \{s : \mathbb{N}\} \rightarrow refl \{x = false\})
653
                                          Elem, Carrier, insert projections
655
                                                      Elem
                                                                                                  : \forall \{\ell\} \rightarrow \text{Collection } \ell \ \emptyset \rightarrow \textbf{Set} \ \ell
                                                                                                  = \lambda C \rightarrow Field \emptyset C
                                                      Elem
657
659
                                                      Carrier : \forall \{\ell\} \rightarrow \text{Collection } \ell \ \emptyset \rightarrow \text{Set } \ell
                                                      Carrier_1 : \forall \{\ell\} \rightarrow Collection \ \ell \ 1 \rightarrow (\gamma : \textbf{Set} \ \ell) \rightarrow \textbf{Set} \ \ell
661
                                                      Carrier<sub>1</sub>': \forall \{\ell\} \{\gamma : \mathbf{Set} \ \ell\} \ (\mathsf{C} : (\mathsf{Collection} \ \ell : \mathsf{waist} \ 1) \ \gamma) \to \mathbf{Set} \ \ell
662
                                                      Carrier = \lambda C \rightarrow Field 1 C
663
                                                      \mathsf{Carrier}_1 \ \ = \ \lambda \ \mathsf{C} \ \gamma \ \to \ \mathsf{Field} \ \emptyset \ (\mathsf{C} \ \gamma)
                                                      Carrier<sub>1</sub>' = \lambda C \rightarrow Field 0 C
665
666
                                                                                      : \forall \ \{\ell\} \ (\mathtt{C} : \mathtt{Collection} \ \ell \ \emptyset) \ 	o \ (\mathtt{Elem} \ \mathtt{C} \ 	o \ \mathtt{Carrier} \ \mathtt{C} \ 	o \ \mathtt{Carrier} \ \mathtt{C})
667
                                                       insert<sub>1</sub> : \forall \{\ell\} (C : Collection \ell 1) (\gamma : Set \ell) \rightarrow \gamma \rightarrow \text{Carrier}_1 C \gamma \rightarrow \text{Carrier}_2
                                                       \mathsf{insert_1'}: \forall \ \{\ell\} \ \{\gamma: \mathsf{Set}\ \ell\} \ (\mathsf{C}: (\mathsf{Collection}\ \ell: \mathsf{waist}\ 1)\ \gamma) \ \rightarrow \ \gamma \ \rightarrow \ \mathsf{Carrier_1'}\ \mathsf{C}\ -
669
670
                                                                                                = \lambda C \rightarrow Field 2 C
671
                                                       insert
                                                       insert<sub>1</sub> = \lambda C \gamma \rightarrow Field 1 (C \gamma)
672
                                                       insert<sub>1</sub>' = \lambda C \rightarrow Field 1 C
673
674
                                                       insert<sub>2</sub> : \forall \{\ell\} (C : Collection \ell 2) (El Cr : Set \ell) \rightarrow El \rightarrow Cr \rightarrow Cr
675
                                                       \mathsf{insert_2'}: \ \forall \ \{\ell\} \ \{\mathsf{El} \ \mathsf{Cr}: \ \mathsf{Set} \ \ell\} \ (\mathsf{C}: \ (\mathsf{Collection} \ \ell: \mathsf{waist} \ \mathsf{2}) \ \mathsf{El} \ \mathsf{Cr}) \ 	o \ \mathsf{El} \ 	o \ \mathsf{Cr} \ 	o \ \mathsf{Cr} \ \mathsf{
676
677
                                                       insert_2 = \lambda C El Cr \rightarrow Field \emptyset (C El Cr)
678
                                                       insert<sub>2</sub>' = \lambda C \rightarrow Field \emptyset C
679
680
                       10 OLD WHAT ABOUT THE META-LANGUAGE'S PARAMETERS?
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 MAYBE DELETE
```

For example, a pointed set needn't necessarily be termined with End.

Besides: waist, another way to introduce parameters into a context grouping mechanism is to use the language's existing utility of parameterising a context by another type —as was done earlier in

691

692

693

698

699

700

728

729

730

731

732

733

734 735 $_{-}$ = refl

```
PointedSet : Context \ell_1
PointedSet = do Carrier ← Set
                 point
                         ← Carrier
                 End \{\ell_1\}
```

We instead form a grouping consisting of a single type and a value of that type, along with an instance of the parameter type Ξ .

```
PointedPF : (\Xi : Set_1) \rightarrow Context \ell_1
PointedPF \Xi = do Carrier \leftarrow Set
                     point ← Carrier
                       Ξ
```

Clearly PointedPF $\mathbb{1} \approx \text{PointedSet}$, so we have a more generic grouping mechanism. The natural next step is to consider other parameters such as PointedSet in-place of Ξ .

```
-- Convenience names
701
                                                              :kind 'record
                 PointedSet_r = PointedSet
702
                 PointedPF<sub>r</sub> = \lambda \Xi \rightarrow PointedPF \Xi :kind 'record
703
704
                  -- An extended record type: Two types with a point of each.
                 TwoPointedSets = PointedPF<sub>r</sub> PointedSet<sub>r</sub>
706
                 _ : TwoPointedSets
708
                       \equiv ( \Sigma Carrier<sub>1</sub> : Set \bullet \Sigma point<sub>1</sub> : Carrier<sub>1</sub>
709
                          • \Sigma Carrier<sub>2</sub> : Set • \Sigma point<sub>2</sub> : Carrier<sub>2</sub> • \mathbb{1})
710
                  _{-} = refl
711
712
                  -- Here's an instance
713
                 one : PointedSet :kind 'record
714
                 one = \mathbb{B} , false , tt
715
716
                  -- Another; a pointed natural extended by a pointed bool,
717
                 -- with particular choices for both.
718
                  two : TwoPointedSets
719
                  two = \mathbb{N} , \emptyset , one
720
721
       More generally, record structure can be dependent on values:
722
                  \_PointedSets : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow Set_1
723
                  zero PointedSets = 1
724
                  suc n PointedSets = PointedPF_r (n PointedSets)
725
                  : 4 PointedSets
727
                       \equiv (\Sigma Carrier<sub>1</sub> : Set \bullet \Sigma point<sub>1</sub> : Carrier<sub>1</sub>
```

• Σ Carrier₂ : **Set** • Σ point₂ : Carrier₂

• Σ Carrier₃ : **Set** • Σ point₃ : Carrier₃

• Σ Carrier₄ : **Set** • Σ point₄ : Carrier₄ • $\mathbb{1}$)

Using traditional grouping mechanisms, it is difficult to create the family of types n PointedSets since the number of fields, $2 \times n$, depends on n.

It is interesting to note that the termtype of PointedPF is the same as the termtype of PointedOver, the Maybe type constructor!

```
PointedD : (X : Set) \rightarrow Set<sub>1</sub>

PointedD X = termtype (PointedPF (Lift _ X) :waist 1)

-- Pattern synonyms for more compact presentation

pattern nothingP = \mu (inj<sub>1</sub> tt)

pattern justP x = \mu (inj<sub>2</sub> (lift x))

casingP : \forall {X} (e : PointedD X)

\rightarrow (e = nothingP) \uplus (\Sigma x : X • e = justP x)

casingP nothingP = inj<sub>1</sub> refl

casingP (justP x) = inj<sub>2</sub> (x , refl)
```

11 OLD NEXT STEPS

737

741

743

745

747

749

751

752

753

754

755

757

758 759

760

761

762

763

764

765 766

767 768

769

770

771 772

773

774

775

776 777

778

780

781

782

783 784

MAYBE_DELETE

We have shown how a bit of reflection allows us to have a compact, yet practical, one-stop-shop notation for records, typeclasses, and algebraic data types. There are a number of interesting directions to pursue:

- How to write a function working homogeneously over one variation and having it lift to other variations.
 - Recall the comap from the introductory section was written over Graph :kind 'typeclass; how could that particular implementation be massaged to work over Graph :kind k for any k.
- The current implementation for deriving termtypes presupposes only one carrier set positioned as the first entity in the grouping mechanism.
 - How do we handle multiple carriers or choose a carrier from an arbitrary position or by name? PackageFormer handles this by comparing names.
- How do we lift properties or invariants, simple ≡-types that 'define' a previous entity to be top-level functions in their own right?

Lots to do, so little time.

A APPENDICES

Below is the entirety of the Context library discussed in the paper proper.

```
module Context where
```

A.1 Imports

```
open import Level renaming (_U_ to _\oplus_; suc to \ellsuc; zero to \ell_0) open import Relation.Binary.PropositionalEquality open import Relation.Nullary open import Data.Nat open import Data.Fin as Fin using (Fin) open import Data.Maybe hiding (_>>=_) open import Data.Bool using (Bool ; true ; false) open import Data.List as List using (List ; [] ; _::_ ; _::^r_; sum) \ell_1 = Level.suc \ell_0
```

A.2 Quantifiers $\Pi: \bullet/\Sigma: \bullet$ and Products/Sums

785 786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

798

800

802

804

806

807

808

809 810

811 812 813

814

815

816

817 818

819

820

821

822

823

824 825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

We shall using Z-style quantifier notation [Woodcock and Davies 1996] in which the quantifier dummy variables are separated from the body by a large bullet.

In Agda, we use \: to obtain the "ghost colon" since standard colon: is an Agda operator.

Even though Agda provides \forall (x : τ) \rightarrow fx as a built-in syntax for Π -types, we have chosen the Z-style one below to mirror the notation for Σ -types, which Agda provides as record declarations. In the paper proper, in the definition of bind, the subtle shift between Σ -types and Π -types is easier to notice when the notations are so similar that only the quantifier symbol changes.

```
open import Data. Empty using (\bot)
open import Data.Sum
open import Data.Product
open import Function using (_o_)
\Sigma:• : \forall {a b} (A : Set a) (B : A \rightarrow Set b) \rightarrow Set _
\Sigma : \bullet = \Sigma
infix -666 ∑:•
syntax \Sigma : \bullet A (\lambda x \rightarrow B) = \Sigma x : A \bullet B
\Pi: \bullet : \forall \{a \ b\} \ (A : \mathbf{Set} \ a) \ (B : A \rightarrow \mathbf{Set} \ b) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set} \ \_
\Pi: \bullet A B = (x : A) \rightarrow B x
infix -666 ∏:•
syntax \Pi: \bullet A (\lambda \times A) = \Pi \times A \bullet B
record \top {\ell} : Set \ell where
   constructor tt
\mathbb{1} = \top \{\ell_0\}
О = ⊥
```

A.3 Reflection

We form a few metaprogramming utilities we would have expected to be in the standard library.

```
import Data.Unit as Unit open import Reflection hiding (name; Type) renaming (_>>=_ to _>>=_m_)
```

A.3.1 Single argument application.

```
_app_ : Term \rightarrow Term \rightarrow Term (def f args) app arg' = def f (args :: r arg (arg-info visible relevant) arg') (con f args) app arg' = con f (args :: r arg (arg-info visible relevant) arg') {-# CATCHALL #-} tm app arg' = tm
```

Notice that we maintain existing applications:

```
quoteTerm (f x) app quoteTerm y \approx quoteTerm (f x y)
```

A.3.2 Reify \mathbb{N} term encodings as \mathbb{N} values.

```
toN : Term \rightarrow \mathbb{N}
toN (lit (nat n)) = n
{-# CATCHALL #-}
toN \_ = 0
```

A.3.3 The Length of a Term.

```
\texttt{arg-term} \; : \; \forall \; \{\ell\} \; \{\texttt{A} \; : \; \textcolor{red}{\textbf{Set}} \; \ell\} \; \rightarrow \; (\texttt{Term} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{A}) \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{Arg} \; \texttt{Term} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{A}
                      arg-term f (arg i x) = f x
835
                       {-# TERMINATING #-}
837
                      length_t : Term \rightarrow \mathbb{N}
                      length_t (var x args)
                                                           = 1 + sum (List.map (arg-term length<sub>t</sub> ) args)
                      length_t (con c args)
                                                          = 1 + sum (List.map (arg-term length<sub>t</sub> ) args)
                      length_t (def f args)
                                                            = 1 + sum (List.map (arg-term length_t ) args)
                      length_t (lam v (abs s x)) = 1 + length_t x
                      length_t (pat-lam cs args) = 1 + sum (List.map (arg-term length_t ) args)
                                                          = 1 + length<sub>t</sub> Bx
                      length_t (\Pi[ x : A ] Bx)
                       {-# CATCHALL #-}
                       -- sort, lit, meta, unknown
                      length_t t = 0
845
```

Here is an example use:

847

849

850

851

852

853

855

857

858

859

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

870 871

872

873 874

875

876 877

878

879 880

881 882

```
_ : length<sub>t</sub> (quoteTerm (Σ x : \mathbb{N} \bullet x ≡ x)) ≡ 10 = refl
```

A.3.4 Decreasing de Brujin Indices. Given a quantification ($\oplus x : \tau \bullet fx$), its body fx may refer to a free variable x. If we decrement all de Brujin indices fx contains, then there would be no reference to x.

```
var-dec_0 : (fuel : \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow Term \rightarrow Term
var-dec_0 zero t = t
-- Let's use an "impossible" term.
var-dec<sub>0</sub> (suc n) (var zero args)
                                             = def (quote ⊥) []
var-dec_0 (suc n) (var (suc x) args) = var x args
var-dec<sub>0</sub> (suc n) (con c args)
                                             = con c (map-Args (var-dec<sub>0</sub> n) args)
var-dec<sub>0</sub> (suc n) (def f args)
                                             = def f (map-Args (var-dec<sub>0</sub> n) args)
                                             = lam v (abs s (var-dec<sub>0</sub> n x))
var-dec_0 (suc n) (lam v (abs s x))
var-dec<sub>0</sub> (suc n) (pat-lam cs args)
                                             = pat-lam cs (map-Args (var-dec<sub>0</sub> n) args)
var-dec_0 (suc n) (\Pi[ s : arg i A ] B) = \Pi[ s : arg i (var-dec_0 n A) ] var-dec_0 n B
{-# CATCHALL #-}
-- sort, lit, meta, unknown
var-dec_0 n t = t
```

In the paper proper, var-dec was mentioned once under the name $\downarrow \downarrow$.

```
var-dec : Term \rightarrow Term
var-dec t = var-dec<sub>0</sub> (length<sub>t</sub> t) t
```

Notice that we made the decision that x, the body of $(\oplus x \bullet x)$, will reduce to \mathbb{O} , the empty type. Indeed, in such a situation the only Debrujin index cannot be reduced further. Here is an example:

```
_ : \forall {x : \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow var-dec (quoteTerm x) \equiv quoteTerm \bot _ = ref1
```

A.4 Context Monad

```
Context = \lambda \ell \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow Set \ell infix -1000 '_ '_ : \forall {\ell} \rightarrow Set \ell \rightarrow Context \ell ' S = \lambda _ \rightarrow S End : \forall {\ell} \rightarrow Context \ell End = ' \top End<sub>0</sub> = End {\ell<sub>0</sub>}
```

```
_>>=_ : \forall {a b}

\rightarrow (\Gamma : Set a) -- Main difference

\rightarrow (\Gamma → Context b)

\rightarrow Context (a \uplus b)

(\Gamma >>= f) \mathbb{N}.zero = \Sigma \gamma : \Gamma • f \gamma 0

(\Gamma >>= f) (suc n) = (\gamma : \Gamma) \rightarrow f \gamma n
```

A.5 () Notation

883

884

885

886

887

888

889 890

891

892

893

894

895 896

897

898

899

900 901

902

903

904

905

906 907

908

909

910

911

912 913

914

915

916 917

918

919

920

921

922 923

924

925

926

927 928

929

930 931 As mentioned, grouping mechanisms are declared with do $\,$. . . End, and instances of them are constructed using \langle . . . \rangle .

A.6 DynamicSystem Context

```
DynamicSystem : Context (\ellsuc Level.zero)
DynamicSystem = do X \leftarrow Set
                              z \leftarrow X
                              s \leftarrow (X \rightarrow X)
                             End {Level.zero}
-- Records with n-Parameters, n : 0..3
A B C D : Set_1
A = DynamicSystem 0 -- \Sigma X : Set \bullet \Sigma z : X \bullet \Sigma s : X \to X \bullet T
\mathsf{B} = \mathsf{DynamicSystem} \ \mathsf{1} \ \mathsf{--} \quad (\mathsf{X} : \mathsf{Set}) \ \to \ \mathsf{\Sigma} \ \mathsf{z} : \mathsf{X} \quad \bullet \ \mathsf{\Sigma} \ \mathsf{s} : \mathsf{X} \ \to \ \mathsf{X} \quad \bullet \ \mathsf{T}
C = DynamicSystem 2 -- (X : Set)
                                                     (z:X) \rightarrow \Sigma s:X \rightarrow X \bullet T
D = DynamicSystem 3 -- (X : Set)
                                                      (z:X) \rightarrow (s:X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow T
\_ : A \equiv (\Sigma X : Set \bullet \Sigma z : X \bullet \Sigma s : (X \rightarrow X) \bullet T) ; \_ = refl
\_ : B \equiv (\blacksquare X : Set \bullet \Sigma z : X \bullet \Sigma s : (X \rightarrow X) \bullet T) ; \_ = refl
_ : C ≡ (\Pi X : Set • \Pi z : X • \Sigma s : (X → X) • T) ; _ = refl
\_ : D \equiv (\Pi X : Set • \Pi z : X • \Pi s : (X \rightarrow X) • T) ; \_ = refl
stability : \forall \{n\} \rightarrow DynamicSystem (3 + n)
                              ≡ DynamicSystem 3
stability = refl
B-is-empty : ¬ B
B-is-empty b = proj_1(b \perp)
N_0: DynamicSystem 0
\mathcal{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} , \emptyset , suc , tt
N : DynamicSystem ∅
\mathcal{N} = \langle \mathbb{N}, \emptyset, \operatorname{suc} \rangle
B-on-N : Set
B-on-N = let X = N in \Sigma z : X • \Sigma s : (X \rightarrow X) • T
```

```
932
                      ex : B-on-ℕ
                      ex = \langle 0, suc \rangle
933
934
         A.7 \Pi \rightarrow \lambda
935
                      \Pi \rightarrow \lambda-helper : Term \rightarrow Term
                      \Pi \rightarrow \lambda-helper (pi a b)
                                                               = lam visible b
937
                      \Pi \rightarrow \lambda-helper (lam a (abs x y)) = lam a (abs x (\Pi \rightarrow \lambda-helper y))
                      {-# CATCHALL #-}
939
                      \Pi \rightarrow \lambda-helper x = x
940
                      macro
941
                         \Pi → \lambda : Term → Term → TC Unit.\top
942
                         \Pi \rightarrow \lambda tm goal = normalise tm >>=<sub>m</sub> \lambda tm' \rightarrow unify (\Pi \rightarrow \lambda-helper tm') goal
943
944
         A.8 _:waist_
945
                      waist-helper : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Term} \to \mathsf{Term}
946
                      waist-helper zero t
                                                     = t
947
                      waist-helper (suc n) t = waist-helper n (\Pi \rightarrow \lambda-helper t)
949
                         \_:waist\_: Term \rightarrow Term \rightarrow Term \rightarrow TC Unit.\top
950
                         \_:waist\_ t n goal =
                                                         normalise (t app n)
951
                                                      >>=_m \lambda t' \rightarrow unify (waist-helper (to\mathbb N n) t') goal
952
                  DynamicSystem :waist i
953
                      A' : Set<sub>1</sub>
954
                      B' \ : \ \forall \ (X \ : \ \textbf{Set}) \ \rightarrow \ \textbf{Set}
955
                      C' : \forall (X : Set) (x : X) \rightarrow Set
956
                      D' : \forall (X : Set) (x : X) (s : X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow Set
957
958
                      A' = DynamicSystem :waist 0
                      B' = DynamicSystem :waist 1
959
                      C' = DynamicSystem :waist 2
960
                      D' = DynamicSystem :waist 3
961
962
                      N^0: A'
963
                      \mathcal{N}^0 = \langle \mathbb{N}, \emptyset, \operatorname{suc} \rangle
964
                       N¹ : B' N
965
                      \mathcal{N}^1 = \langle \emptyset, \text{suc} \rangle
966
967
                       N2 : C' N 0
968
                       \mathcal{N}^2 = \langle \text{ suc } \rangle
969
                       N^3: D' N 0 suc
970
                      \mathcal{N}^3 = \langle \rangle
971
         It may be the case that \Gamma 0 \equiv \Gamma :waist 0 for every context \Gamma.
972
                      \_ : DynamicSystem 0 \equiv DynamicSystem :waist 0
973
                      _{-} = refl
974
975
         A.10 Field projections
976
                      \mathsf{Field}_0 : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Term} \to \mathsf{Term}
977
                      Field_0 zero c = def (quote proj<sub>1</sub>) (arg (arg-info visible relevant) c :: [])
978
                      Field_0 (suc n) c = Field_0 n (def (quote proj<sub>2</sub>) (arg (arg-info visible relevant) c :: []))
```

980

Using the guide, ??, outlined in the paper proper we shall form D_i for each stage in the calculation.

```
A.11.1 Stage 1: Records.
```

985

986

988

989

990

991 992

993

994

995

996 997

998

999

1000

1001

1028

1029

 $sources_1 t = t$

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{D}_1 \ = \ \mathsf{DynamicSystem} \ \emptyset \\ \\ \text{1-records} \ : \ \mathsf{D}_1 \ \equiv \ (\Sigma \ \mathsf{X} \ : \mathbf{Set} \ \bullet \ \Sigma \ \mathsf{z} \ : \ \mathsf{X} \ \bullet \ \Sigma \ \mathsf{s} \ : \ (\mathsf{X} \ \to \ \mathsf{X}) \ \bullet \ \mathsf{T}) \\ \text{1-records} \ = \ \mathsf{refl} \end{array}
```

A.11.2 Stage 2: Parameterised Records.

```
\begin{array}{l} D_2 = DynamicSystem : waist \ 1 \\ \\ \textbf{2-funcs} : \ D_2 \equiv (\lambda \ (\textbf{X} : \textbf{Set}) \ \rightarrow \ \Sigma \ \textbf{z} : \textbf{X} \bullet \Sigma \ \textbf{s} : (\textbf{X} \rightarrow \textbf{X}) \bullet \ \textbf{T}) \\ \\ \textbf{2-funcs} = refl \end{array}
```

A.11.3 Stage 3: Sources. Let's begin with an example to motivate the definition of sources.

```
_ : quoteTerm (\forall {x : N} \rightarrow N) 
 \equiv pi (arg (arg-info hidden relevant) (quoteTerm N)) (abs "x" (quoteTerm N)) 
 \_ = refl
```

We now form two sources-helper utilities, although we suspect they could be combined into one function.

```
1003
                   sources_0 : Term \rightarrow Term
                     - Otherwise:
1004
                   sources_0 (\Pi[ a : arg i A ] (\Pi[ b : arg \underline{\ } Ba ] Cab)) =
1005
                         \texttt{def} \ (\textbf{quote} \ \_\textbf{X}\_) \ (\texttt{vArg} \ \texttt{A}
1006
                                             :: vArg (def (quote _x_)
1007
                                                             (vArg (var-dec Ba) :: vArg (var-dec (var-dec (sources<sub>0</sub> Cab))) :: []))
1008
                   sources_0 (\Pi[ a : arg (arg-info hidden _) A ] Ba) = quoteTerm \mathbb{O}
1009
                   sources_0 (\Pi[x:arg i A]Bx) = A
1010
                    {-# CATCHALL #-}
1011
                   -- sort, lit, meta, unknown
1012
                    sources_0 t = quoteTerm 1
1013
                    {-# TERMINATING #-}
1014
                   \texttt{sources}_1 \; : \; \mathsf{Term} \; \to \; \mathsf{Term}
1015
                   sources_1 (\Pi[ a : arg (arg-info hidden _) A ] Ba) = quoteTerm \mathbb O
1016
                   sources_1 \ ( \mbox{$\Pi$[ a : arg i A ] ($\Pi$[ b : arg \_ Ba ] Cab)) = def \ ( \mbox{$\tt quote \_x\_)$} \ ( \mbox{$\tt vArg A ::} \ )
1017
                      vArg (def (quote _x_) (vArg (var-dec Ba) :: vArg (var-dec (var-dec (sources<sub>0</sub> Cab))) :: [])) :: [])
1018
                   sources_1 (\Pi[ x : arg i A ] Bx) = A
                   sources_1 (def (quote \Sigma) (\ell_1 :: \ell_2 :: \tau :: body))
1019
                         = def (quote \Sigma) (\ell_1 :: \ell_2 :: map-Arg sources_0 \tau :: List.map (map-Arg sources_1) body)
1020
                    -- This function introduces 1s, so let's drop any old occurances a la 0.
1021
                   sources_1 (def (quote T) _) = def (quote 0) []
1022
                    sources_1 (lam v (abs s x))
                                                           = lam v (abs s (sources<sub>1</sub> x))
1023
                    sources_1 (var x args) = var x (List.map (map-Arg sources<sub>1</sub>) args)
                    sources_1 (con c args) = con c (List.map (map-Arg sources<sub>1</sub>) args)
1024
                    sources_1 (def f args) = def f (List.map (map-Arg sources<sub>1</sub>) args)
1025
                   sources<sub>1</sub> (pat-lam cs args) = pat-lam cs (List.map (map-Arg sources<sub>1</sub>) args)
1026
                    {-# CATCHALL #-}
1027
                    -- sort, lit, meta, unknown
```

We now form the macro and some unit tests. 1031 macro 1032 $\textbf{sources} \; : \; \mathsf{Term} \; \rightarrow \; \mathsf{Term} \; \rightarrow \; \mathsf{TC} \; \; \mathsf{Unit}. \, \mathsf{T}$ sources tm goal = normalise tm >>= $_m$ λ tm' \rightarrow unify (sources $_1$ tm') goal 1033 $_$: sources ($\mathbb{N} \to \mathbf{Set}$) $\equiv \mathbb{N}$ 1035 $_{-}$ = refl 1037 _ : sources (Σ x : (N → Fin 3) • N) ≡ (Σ x : N • N) $_{-}$ = refl 1038 1039 _ : ∀ {ℓ : Level} {A B C : **Set**} 1040 \rightarrow sources $(\Sigma \times (A \rightarrow B) \bullet C) \equiv (\Sigma \times A \bullet C)$ 1041 1042 _ : sources (Fin 1 → Fin 2 → Fin 3) \equiv (Σ _ : Fin 1 • Fin 2 × 1) 1043 $_{-}$ = refl 1044 1045 _ : sources (Σ f : (Fin 1 → Fin 2 → Fin 3 → Fin 4) • Fin 5) 1046 $\equiv (\Sigma f : (Fin 1 \times Fin 2 \times Fin 3) \bullet Fin 5)$ 1047 $_{-}$ = refl 1048 $_: \forall \{A B C : Set\} \rightarrow sources (A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C) \equiv (A \times B \times 1)$ 1049 _ = refl 1050 1051 $_$: \forall {A B C D E : **Set**} \rightarrow sources (A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow D \rightarrow E) 1052 $\equiv \Sigma \ \mathsf{A} \ (\lambda \ _ \ \to \ \Sigma \ \mathsf{B} \ (\lambda \ _ \ \to \ \Sigma \ \mathsf{C} \ (\lambda \ _ \ \to \ \Sigma \ \mathsf{D} \ (\lambda \ _ \ \to \ \mathsf{T}))))$ $_{-}$ = refl 1053 1054 Design decision: Types starting with implicit arguments are invariants, not constructors. 1055 -- one implicit 1056 $_$: sources $(\forall \{x : \mathbb{N}\} \rightarrow x \equiv x) \equiv \mathbb{O}$ $_{-}$ = refl 1057 1058 -- multiple implicits 1059 _ : sources (\forall {x y z : \mathbb{N} } → x \equiv y) \equiv \mathbb{O} 1060 1061 The third stage can now be formed. 1062 D_3 = sources D_2 1063 1064 3-sources : $D_3 \equiv \lambda \ (X : Set) \rightarrow \Sigma \ z : \mathbb{1} \bullet \Sigma \ s : X \bullet \mathbb{0}$ 1065 3-sources = refl 1066 Stage 4: $\Sigma \rightarrow \forall$ -Replacing Products with Sums. 1067 {-# TERMINATING #-} 1068 $\Sigma \rightarrow \uplus_0 : \mathsf{Term} \rightarrow \mathsf{Term}$ 1069 $\Sigma \rightarrow \uplus_0 \ (\mathsf{def} \ (\mathsf{quote} \ \Sigma) \ (h_1 :: h_0 :: \mathsf{arg} \ \mathsf{i} \ \mathsf{A} :: \mathsf{arg} \ \mathsf{i}_1 \ (\mathsf{lam} \ \mathsf{v} \ (\mathsf{abs} \ \mathsf{s} \ \mathsf{x})) :: []))$ 1070 = def (quote $_ \uplus _$) ($h_1 :: h_0 :: arg i A :: vArg (<math>\Sigma \rightarrow \uplus_0$ (var-dec x)) :: []) 1071 -- Interpret "End" in do-notation to be an empty, impossible, constructor. $\Sigma \rightarrow \uplus_0$ (def (quote \top) _) = def (quote \bot) [] 1072 -- Walk under λ 's and Π 's. 1073 $\Sigma \rightarrow \uplus_0 \text{ (lam v (abs s x))} = \text{lam v (abs s } (\Sigma \rightarrow \uplus_0 x))$ 1074 $\Sigma \rightarrow \uplus_0 (\Pi[x:A]Bx) = \Pi[x:A]\Sigma \rightarrow \uplus_0 Bx$ 1075 {-# CATCHALL #-} 1076 $\Sigma \rightarrow \uplus_0 t = t$ 1077

1078

```
macro
                            \Sigma \!\! \to \!\! \uplus \; : \; \mathsf{Term} \; \to \; \mathsf{Term} \; \to \; \mathsf{TC} \; \; \mathsf{Unit}. \top
1080
                            \Sigma \to \uplus tm goal = normalise tm >>=_m \lambda tm' \to unify (\Sigma \to \uplus_0 tm') goal
1082
                         -- Unit tests
                         \underline{\phantom{a}}: \Sigma \rightarrow \uplus (\Pi X : \mathbf{Set} \bullet (X \rightarrow X))
                                                                                  \equiv (\Pi \ X : \mathbf{Set} \bullet (X \to X)); \ \_ = \mathsf{refl}
                          \Sigma \rightarrow \forall (\Pi \ X : \mathbf{Set} \bullet \Sigma \ s : X \bullet X) \equiv (\Pi \ X : \mathbf{Set} \bullet X \ \forall X) ; \_ = \mathsf{refl}
                           : \Sigma \rightarrow \uplus \ (\Pi \ X : \textbf{Set} \ \bullet \ \Sigma \ s : (X \rightarrow X) \ \bullet \ X) \ \equiv \ (\Pi \ X : \textbf{Set} \ \bullet \ (X \rightarrow X) \ \uplus \ X) \ ; \ \_ \ = \ \text{refl}
                          \_: \Sigma \rightarrow \uplus \ ( \mbox{$\stackrel{\square}{\Pi}$ X : Set} \bullet \Sigma \ z : X \bullet \Sigma \ s : (X \rightarrow X) \ \bullet \ \top \ \{\ell_0\}) \ \equiv \ ( \mbox{$\stackrel{\square}{\Pi}$ X : Set} \ \bullet \ X \ \uplus \ (X \rightarrow X) \ \uplus \ \bot) \quad ; \ \_ \ = \ ref.
1087
                        D_4 = \Sigma \rightarrow \uplus D_3
1088
1089
                         4-unions : D_4 \equiv \lambda \ X \rightarrow \mathbb{1} \ \uplus \ X \ \uplus \ \mathbb{0}
                         4-unions = refl
1090
1091
          A.11.5 Stage 5: Fixpoint and proof that \mathbb{D} \cong \mathbb{N}.
1092
                         {-# NO_POSITIVITY_CHECK #-}
1093
                         data Fix \{\ell\} (F : Set \ell \rightarrow Set \ell) : Set \ell where
1094
                            \mu : F (Fix F) \rightarrow Fix F
1095
                         \mathbb{D} = Fix D_4
1096
1097
                         -- Pattern synonyms for more compact presentation
1098
                         pattern zeroD = \mu (inj<sub>1</sub> tt)
                                                                             -- : D
1099
                         pattern sucD e = \mu (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>1</sub> e)) -- : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}
1100
                         to : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{N}
1101
                         to zeroD
                                           = 0
1102
                         to (sucD x) = suc (to x)
1103
1104
                         from : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{D}
                                          = zeroD
1105
                         from zero
                         from (suc n) = sucD (from n)
1106
1107
                         toofrom : \forall n \rightarrow to (from n) \equiv n
1108
                         to∘from zero
                                               = refl
1109
                         toofrom (suc n) = cong suc (toofrom n)
1110
                         fromoto : \forall d \rightarrow \text{from (to d)} \equiv d
1111
                         from⊙to zeroD
                                                = refl
1112
                         fromoto (sucD x) = cong sucD (fromoto x)
1113
          A.11.6 termtype and Inj macros. We summarise the stages together into one macro: "termtype
1114
          : UnaryFunctor \rightarrow Type".
1115
1116
                            termtype : Term \rightarrow Term \rightarrow TC Unit.\top
1117
                            termtype tm goal =
1118
                                                  normalise tm
1119
                                          >=_m \lambda \text{ tm'} \rightarrow \text{unify goal (def (quote Fix) ((vArg ($\Sigma \rightarrow \uplus_0 (sources_1 tm'))) :: []))}
1120
          It is interesting to note that in place of pattern clauses, say for languages that do not support
1121
          them, we would resort to "fancy injections".
1122
                         Inj_0 : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Term} \to \mathsf{Term}
1123
                         Inj<sub>0</sub> zero c
                                               = con (quote inj<sub>1</sub>) (arg (arg-info visible relevant) c :: [])
1124
                         Inj_0 (suc n) c = con (quote inj_2) (vArg (Inj_0 n c) :: [])
1125
1126
                         -- Duality!
1127
```

```
1128
                          -- i-th projection: proj_1 \circ (proj_2 \circ \cdots \circ proj_2)
                         -- i-th injection: (inj_2 \circ \cdots \circ inj_2) \circ inj_1
1129
1130
                         macro
1131
                             Inj : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Term} \to \mathsf{Term} \to \mathsf{TC} \; \mathsf{Unit}.\mathsf{T}
1132
                             Inj n t goal = unify goal ((con (quote \mu) []) app (Inj<sub>0</sub> n t))
1133
          With this alternative, we regain the "user chosen constructor names" for \mathbb{D}:
1134
                          startD : D
1135
                          startD = Inj \emptyset (tt \{\ell_0\})
1136
1137
                         \texttt{nextD'} : \mathbb{D} \, \to \, \mathbb{D}
                         nextD' d = Inj 1 d
1138
1139
          A.12 Monoids
1140
1141
           A.12.1 Context.
1142
                         Monoid : \forall \ \ell \rightarrow \text{Context } (\ell \text{suc } \ell)
1143
                         Monoid \ell = do Carrier \leftarrow Set \ell
                                                 Τd
                                                              ← Carrier
1144
                                                               ← (Carrier → Carrier → Carrier)
                                                  _⊕_
1145
                                                 leftId \leftarrow \forall \{x : Carrier\} \rightarrow x \oplus Id \equiv x
1146
                                                 rightId \leftarrow \forall \{x : Carrier\} \rightarrow Id \oplus x \equiv x
1147
                                                 \mathsf{assoc} \quad \leftarrow \ \forall \ \{x \ y \ z\} \ \rightarrow \ (x \ \oplus \ y) \ \oplus \ z \ \equiv \ x \ \oplus \ (y \ \oplus \ z)
1148
                                                 End \{\ell\}
1149
          A.12.2 Termtypes.
1150

    M : Set

1151
                          M = \text{termtype (Monoid } \ell_0 : \text{waist 1)}
1152
                          {- ie Fix (\lambda X \rightarrow 1
                                                                       -- Id, nil leaf
1153
                                                   \forall X \times X \times 1 -- \_\oplus\_, branch
                                                                       -- src of leftId
1154
                                                   ₩ ()
                                                                        -- src of rightId
1155
                                                   1156
                                                                        -- the "End \{\ell\}"
1157
                          -}
1158
1159
                          -- Pattern synonyms for more compact presentation
                                                                                                                       -- : M
                          pattern emptyM
                                                          = \mu (inj<sub>1</sub> tt)
1160
                          pattern branchM l r = \mu (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>1</sub> (l , r , tt)))
                                                                                                                     -- : \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{M}
1161
                         pattern absurdM a = \mu (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>2</sub> (inj<sub>2</sub> a)))) -- absurd values of \mathbb{O}
1162
1163
                          data TreeSkeleton : Set where
                             empty : TreeSkeleton
1164
                             branch : TreeSkeleton \rightarrow TreeSkeleton \rightarrow TreeSkeleton
1165
1166
           A.12.3 \mathbb{M} \cong \text{TreeSkeleton}.
1167
                          \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} : \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{TreeSkeleton}
1168
                          \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ \mathsf{emptyM} = \mathsf{empty}
1169
                          \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ (\mathsf{branchM} \ 1 \ \mathsf{r}) = \mathsf{branch} \ (\mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ 1) \ (\mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ \mathsf{r})
1170
                          \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \; (\mathsf{absurdM} \; (\mathsf{inj}_1 \; ()))
                          \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \; (\mathsf{absurdM} \; (\mathsf{inj}_2 \; ()))
1171
1172
                          \mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} : \mathsf{TreeSkeleton} \to \mathbb{M}
1173
                          M←Tree empty = emptyM
1174
                          \mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ (\mathsf{branch} \ 1 \ \mathsf{r}) = \mathsf{branchM} \ (\mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ 1) \ (\mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ \mathsf{r})
1175
1176
```

```
1177
                           \mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} \circ \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} : \forall \mathsf{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} (\mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \mathsf{m}) \equiv \mathsf{m}
                           \mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} \circ \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \text{ emptyM} = \mathsf{refl}
1178
                           \mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} \circ \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ (\mathsf{branchM} \ 1 \ r) = \mathsf{cong}_2 \ \mathsf{branchM} \ (\mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} \circ \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ 1) \ (\mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} \circ \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ r)
1179
                           M \leftarrow Tree \circ M \rightarrow Tree (absurdM (inj_1 ()))
1180
                           \mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} \circ \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ (\mathsf{absurdM} \ (\mathsf{inj}_2 \ ()))
1181
                           \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \circ \mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} : \forall \ t \rightarrow \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ (\mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ t) \equiv t
                           \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \circ \mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} \ \mathsf{empty} = \mathsf{refl}
                           \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \circ \mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} (branch 1 r) = cong<sub>2</sub> branch (\mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \circ \mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} 1) (\mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tree} \circ \mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathsf{Tree} r)
           A.13 :kind
1186
                           data Kind : Set where
                              'record
                                                : Kind
                               'typeclass : Kind
                              'data
                                                : Kind
1190
                          macro
1191
                              \_:kind\_: Term \rightarrow Term \rightarrow Term \rightarrow TC Unit.\top
1192
                              _:kind_ t (con (quote 'record) _) goal = normalise (t app (quoteTerm ∅))
1193
                                                                 >>=_m \lambda t' \rightarrow unify (waist-helper 0 t') goal
1194
                              _:kind_ t (con (quote 'typeclass) _) goal = normalise (t app (quoteTerm 1))
                                                                 >>=_m \lambda t' \rightarrow \text{unify (waist-helper 1 t') goal}
1195
                              _:kind_ t (con (quote 'data) _) goal = normalise (t app (quoteTerm 1))
1196
                                                                  >>=_m \lambda t' \rightarrow \text{normalise (waist-helper 1 t')}
1197
                                                                 >>=_m \lambda t'' \rightarrow unify goal (def (quote Fix) ((vArg (\Sigma \rightarrow \uplus_0 (sources_1 t''))) :: [])
1198
                              _:kind_ t _ goal = unify t goal
1199
           Informally, _:kind_ behaves as follows:
1200
                                                           = C :waist 0
                           C :kind 'record
1201
                           C :kind 'typeclass = C :waist 1
1202
                           C :kind 'data
                                                           = termtype (C :waist 1)
1203
           A.14 termtype PointedSet \cong 1
1204
                           -- termtype (PointedSet) ≅ ⊤!
1205
                           One : Context (\ell suc \ell_0)
1206
                                          = do Carrier \leftarrow Set \ell_0
                           0ne
1207
                                                   point \leftarrow Carrier
1208
                                                   End \{\ell_0\}
1209
                           One: Set
1210
                           One = termtype (One :waist 1)
1211
1212
                           \text{view}_1 \; : \; \mathbb{O}\text{ne} \; \to \; \mathbb{1}
1213
                           view_1 emptyM = tt
1214
                      The Termtype of Graphs is Vertex Pairs
1215
1216
           From simple graphs (relations) to a syntax about them: One describes a simple graph by presenting
1217
           edges as pairs of vertices!
1218
                           PointedOver<sub>2</sub> : Set \rightarrow Context (\ellsuc \ell_0)
1219
                           PointedOver<sub>2</sub> \Xi = do Carrier \leftarrow Set \ell_0
```

relation \leftarrow $(\Xi \rightarrow \Xi \rightarrow Carrier)$

End $\{\ell_0\}$

 \mathbb{P}_2 X = termtype (PointedOver₂ X :waist 1)

 $\mathbb{P}_2 \;:\; \mathsf{Set} \,\to\, \mathsf{Set}$

1220

1221 1222

1223

1224 1225

```
1226
                        pattern _{=} x y = \mu (inj<sub>1</sub> (x , y , tt))
1227
                        view_2 : \forall \{X\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_2 \ X \rightarrow X \times X
1228
                        view_2 (x \rightleftharpoons y) = x , y
1229
1230
          A.16 No 'constants', whence a type of inifinitely branching terms
1231
                        {\tt PointedOver_3} \ : \ {\tt Set} \ \to \ {\tt Context} \ (\ell_0)
1232
                        PointedOver<sub>3</sub> \Xi
                                                 = do relation \leftarrow (\Xi \rightarrow \Xi \rightarrow \Xi)
1233
                                                            End \{\ell_0\}
1234
                        \mathbb{P}_3: Set
1235
                        \mathbb{P}_3 = termtype (\lambda X \rightarrow PointedOver<sub>3</sub> X 0)
1236
1237
          A.17
                     \mathbb{P}_2 again!
1238
                        PointedOver<sub>4</sub> : Context (\ellsuc \ell_0)
1239
                        PointedOver<sub>4</sub>
                                                     = do \Xi \leftarrow Set
1240
                                                              Carrier \leftarrow Set \ell_0
1241
                                                              relation \leftarrow (\Xi \rightarrow \Xi \rightarrow Carrier)
                                                              End \{\ell_0\}
1242
1243
                        -- The current implementation of "termtype" only allows for one "Set" in the body.
1244
                        -- So we lift both out; thereby regaining \mathbb{P}_2!
1245
                        \mathbb{P}_4: Set \rightarrow Set
                        \mathbb{P}_4 \ X = \text{termtype} \ ((PointedOver_4 : waist 2) \ X)
1247
1248
                        pattern \rightleftharpoons x y = \mu (inj<sub>1</sub> (x , y , tt))
1249
1250
                        case_4 : \forall \{X\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_4 \ X \rightarrow Set_1
1251
                        case_4 (x \rightleftharpoons y) = Set
1252
                        -- Claim: Mention in paper.
1253
1254
                                 \mathsf{P}_1 : Set 	o Context = \lambda \Xi 	o do \cdots End
1255
                        -- \cong P<sub>2</sub> :waist 1
1256
                        -- where P_2: Context = do \Xi \leftarrow Set; \cdots End
1257
                     \mathbb{P}_4 again – indexed unary algebras; i.e., "actions"
1258
                        PointedOver<sub>8</sub> : Context (\ellsuc \ell_0)
1259
                        PointedOver<sub>8</sub>
                                                     = do Index
                                                                             ← Set
1260
                                                              Carrier
                                                                              ← Set
1261
                                                              Operation \leftarrow (Index \rightarrow Carrier \rightarrow Carrier)
1262
                                                              End \{\ell_0\}
1263
                        \mathbb{P}_8 \;:\; \mathsf{Set} \;\to\; \mathsf{Set}
1264
                        \mathbb{P}_8 \ X = \text{termtype } ((\text{PointedOver}_8 : \text{waist 2}) \ X)
1265
1266
                        pattern \_\cdot\_ x y = \mu (inj<sub>1</sub> (x , y , tt))
1267
1268
                        \texttt{view}_8 \; : \; \forall \; \{\mathtt{I}\} \; \rightarrow \; \mathbb{P}_8 \; \; \mathtt{I} \; \rightarrow \; \mathsf{Set}_1
                        view_8 (i \cdot e) = Set
1269
1270
              **COMMENT Other experiments
1271
                        {- Yellow:
1272
1273
                        PointedOver<sub>5</sub> : Context (\ellsuc \ell_0)
1274
```

```
1275
                         PointedOver<sub>5</sub> = do One \leftarrow Set
                                                         Two ← Set
1276
                                                         Three \leftarrow (One \rightarrow Two \rightarrow Set)
1277
                                                         End \{\ell_0\}
1278
                        \mathbb{P}_5: Set \rightarrow Set<sub>1</sub>
                        \mathbb{P}_5 X = termtype ((PointedOver<sub>5</sub> :waist 2) X)
                         -- Fix (\lambda Two → One × Two)
1281
1282
                         pattern \underline{\phantom{a}}::_{5} x y = \mu (inj<sub>1</sub> (x , y , tt))
1283
                         \mathsf{case}_5 \;:\; \forall \; \{\mathsf{X}\} \;\rightarrow\; \mathbb{P}_5 \;\; \mathsf{X} \;\rightarrow\; \mathsf{Set}_1
1285
                         case_5 (x ::_5 xs) = Set
1286
                         -}
1287
1288
1289
1290
                         {-- Dependent sums
1291
                        PointedOver_6 : Context \ell_1
1292
                         PointedOver_6 = do Sort \leftarrow Set
1293
                                                      Carrier \leftarrow (Sort \rightarrow Set)
1294
                                                      End \{\ell_0\}
1295
                         \mathbb{P}_6 : Set<sub>1</sub>
1296
                        \mathbb{P}_6 = termtype ((PointedOver<sub>6</sub> :waist 1) )
1297
                         -- Fix (\lambda X \rightarrow X)
1298
1299
                         -}
1300
1301
1302
                         -- Distinuighed subset algebra
1303
1304
                         open import Data.Bool renaming (Bool to B)
1305
1306
                        PointedOver<sub>7</sub> : Context (\ellsuc \ell_0)
1307
                                                   = do Index \leftarrow Set
                        PointedOver<sub>7</sub>
1308
                                                                      \leftarrow (Index \rightarrow \mathbb{B})
                                                               Is
1309
                                                                End \{\ell_0\}
1310
                         -- The current implementation of "termtype" only allows for one "Set" in the body.
1311
                         -- So we lift both out; thereby regaining \mathbb{P}_2!
1312
1313
                        \mathbb{P}_7: Set \rightarrow Set
1314
                         \mathbb{P}_7 \ X = \text{termtype} \ (\lambda \ (\_: Set) \rightarrow (PointedOver_7 : waist 1) \ X)
1315
                         -- \mathbb{P}_1 X \cong X
1316
                         pattern _{\rightleftharpoons} x y = \mu (inj<sub>1</sub> (x , y , tt))
1317
1318
                        \mathsf{case}_7 \;:\; \forall \; \{\mathtt{X}\} \;\rightarrow\; \mathbb{P}_7 \;\; \mathtt{X} \;\rightarrow\; \mathsf{Set}
1319
                        case_7 \{X\} (\mu (inj_1 x)) = X
1320
                         -}
1321
1322
```

```
1325
1326
                   PointedOver9 : Context \ell_1
1327
                   PointedOver<sub>9</sub>
                                        = do Carrier ← Set
1328
                                                  End \{\ell_0\}
1329
                   -- The current implementation of "termtype" only allows for one "Set" in the body.
                   -- So we lift both out; thereby regaining \mathbb{P}_2!
1331
1332
1333
                   \mathbb{P}_9 = termtype (\lambda (X : Set) \rightarrow (PointedOver_9 :waist 1) X)
1334
                    -- \cong \mathbb{O} \cong Fix (\lambda X \to \mathbb{O})
                   -}
1335
        A.19 Fix Id
1337
                   PointedOver_{10} : Context \ell_1
1338
                   PointedOver_{10}
                                            = do Carrier ← Set
1339
                                                   next
                                                          ← (Carrier → Carrier)
1340
                                                   End \{\ell_0\}
1341
                   -- The current implementation of "termtype" only allows for one "Set" in the body.
1342
                   -- So we lift both out; thereby regaining \mathbb{P}_2!
1343
1344
                   \mathbb{P}_{10} : Set
1345
                   \mathbb{P}_{10} = termtype (\lambda (X : Set) \rightarrow (PointedOver<sub>10</sub> :waist 1) X)
1346
                    -- Fix (\lambda \ X \to X), which does not exist.
1347
1348
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355
1356
1357
1358
1359
1360
1361
1362
1363
1364
1365
1366
1367
1368
1369
1370
1371
1372
```