# Practical: RISK PREDICTION

## Advanced Statistical Analysis

# Research question

In this session, we will explore the dataset of 2000 participants we met in the lecture, and fit a risk prediction model for death within 5 years, based on some simple patient characteristics.

# **Objectives**

By the end of this practical, you should be able to: 1. Fit a logistic model to create risk predictions. 2. Assess model discrimination by calculating the Area Under the Curve. 3. Assess model calibration by graphing observed and predicted risks.

### Dataset and analysis

For this practical we will use a (simulated) dataset called data\_predict. This contains data for 2,000 patients, with information on six variables.

Variable	Description
id	Unique patient ID
age	Age (years)
sbp	Systolic Blood Pressure
bmi	Body Max Index $kg/m^2$
sex	Female / Male
dead	Alive / Dead

```
# Install Libraries
if (!require(pacman)) install.packages("pacman")
#> Loading required package: pacman
pacman::p_load(tidyr, dplyr, ggplot2, broom)

# Load data
load("../data/data_predict.rda")
```

# Data exploration

Have a look at the data. How many participants die? What proportion are female? What ages are these participants?

```
# Base R
summary(data_predict)
```

```
id
                                               sbp
                                                                 bmi
                             age
                                                                                  sex
                                                : 76.6
#>
   Min.
                 1.0
                       Min.
                               :40.00
                                         Min.
                                                           Min.
                                                                   :15.50
                                                                              Female: 978
    1st Qu.: 500.8
                       1st Qu.:51.00
                                         1st Qu.:113.7
                                                           1st Qu.:23.20
                                                                              Male :1022
                       Median :60.00
                                         Median :120.6
                                                           Median :25.10
#> Median :1000.5
#>
   {\it Mean}
           :1000.5
                       Mean
                              :60.45
                                         Mean
                                                :120.3
                                                           Mean
                                                                   :25.19
#>
    3rd Qu.:1500.2
                       3rd Qu.:70.00
                                         3rd Qu.:127.2
                                                            3rd Qu.:27.20
#>
    Max.
            :2000.0
                       Max.
                               :80.00
                                         Max.
                                                 :152.2
                                                           Max.
                                                                   :35.60
#>
       dead
#>
   Alive:1491
    Dead : 509
#>
#>
#>
#>
#>
# Tidyverse (More verbose but more control)
data_predict %>%
  group_by(dead) %>%
  tally %>%
  mutate(percent = n/sum(n)*100)
#> # A tibble: 2 x 3
     dead
                n percent
                     <db1>
     \langle fct \rangle \langle int \rangle
#> 1 Alive 1491
                      74.6
#> 2 Dead
              509
                      25.4
data_predict %>%
  group_by(sex) %>%
  tally %>%
  mutate(percent = n/sum(n)*100)
#> # A tibble: 2 x 3
     sex
                  n percent
     \langle fct \rangle \langle int \rangle
                      <db1>
#> 1 Female
               978
                       48.9
#> 2 Male
              1022
                       51.1
data_predict %>%
  # filter out missing observation at any variable
  filter_all(all_vars(!is.na(.))) %>%
  summarise(n = n(),
             mean = mean(age),
             sd = sd(age),
             min = min(age),
             max = max(age))
#> # A tibble: 1 x 5
          n mean
                            min
                      sd
     \langle int \rangle \langle dbl \rangle \langle dbl \rangle \langle dbl \rangle
#> 1 2000 60.5 11.5
                             40
```

About 25% of the 2,000 individuals die – this is a high-risk population. There is roughly 50% males and 50% females, aged 40-80.

### Randomly split data into training and test sets

```
set.seed(777)

n_total <- nrow(data_predict)
proportion_train <- 0.5
n_train <- floor(proportion_train * n_total)

sample_train <- sample(1:n_total, n_train) %>% sort
sample_test <- which(!(1:n_total %in% sample_train))

data_train <- data_predict[sample_train,]
data_test <- data_predict[sample_test,]</pre>
```

#### Fit model in training data

```
# Fit logistic regression
model <- glm(formula = dead ~ age + sex + sbp + bmi,</pre>
            family = "binomial",
            data = data train)
# View model output summary
summary(model)
#> Call:
#> qlm(formula = dead ~ age + sex + sbp + bmi, family = "binomial",
#>
     data = data_train)
#> Deviance Residuals:
#> Min 1Q Median 3Q
                                        Max
#> -1.9187 -0.7526 -0.4669 0.6399 2.7754
#> Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
#> (Intercept) -13.297927   1.440507   -9.231   < 2e-16 ***
         0.086203 0.007921 10.883 < 2e-16 ***
0.155126 0.160836 0.965 0.335
#> age
#> sexMale
#> sbp
              #> bmi
               0.013306 0.027782 0.479 0.632
#> ---
#> Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
#>
#> (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
#>
      Null deviance: 1126.86 on 999 degrees of freedom
#> Residual deviance: 950.39 on 995 degrees of freedom
#> AIC: 960.39
#>
#> Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5
# Get odds ratio and 95% CI
```

### Predict risk based on trained model

```
# update data_train and data_test with predicted probabilites
data_train$prob_dead <-</pre>
 predict(model, type = "response")
# compare predicted risk
data_train %>%
 group_by(dead) %>%
 summarise(n = n (),
          mean = mean(prob_dead),
          sd = sd(prob_dead),
          min = min(prob_dead),
          max = max(prob_dead)) %>%
 pivot_longer(-dead) %>%
 pivot_wider(id_cols = name, names_from = dead)
#> # A tibble: 5 x 3
#> 1 n 749 251
#> 2 mean 0.207 0.383
#> 3 sd 0.155 0.189
#> 4 min 0.0134 0.0213
#> 5 max 0.841 0.820
```

### On training dataset

```
data_test$prob_dead <-
   predict(model, type = "response", newdata = data_test)

data_test %>%
   group_by(dead) %>%
   summarise(n = n (),
        mean = mean(prob_dead),
        sd = sd(prob_dead),
        min = min(prob_dead),
        max = max(prob_dead)) %>%

pivot_longer(-dead) %>%
```

```
pivot_wider(id_cols = name, names_from = dead)
#> # A tibble: 5 x 3
             Alive
#>
                       Dead
   name
    <chr>
             <dbl>
                      <dbl>
          742
#> 1 n
                   258
#> 2 mean
            0.212
                     0.406
#> 3 sd
            0.160
                     0.192
#> 4 min
            0.0149
                     0.0256
#> 5 max
            0.850
                     0.844
```


```
# Alternative solution (with broom::augment() )
alt_data_train <- model %>%
    # augment creates new columns with some useful information from the model
# .fitted = predicted values
augment(type.predict = "response")
alt_data_test <- model %>%
augment(type.predict = "response", newdata = data_test)
```

#### On test dataset

#### Validation

**ROC** In the training dataset, the ROC is 79%. This means that a person who did die has a 79% probability of having a higher predicted risk (of dying) than someone who did not. This shows the model has fairly good discrimination (ability to separate those who did and did not experience the event of interest).

```
pacman::p_load(yardstick)
# set the second level of factor variable as the event (i.e. dead)
options(yardstick.event_first = FALSE)
# combine dataset
data_grouped <- bind_rows(data_train %>% mutate(set = "Training"),
                      data_test %>% mutate(set = "Validation")) %>%
  group_by(set)
# Calculate ROC
data_grouped %>%
 roc_auc(truth = dead, prob_dead)
#> # A tibble: 2 x 4
#> set
               .metric .estimator .estimate
#> <chr>
                \langle chr \rangle \langle chr \rangle
                                       <dbl>
#> 1 Training roc_auc binary
                                        0.767
#> 2 Validation roc_auc binary
                                        0.783
# Visualise ROC
data_roc <- data_grouped %>%
 roc_curve(truth = dead, prob_dead)
```



**Hosmer-Lemeshow** The Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness of fit table for the two (S=0 and the S=1) datasets were very similar. Both showed evidence of a well calibrated model.

```
pacman::p_load(generalhoslem)

gof <- data_grouped %>%
    group_map( ~ logitgof(
        obs = .$dead,
        exp = .$prob_dead,
        g = 10
        ))

# Fix name
names(gof) <- group_keys(data_grouped)[[group_vars(data_grouped)]]

# output Goodness of Fit metrics
gof
#> $Training
#>
```

```
Hosmer and Lemeshow test (binary model)
#>
#> data: .$dead, .$prob_dead
\#> X-squared = 8.105, df = 8, p-value = 0.4233
#>
#>
#> $Validation
#>
#> Hosmer and Lemeshow test (binary model)
#>
#> data: .$dead, .$prob_dead
\#> X-squared = 4.7631, df = 8, p-value = 0.7826
# create GoF table
gof_table <- lapply(gof, function(x){</pre>
  cbind(x$observed, x$expected) %>%
    as_tibble(rownames = "threshold") %>%
    mutate(group = 1:nrow(.))
})
gof_table$Training
#> # A tibble: 10 x 6
#>
      threshold
                               y1 yhat0 yhat1 group
                         y0
#>
                      <\!db\,l> <\!db\,l> <\!db\,l> <\!db\,l> <\!in\,t>
      <chr>
   1 [0.0134,0.0541]
                                6 96.2 3.79
#>
                         94
                                                   1
#> 2 (0.0541,0.085]
                                6 92.9 7.09
                                                   2
                         94
#> 3 (0.085,0.115]
                         92
                                8 90.0 10.0
                                                   3
#> 4 (0.115,0.153]
                         86
                               14 86.8 13.2
                                                   4
#> 5 (0.153,0.204]
                         77
                               23 82.4 17.6
                                                   5
                                                   6
#> 6 (0.204,0.267]
                         84
                               16 76.3 23.7
                                                   7
#> 7 (0.267,0.337]
                         68
                               32 69.8 30.2
                               35 62.9 37.1
                                                   8
#> 8 (0.337,0.415]
                         65
                               50 53.4 46.6
#> 9 (0.415,0.522]
                         50
                                                   9
#> 10 (0.522,0.841]
                         39
                               61 38.2 61.8
                                                  10
gof_table$Validation
#> # A tibble: 10 x 6
#>
      threshold
                               y1 yhat0 yhat1 group
                         y0
#>
      <chr>
                      <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <int>
                                5 95.9 4.12
#> 1 [0.0149,0.0582]
                         95
                                                   1
   2 (0.0582, 0.0897]
                                6 92.6 7.43
                                                   2
                         94
#> 3 (0.0897,0.121]
                               10 89.5 10.5
                                                   3
                         90
                               12 86.0 14.0
#> 4 (0.121,0.161]
                         88
#> 5 (0.161,0.208]
                         84
                               16 81.6 18.4
                                                   5
#> 6 (0.208,0.274]
                         74
                               26 76.2 23.8
                                                   6
#> 7 (0.274,0.35]
                         75
                               25 69.0 31.0
                                                   7
#> 8 (0.35,0.437]
                         62
                               38 60.3 39.7
                                                   8
#> 9 (0.437,0.547]
                         50
                               50 50.9 49.1
                                                   9
#> 10 (0.547,0.85]
                         30
                               70 35.8 64.2
                                                  10
```

Bar graphs comparing the predicted and observed risks in the S=0 and S=1 datasets also show good calibration (in both the training and validation data).

```
\# tidy up gof\_table for plotting
tidy_gof <- bind_rows(gof_table, .id = "set") %>%
  dplyr::select(set, group, Obs = y1, Exp = yhat1) %>%
  pivot_longer(
    cols = c(Obs, Exp),
    names_to = "class"
  )
# plotting
tidy_gof %>%
  ggplot(aes(x = group, y = value, fill = class)) +
  facet_grid(cols = vars(set)) +
  geom_bar(stat = "identity", position = position_dodge()) +
  scale_x_continuous(breaks = 1:10) +
  theme_minimal() +
  theme(panel.grid.minor.x = element_blank(),
        panel.grid.major.x = element_blank(),
        axis.title = element_blank())
```

