Neuroscience Term Project

Ali Abolhassanzadeh Mahania, Maedeh Karkhaneh Yousefia, and Yaghoub Shahmaria

^aStudent, Physics Department, Sharif University of Technology

This manuscript was compiled on February 1, 2022

We use the data used in the "Reach to Grasp" experiment, taken using LFP from the Motor Cortex of a Macaque. We hypothesize that one event has a dominant role in changing the firing rate of the neurons. Noting that the data was taken from the motor cortex, that event would be when the monkey start lifting its (left) hand.

Keyword 1 | Keyword 2 | Keyword 3 | ...

In this paper we have used the electrophysiological dataset recorded in motor cortex of two macaque monkey(N) during an instructed delayed reach-to-grasp task to create numerous plots. Meanwhile the data is recorded with a 10×10 electrode array. The area under control includes: central sulcus, M1, Premotor Cortex Dorsal (PMD) and Premotor Cortex Ventral (PMV). 96 electrodes out of 100 have the data we need. We'll be clarifying the type of the process, whether the neurons are sensitive to a particle event or not, studying the firing rate based on different events, and etc. (1)

Behavioural Task

The task is done by Monkey (N) and recorded during the trials. TS ON set signals when the task begins. After 400 ms, the yellow LED turns on, a signal that the start of the trial (WS_ON). CUE_ON set is the representation of how the monkey should grasp the object; Whether it is a side grip (SG) and the two left LEDs turn on, or it's a precision grip (PG) and the two right LEDs turn on. After 300 ms CUE_OFF happens and the LEDs turn off. During the following 1000 ms, if something out of order happens, the trial leads to an error; and if not, at GO_ON set, signal to the monkey to move its hand and grip the object divides into two kinds: Low force (LF) and high force (HF). After some delay, depending on the monkey's own behaviour, SR ON signals the start of the monkey's hand's motion. If no error happens, it should hold on the object for 500 ms, and then it receives the reward (RW ON). At last, WS OFF signals the end of the trial. These events are saved as bit numbers in dataset.

Materials and Methods

We used the data associated with the neurons' spike trains and the events during the trials. In order to have the raster plot, each trial has been separated from other trials, and its starting time shifted to zero. This way the behaviour of neurons can be seen during each trial. PSTH figure is the density of spikes over time, and is plotted with the same data sorting procedure (Fig. 3). Because the events were not altogether ordered and collected over time, showing the events as vertical line in Fig. 1 would cause unnecessary confusion. So, instead, a histogram of events for all the trials is provided, implementing which events have happened at which time during the trial (Fig. 3). The histogram plot of the ISI of all the trials is shown in Fig. 4. Of course, the trials are gone

through a filter to eliminate the outlier data And should not be used.

Results

Discussion

A stochastic process that generates a sequence of events, such as action potentials, is called a **point process**. If the probability of an event occurring at any given time doesn't depend at all on the preceding events, so that the events themselves are statistically independent, we have a **Poisson process**. The **Homogeneous Poisson process**, which we are involved with here, causes the Poisson distribution:

$$P_T[n] = \frac{(rT)^n}{n!} \exp(-rT) \quad (I)$$

The probability density of time intervals between adjacent spikes is called the interspike interval distribution (ISI), and it is a useful statistic for characterizing spiking patterns. From equation (I), with n=0, the probability of not firing a spike for period τ is $exp(-r\tau)$, so the probability of an interspike interval falling between τ and $\Delta t + \tau$ is:

$$P\left[\tau \le t_{i+1} - t_i < \tau + \Delta t\right] = r\Delta t \exp(-r\tau)$$
 II

References

- Yaoyao Hao Margaux Duret Julia Sprenger Michael Denker Sonja Grün & Alexa Riehle1 Thomas Brochier1, Lyuba Zehl. Massively parallel recordings in macaque motor cortex during an instructed delayed reach-to-grasp task. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2018.
- Matthew T. Kaufman Justin D. Foster Paul Nuyujukian Stephen I. Ryu & Krishna V. Shenoy Mark M. Churchland1, John P. Cunningham. Neural population dynamics during reaching 487 2012
- Mark M. Churchland and Krishna V. Shenoy. Temporal complexity and heterogeneity of single neuron activity in premotor and motor cortex. 97, 2007.

Significance Statement

During this project we analyze the data from the motor cortex and find which events are most effective on the change in neuron behavior. We expect it to be the events correlated the macaque lifting its arm and we deduce that expectation to be correct.

Please provide details of author contributions here

¹A.O.(Author One) and A.T. (Author Two) contributed equally to this work (remove if not applicable).

Distribution of events




Fig. 1. The density of events in all the trials over

Raster Plot of all the trials




Fig. 2. Raster Plot. The rasters show multiple trials during which a neuron responds to the stimulus.

PSTH Plot of all the trials




Fig. 3. PSTH. Firing rates, shown under the raster plot , were constructed from the multiple trials by counting spikes within discrete time bins and averaging over trials.

We fit the data to the general exponential equation Ae^{Bt} with $B=-2.24\pm0.14$




Fig. 4. The ISI distribution for filtered data. The bin range is between 0 to 8000 indexes. The y-axis then became logarithmic to fit the exponential function.