MAT B41 — Techniques of the Calculus of Several Variables I

This is a compilation of the notes from the MAT B41 lectures. The page and section references in parentheses occurring after definitions, theorems, other facts, and section titles refer to the textbook *Multivariable Calculus, 9th ed., Stewart, Clegg & Watson.* Certain graphs/figures are from this textbook, while others have been made using the GeoGebra Calculator Suite or the PGFPLOTS LaTeX package. Each of the facts (definitions, theorems, axioms, etc.) are numbered for cross-referencing purposes.

Contents

Useful Facts

Chapter 1   Geometry of Euclidean Space

1.1   Equations of Lines & Planes and Parametric Equations (§§10.1, 12.5)

In $\mathbb{R}^2$, the equation of a line L is $y = mx + b$. Alternatively, in point-slope form, $y - y_0 = m(x - x_0)$ (given $P_0, P_1 \in L$ with $P_0 \neq P_1$ to compute m).

Definition 1.1 — Parametric Equations (p. 662)

Suppose that x and y are real-valued functions of t on an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. That is, $x = f(t)$ and $y = g(t)$ with $t \in I$. These equations are called *parametric equations* with *parameter t*.

The set of points of x and y as t varies over I

$$\big\{(x, y) : x = f(t) \wedge y = g(t) \wedge t \in I\big\}$$

is the graph of the parametric equations of the *parametric curve.*

Example 1.2 *(Parametric Line)*: Consider $x = t - 1$ and $y = 2t + 4$, where $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

(a) Sketch the parametric curve.

(b) Write the parametric curve in the form $y = f(x)$.

(a) A table of values with some points as follows:

t	"$x(t)$"	"$y(t)$"
-1	-2	2
0	-1	4
1	0	6

Using these points, the curve is

(b) Since $x = t - 1 \iff t = x + 1$, we have

$$y = 2t + 4 = 2(x + 1) + 4 = 2x + 6$$

Therefore, $y = 2x + 6$.

Alternatively, we could proceed by noting that $y = 2t + 4 \iff t = \frac{1}{2}(y - 4)$, so

$$x + 1 = \frac{1}{2}(y - 4) \iff y = 2x + 6$$

Example 1.3: What curve/function in $\mathbb{R}^2$ is given by

$$x = \cos(t) \qquad y = \sin(t) \qquad t \in [0, 2\pi]$$

(in the form $y = f(x)$ or $f(x, y) = 0$)?

For all $t \in [0, 2\pi]$, $x^2 + y^2 = \cos^2(t) + \sin^2(t) = 1$. Thus, the given curve is

$$f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 - 1 = 0$$

1.2   More Lines in $\mathbb{R}^3$ (§12.5)

> **Definition 1.4 — Position Vector**
>
> A *position vector* represents a vector's components as a point with respect to the origin. In $\mathbb{R}^3$, a position vector $\mathbf{v}$ is denoted by $\mathbf{v} = \langle a, b, c \rangle$ for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$.

> **Remark:** The angled brackets for position vectors as in definition 1.4 are used to distinguish between ordered tuples representing points in space and vectors (e.g. $\langle a, b, c \rangle$ instead of (a, b, c) in $\mathbb{R}^3$).

> **Theorem 1.5 — Derivation of Line Equation in $\mathbb{R}^3$**
>
> A line L in $\mathbb{R}^3$ may be determined by one of the following:
>
>   - Points $P_0, P \in L$ with $P_0 \neq P$
>   - A point $P_0 \in L$ and a *direction vector* — some $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\mathbf{v} \parallel L$
>
> Given a point $P_0 = (x_0, y_0, z_0) \in L$ and a direction vector $\mathbf{v} = \langle a, b, c \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^3$ for L,
>
> $$x = x_0 + ta \qquad y = y_0 + tb \qquad z = z_0 + tc \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}$$
>
> are the parametric equations of L in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Here, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $\overrightarrow{P_0P} = t\mathbf{v}$ for some arbitrary $P = (x, y, z) \in L$.

PROOF: We will derive the line L given a point and a direction vector. Let $P_0 = (x_0, y_0, z_0) \in L$, $\mathbf{v}$ be some direction vector for L, and $P = (x, y, z) \in L$ be an arbitrary point. Define position vectors $\mathbf{r}_0$ and $\mathbf{r}$ from the origin to P_0 and P (respectively), and let $\mathbf{a} = \overrightarrow{P_0 P}$.

We have $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_0 + \mathbf{a}$. Note that $\mathbf{a} \parallel \mathbf{v}$, so $\mathbf{a} = t\mathbf{v}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_0 + t\mathbf{v}$. Now let $\mathbf{r}_0 = \langle x_0, y_0, z_0 \rangle$, $\mathbf{r} = \langle x, y, z \rangle$, and $\mathbf{v} = \langle a, b, c \rangle$. It follows that

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_0 + \mathbf{v} \iff \langle x, y, z \rangle = \langle x_0, y_0, z_0 \rangle + \langle ta, tb, tc \rangle \iff \langle x, y, z \rangle = \langle x_0 + ta, y_0 + tb, z_0 + tc \rangle$$

Therefore, $x = x_0 + ta$, $y = y_0 + tb$, and $z = z_0 + tc$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ are the parametric equations of L in $\mathbb{R}^3$. ∎

Definition 1.6 — Vector Equation of a Line (p. 865)

In the context of the derivation of the parametric equations of a line in $\mathbb{R}^3$ (the proof of theorem 1.5), the *vector equation of L* is

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_0 + t\mathbf{v}$$

or equivalently,

$$\langle x, y, z \rangle = \langle x_0 + ta, y_0 + tb, z_0 + tc \rangle$$

Corollary 1.7 — Symmetric Equations of a Line in $\mathbb{R}^3$

The *symmetric equations* of a line L in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is

$$\frac{x - x_0}{a} = \frac{y - y_0}{b} = \frac{z - z_0}{c}$$

where a, b, and c must be non-zero and $\langle x_0, y_0, z_0 \rangle$ and $\langle a, b, c \rangle$ are the same vectors as in the derivation of the parametric equations of L (the proof of theorem 1.5). If $a = 0$, $x = x_0$, and similarly $y = y_0$ and $z = z_0$ for the cases where $b = 0$ and $c = 0$ (respectively).

Remark: The symmetric equations in corollary 1.7 follow from theorem 1.5, where $x = x_0 + ta \iff t = \dfrac{x - x_0}{a}$ for $a \neq 0$, and similarly $t = \dfrac{y - y_0}{b}$ for $b \neq 0$ and $t = \dfrac{z - z_0}{c}$ for $c \neq 0$.

1.3 Equations of Planes in $\mathbb{R}^3$

Theorem 1.8 — Derivation of Plane Equation in $\mathbb{R}^3$

The equation of a plane in $\mathbb{R}^3$ can be uniquely determined by one of the following:

- A point $P_0 = (x_0, y_0, z_0)$ in the plane and a *normal vector* to the plane
- A point $P_0 = (x_0, y_0, z_0)$ in the plane and a line L on the plane such that $P_0 \notin L$

Given a point $P_0 = (x_0, y_0, z_0)$ on the plane and a normal vector $\mathbf{n} = \langle a, b, c \rangle$ to the plane, the *scalar equation* of the plane is

$$a(x - x_0) + b(y - y_0) + c(z - z_0) = 0$$

PROOF: We will derive the plane's equation given a point and a normal vector. Let $P_0 = (x_0, y_0, z_0)$ be a point on the plane, $\mathbf{n}$ be a normal vector to the plane, and $P = (x, y, z)$ be an arbitrary point on the plane. Suppose that $\mathbf{a} = \overrightarrow{P_0 P}$ and $\mathbf{r}_0$ and $\mathbf{r}$ are position vectors with respect to the points P_0 and P (respectively).

The set of all points P on the plane satisfy $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{a} = 0$ (as $\mathbf{n}$ is normal to the plane). Also, $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0$, so $\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0) = 0$. Now let $\mathbf{r}_0 = \langle x_0, y_0, z_0 \rangle$, $\mathbf{r} = \langle x, y, z \rangle$, and $\mathbf{n} = \langle a, b, c \rangle$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0) = 0 \iff & \; \langle a, b, c \rangle \cdot \left(\langle x, y, z \rangle - \langle x_0, y_0, z_0 \rangle \right) = 0 \\
\iff & \; \langle a, b, c \rangle \cdot \langle x - x_0, y - y_0, z - z_0 \rangle = 0 \\
\iff & \; a(x - x_0) + b(y - y_0) + c(z - z_0) = 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $a(x - x_0) + b(y - y_0) + c(z - z_0) = 0$ is the plane's scalar equation.   ∎

Remark: Unlike in linear algebra, "normal" and "orthogonal" are synonymous in this course, both representing perpendicularity (but *not* unit length).

Definition 1.9 — Vector Equation of a Plane (p. 868)

In the context of the derivation of the scalar equation of a plane in $\mathbb{R}^3$ (the proof of theorem 1.8), the *vector equation of the plane* is

$$ \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0) = 0 $$

Corollary 1.10 — Plane Alternative Scalar Equation

In the setup of the derivation of a plane's scalar equation in $\mathbb{R}^3$ (the proof of theorem 1.8), an equivalent form of the scalar equation of the plane is

$$ ax + by + cz + d = 0 $$

for $\mathbf{n} = \langle a, b, c \rangle$ and $d = -(ax_0 + by_0 + cz_0)$.

Remark: The equivalent form of a plane's scalar equation in corollary 1.10 is a result of expanding the scalar equation (as in theorem 1.8's proof) and collecting like terms.

Example 1.11: Find the scalar equation of a plane containing the points $P = (1, 1, -2)$, $Q = (0, 2, 1)$, and $R = (-1, -1, 0)$.

We first find a normal vector $\mathbf{n}$ to the plane. Note that

$$ \overrightarrow{QP} = \langle 1 - 0, 1 - 2, -2 - 1 \rangle = \langle 1, -1, -3 \rangle $$

$$ \overrightarrow{QR} = \langle -1 - 0, -1 - 2, 0 - 1 \rangle = \langle -1, -3, -1 \rangle $$

Thus,

$$\mathbf{n} = \overrightarrow{QP} \times \overrightarrow{QR} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ 1 & -1 & -3 \\ -1 & -3 & -1 \end{vmatrix} = \mathbf{i}(1-9) - \mathbf{j}(-1-3) + \mathbf{k}(-3-1) = -8\mathbf{i} + 4\mathbf{j} - 4\mathbf{k} = \langle -8, 4, -4 \rangle$$

using cofactor expansion along the first row (note that the standard basis vectors $\mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{j}$, and $\mathbf{k}$ are treated just as any other entry in the matrix). Therefore, taking $P_0 = (1, 1, -2)$ (any point on the plane works here), the scalar equation of the desired plane is

$$-8(x-1) + 4(y-1) - 4(z-2) = 0$$

by theorem 1.5.

1.4   Polar Coordinates (§10.3)

Definition 1.12 — Polar Coordinates (p. 686)

Let $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Each (x, y) can be represented using *polar coordinates* (r, θ), where r is the *radial component* and θ is the *angular component*.

Let θ be the angle starting from the positive x-axis to the line segment between O and P. We have

$$x = r\cos\theta \qquad y = r\sin\theta \qquad \tan\theta = \frac{y}{x} \quad \text{provided } x \neq 0$$

where $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$.

Remark: If we restrict r and θ to $r > 0$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, the polar representation is unique.

Example 1.13:

(a) Express $(1, -1)$ in polar coordinates such that $r > 0$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$.

(b) Convert $\left(2, \frac{3\pi}{2}\right)$ to rectangular coordinates.

(a) We have

$$r^2 = 1^2 + (-1)^2 = 2 \implies r = \sqrt{2}$$

as $r > 0$ and

$$\tan\theta = \frac{1}{-1} \implies \theta = 2\pi - \frac{\pi}{4} = \frac{7\pi}{4}$$

as $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$.

(b) We have

$$x = 2\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{2}\right) = 2 \cdot 0 = 0$$

$$y = 2\sin\left(\frac{3\pi}{2}\right) = 2 \cdot (-1) = -2$$

Therefore, $(x, y) = (0, -2)$.

Chapter 2 Functions

2.1 Functions of Two Variables (§14.1)

Definition 2.1 — Two-Variable Function (p. 934)

A real *two-variable function* $z = f(x, y)$ is a rule that assigns to each $(x, y) \in D$ exactly one $z \in \mathbb{R}$. Here,

- $D = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : z = f(x, y)\} = \text{dom}(f)$ is the *domain* of f
- The set $\{z \in \mathbb{R} : z = f(x, y) \text{ for some } (x, y) \in D\}$ is the *range* of f

---

Example 2.2: Find the domain D and the range of the following functions and graph them:

(a) $f(x, y) = -3x + 5y + 2$

(b) $g(x, y) = \sqrt{9 - x^2 - y^2}$

(a) $\text{dom}(f) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : f(x, y) = -3x + 5y + 2\} = \mathbb{R}^2$ as there are no x or y-values that make f undefined. For $k \in \mathbb{R}$, $-3x + 5y + 2 = k$ is the equation of a plane. Thus, $\text{range}(f) = \{z \in \mathbb{R} : z = f(x, y)\} = \mathbb{R}^2$. The graph is as follows:

(b) We have $\text{dom}(g) = \left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : g(x, y) = \sqrt{9 - x^2 - y^2}\right\}$, where the function is defined if and only if

$$9 - x^2 - y^2 \geq 0 \iff 9 \geq x^2 + y^2$$

Thus, $\text{dom}(g) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 \leq 9\}$. Also, $\text{range}(g) = \{z \in \mathbb{R} : z = f(x, y) \text{ for some } (x, y) \in \text{dom}(f)\} =$

$[0,3]$ as $x^2 + y^2 \leq 9 \implies 9 - x^2 - y^2 \geq 0 \implies \sqrt{9 - x^2 - y^2} \geq 0$ and $x^2 + y^2 \geq 0 \implies 9 - x^2 - y^2 \leq 9 \implies \sqrt{9 - x^2 - y^2} \leq 3$. The graph is as follows:

Example 2.3: Consider $f(x,y) = \dfrac{(x^2 + 3y^2 - 9)(xy - 1)}{x}$. For what $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is $f(x,y)$ zero, undefined, positive, and negative? Illustrate these points.

Since f is a quotient,

$$\operatorname{dom}(f) = \operatorname{dom}(x^2 + 3y^2 - 9) \cap \operatorname{dom}(xy - 1) \cap \operatorname{dom}(x) \cap \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \neq 0 \right\}$$

Note that $x^2 + 3y^2 - 9$, $xy - 1$, and x are polynomials in two variables, so we get

$$\operatorname{dom}(f) = \mathbb{R}^2 \cap \mathbb{R}^2 \cap \mathbb{R}^2 \cap \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \neq 0 \right\} = \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \neq 0 \right\}$$

Thus, f is undefined for $\left\{ (0,y) : y \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ (i.e. the y-axis). Now observe that

$$f(x,y) = 0 \iff (x^2 + 3y^2 - 9)(xy - 1) = 0 \iff x^2 + 3y^2 - 9 = 0 \vee xy - 1 = 0$$

Here, $xy - 1 = 0 \iff y = \dfrac{1}{x}$ and $x^2 + 3y^2 - 9 = 0 \iff \dfrac{x^2}{9} + \dfrac{y^2}{3} = 1 \iff \left(\dfrac{x}{3}\right)^2 + \left(\dfrac{y}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^2 = 1$. Thus,

$$f(x,y) = 0 \iff \left(\dfrac{x}{3}\right)^2 + \left(\dfrac{y}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^2 = 1 \vee y = \dfrac{1}{x}$$

We determine where f is positive and negative by substituting appropriate sample points.

Observe that

$$f(-4,2) = \frac{117}{4} > 0 \quad f(-1,1) = -10 < 0 \qquad f\left(1,\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{13}{4} > 0 \quad f(2,1) = -1 < 0 \qquad f(4,2) = \frac{91}{4} > 0$$

$$f(-4,-2) = -\frac{7}{4} < 0 \quad f(-2,-1) = 4 > 0 \quad f\left(-1,-\frac{1}{2}\right) = -\frac{19}{4} < 0 \quad f(1,-1) = 22 > 0 \quad f(4,-1) = -5 < 0$$

Remark: The intervals where a single-variable function is positive and negative can be determined by substituting sample points from each interval in the partition of $\mathbb{R}$ formed by the function's roots and undefined points. The same information can be obtained for functions in two variables using sample points from each region in the partition of $\mathbb{R}^2$ formed by the function's roots and undefined points.

2.2   Graphs of Two-Variable Functions

> **Definition 2.4 — Graph of a Two-Variable Function (p. 937)**
>
> If $z = f(x,y)$ has domain D, then the *graph* (or *surface*) of f is
>
> $$\left\{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (x,y) \in D \wedge z = f(x,y)\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$$

Example 2.5: Sketch the graph in $\mathbb{R}^3$ given by:

(a) $z + x + y - 1 = 0$

(b) $x^2 + y^2 - 4x + 2y + z^2 = 4$

(a) The given function is a plane. Note that $z + x + y - 1 = 0 \iff z = -x - y + 1$. Thus,

$$x = 0 \wedge y = 0 \implies z = 1 \implies P = (0,0,1) \in \text{graph}(f)$$
$$x = 0 \wedge z = 0 \implies y = 1 \implies Q = (0,1,0) \in \text{graph}(f)$$
$$y = 0 \wedge z = 0 \implies x = 1 \implies R = (1,0,0) \in \text{graph}(f)$$

(b) Observe that

$$x^2 + y^2 - 4x + 2y + z^2 = 4 \iff (x^2 - 4x + 4) + (y^2 + 2y + 1) + z^2 = 4 + 4 + 1 \iff (x-2)^2 + (y+1)^2 + z^2 = 9$$

which is the equation of a sphere with radius 3, centered at $(2, -1, 0)$. The graph is as follows:

> **Definition 2.6 — Level Curve and Contour Map (p. 939)**
>
> Let $z = f(x, y)$ and $c \in \text{range}(f)$. The *level curve* (or *contour*) of f (for c) is the set of points $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ that satisfy
>
> $$f(x, y) = c$$
>
> A collection of level curves is called a *contour diagram/map*.

Example 2.7: Consider the contour diagram

Provide a sketch of $z = f(x, y)$. Repeat this exercise for the same contour diagram, but with c values $c = 0$, $c = -1$, $c = -2$, and $c = -3$ in that order from the inner to outermost circle.

The given contours yield the graph

When the contours are interpolated, we obtain the following cone:

When the c values are reversed, we obtain the following cone:

Example 2.8: Draw the contour diagram of the graph for

(a) $f(x, y) = \sqrt{9 - x^2 - y^2}$

(b) $z = \dfrac{x^2}{a^2} + \dfrac{y^2}{b^2}$ for $a^2 \geq b^2 > 0$.

(a) From example 2.2, $\mathrm{dom}(f) = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 \leq 9 \right\}$, so $\mathrm{range}(f) = [0, 3]$ (as $0 \leq x^2 + y^2 \leq 9 \implies \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \leq 3$). For $c = 0$, we have

$$\sqrt{9 - x^2 - y^2} = 0 \iff 9 - x^2 - y^2 = 0 \iff x^2 + y^2 = 9$$

Similarly, for $c = 1$, $c = 2$, and $c = 3$, we have $f(x, y) = 1 \iff x^2 + y^2 = 8$, $f(x, y) = 2 \iff x^2 + y^2 = 5$, and $f(x, y) = 3 \iff x^2 + y^2 = 0$ (respectively). This gives us

(b) Let $c \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$. We have

$$\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = c \iff b^2 \cdot x^2 + a^2 \cdot y^2 = a^2 b^2 \cdot c \iff (bx)^2 + (ay)^2 = (ab)^2 c$$

This is the equation of an ellipse centered at the origin. Setting $y = 0$ and solving for $|x|$ yields its radius along the x-axis, as follows:

$$(bx)^2 = (ab)^2 c - (ay)^2 = (ab)^2 c - (a \cdot 0)^2 = (ab)^2 c \implies x^2 = a^2 c \implies |x| = |a|\sqrt{c}$$

Similarly, setting $x = 0$ and solving for $|y|$ yields the ellipse's radius along the y-axis, as follows:

$$(ay)^2 = (ab)^2 c - (bx)^2 = (ab)^2 c - (b \cdot 0)^2 = (ab)^2 c \implies y^2 = b^2 c \implies |y| = |b|\sqrt{c}$$

Note that $c \geq 0$ in the previous calculations, so $\sqrt{c}$ is defined. For $c = 0$, $c = 1$, $c = 4$, and $c = 9$, we thus have ellipses centered at the origin with x and y-axis radii 0 and 0, $|a|$ and $|b|$, $2|a|$ and $2|b|$, and $3|a|$ and $3|b|$. This gives us the contour diagram

2.3   Multivariable Functions

> **Definition 2.9 — Multivariable Function (p. 945)**
>
> Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. A *function of n variables* is a rule which assigns to each point $(x-1,\ldots,x_n) \in D$ exactly one real number $z = f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$.
>
> 1. $D = \big\{(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : z = f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\big\}$ is the *domain* of f
>
> 2. $\big\{z \in \mathbb{R} : z = f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \text{ for some } (x_1,\ldots,x_n) \in D\big\}$ is the *range* (or *codomain*) of f
>
> 3. The set of points $\Big\{\big(x_1,\ldots,x_n, f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\big) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : (x_1,\ldots,x_n) \in D\Big\}$ is the *graph* of f

Example 2.10: What the domain of $f(x,y,z) = \ln(z-y) + xy\sin(z)$?

Logarithms are defined on $\mathbb{R}^+$, so we need $z - y > 0 \iff z > y$. xy is defined for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, while $\sin(z)$ is defined for $-1 \le z \le 1$. Therefore,

$$\text{dom}(f) = \Big\{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : y < z \wedge -1 \le z \le 1\Big\}$$

2.4   Limits (§14.2)

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. Recall that for single-variable functions, we say that the "limit of f as x approaches a", denoted by

$$\lim_{x \to a} f(x) = L$$

if and only if for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that

$$0 < |x - a| < \delta \implies \big|f(x) - L\big| < \epsilon$$

where $x \in \text{dom}(f)$ and $L \in \mathbb{R}$.

We now establish an analogous concept for multivariable functions.

> **Definition 2.11 — Two-Variable Function Limit (p. 952)**
>
> Let $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $z = f(x, y)$. We say that the "limit of f as (x, y) approaches (a, b)", denoted by
>
> $$\lim_{(x,y)\to(a,b)} f(x, y) = L$$
>
> if and only if for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that
>
> $$0 < \left\| (x, y) - (a, b) \right\| < \delta \implies \left| f(x, y) - L \right| < \epsilon$$
>
> where $x \in D = \mathrm{dom}(f)$ and $L \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark: In definition 2.11, the *Euclidean norm* is used, which is given by

$$\left\| (x, y) - (a, b) \right\| = \sqrt{(x - a)^2 + (y - b)^2}$$

Example 2.12: Prove that $\displaystyle\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} \frac{x^2}{x^2 + y^2}$ does not exist.

Let $f(x, y)$ be the given function and $D = \mathrm{dom}(f)$. We want to choose a curve/path $C_1 \subseteq D$ for which $\displaystyle\lim_{\substack{(x,y)\to(a,b) \\ (x,y)\in C_1}} f(x, y) =$

C_1 and another path $C_2 \subseteq D$ for which $\displaystyle\lim_{\substack{(x,y)\to(a,b) \\ (x,y)\in C_2}} f(x, y) = L_2$ such that $L_1 \neq L_2$.

Along the x-axis, $y = 0$, so we get

$$\lim_{(x,0)\to(0,0)} \frac{x^2}{x^2 + 0^2} = \lim_{x\to0} \frac{x^2}{x^2} = \lim_{x\to0} 1 = 1$$

for $x \neq 0$. Along the y-axis, $x = 0$, which gives us

$$\lim_{(0,y)\to(0,0)} \frac{0^2}{0^2 + y^2} = \lim_{y\to0} 0 = 0$$

Since $0 \neq 1$, the limit depends on the path. Therefore, the limit does not exist.

Example 2.13: Show that $\displaystyle\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} \frac{x^2 y}{x^4 + y^2}$ does not exist.

Along the x-axis, $y = 0$, so we get

$$\lim_{(x,0)\to(0,0)} \frac{x^2 \cdot 0}{x^4 + 0^2} = \lim_{x\to0} 0 = 0$$

Along the curve $y = x^2$, we get

$$\lim_{(x,x^2)\to(0,0)} \frac{x^2 \cdot x^2}{x^4 + (x^2)^2} = \lim_{x\to0} \frac{x^4}{2x^4} = \lim_{x\to0} \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

for $x \neq 0$. Since $0 \neq \frac{1}{2}$, the limit does not exist.

Example 2.14: Compute $\displaystyle\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} \frac{e^{-(x^2+y^2)} - 1}{x^2 + y^2}$, if it exists.

Let $x = r\cos\theta$ and $y = r\sin\theta$ for $r \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. As $(x, y) \to (0, 0)$,

$$r^2 = x^2 + y^2 \to 0 \iff r = \pm\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \to 0$$

Since $r \geq 0$, $r \to 0^+$. Thus, by L'Hopital's Rule (for $\frac{0}{0}$ indeterminate forms), the limit becomes

$$\lim_{r\to 0^+} \frac{e^{-r^2} - 1}{r^2} = \lim_{r\to 0^+} \frac{e^{-r^2} \cdot (-2r)}{2r} = \lim_{r\to 0^+} -e^{-r^2} = -e^0 = -1$$

Example 2.15 *(One-Variable ϵ-δ)*: Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. Prove, using ϵ-δ, that $\displaystyle\lim_{x\to c} f(x)$ exists for $f(x) = ax + b$.

We want to show that for some $L \in \mathbb{R}$, for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < |x - c| < \delta \implies |f(x) - L| < \epsilon$. Let $L = ac + b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $\delta = \dfrac{\epsilon}{|a| + 1} > 0$, and suppose that $0 < |x - c| < \delta$. We want to show that $|f(x) - (ac + b)| < \epsilon$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f(x) - (ac + b)\right| &= \left|(ax + b) - (ac + b)\right| \\
&= \left|a(x - c)\right| \\
&= |a||x - c| && \text{(by the absolute value property } |AB| = |A||B|) \\
&< |a|\,\delta \\
&= |a| \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{|a| + 1} \\
&< \frac{|a| + 1}{|a| + 1} \cdot \epsilon && \text{(by increasing the numerator)} \\
&= \epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.

Remark: The choice of $\delta = \dfrac{\epsilon}{|a| + 1}$ in example 2.15 instead of $\delta = \dfrac{\epsilon}{|a|}$ was made to handle the case where $|A| = 0$, while still allowing us to use the same reasoning as with $\dfrac{\epsilon}{|a|}$ with the inequalities.

Example 2.16 *(Two-Variable ϵ-δ)*: Let $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$. Prove, by definition (i.e. using ϵ-δ), that $\displaystyle\lim_{(x,y)\to(a,b)} f(x, y) = Aa + Bb$ for $f(x, y) = Ax + By$.

We want to show that for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < \left\|(x, y) - (a, b)\right\| < \delta \implies |f(x, y) - (Aa + Bb)| < \epsilon$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Choose $\delta = \dfrac{\epsilon}{|A| + |B| + 1} > 0$, and suppose that $0 < \sqrt{(x - a)^2 + (y - b)^2} < \delta$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|f(x, y) - (Aa + Bb)\right| \\
&= \left|(Ax + By) - (Aa + Bb)\right| \\
&= \left|A(x - a) + B(y - b)\right| \\
&\leq \left|A(x - a)\right| + \left|B(y - b)\right| && \text{(by the triangle inequality)} \\
&= |A||x - a| + |B||y - b| && \text{(by the absolute value multiplicative property)} \\
&= |A|\sqrt{(x - a)^2} + |B|\sqrt{(y - b)^2} && \text{(since } |\cdot| = \sqrt{\cdot^2}) \\
&\leq |A|\sqrt{(x - a)^2 + (y - b)^2} + |B|\sqrt{(y - b)^2 + (x - a)^2} && \text{(as the square root function is increasing and } \cdot^2 \geq 0) \\
&= (|A| + |B|)\sqrt{(x - a)^2 + (y - b)^2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$< (|A| + |B|)\delta$$
$$= (|A| + |B|) \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{|A| + |B| + 1}$$
$$< \frac{|A| + |B| + 1}{|A| + |B| + 1} \cdot \epsilon$$
$$= \epsilon$$

as desired.

Example 2.17: Prove by definition that $\displaystyle\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} \frac{x+y}{x^2+y^2+1} = 0$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Choose $\delta = \dfrac{\epsilon}{2} > 0$, and suppose that $0 < \sqrt{x^2+y^2} < \delta$. We want to show that $\left| \dfrac{x+y}{x^2+y^2+1} - 0 \right| < \epsilon$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{x+y}{x^2+y^2+1} - 0 \right| &= \left| \frac{x+y}{x^2+y^2+1} \right| \\
&= \frac{|x+y|}{|x^2+y^2+1|} && \text{(by the absolute value property } \left|\tfrac{A}{B}\right| = \tfrac{|A|}{|B|}) \\
&= \frac{|x+y|}{x^2+y^2+1} && \text{(since } x^2+y^2+1 > 0 \text{ for all } (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2) \\
&\leq |x+y| && \text{(as } x^2+y^2+1 \geq 1 \text{ for all } (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2) \\
&\leq |x| + |y| && \text{(by the triangle inequality)} \\
&= \sqrt{x^2} + \sqrt{y^2} && \text{(since } |\cdot| = \sqrt{\cdot^2}) \\
&\leq \sqrt{x^2+y^2} + \sqrt{y^2+x^2} && \text{(as } \cdot^2 \geq 0 \text{ and the square root function is increasing)} \\
&= 2\sqrt{x^2+y^2} \\
&< 2\delta \\
&= 2 \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\
&= \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Definition 2.18 — n-Variable Function Limit (p. 959)

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $f(\mathbf{x})$ be an n-variable function with domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. We say that

$$\lim_{\mathbf{x}\to\mathbf{a}} f(\mathbf{x}) = L$$

if for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that

$$0 < \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}\| < \delta \implies |f(x) - L| < \epsilon$$

where $\mathbf{x} \in D$ and $L \in \mathbb{R}$.

> **Theorem 2.19 — Limit Laws (p. 955)**
>
> Let $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$ be defined for all $(x, y) \neq (a, b)$ in a neighbourhood (a disk) around (a, b).
>
> If $\lim\limits_{(x,y)\to(a,b)} f(x, y) = L_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lim\limits_{(x,y)\to(a,b)} g(x, y) = L_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ exist then
>
> 1. $\lim\limits_{(x,y)\to(a,b)} \big(f(x, y) \pm g(x, y)\big) = L_1 \pm L_2$
>
> 2. $\lim\limits_{(x,y)\to(a,b)} cf(x, y) = cL_1$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$
>
> 3. $\lim\limits_{(x,y)\to(a,b)} f(x, y)g(x, y) = L_1 L_2$
>
> 4. $\lim\limits_{(x,y)\to(a,b)} \dfrac{f(x, y)}{g(x, y)} = \dfrac{L_1}{L_2}$ (provided that $L_2 \neq 0$)

PROOF: Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $f \to L_1$ and $g \to L_2$ as $(x, y) \to (a, b)$. To prove (1) and (2), we will show that $\lim\limits_{(x,y)\to(a,b)} \big(f(x, y) + cg(x, y)\big) = L_1 + cL_2$ using the ϵ-δ definition. We want to show that for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that

$$0 < \big\|(x, y) - (a, b)\big\| < \delta \implies \Big|\big(f(x, y) + cg(x, y)\big) - (L_1 + cL_2)\Big| < \epsilon$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Since $f \to L_1$ as $(x, y) \to (a, b)$, there exists some $\delta_1 > 0$ such that

$$0 < \big\|(x, y) - (a, b)\big\| < \delta_1 \implies \big|f(x, y) - L\big| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Similarly, since $g \to L_2$ as $(x, y) \to (a, b)$, there exists some $\delta_2 > 0$ such that

$$0 < \big\|(x, y) - (a, b)\big\| < \delta_2 \implies \big|g(x, y) - L\big| < \frac{\epsilon}{2\big(|c| + 1\big)}$$

Choose $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2) > 0$, and suppose that $0 < \big\|(x, y) - (a, b)\big\| < \delta$. We want to show that $\big|f(x, y) + cg(x, y) - (L_1 + cL_2)\big| < \epsilon$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\big|f(x, y) + cg(x, y) - (L_1 + cL_2)\big| &= \Big|\big(f(x, y) - L_1\big) + c\big(g(x, y) - L_2\big)\Big| \\
&\leq \big|f(x, y) - L_1\big| + \Big|c\big(g(x, y) - L_2\big)\Big| && \text{(by the triangle inequality)} \\
&= \big|f(x, y) - L_1\big| + |c|\big|g(x, y) - L_2\big| && \text{(by an absolute value property)} \\
&< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + |c| \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{|c| + 1} \\
&< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} && \left(\text{as } \tfrac{|c|}{|c|+1} < 1\right) \\
&= \epsilon && \blacksquare
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 2.20: Compute $\lim\limits_{(x,y)\to(2,-1)} \dfrac{2x + 3y}{4x - 3y}$, if it exists.

Using limit laws, we obtain

$$\frac{\lim_{(x,y)\to(2,-1)}(2x + 3y)}{\lim_{(x,y)\to(2,-1)}(4x - 3y)} = \frac{2\lim_{(x,y)\to(2,-1)} x + 3\lim_{(x,y)\to(2,-1)} y}{4\lim_{(x,y)\to(2,-1)} x - 3\lim_{(x,y)\to(2,-1)} y} = \frac{1}{11}$$

> **Definition 2.21 — Two-Variable Rational Function**
>
> A *rational function of two variables* is a function of the form
>
> $$\frac{p(x, y)}{q(x, y)}$$
>
> where $p(x, y)$ and $q(x, y)$ are two-variable polynomials with $q(x, y) \neq 0$.

2.5   Continuity (§14.2)

> **Definition 2.22 — Two-Variable Function Continuity (p. 957)**
>
> Let $f\colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function with domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ and $(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. f is *continuous at* (a,b) if and only if
>
> $$\lim_{(x,y)\to(a,b)} f(x,y) = f(a,b)$$
>
> That is, for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that
>
> $$\left\|(x,y) - (a,b)\right\| < \delta \implies \left|f(x,y) - f(a,b)\right| < \epsilon$$
>
> f is *continuous on* D if and only if f is continuous at (x,y) for all $(x,y) \in D$.

Example 2.23: Prove that $f(x,y) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2x+3y}{4x-3y} & \text{if } (x,y) \neq (2,-1) \\ 0 & \text{if } (x,y) = (2,-1) \end{cases}$ is discontinuous at $(2,-1)$.

From example 2.20, we have

$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(2,-1)} f(x,y) = \lim_{(x,y)\to(2,-1)} \frac{2x+3y}{4x-3y} = \frac{1}{11}$$

so the limit exists. By the definition of f, $f(2,-1) = 0$, so f is defined at $(2,-1)$. Since $\frac{1}{11} \neq 0$ (i.e. $\lim_{(x,y)\to(2,-1)} f(x,y) \neq f(2,-1)$), f is discontinuous at $(2,-1)$.

> **Theorem 2.24 — Continuity Properties (p. 957)**
>
> Let $f,g\colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ and $(a,b) \in \mathrm{dom}(f) \cap \mathrm{dom}(g)$. If f and g are continuous at (a,b), then each of the following functions are continuous at (a,b):
>
> 1. $f \pm g$
> 2. cf for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$
> 3. fg
> 4. $\dfrac{f}{g}$ (provided that $g(a,b) \neq 0$)

> **Theorem 2.25 — Continuity Composition (p. 958)**
>
> Let g be a two-variable function with domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ and range $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and f be a a single-variable function. Suppose that $(a,b) \in D$ and $z = g(a,b)$. If
>
> - g is continuous at (a,b) and
> - f is continuous at $z \in \mathrm{dom}(f)$
>
> then the two-variable composition $f \circ g$ is continuous at (a,b).

Example 2.26: Determine the set of points at which the function $H(x,y) = \dfrac{xy}{1+e^{x-y}}$ is continuous. Justify your answer.

H is a quotient.

- The numerator xy is a polynomial and thus continuous on its domain $\mathbb{R}^2$
- The denominator $1 + e^{x-y}$ is the sum of
  - 1, which is a polynomial and thus continuous on its domain $\mathbb{R}^2$
  - e^{x-y}, a composition of the polynomial $x-y$, which is continuous on its domain $\mathbb{R}^2$, and the single-variable function e^t that is continuous on its domain $\mathbb{R}$. Thus, e^{x-y} is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^2$

- $1 + e^{x-y} \neq 0$ for all $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ since $e^t > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

Therefore, H is continuous on the "common points of continuity", namely

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \cap \mathbb{R}^2 - \left\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 1 + e^{x-y} = 0\right\} = \mathbb{R}^2 \cap \mathbb{R}^2 - \emptyset = \mathbb{R}^2$$

Remark: In example 2.26, the fact that polynomials of two variables are continuous on their domains ($\mathbb{R}^2$) was proven in Q7 (c) of extra exercises 3.

Example 2.27 *(§14.2 Q43)*: Determine the set of points for which $f(x,y) = \dfrac{1 + x^2 + y^2}{1 - x^2 - y^2}$ is discontinuous. Justify your answer.

f is a quotient whose numerator and denominator are both polynomials and thus continuous on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Therefore, f is only discontinuous where its denominator is zero; that is,

$$1 - x^2 - y^2 = 0 \iff x^2 + y^2 = 1$$

so f is discontinuous on $\left\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 = 1\right\}$ (all points on the unit circle).

Example 2.28: Find $\displaystyle\lim_{(x,y)\to(-2,2)} e^{-xy} \cos(x+y)$, if it exists.

Using limit laws, we get

$$\left(\lim_{(x,y)\to(-2,2)} e^{-xy}\right)\left(\lim_{(x,y)\to(-2,2)} \cos(x+y)\right)$$

e^{-xy} is a composition of the polynomial $-xy$ (which is continuous on its domain $\mathbb{R}^2$) and the single-variable exponential e^t (which is continuous on its domain $\mathbb{R}$). Thus, e^{-xy} is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^2$. In particular, it is continuous at $(-2,2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

$\cos(x+y)$ is a composition of the polynomial $x+y$ (which is continuous on its domain $\mathbb{R}^2$) and the single-variable function $\cos(t)$ (which is continuous on its domain $\mathbb{R}$). Therefore, $\cos(x+y)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^2$ and thus continuous at $(-2,2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

By continuity at $(-2,2)$, the limit becomes

$$e^{-(-2)\cdot 2} \cdot \cos(-2+2) = e^4 \cdot \cos(0) = e^4$$

Chapter 3   Differentiation

3.1   Partial Derivatives (§14.3)

Recall that for a single-variable function $f \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, the derivative is defined as

$$f'(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

We now establish a similar concept for multivariable functions.

Definition 3.1 — Two-Variable Partial Derivatives

Let $z = f(x, y)$. The *partial derivative of f with respect to x*, denoted by

$$f_x \text{ or } \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \text{ or } \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \text{ or } D_1 f$$

is

$$f_x(x, y) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h, y) - f(x, y)}{h}$$

Similarly, the *partial derivative of f with respect to y*, denoted by

$$f_y \text{ or } \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \text{ or } \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \text{ or } D_2 f$$

is

$$f_x(x, y) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x, y+h) - f(x, y)}{h}$$

Remark: In the context of definition 3.1, suppose that $c = f(a, b)$ (for some $(a, b) \in \operatorname{dom}(f)$),

$$C_1 = \left\{ (x, b, f(x, b)) : (x, b) \in \operatorname{dom}(f) \right\}$$

and

$$C_2 = \left\{ (a, y, f(a, y)) : (a, y) \in \operatorname{dom}(f) \right\}$$

Let T_1 and T_2 be the tangents to C_1 and C_2 (respectively) at (a, b). The slopes of T_1 and T_2 are $f_x(a, b)$ and $f_y(a, b)$ (respectively), depicted in the following graph:

Example 3.2: Compute $f_x(0, 1)$ and $f_y(0, 1)$ by definition for $f(x, y) = x^2 - 3xy$.

By definition, $f_x(x, y) = \lim_{h \to 0} \dfrac{f(x+h, y) - f(x, y)}{h}$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_x(0, 1) &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(0+h, 1) - f(0, 1)}{h} \\
&= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{(h^2 - 3h \cdot 1) - (0^2 - 3 \cdot 0 \cdot 1)}{h} \qquad \text{(by the definition of } f)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{h^2 - 3h}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{h(h-3)}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} (h - 3) \qquad\qquad \text{(as } h \neq 0)$$

$$= -3$$

Also, $f_y(x,y) = \lim\limits_{h \to 0} \dfrac{f(x, y+h) - f(x,y)}{h}$, so

$$f_y(0,1) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(0, 1+h) - f(0,1)}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\left(0^2 - 3 \cdot 0 \cdot (1+h)\right) - 3 \cdot 0 \cdot (1+h)}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{0}{1+h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} 0$$

$$= 0$$

Example 3.3: Let $f(x,y) = xy^3 - x^2\sqrt{y}$ for $y \neq 0$. Compute $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$.

Treating y as a constant, we get

$$f_x(x,y) = y^3 - 2x\sqrt{y}$$

Similarly, treating x as a constant yields

$$f_y(x,y) = x \cdot 3y^2 - x^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} y^{-1/2} = 3xy^2 - \frac{x^2}{2\sqrt{y}}$$

Example 3.4: Let $\dfrac{x^2}{2} + \dfrac{y^2}{4} + \dfrac{z^2}{3} = 1$. Compute f_x.

Implicitly differentiating with respect to x while treating y as a constant, we get

$$\frac{2x}{2} + 0 + \frac{2z}{3} \cdot \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = 0 \iff \frac{2}{3} z f_x = -x$$

$$\iff \quad f_x = -\frac{3x}{2z}$$

Definition 3.5 — n-Variable Partial Derivatives (p. 966)

Let $z = f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, where $f \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function. The *partial derivative of f with respect to x_i* is

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x_i} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x_1, \ldots, x_i + h, \ldots, x_n) - f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}{h}$$

This is denoted as

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x_i} \ \text{ or } \ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \ \text{ or } \ D_i f \ \text{ or } \ f_{x_i}$$

> **Definition 3.6 — Higher Order Partial Derivatives**
>
> Let $z = f(x, y)$. The second order partial derivatives of f are
>
> $$f_{xx} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)$$
>
> $$f_{yy} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)$$
>
> $$(f_x)_y = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y\,\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)$$
>
> $$(f_y)_x = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x\,\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)$$

Example 3.7: Let $z = f(x, y) = xe^{-3y} + \sin(2x - 5y)$. Compute all second order partial derivatives of f.

Treating y as a constant, we get

$$f_x = e^{-3y} + \cos(2x - 5y) \cdot 2$$

Thus,

$$f_{xx} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(e^{-3y} + 2\cos(2x - 5y)\right) = 0 - 2\sin(2x - 5y) \cdot 2 = -4\sin(2x - 5y)$$

Now treating x as a constant, we get

$$f_{xy} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(e^{-3y} + 2\cos(2x - 5y)\right) = -3 \cdot e^{-3y} - 2\sin(2x - 5y) \cdot (-5) = -3e^{-3y} + 10\sin(2x - 5y)$$

Treating x as a constant yields

$$f_y = -3 \cdot xe^{-3y} + \cos(2x - 5y) \cdot (-5) = -3xe^{-3y} - 5\cos(2x - 5y)$$

Thus,

$$f_{yy} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(-3xe^{-3y} - 5\cos(2x - 5y)\right) = -3 \cdot (-3xe^{-3y}) + 5\sin(2x - 5y) \cdot (-5) = 9e^{-3y} - 25\sin(2x - 5y)$$

Treating y as a constant now yields

$$f_{yx} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(-3xe^{-3y} - 5\cos(2x - 5y)\right) = -3e^{-3y} + 5\sin(2x - 5y) \cdot 2 = -3e^{-3y} + 10\sin(2x - 5y)$$

> **Theorem 3.8 — Clairaut**
>
> Let $z = f(x, y)$ and $(a, b) \in \text{dom}(f)$. Suppose there exists a disk $D \subseteq \text{dom}(f)$ such that $(a, b) \in D$. If f_{xy} and f_{yx} are continuous on D, then
>
> $$f_{xy}(a, b) = f_{yx}(a, b)$$

Remark: The proof of theorem 3.8 can be found in Appendix F of the textbook.

3.2   Tangent Planes (§14.4)

Let (x_0, y_0, z_0) be a point on a surface $z = f(x, y)$, $\mathbf{u} = \langle 0, 1, f_y(x_0, y_0) \rangle$, and $\mathbf{v} = \langle 1, 0, f_x(x_0, y_0) \rangle$. In the above graph, T_1 and T_2 are the tangent lines to f at $P = (x_0, y_0, z_0)$, with position vectors $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ (respectively). Also, $C_1 = \left\{ (x, y_0, f(x, y_0)) : (x, y_0) \in \text{dom}(f) \right\}$ and $C_2 = \left\{ (x_0, y, f(x_0, y)) : (x_0, y) \in \text{dom}(f) \right\}$.

Note that $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ span a plane. It can be shown that $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v} = \langle f_x(x_0, y_0), f_y(x_0, y_0), -1 \rangle$.

> **Definition 3.9 — Tangent Plane Equation (p. 975)**
>
> Let $z = f(x, y)$ and $(x_0, y_0) \in \text{dom}(f)$. Suppose that f_x and f_y are continuous near (x_0, y_0). The *tangent plane to f* at (x_0, y_0, z_0) (where $z_0 = f(x_0, y_0)$) has the equation
>
> $$f_x(x_0, y_0)(x - x_0) + f_y(x_0, y_0)(y - y_0) - (z - z_0) = 0$$

Remark: Definition 3.9 uses the equation of a plane in $\mathbb{R}^3$, as in theorem 1.8.

Example 3.10: Find the tangent plane to $f(x, y) = x^3 y^{-2}$ at $(1, 1, 1)$.

We have $f_x = 3y^{-2}x^2$ and $f_y = -2x^3 y^{-3}$. These are both rational functions, continuous where $y \neq 0$. In particular, they are continuous at $(1, 1)$. We have $f_x(1, 1) = 3$ and $f_y(1, 1) = -2$, so the plane's equation (by definition 3.9) is

$$3(x - 1) - 2(y - 1) - (z - 1) = 0 \iff 3x - 2y - z = 0$$

Example 3.11: Find the point where the tangent plane to $f(x, y) = e^{x-y}$ at $P_0 = (1, 1, 1)$ intersects the z-axis.

We have $f_x = e^{x-y} \cdot 1 = e^{x-y}$ and $f_y = e^{x-y} \cdot (-1) = -e^{x-y}$. These are compositions of the polynomial $x - y$, which is continuous on its domain $\mathbb{R}^2$, and a single-variable exponential $g(t) = \pm e^t$, which is continuous on its domain $\mathbb{R}$. Thus, the compositions are continuous on $\mathbb{R}^2$. In particular, f_x and f_y are continuous at $(1, 1)$. Therefore, the tangent plane at P_0 is

$$e^{1-1}(x - 1) - e^{1-1}(y - 1) - (z - 1) = 0 \iff (x - 1) - (y - 1) - (z - 1) = 0 \iff x - y - z + 1 = 0$$

The tangent plane intersects the z-axis where $x = 0$ and $y = 0$, which yields

$$0 - 0 - z + 1 = 0 \iff z = 1$$

Therefore, the tangent plane intersects the z-axis at $(0, 0, 1)$.

> **Definition 3.12 — Differentiability**
>
> Let $z = f(x, y)$ and $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. f is *differentiable at* (a, b) if and only if each of the following conditions hold:
>
> - f_x and f_y both exist at (a, b)
>
> - $$\lim_{(x,y)\to(a,b)} \frac{f(x, y) - \big(f(a, b) + f_x(a, b)(x - a) + f_y(a, b)(y - b)\big)}{\|(x, y) - (a, b)\|} = 0$$

Remark: In definition 3.12, the term subtracted from $f(x, y)$ in the limit's numerator is the expression

$$z = f(a, b) + f_x(a, b)(x - a) + f_y(y - b)$$

obtained by isolating z in the equation for the tangent plane at (a, b) (as in definition 3.9).

Example 3.13: Let $f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2xy}{x^2 + y^2} & \text{if } (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0 & \text{if } (x, y) = (0, 0) \end{cases}$. Is f differentiable at $(0, 0)$?

Consider $f_x(0, 0)$ and $f_y(0, 0)$. By definition,

$$f_x(0, 0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(0 + h, 0) - f(0, 0)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \cdot \left(\frac{2h \cdot 0}{h^2 + 0^2} - 0 \right) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \cdot 0 = \lim_{h \to 0} 0 = 0$$

Also,

$$f_y(0, 0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(0, 0 + h) - f(0, 0)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \cdot \left(\frac{2 \cdot 0 \cdot h}{0^2 + h^2} - 0 \right) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \cdot 0 = \lim_{h \to 0} 0 = 0$$

Now

$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} \frac{\dfrac{2xy}{x^2 + y^2} - \big(0 + 0 \cdot (x - 0) + 0 \cdot (y - 0)\big)}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} = \lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} \frac{\dfrac{2xy}{x^2 + y^2}}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} = \lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} \frac{2xy}{(x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}}$$

Along the path $y = x$ for $x > 0$, the limit becomes

$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} \frac{2x^2}{(2x^2)^{3/2}} = \lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{2x^2}{2^{3/2} x^3} = \lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2x}} = \infty$$

so the limit does not exist. By definition, f is not differentiable at $(0, 0)$.

> **Theorem 3.14 — Major Differentiability Theorems (p. 977)**
>
> Let $z = f(x, y)$.
>
> 1. If f is differentiable at $(a, b) \in \text{dom}(f)$, then f is continuous at (a, b).
>
> 2. If f_x and f_y both exist near (a, b) and f_x and f_y are continuous at (a, b), then f is differentiable at (a, b).

Example 3.15: Show that $f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2xy}{x^2 + y^2} & \text{if } (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0 & \text{if } (x, y) = (0, 0) \end{cases}$ as in example 3.13 is not differentiable at $(0, 0)$ using

(1) in theorem 3.14.

Consider $\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} f(x, y)$. Along $y = x$, we have

$$\lim_{(x,x)\to(0,0)} \frac{2x \cdot x}{x^2 + x^2} = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{2x^2}{2x^2} = \lim_{x \to 0} 1 = 1$$

Along $y = 0$, we get

$$\lim_{(x,0)\to(0,0)} \frac{2x \cdot 0}{x^2 + 0^2} = \lim_{x \to 0} 0 = 0$$

Since $0 \neq 1$, the limit does not exist, so f is not continuous at $(0,0)$. By the contrapositive of (1) in theorem 3.14, f is not differentiable at $(0,0)$.

Example 3.16: Come up with counterexamples to show that the converses of both parts in theorem 3.14 are false.

Consider $f(x,y) = (x+y)^{1/3}$. This is a composition of the polynomial $x + y$, which is continuous on its domain $\mathbb{R}^2$, and the single-variable cube root function, which is continuous on its domain $\mathbb{R}$. Thus, f is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^2$, including $(0,0)$. Observe that

$$f_x(x,y) = \frac{1}{3(x+y)^{2/3}}$$

so $f_x(0,0)$ is undefined and thus f is not differentiable at $(0,0)$. Since f is continuous but not differentiable at $(0,0)$, $f(x,y) = (x+y)^{1/3}$ is a counterexample for the converse of (1) in theorem 3.14.

Example 3.17: Let $f(x,y) = x^3 y^{-2}$. Where is f differentiable? Justify your answer.

Treating y as a constant, we have $f_x = 3x^2 y^{-2} = \dfrac{3x^2}{y^2}$. Similarly, $f_y = x^2 \cdot (-2y^{-3}) = -\dfrac{2x^3}{y^3}$ by treating x as a constant. f_x and f_y are rational functions and thus continuous on their domains. Now

$$\mathrm{dom}(f_x) = \mathbb{R}^2 - \{(x,0) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$\mathrm{dom}(f_y) = \mathbb{R}^2 - \{(x,0) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

Since f_x and f_y are rational functions, they are continuous on their domains. Therefore, f is differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{(x,0) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

3.3   Vector-Valued Functions

> **Definition 3.18 — Vector-Valued Function**
>
> Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. A *vector-valued function* $f \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is an n-variable function whose range is a set of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^m$. That is, if $\underline{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then
>
> $$f(\underline{x}) = \big\langle f_1(\underline{x}), f_2(\underline{x}), \ldots, f_m(\underline{x}) \big\rangle$$
>
> for some functions $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_m$.

Example 3.19: One vector-valued function $f \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is

$$f(x,y) = \left\langle xy, \frac{x}{y}, x + y \right\rangle$$

> **Definition 3.20 — Vector-Valued Derivative**
>
> Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $f \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a function so that $f(\underline{x}) = \big\langle f_1(\underline{x}), \ldots, f_m(\underline{x}) \big\rangle$. The *derivative of f at $\underline{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$* is the $m \times n$ matrix of partial derivatives
>
> $$\mathrm{D}f(\underline{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \dfrac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \dfrac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \dfrac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\[2mm] \dfrac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \dfrac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \dfrac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_n} \\[2mm] \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\[2mm] \dfrac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} & \dfrac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \dfrac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}_{m \times n}$$

Example 3.21: Find $Df(\underline{x})$ where $\underline{x} = (1, 1)$ and $f \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by $f(x, y) = x^3 y^{-2}$.

From example 3.10, $f_x(1, 1) = 3$ and $f_y(1, 1) = -2$. Thus,

$$Df(1, 1) = \left[\left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right|_{(1,1)} \quad \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right|_{(1,1)} \right]_{1 \times 2} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example 3.22: Let $f \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be given by $f(x, y) = \left\langle xy, \dfrac{x}{y}, x + y \right\rangle$. Find $Df(2, 1)$.

We have $f_1(x, y) = xy$, $f_2 = \dfrac{x}{y}$, and $f_3(x, y) = x + y$, where each $f_i \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$. Thus, for $\underline{x} = (x, y)$,

$$Df(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} \dfrac{\partial f_1}{\partial x}(\underline{x}) & \dfrac{\partial f_1}{\partial y}(\underline{x}) \\[2mm] \dfrac{\partial f_2}{\partial x}(\underline{x}) & \dfrac{\partial f_2}{\partial y}(\underline{x}) \\[2mm] \dfrac{\partial f_3}{\partial x}(\underline{x}) & \dfrac{\partial f_3}{\partial y}(\underline{x}) \end{bmatrix}_{3 \times 2} = \begin{bmatrix} y & x \\[2mm] \dfrac{1}{y} & -\dfrac{x}{y^2} \\[2mm] 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies Df(2, 1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\[1mm] 1 & -2 \\[1mm] 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem 3.23 — Differentiation Rules

Let $f \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be functions and $\underline{x} = (x, y) \in \mathrm{dom}(f) \cap \mathrm{dom}(g)$. If f and g are both differentiable at $\underline{x}$, then each of the following hold:

1. *Sum Rule:* $f + g$ is differentiable at $\underline{x}$ with

$$D\big(f(\underline{x}) + g(\underline{x})\big) = Df(\underline{x}) + Dg(\underline{x})$$

2. *Constant Multiple Rule:* For all $c \in \mathbb{R}$, cf is differentiable at $\underline{x}$ with

$$D\big(cf(\underline{x})\big) = cDf(\underline{x})$$

3. *Product Rule:* fg is differentiable at $\underline{x}$ with

$$D\big(f(\underline{x})g(\underline{x})\big) = D\big(f(\underline{x})\big)g(\underline{x}) + f(\underline{x})D\big(g(\underline{x})\big)$$

4. *Quotient Rule:* $\dfrac{f}{g}$ is differentiable at $\underline{x}$, provided that $g(\underline{x}) \neq 0$, with

$$D\left(\frac{f(\underline{x})}{g(\underline{x})} \right) = \frac{D\big(f(\underline{x})\big)g(\underline{x}) - f(\underline{x})D\big(g(\underline{x})\big)}{\big(g(\underline{x})\big)^2}$$

Example 3.24: Let $h(x, y, z) = zxe^{xy}$ (so that $h \colon \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$). Find $Dh(x, y, z) = Dh(\underline{x})$

(a) By the definition of the derivative.

(b) Using the product rule.

(a) By definition,

$$Dh(\underline{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} h_x(\underline{x}) & h_y(\underline{x}) & h_z(\underline{x}) \end{bmatrix}_{1 \times 3} = \begin{bmatrix} ze^{xy} + zxye^{xy} & zx^2 e^{xy} & xe^{xy} \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) We have $h(\underline{x}) = g(\underline{x})f(\underline{x})$, where $g(\underline{x}) = zx$ ($g \colon \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$) and $f(\underline{x}) = e^{xy}$ ($f \colon \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} Dh(\underline{x}) &= D\big(g(\underline{x})f(\underline{x})\big) \\ &= D\big(g(\underline{x})\big)f(\underline{x}) + g(\underline{x})D\big(f(\underline{x})\big) \qquad \text{(by the product rule)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & x \end{bmatrix}_{1\times 3} e^{xy} + zx \begin{bmatrix} ye^{xy} & xe^{xy} & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{1\times 3}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} ze^{xy} + zxye^{xy} & zx^2 e^{xy} & xe^{xy} \end{bmatrix}$$

3.4   The Chain Rule (§14.5)

> **Theorem 3.25 — Chain Rule: Case I (p. 985)**
>
> Let $z = f(x,y) = f\big(x(t), y(t)\big)$, where $x\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $y\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. If
>
> - f is differentiable at (x, y), and
> - $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ are differentiable at t
>
> then z is differentiable and
> $$\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

Example 3.26: Find $\frac{\mathrm{d}w}{\mathrm{d}t}$ for $w = \ln(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$, where $x = \sin(t)$, $y = \cos(t)$, and $z = \tan(t)$,

(a) Using the multivariable chain rule (theorem 3.25).

(b) Using the single-variable chain rule.

(a) We have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}w}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{2x}{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} \cdot \cos(t) + \frac{2y}{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} \cdot \big(-\sin(t)\big) + \frac{2z}{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} \cdot \sec^2(t)$$

Note that

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = \sin^2(t) + \cos^2(t) + \tan^2(t) = 1 + \tan^2(t) = \sec^2(t)$$

so the original expression becomes

$$\frac{2\sin(t)\cos(t)}{\sec^2(t)} - \frac{2\cos(t)\sin(t)}{\sec^2(t)} + \frac{2\tan(t)\sec^2(t)}{\sec^2(t)} = 2\tan(t)$$

(b) As shown earlier, $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = \sec^2(t)$. Thus, $w = \ln\big(\sec^2(t)\big)$, so

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}w}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{\sec^2(t)} \cdot 2\sec(t) \cdot \sec(t)\tan(t) = 2\tan(t)$$