Rules in production system

Production systems formalize knowledge in a certain form called production rules and use forward-claiming reasoning to derive new things. They are used in many practical applications (e.g. expert systems).

A production system maintains a working memory (WM) which contains assertions that are changing during the operation of the system.

A production rule consists of an antecedent set of conditions and a consequent set of actions:

if conditions THEN actions

The antecedent conditions are tests applied to the current state of the WM; the consequent actions are a set of actions that modify the WM.

The production system operates in a three-step cycle that repeats until no more rules are applicable to the WM:

- 1. recognize find the applicable rules, i.e. those rules whose outecedent conditions are satisfied by the current WM.
- 2. resolve conficts among the rules found at the first step (called conflict set), choose those to fire.
- 3. act charge the WM by performing the consequent actions of all the rules selected at step 2.

Working Memory

WM consists of a set of working memory elements (WMEs). A WME has the form:

(type attribute,: volue, --. attributen: voluen)

where type, attribute; and value; are atoms.

Examples (person age: 21 home: bucharest)
(student name: john dept: computer Science)

Declaratively, a WME is an existential sentence:

 $\exists x [type(x) \land attribute_1(x)=volue_1 \land ... \land attribute_n(x)=volue_n]$ WIMES represent objects and relationships between them can be handled by reification (i.e. transforming a sentence into an object).

Purchases (john, bike, nov 9)

[Purchase (p3) \(\Lambda \) agent (p3) = john \(\Lambda \) object (p3) = bike ---

For example, (basicFact relation: olderThou firstAng: john secondAng: many) may be used to express the fact that John is older than Many.

Production rules

The conditions of a rule are connected by conjunctions. A condition can be positive or negetive (written as -cond) and it has the form:

(type attribute: specification...attribute: specification) where each specification is one of the following:

- an otom
- a voriable
- an evaluable expression, within []
- -a test, within } }
- conjunction, disjunction or negetion of a specification (Λ, V, \neg)

For instance, (person age: [m+1] height: x) is satisfied if there is a WME whose type is person and whose age attribute is n+1, where n is specified elsewhere. If the variable x is not bound, then x will be bound with the value of the attribute height; otherwise, the value of the attribute height in the WME has to be the same as the value of x.

— (person age: $1 < 20 \land > 69$) is satisfied if there is no WME in WM whose type is person and age value is between 6 and 20 (negation as failure).

A WME matches a condition if the types are identical and for each attribute/specification pair in the condition, there is a corresponding attribute/value pair in the WME and the value matches the specification. The metching WME may have other attributes that are not mailtioned in the condition:

condition (control phase: 5)
metching WME (control phase: 5 position: 7...)

The consequent set of actions of production rules have a procedural interpretation. All actions are executed in sequence and they can be of the following types:

- 1. ADD adds a new WME to the WM.
- 2. REMOVE i removes from WM the WME that matches the i-th condition in the antecedent of the rule; not applicable if the condition is negative.
- 3. Modify i (attribute specification) modifies the WME that matches the i-th condition in the antecedent by replacing its current value for attribute by specification; not applicable if the condition is negative.

Obs. In ADD and MODIFY, the variables that appear refer to the values obtained when matching the antecedent of the rule.

Example 1 IF (student name: x) THEN ADD (person name: x) (the equivalent of $\forall x$. Student(x) > Person(x))

Example 2 - assume that a rule added a WME of type birthoby

IF (person age: x name: n) (birthday who: n)

THEN MODIFY 1 (age [x+1])

REMOVE 2

to prevent the rule from firing again

```
Example 3 - to indicate the phase of a computation if (starting) THEN REMOVE 1

ADD (control phase: 1)
```

if (control phase: x) ... other conditions
THEN MODIFY 1 (phase [x+1])

IF (control phase: 5) THEN REMOVE 1

Example 4 We have three cubes, each of different size. With a robotic hand, we want to move the cubes in the positions called 1, 2 and 3. The goal is to place the largest cube in position 1, the middle one in 2 and the smallest in position 3.

VVM [1. (counter volue:1)

2. (cube name: A size: 10 position: heap)

3. (cube name: B size: 30 position: heap)

4. (cube name: C size: 20 position: heap)

[1. If (cube position: heap name: n size:s)

— (cube position: heap size: 1>54)

— (cube position: hond)

Rules THEN MODIFY: (position hand) there are no conflicts

2. IF (cube position: hond) because only one rule can fine of a time

(counter value: i)

THEN MODIFY: (position: i)

MODIFY: 2 (value [i41])

Rule 1 -> n=B, s=30 -> WME3 changes

(cube name: B size: 30 position: hand)

Rule 2 -> i=1-> WME3 changes

(cube name: B size: 30 position: 1)

WME1 changes (counter value: 2)

```
Rule 1 -> n=C, s=20 -> WME 4 changes
                    (cube name : c size : 20 position : houd)
    Rule 2 -> i=2 -> WME 4 changes
                    (cube name: c size: 20 position: 2)
                 WME 1 changes (counter value : 3)
    Rule 1 - n=A, S=10 -> WMEZ changes
                 (cube name: A size: 10 position: hond)
    Rule 2 -> i=3 -> WME 2 changes
                 (cube name: A size: 10 position: 3)
                 WME 1 changes (counter value : 4)
      1. (counter value: 4)
WM 2. (cube name: A size: 10 position: 3)
3. (cube name: B size: 30 position: 1)
4. (cube name: C size: 20 position: 2)
   No rule is applicable now, so the production system halts.
 Example 5 - compute how many days are in any given year.
          if (year 24) then (normal year)
              else if (year / 100) then (leap year)
                 else if (year 1400) then (normal year)
                       else (leap year)
  wm [ (wont Days year : 1953)
1. if (went Days year: n)

THEN REMOVE 1

ADD (year mod 4: [n% 4] mod 100: [n% 100] mod 400: [n% 400])

2. if (year mod 4: \{\def 0\def \})

THEN REMOVE 1

ADD (has Days days: 365)

3. if (year mod 4: 0 mod 100: \{\def 0\def \})

THEN REMOVE 1
                  THEN REMOVE 1
ADD (hosbays days: 366)
```

```
4- IF (year mod 100: 0 mod 400: 4 = 04)

THEN REMOVE 1

ADD (has bays days: 365)

5. IF (year mod 400:0)

THEN REMOVE 1

ADD (has bays days: 366)
```

All conditions are disjoint, so one rule fires et a time.

Example 6 - compute the greatest common factor of two integers.

[if
$$a>b$$
 then $gcf(a,b) = gcf(a-b,b)$

else $gcf(a,b) = gcf(a,b-a)$
 $gcf(o,a) = gcf(a,o) = a$

wm (gcf val1:6 valz=9)

Rules

3. similar to 2, for val1: \\ \pm 0\gamma\ and val2:0

5. similar to 4, for a ≤ b

All conditions are disjoint, one rule fires et a time WM (nes vel:3)

Solving conflicts

There are conflict resolution strategies to eliminate some applicable rules, if necessary. The most common approaches one:

- order: choose the first applicable rule in order of presentation (this strategy is implemented in PROLOG).
- specificity: choose the applicable rule whose conditions are most specific.

IF (bird) THEN ADD (confly)

more specific if (bird weight: \>100}) THEN ADD (cannot Fly) than the lif (bird) (penguin) THEN ADD (cannot Fly) first

another criterion should be applied further to choose between the two rules

- recency choose the applicable rule that matches the most/least recently created/modified WME.
- refractoriness reject a rule that has just been applied with the same value of its variables it prevents going into loops by repeated firing of a rule because of the same WME.

The efficiency of production systems

The rule metching operation consumes up to 90% of the operating time of a production system.

Two key observations led to a very efficient implementation:

- WM modifies very lettle during an execution cycle;
- many rules share common conditions.

The RETE algorithm (1974) creates a network from the rules antecedents. This network can be created in advance, as the rules do not change during the system operation.

During operation, now or changed WMEs are checked if they satisfy the conditions of a rule in this way, only a small part of WM is re-matched against the conditions of the rules, reducing drestically the time to calculate the applicable rules.

The adventages of production systems

- -modulority because the rules work independently, they can be added or deleted relatively easily.
- control a simple control structure.
- transparency the rules use a terminology easy to understood and the reasoning can be traced and explained in natural language.

In real-life applications, these adventages tend to diminish. Nevertheless, production systems are successfully used in a wide variety of practical problems (e.g. medical diagnosis, checking for eligibility for credits, configuration of systems).

MYCIN - expert system for diagnosis of bacterial infections, developed at Stanford University in 170

- 500 production rules for recognizing 100 causes of infection

- the most significant contribution was the introduction of a level of certainty of evidences and confidence in hypotheses.
- XCON rule-based system for configuring computers, developed of Cornegie-Mellon University in 1978
 - 10000 rules to describe hundreds of types of components.
 - contributed to a growing commercial interest in rule-based expert systems.