

IRAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Discrete mathematics Problem Set #3

Ali Heydari

March 20, 2018

1 Translating into Logic

(We will cover the material necessary to solve this problem on Monday. You can also read over the Guide to First-Order Translations, which covers all the skills you'll need.)

In each of the following, you will be given a list of first-order predicates and functions along with an English sentence. In each case, write a statement in first-order logic that expresses the indicated sentence. Your statement may use any first-order construct (equality, connectives, quantifiers, etc.), but you **must** only use the predicates, functions, and constants provided. You do not need to provide the simplest formula possible, though we'd appreciate it if you made an effort to do so. We **highly** recommend reading the Guide to First-Order Logic Translations before starting this problem.

- i. Given the predicate

$Natural(x)$, which states that x is a natural number

and the functions

$x + y$, which represents the sum of x and y , and

$x \cdot y$, which represents the product of x and y

write a statement in first-order logic that says “for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, n is even if and only if n^2 is even.”

Try translating this statement assuming you have a predicate $Even(x)$. Then, rewrite your solution without using $Even(x)$. Numbers aren't a part of FOL, so you can't use the number 2 in your solution.

Answer.

$$\forall x Natural(x) : (\exists Natural(y) : x = y + y \iff \exists Natural(z) : x \cdot x = z + z)$$

- ii. Given the predicates

$Person(p)$, which states that p is a person;

$Kitten(k)$, which states that k is a kitten; and

$HasPet(o, p)$, which states that o has p as a pet,

write a statement in first-order logic that says “someone has exactly two pet kittens and no other pets.”

Make sure your formula requires that the person have exactly two pet kittens; look at the lecture example of uniqueness as a starting point. Good questions to ask – is your formula false if everyone has exactly one pet kitten? Is it false if everyone has exactly three pet kittens?

Answer.

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists p \text{ Person}(p) \quad \exists x \text{ Kitten}(x) \quad \exists y \text{ Kitten}(y) \\ & \left((x \neq y \wedge \text{HasPet}(p, x) \wedge \text{HasPet}(p, y)) \wedge \forall z \text{ Kitten}(z) (\text{HasPet}(p, z) \right. \\ & \Rightarrow \left. (z = x \vee z = y)) \wedge \forall j ((j \neq x) \wedge (j \neq y)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

- iii. The **axiom of pairing** is the following statement: given any two distinct objects x and y , there's a set containing x and y and nothing else. Given the predicates

$x \in y$, which states that x is an element of y , and

$\text{Set}(S)$, which states that S is a set,

write a statement in first-order logic that expresses the axiom of pairing.

Answer.

$$\forall x, y \quad \left(\exists s \text{ Set}(s) : (x \in s) \wedge (y \in s) \wedge \forall z (z \in s \Rightarrow (z = x \vee z = y)) \right)$$

- iv. Given the predicates

$x \in y$, which states that x is an element of y , and

$\text{Set}(S)$, which states that S is a set,

write a statement in first-order logic that says “every set has a power set.”

As a warm-up, solve this problem assuming you have a predicate $X \subseteq Y$ that says that X is a subset of Y . Once you have that working, see if you can solve the full version of this problem.

Answer.

$$\forall s \text{ Set}(s) \quad (\exists p \text{ Set}(p) \quad (p \in s))$$

- v. Given the predicates

$\text{Lady}(x)$, which states that x is a lady;

$\text{Glitters}(x)$, which states that x glitters;

$\text{SureIsGold}(x, y)$, which states that x is sure that y is gold;

$\text{Buying}(x, y)$, which states that x buys y ; and

$\text{StairwayToHeaven}(x)$, which states that x is a Stairway to Heaven;

write a statement in first-order logic that says “there's a lady who's sure all that glitters is gold, and she's buying a Stairway to Heaven.”

Answer.

$$\exists l \text{ Lady}(l) \left(\forall g \text{ Glitters}(g) \wedge (\text{SureIsGold}(l, g)) \wedge (\exists x \text{ StairwayToHeaven}(x) \wedge (\text{Buying}(l, x))) \right)$$

2 Consistent vocabulary

Represent the following sentences in first-order logic, using a consistent vocabulary (which you must define):

- a. Some students took French in spring 2001.

Answer.

$$\exists x, \exists y : \text{Student}(x) \wedge \text{French}(y) \wedge \text{TakeInSpring2001}(x, y)$$

- b. Every student who takes French passes it.

Answer.

$$\forall x, \forall y : (\text{Student}(x) \wedge \text{French}(y) \wedge \text{Take}(x, y)) \Rightarrow \text{Pass}(x, y)$$

- c. Only one student took Greek in spring 2001.

Answer.

$$\begin{aligned} \exists x, \exists y, \forall z : \\ \text{Student}(x) \wedge \text{Greek}(y) \wedge \text{TakeInSpring2001} \\ \wedge (\text{Student}(z) \wedge \text{TakeInSpring2001}(z, y) \Rightarrow x = z) \end{aligned}$$

- d. The best score in Greek is always higher than the best score in French.

Answer.

$$\forall s, \exists x : \forall y \text{ Score}(x, \text{Greek}, s) > \text{Score}(y, \text{French}, s).$$

- e. Every person who buys a policy is smart.

Answer.

$$\forall x : \text{Person}(x) \wedge (\exists y : \text{Policy}(y) \wedge \text{Buys}(x, y)) \Rightarrow \text{Smart}(x)$$

- f. No person buys an expensive policy.

Answer.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x, y : \\ \text{Person}(x) \wedge \text{Policy}(y) \wedge \text{Expensive}(y) \Rightarrow \neg \text{Buys}(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

- g. There is an agent who sells policies only to people who are not insured.

Answer.

$$\exists x : \text{Agent}(x) \wedge \forall y, z \text{ Policy}(y) \wedge \text{Sells}(x, y, z) \Rightarrow (\text{Person}(z) \wedge \neg \text{Insured}(z)).$$

- h. There is a barber who shaves all men in town who do not shave themselves.

Answer.

$$\exists x : \text{Barber}(x) \wedge \forall y : \text{Man}(y) \wedge \neg \text{Shaves}(y, y) \Rightarrow \text{Shaves}(x, y).$$

- i. A person born in the UK. each of whose parents is a UK citizen or a UK resident, is a UK citizen by birth.

Answer.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \text{ Person}(x) \wedge \text{Born}(x, UK) \wedge (\forall y \text{ Parent}(y, x) \Rightarrow ((\exists r \text{ Citizen}(y, UK, r)) \vee \text{Resident}(y, UK))) \\ \Rightarrow \text{Citizen}(x, UK, \text{Birth}). \end{aligned}$$

- j. A person born outside the UK, one of whose parents is a UK citizen by birth, is a UK citizen by descent.

Answer.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \text{ Person}(x) \wedge \neg \text{Born}(x, UK) \wedge (\exists y \text{ Parent}(y, x) \wedge \text{Citizen}(y, UK, \text{Birth})) \\ \Rightarrow \text{Citizen}(x, UK, \text{Descent}). \end{aligned}$$

- k. Politicians can fool some of the people all of the time, and they can fool all of the people some of the time, but they can't fool all of the people all of the time.

Answer.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x : \text{Politician}(x) : \\ \Rightarrow (\exists y \forall t \text{ Person}(y) \wedge \text{Fools}(x, y, t)) \wedge (\exists t \forall y \text{ Person}(y) \\ \Rightarrow \text{Fools}(x, y, t)) \wedge \neg (\forall t \forall y \text{ Person}(y) \Rightarrow \text{Fools}(x, y, t)) \end{aligned}$$

3 Germans

Represent the sentence “All Germans speak the same languages” in predicate calculus. Use $\text{Speaks}(x, l)$, meaning that person x speaks language l , and $\text{German}(y)$, meaning that y is a *German* person.

Answer.

$$\forall x, y, l \quad (\text{German}(x) \wedge \text{German}(y) \wedge \text{Speaks}(x, l) \Rightarrow \text{Speaks}(y, l))$$

or

$$\forall x, y \quad (\text{German}(x) \wedge \text{German}(y) \Rightarrow \forall l \quad (\text{Speaks}(x, l) \Leftrightarrow \text{Speaks}(y, l)))$$

4 Jim & Laura

What axiom is needed to infer the fact $\text{Female}(\text{Laura})$ given the facts $\text{Male}(\text{Jim})$ and $\text{Spouse}(\text{Jim}, \text{Laura})$?

Answer.

$$\forall x, y \quad \text{Spouse}(x, y) \wedge \text{Male}(x) \Rightarrow \text{Female}(y)$$

5 Describing the predicates

Write axioms describing the predicates: *GrandChild*, *GreatGrandparent*, *Brother*, *Sister*, *Daughter*, *Son*, *Aunt*, *Uncle*, *BrotherInLaw*, *SisterInLaw* and *FirstCousin*. Find out the proper definition of math cousin n times removed, and write the definition in first-order logic.

Answer.

- $\text{GrandChild}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{parent}(b, x) \wedge \text{parent}(x, a)$
- $\text{GreatGrandparent}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{parent}(a, x) \wedge \text{GrandChild}(b, x)$
- The Sibling relationship is added to make the expression of some future relationships simpler. In this situation, Sibling encompasses full, half and step siblings.
 - $\text{Sibling}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{parent}(x, a) \wedge \text{parent}(x, b) \wedge \text{not_equal}(a, b)$
 - $\text{Sibling}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{Sibling}(b, a)$
- $\text{Brother}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{Sibling}(a, b) \wedge \text{gender}(a, \text{'male'})$
- $\text{Sister}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{Sibling}(a, b) \wedge \text{gender}(a, \text{'female'})$
- $\text{Son}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{parent}(b, a) \wedge \text{gender}(a, \text{'male'})$
- $\text{Daughter}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{parent}(b, a) \wedge \text{gender}(a, \text{'female'})$
- $\text{Uncle}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{parent}(x, b) \wedge \text{Sibling}(x, a) \wedge \text{gender}(a, \text{'male'})$
- $\text{Aunt}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{parent}(x, b) \wedge \text{Sibling}(x, a) \wedge \text{gender}(a, \text{'female'})$
- married is a primitive relation, meaning it is not defined in terms of any other relations. It is necessary however to note that it is reflexive.
 - $\text{married}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{married}(b, a)$
- $\text{BrotherInLaw}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{married}(b, x) \wedge \text{Sibling}(a, x) \wedge \text{gender}(a, \text{'male'})$
- $\text{SisterInLaw}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{married}(b, x) \wedge \text{Sibling}(a, x) \wedge \text{gender}(a, \text{'female'})$
- $\text{FirstCousin}(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{parent}(x, a) \wedge \text{parent}(y, b) \wedge \text{Sibling}(x, y)$

6 Humanoid wolf

a.

Answer.

$Lier(x)$, which states that x is a Lier

$IsHumanoidWolf(x)$, which states that x is a HumanoidWolf

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &= \{A, B, C\} \\
 (\exists x \in S : IsHumanoidWolf(x)) \wedge \\
 (\forall x, y \in S : (IsHumanoidWolf(x) \wedge IsHumanoidWolf(y)) \Rightarrow x = y) \\
 IsHumanoidWolf(c) \wedge (\neg IsHumanoidWolf(B)) \\
 \wedge ((Lier(A) \wedge Lier(B)) \vee (Lier(A) \wedge Lier(C)) \vee (Lier(B) \wedge Lier(C)))
 \end{aligned}$$

b.

Answer.

$$\forall x \in S : x \neg IsHumanoidWolf(x) \Rightarrow$$

c.

Answer.

$$\begin{aligned}
 A : \quad \exists x \in S : \quad Lier(x) \\
 B : \quad \exists x \in S : \quad \neg Lier(x) \\
 Fact : \quad \exists x \in S : \quad IsHumanoidWolf(x) \\
 Fact : \quad \exists x \in S : \quad \neg(\neg Lier(x) \wedge IsHumanoidWolf(x))
 \end{aligned}$$

d.

Answer.

$$\begin{aligned}
 A : \quad \exists x \in S : \quad Lier(x) \\
 B : \quad \neg Lier(C) \\
 Fact : \quad \exists x \in S : \quad (\forall y \in S : \quad IsHumanoidWolf(y) \Leftrightarrow x = y) \Rightarrow \neg Lier(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

e.

Answer.

$$\begin{aligned}
 A : \quad \exists x \in S : \quad Lier(x) \\
 B : \quad IsHumanoidWolf(C) \\
 Fact : \quad \exists x \in S : \quad (\forall y \in S : \quad IsHumanoidWolf(y) \Leftrightarrow x = y) \Rightarrow \neg Lier(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

f.

Answer.

$$Fact : \quad \exists x \in S : \quad (\forall y \in S : \quad IsHumanoidWolf(y) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x = y) \quad \Rightarrow \neg Lier(x)$$

$$Fact : \quad \exists y, z \in S : (y, z \neq x) \wedge Lier(y) \wedge Lier(z)$$

$$B : \quad IsHumanoidWolf(C)$$