70068 Scheduling and Resource Allocation CW

Ali Abbas

November 26, 2024

1 Question 1

1.1 LCL Proof

The Least Cost Last (LCL) rule solves the scheduling problem $1|prec|f_{\text{max}}$ optimally, in $O(n^2)$ time, by constructing an optimal schedule from back to front.

Definitions

- $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is the index set of all jobs
- $L \subseteq N$ is the subset of jobs without successors (which can be scheduled last)
- $p(S) = \sum_{j \in S} p_j$ is the total processing time of a subset S
- $f_{\text{max}}^*(S)$ is the cost of the optimal schedule for subset S
- f_{max} denotes the maximum (not necessarly optimal) cost across all jobs in a schedule
- $f_j(p(N))$ is a cost function which assigns a penalty/cost depending on job j and the time at which the machine has finished processing, p(N)
 - In the notation of the coursework specification $g_i(\cdot) = f_i(\cdot)$
 - In the next section we'll use $g_j(C_j) = T_j = \max(0, C_j d_j)$ (tardiness)

Proof and Discussion

1. One job in L must be scheduled last. A job can be selected for the final job which minimises $f_j(p(N))$, but it can never result in a cost lower than the cost of the optimal schedule, otherwise that would be the optimal schedule. This is expressed as such:

$$f_{\max}^*(N) \ge \min_{j \in L} f_j(p(N))$$

2. And removing a job $j \in N$ can't increase the optimal cost any more, since the cost of scheduling a job last is ≥ 0 , so omitting it cannot result in a more expensive schedule:

$$f_{\max}^*(N) \ge f_{\max}^*(N - \{j\}), \ \forall j \in N$$

3. We can then select a $J_l \in L$ that minimises $f_j(p(N))$:

$$f_l(p(N)) = \min_{j \in L} f_j(p(N))$$

Which gives us:

$$f_{\max}^*(N) \ge \max\{f_l(p(N)), f_{\max}^*(N-\{l\})\}$$

- 4. The right hand side of the above inequality is the cost of an optimal schedule, where J_l is processed last, so you can recursively apply the LCL rule to $N \{J_l\}$ and construct a schedule in reverse order
 - Since J_l is found in O(n) time, then with n, repeated applications of the LCL rule yields the optimal schedule in $O(n^2)$ time

Small Example

Example Setup:

Jobs: J1, J2, J3, J4, J5

Processing Times: p=[2,3,1,2,3]

Dude Dates: d=[6,5,7,4,9]

Precedence Constraints (DAG): J1 \rightarrow J2, J1 \rightarrow J3, J4 \rightarrow J5

Cost Function: $f_j(C_j) = T_j = max(0, C_j - d_j)$

Iterations We can schedule jobs in reverse order using the *Least Cost Last (LCL)* rule:

1. Available jobs: $V = \{J_2, J_3, J_5\}$ (no successors)

$$p(N) = 11$$

$$f_2(p(N)) = \max(0, 11 - 5) = 6, \quad f_3(p(N)) = \max(0, 11 - 7) = 4, \quad f_5(p(N)) = \max(0, 11 - 9) = 2$$

Select J_5 (minimises $f_j(p(N))$)

Updated schedule: $[J_5]$

2. Available jobs: $V = \{J_2, J_3, J_4\}$

p(N) = 8

$$f_2(p(N)) = \max(0, 8-5) = 3, \quad f_3(p(N)) = \max(0, 8-7) = 1, \quad f_4(p(N)) = \max(0, 8-4) = 4$$

Select J_3

Updated schedule: $[J_3, J_5]$

3. Available jobs: $V = \{J_2, J_4\}$

p(N) = 7

$$f_2(p(N)) = \max(0, 7-5) = 2, \quad f_4(p(N)) = \max(0, 7-4) = 3$$

Select J_2

Updated schedule: $[J_2, J_3, J_5]$

4. Available jobs: $V = \{J_1, J_4\}$

p(N) = 4

$$f_1(p(N)) = \max(0, 4-5) = 0, \quad f_4(p(N)) = \max(0, 4-4) = 0$$

Select J_4 (breaking the tie arbitrarily)

Updated schedule: $[J_4, J_2, J_3, J_5]$

5. Available jobs: $V = \{J_1\}$

p(N) = 2

$$f_1(p(N)) = \max(0, 2-5) = 0$$

Select J_1

Final schedule: $[J_1, J_4, J_2, J_3, J_5]$

Results

- Final schedule: $[J_1, J_4, J_2, J_3, J_5]$
- Completion times:

$$C_1 = 2, C_4 = 4, C_2 = 7, C_3 = 8, C_5 = 11$$

• Tardiness:

$$T_1 = 0, T_4 = 0, T_2 = 2, T_3 = 1, T_5 = 2$$

• Maximum cost:

$$f_{\text{max}} = \max(T_1, T_2, T_4, T_3, T_5) = 2$$

1.2 LCL Implementation

- 2 Question 2
- 2.1 Tabu Search
- 2.2 Best Schedule