

12.2 — Virtual functions and polymorphism

BY ALEX ON JANUARY 30TH, 2008 | LAST MODIFIED BY ALEX ON JANUARY 23RD, 2020

In the previous lesson on **pointers and references to the base class of derived objects**, we took a look at a number of examples where using pointers or references to a base class had the potential to simplify code. However, in every case, we ran up against the problem that the base pointer or reference was only able to call the base version of a function, not a derived version.

Here's a simple example of this behavior:

```
1  #include <iostream>
2  #include <string_view>
3
4  class Base
5  {
6  public:
7      std::string_view getName() const { return "Base"; }
8  };
9
10 class Derived: public Base
11 {
12 public:
13     std::string_view getName() const { return "Derived"; }
14 };
15
16 int main()
17 {
18     Derived derived;
19     Base &rBase{ derived };
20     std::cout << "rBase is a " << rBase.getName() << '\n';
21
22     return 0;
23 }
```

This example prints the result:

```
rBase is a Base
```

Because rBase is a Base reference, it calls Base::getName(), even though it's actually referencing the Base portion of a Derived object.

In this lesson, we will show how to address this issue using virtual functions.

Virtual functions and polymorphism

A **virtual function** is a special type of function that, when called, resolves to the most-derived version of the function that exists between the base and derived class. This capability is known as **polymorphism**. A derived function is considered a match if it has the same signature (name, parameter types, and whether it is const) and return type as the base version of the function. Such functions are called **overrides**.

To make a function virtual, simply place the “virtual” keyword before the function declaration.

Here's the above example with a virtual function:

```
1  #include <iostream>
2  #include <string_view>
3
```

```
4  class Base
5  {
6  public:
7      virtual std::string_view getName() const { return "Base"; } // note addition of virtual ke
8  };
9
10 class Derived: public Base
11 {
12 public:
13     virtual std::string_view getName() const { return "Derived"; }
14 };
15
16 int main()
17 {
18     Derived derived;
19     Base &rBase{ derived };
20     std::cout << "rBase is a " << rBase.getName() << '\n';
21
22     return 0;
23 }
```

This example prints the result:

rBase is a Derived

Because `rBase` is a reference to the Base portion of a Derived object, when `rBase.getName()` is evaluated, it would normally resolve to `Base::getName()`. However, `Base::getName()` is virtual, which tells the program to go look and see if there are any more-derived versions of the function available between Base and Derived. In this case, it will resolve to `Derived::getName()`!

Let's take a look at a slightly more complex example:

```
1  #include <iostream>
2  #include <string_view>
3
4  class A
5  {
6  public:
7      virtual std::string_view getName() const { return "A"; }
8  };
9
10 class B: public A
11 {
12 public:
13     virtual std::string_view getName() const { return "B"; }
14 };
15
16 class C: public B
17 {
18 public:
19     virtual std::string_view getName() const { return "C"; }
20 };
21
22 class D: public C
23 {
24 public:
25     virtual std::string_view getName() const { return "D"; }
26 };
27
28 int main()
29 {
```

```

30     C c;
31     A &rBase{ c };
32     std::cout << "rBase is a " << rBase.getName() << '\n';
33
34     return 0;
35 }

```

What do you think this program will output?

Let's look at how this works. First, we instantiate a C class object. rBase is an A reference, which we set to reference the A portion of the C object. Finally, we call rBase.getName(). rBase.getName() evaluates to A::getName(). However, A::getName() is virtual, so the compiler will call the most-derived match between A and C. In this case, that is C::getName(). Note that it will not call D::getName(), because our original object was a C, not a D, so only functions between A and C are considered.

As a result, our program outputs:

```
rBase is a C
```

A more complex example

Let's take another look at the Animal example we were working with in the previous lesson. Here's the original class, along with some test code:

```

1  #include <iostream>
2  #include <string>
3  #include <string_view>
4
5  class Animal
6  {
7  protected:
8      std::string m_name;
9
10     // We're making this constructor protected because
11     // we don't want people creating Animal objects directly,
12     // but we still want derived classes to be able to use it.
13     Animal(const std::string &name)
14         : m_name{ name }
15     {
16     }
17
18 public:
19     const std::string &getName() const { return m_name; }
20     std::string_view speak() const { return "???" ; }
21 };
22
23 class Cat: public Animal
24 {
25 public:
26     Cat(const std::string& name)
27         : Animal{ name }
28     {
29     }
30
31     std::string_view speak() const { return "Meow"; }
32 };
33
34 class Dog: public Animal
35 {
36 public:
37     Dog(const std::string &name)

```

```

38         : Animal{ name }
39     {
40     }
41
42     std::string_view speak() const { return "Woof"; }
43 };
44
45 void report(const Animal &animal)
46 {
47     std::cout << animal.getName() << " says " << animal.speak() << '\n';
48 }
49
50 int main()
51 {
52     Cat cat{ "Fred" };
53     Dog dog{ "Garbo" };
54
55     report(cat);
56     report(dog);
57
58     return 0;
59 }

```

This prints:

```

Fred says ???
Garbo says ???

```

Here's the equivalent class with the speak() function made virtual:

```

1  #include <iostream>
2  #include <string>
3  #include <string_view>
4
5  class Animal
6  {
7  protected:
8      std::string m_name;
9
10     // We're making this constructor protected because
11     // we don't want people creating Animal objects directly,
12     // but we still want derived classes to be able to use it.
13     Animal(const std::string &name)
14         : m_name{ name }
15     {
16     }
17
18 public:
19     const std::string &getName() const { return m_name; }
20     virtual std::string_view speak() const { return "???"; }
21 };
22
23 class Cat: public Animal
24 {
25 public:
26     Cat(const std::string &name)
27         : Animal{ name }
28     {
29     }
30
31     virtual std::string_view speak() const { return "Meow"; }

```

```

32 };
33
34 class Dog: public Animal
35 {
36 public:
37     Dog(const std::string& name)
38         : Animal{ name }
39     {
40     }
41
42     virtual std::string_view speak() const { return "Woof"; }
43 };
44
45 void report(const Animal &animal)
46 {
47     std::cout << animal.getName() << " says " << animal.speak() << '\n';
48 }
49
50 int main()
51 {
52     Cat cat{ "Fred" };
53     Dog dog{ "Garbo" };
54
55     report(cat);
56     report(dog);
57
58     return 0;
59 }

```

This program produces the result:

```

Fred says Meow
Garbo says Woof

```

It works!

When `animal.speak()` is evaluated, the program notes that `Animal::speak()` is a virtual function. In the case where `animal` is referencing the `Animal` portion of a `Cat` object, the program looks at all the classes between `Animal` and `Cat` to see if it can find a more derived function. In that case, it finds `Cat::speak()`. In the case where `animal` references the `Animal` portion of a `Dog` object, the program resolves the function call to `Dog::speak()`.

Note that we didn't make `Animal::getName()` virtual. This is because `getName()` is never overridden in any of the derived classes, therefore there is no need.

Similarly, the following array example now works as expected:

```

1  Cat fred{ "Fred" };
2  Cat misty{ "Misty" };
3  Cat zeke{ "Zeke" };
4
5  Dog garbo{ "Garbo" };
6  Dog pooky{ "Pooky" };
7  Dog truffle{ "Truffle" };
8
9  // Set up an array of pointers to animals, and set those pointers to our Cat and Dog objects
10 Animal *animals[] { &fred, &garbo, &misty, &pooky, &truffle, &zeke };
11
12 for (const auto *animal : animals)
13     std::cout << animal->getName() << " says " << animal->speak() << '\n';

```

Which produces the result:

```
Fred says Meow  
Garbo says Woof  
Misty says Meow  
Pooky says Woof  
Truffle says Woof  
Zeke says Meow
```

Even though these two examples only use Cat and Dog, any other classes we derive from Animal would also work with our report() function and animal array without further modification! This is perhaps the biggest benefit of virtual functions -- the ability to structure your code in such a way that newly derived classes will automatically work with the old code without modification!

A word of warning: the signature of the derived class function must *exactly* match the signature of the base class virtual function in order for the derived class function to be used. If the derived class function has different parameter types, the program will likely still compile fine, but the virtual function will not resolve as intended.

Use of the virtual keyword

If a function is marked as virtual, all matching overrides are also considered virtual, even if they are not explicitly marked as such. However, having the keyword virtual on the derived functions does not hurt, and it serves as a useful reminder that the function is a virtual function rather than a normal one. Consequently, it's generally a good idea to use the virtual keyword for virtualized functions in derived classes even though it's not strictly necessary.

Return types of virtual functions

Under normal circumstances, the return type of a virtual function and its override must match. Consider the following example:

```
1  class Base  
2  {  
3  public:  
4      virtual int getValue() const { return 5; }  
5  };  
6  
7  class Derived: public Base  
8  {  
9  public:  
10     virtual double getValue() const { return 6.78; }  
11 };
```

In this case, Derived::getValue() is not considered a matching override for Base::getValue() (it is considered a completely separate function).

Do not call virtual functions from constructors or destructors

Here's another gotcha that often catches unsuspecting new programmers. You should not call virtual functions from constructors or destructors. Why?

Remember that when a Derived class is created, the Base portion is constructed first. If you were to call a virtual function from the Base constructor, and Derived portion of the class hadn't even been created yet, it would be unable to call the Derived version of the function because there's no Derived object for the Derived function to work on. In C++, it will call the Base version instead.

A similar issue exists for destructors. If you call a virtual function in a Base class destructor, it will always resolve to the Base class version of the function, because the Derived portion of the class will already have been destroyed.

Rule: Never call virtual functions from constructors or destructors

The downside of virtual functions

Since most of the time you'll want your functions to be virtual, why not just make all functions virtual? The answer is because it's inefficient -- resolving a virtual function call takes longer than resolving a regular one. Furthermore, the compiler also has to allocate an extra pointer for each class object that has one or more virtual functions. We'll talk about this more in future lessons in this chapter.

Quiz time

1) What do the following programs print? This exercise is meant to be done by inspection, not by compiling the examples with your compiler.

1a)

```
1  #include <iostream>
2  #include <string_view>
3
4  class A
5  {
6  public:
7      virtual std::string_view getName() const { return "A"; }
8  };
9
10 class B: public A
11 {
12 public:
13     virtual std::string_view getName() const { return "B"; }
14 };
15
16 class C: public B
17 {
18 public:
19     // Note: no getName() function here
20 };
21
22 class D: public C
23 {
24 public:
25     virtual std::string_view getName() const { return "D"; }
26 };
27
28 int main()
29 {
30     C c;
31     A &rBase{ c };
32     std::cout << rBase.getName() << '\n';
33
34     return 0;
35 }
```

Show Solution

1b)

```
1  #include <iostream>
2  #include <string_view>
3
4  class A
5  {
6  public:
7      virtual std::string_view getName() const { return "A"; }
8  };
9
10 class B: public A
```

```

11 {
12 public:
13     virtual std::string_view getName() const { return "B"; }
14 };
15
16 class C: public B
17 {
18 public:
19     virtual std::string_view getName() const { return "C"; }
20 };
21
22 class D: public C
23 {
24 public:
25     virtual std::string_view getName() const { return "D"; }
26 };
27
28 int main()
29 {
30     C c;
31     B &rBase{ c }; // note: rBase is a B this time
32     std::cout << rBase.getName() << '\n';
33
34     return 0;
35 }

```

Show Solution

1c)

```

1  #include <iostream>
2  #include <string_view>
3
4  class A
5  {
6  public:
7      // note: no virtual keyword
8      std::string_view getName() const { return "A"; }
9  };
10
11 class B: public A
12 {
13 public:
14     virtual std::string_view getName() const { return "B"; }
15 };
16
17 class C: public B
18 {
19 public:
20     virtual std::string_view getName() const { return "C"; }
21 };
22
23 class D: public C
24 {
25 public:
26     virtual std::string_view getName() const { return "D"; }
27 };
28
29 int main()
30 {
31     C c;
32     A &rBase{ c };

```



```

33     std::cout << rBase.getName() << '\n';
34
35     return 0;
36 }

```

Show Solution

1d)

```

1  #include <iostream>
2  #include <string_view>
3
4  class A
5  {
6  public:
7      virtual std::string_view getName() const { return "A"; }
8  };
9
10 class B: public A
11 {
12 public:
13     // note: no virtual keyword in B, C, and D
14     std::string_view getName() const { return "B"; }
15 };
16
17 class C: public B
18 {
19 public:
20     std::string_view getName() const { return "C"; }
21 };
22
23 class D: public C
24 {
25 public:
26     std::string_view getName() const { return "D"; }
27 };
28
29 int main()
30 {
31     C c;
32     B &rBase{ c }; // note: rBase is a B this time
33     std::cout << rBase.getName() << '\n';
34
35     return 0;
36 }

```

Show Solution

1e)

```

1  #include <iostream>
2  #include <string_view>
3
4  class A
5  {
6  public:
7      virtual std::string_view getName() const { return "A"; }
8  };
9
10 class B: public A
11 {
12 public:
13     // Note: Functions in B, C, and D are non-const.

```

```
14     virtual std::string_view getName() { return "B"; }
15 };
16
17 class C: public B
18 {
19 public:
20     virtual std::string_view getName() { return "C"; }
21 };
22
23 class D: public C
24 {
25 public:
26     virtual std::string_view getName() { return "D"; }
27 };
28
29 int main()
30 {
31     C c;
32     A &rBase{ c };
33     std::cout << rBase.getName() << '\n';
34
35     return 0;
36 }
```

Show Solution

1f)

```
1  #include <iostream>
2  #include <string_view>
3
4  class A
5  {
6  public:
7      A() { std::cout << getName(); } // note addition of constructor
8
9      virtual std::string_view getName() const { return "A"; }
10 };
11
12 class B : public A
13 {
14 public:
15     virtual std::string_view getName() const { return "B"; }
16 };
17
18 class C : public B
19 {
20 public:
21     virtual std::string_view getName() const { return "C"; }
22 };
23
24 class D : public C
25 {
26 public:
27     virtual std::string_view getName() const { return "D"; }
28 };
29
30 int main()
31 {
32     C c;
33
34     return 0;
35 }
```

35 | }

Show Solution**12.2a -- The override and final specifiers, and covariant return types****Index****12.1 -- Pointers and references to the base class of derived objects** [C++ TUTORIAL](#) | [PRINT THIS POST](#)**108 comments to 12.2 — Virtual functions and polymorphism**[« Older Comments](#) [1](#) [2](#)**ErwanDL**November 27, 2019 at 10:15 am · Reply.

Hey A and N,

I find quizz question 1.d) to be a bit misleading : the note besides the overridden versions of the function says "// note: not virtual", but the solution states that "B::getName() and C::getName() are considered implicitly virtual".

Maybe it would be better to replace the note by "// note: no virtual keyword here", because simply "not virtual" is untrue.

hellmetNovember 26, 2019 at 9:07 am · Reply.