

1 Product and Sum of Two Primes

We noticed in [?], that $2, 3 \mid n + 1$ if and only if $2, 3 \mid r$ for any prime greater than 3, this is not true. Let h be an odd prime greater than 3, if $h \mid n + 1$, then it is not necessary that $h \mid r$

Proof.

Let h be prime greater than 3, then $h - 1 = 2^{\frac{h-1}{2}}$ where $\frac{h-1}{2} \geq 2$. Let $n = pq$, where p and q are odd primes such that:

$p = 2h$ and $q = \frac{h-1}{2}h$, then $n = pq = 2^{\frac{h-1}{2}}h = -1h$, so $n + 1 = 0h$, then $h \mid n + 1$ but $rh = p + qh = 2 - 2^{-1} = 2^{-1}(4 - 1) = 2^{-1}3 \neq 0h$, so $h \nmid r$. \square

Let $h = 7$, $n = 3639$, then $7 \mid n + 1$ and $r7 = (p + q)7 = 5$, so $7 \nmid r$. in fact, if $n + 1 = 07$, then $r7$ could have one of the values: 0, 2 or 5. In general, there is a relation between nh and rh . for example: let $h = 7$, then the following table contains the possible values of $r7$, for each $n7 \neq 1$.

Table 1: $n7$

$n7$	$r7$
1	1, 2, 5, 6
2	1, 3, 4, 6
3	0, 3, 4
4	2, 3, 4, 5
5	0, 1, 6
6	0, 2, 5

As shown in table ?? if $n7 \neq 0$, then $r7$ has either 3 or 4 values. In general, for any odd prime h , if $nh \neq 0$, then rh has $\frac{h-1}{2}$ or $\frac{h+1}{2}$ different values.

Let h be prime and $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_h^*$ be distinct mod h . If $ab = cd$ mod h , then $a + b \neq c + dh$

Proof.

Let $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_h^*$ and distinct with $ab = cd$.

Suppose $a + b = c + dh$ then $a = c + d - bh$

$$(c + d - b)b = cdh$$

$$cb + db - b^2 = cdh$$

$$db - b^2 = cd - cbh$$

$$(d - b)b = c(d - b)h$$

$$(d - b)b = (d - b)ch$$

Since $d - b \neq 0h$ which means $b = ch$. However, this contradicts the assumption, So $a + b \neq c + dh$ \square

Let $n = pq$ and $r = p + q$ where p, q, h are distinct odd primes With $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod h$ then: $r \pmod h$ has $\frac{h-1}{2}$ or $\frac{h+1}{2}$ different possible values.

Proof.

Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_h$. a is a quadratic residue modulo h if $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}_h^*$ such that $a = x^2h$. If a isn't a quadratic residue then for any $b \in \mathbb{Z}_h^*$, $\exists c \neq b \in \mathbb{Z}_h^*$ such that $bc = ah$.

$$b_1c_1 = b_2c_2 = \dots = b_{h-1}c_{h-1} = ah$$

Since the multiplication and addition is commutative, the above becomes:

$$b_1c_1 = b_2c_2 = \dots = b_{\frac{h-1}{2}}c_{\frac{h-1}{2}} = ah$$

So by lemma ?? : $b_i + c_i \neq b_j + c_j$ if $i \neq j$ then we have $\frac{h-1}{2}$ different rh .

Now, suppose a is a quadratic residue then $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}_h^*$, such that $a = x^2h$, and $a = (h-x)^2h$ so we have

$$b_1c_1 = b_2c_2 = \dots = b_{\frac{h-3}{2}}c_{\frac{h-3}{2}} = b_{\frac{h-1}{2}}^2 = b_{\frac{h+1}{2}}^2 = ah$$

So we have $\frac{h+1}{2}$ different rh □

The ideas described above hint on the algorithm's dependence on pre-computation, and there are several aspects to consider. How far can we pre-process? and whether it is useful to go to such lengths. To calculate $r \pmod h$ for all $n \pmod h$, one can find the Cayley tables for multiplication and addition then save the unique values in a manner similar to that of table ??.

1.0.1 Exhaustive Listing

It is also possible to use a more efficient listing algorithm. We search all useful combinations with second terms greater than or equal to the first term then store the possible values as lists with their corresponding $n \pmod p$ as keys to those lists as in ??

Algorithm 1 Listing

Require: $h \leftarrow \text{prime} \geq 7$

```

1: for  $i$  in  $[1, p-1]$  do
2:   for  $j$  in  $[i, p-1]$  do
3:      $\text{permval}[ij+1] \leftarrow (i+j)p$ 
4:   end for
5: end for
```

1.0.2 'r' for a Specific 'n mod h'

Let $x = n \pmod h$ and $r = i + i^{-1}x$ where $i \in \mathbb{Z}_h^*$

Proof.

$$ij = x \bmod h$$

$$j = xi^{-1} \bmod h$$

$$r = i + j = xi^{-1} \bmod h$$

Notice if $r \bmod h$ is a possible value, then $(-r) \bmod h$ is also a possible value. Since $-r = -(xi^{-1} + i) = -xi^{-1} - i = x(-i)^{-1} - i$ \square

1.0.3 Using Inverse

Algorithm 2 Using $n \bmod h$ multiplied by i^{-1}

Require: h, n

Ensure: $h \geq 7$

```

1: for  $i$  in  $[1, h - 1]$  do
2:   if  $i \text{ in } ni\_list$  then
3:     continue
4:   end if
5:    $ni \leftarrow n * i^{-1} \bmod h$ 
6:   append  $ni$  to  $ni\_list$ 
7:    $r \leftarrow (i + ni) \bmod h$ 
8:   if  $r \text{ in } r\_list$  then
9:     continue
10:  end if
11:  append  $r$  to  $r\_list$ 
12:  if  $r \neq 0$  then
13:    append  $(h - r)$  to  $r\_list$ 
14:  end if
15: end for
```

2 Divisibility by 8

Let p and q be distinct odd primes, $n = pq$, and $r = p + q$ then: $8 \mid n + 1$ if and only if $8 \mid r$

Proof. Let p and q be two distinct odd primes, then they have one of the forms:

1. $8k + 1$
2. $8k + 3$
3. $8k + 5$
4. $8k + 7$

then the possible forms of n :

1. $[t](8k+1)(8m+1) = 64km + 8(k+m) + 1$
 $= 8k' + 1$
2. $[t](8k+1)(8m+3) = 64km + 8(3k+m) + 3$
 $= 8k' + 3$
3. $[t](8k+1)(8m+5) = 64km + 8(5k+m) + 5$
 $= 8k' + 5$
4. $[t](8k+1)(8m+7) = 64km + 8(7k+m) + 7$
 $= 8k' + 7$
5. $[t](8k+3)(8m+3) = 64km + 24(k+m) + 9$
 $= 8k' + 1$
6. $[t](8k+3)(8m+5) = 64km + 8(5k+3m) + 15$
 $= 8k' + 7$
7. $[t](8k+3)(8m+7) = 64km + 8(7k+5m) + 21$
 $= 8k' + 5$
8. $[t](8k+5)(8m+5) = 64km + 40(k+m) + 25$
 $= 8k' + 1$
9. $[t](8k+5)(8m+7) = 64km + 8(7k+5m) + 35$
 $= 8k' + 3$
10. $[t](8k+7)(8m+7) = 64km + 56(k+m) + 49$
 $= 8k' + 1$

and the possible forms of r will respectively be:

- a) $[t](8k+1) + (8m+1) = 8(k+m) + 2$
 $= 8k' + 2$
- b) $[t](8k+1) + (8m+3) = 8(k+m) + 4$
 $= 8k' + 4$
- c) $[t](8k+1) + (8m+5) = 8(k+m) + 6$
 $= 8k' + 6$
- d) $[t](8k+1) + (8m+7) = 8(k+m+1)$
 $= 8k'$
- e) $[t](8k+3) + (8m+3) = 8(k+m) + 6$
 $= 8k' + 6$
- f) $[t](8k+3) + (8m+5) = 8(k+m+1)$
 $= 8k'$
- g) $[t](8k+3) + (8m+7) = 8(k+m) + 10$
 $= 8k' + 2$

- h) $[t](8k + 5) + (8m + 5) = 8(k + m) + 10$
 $= 8k' + 2$
- i) $[t](8k + 5) + (8m + 7) = 8(k + m) + 12$
 $= 8k' + 4$
- j) $[t](8k + 7) + (8m + 7) = 8(k + m) + 14$
 $= 8k' + 6$

Here $n + 1$ is divisible by 8 for the fourth and sixth forms, and similarly the corresponding forms of r are also divisible by 8. The other forms for $n + 1$ and their corresponding forms for r are not divisible by 8. Therefore, we conclude that $8 \mid n + 1$ if and only if $8 \mid r$ \square

We also extend previous results in a similar fashion applicable on semi-primes equal to 3 or 710 as shown in the following tables.

Table 2: $n = 310$

Tens odd	
$3 \mid n + 1$	$3 \nmid n + 1$
$r = 60k + 54$	$r = 60k + 14$
$r = 60k + 6$	$r = 60k + 34$
	$r = 60k + 26$
	$r = 60k + 46$

Tens even			
$8 \mid n + 1$		$8 \nmid n + 1$	
$3 \mid n + 1$	$3 \nmid n + 1$	$3 \mid n + 1$	$3 \nmid n + 1$
$r = 120k + 24$	$r = 120k + 64$	$r = 120k + 84$	$r = 120k + 4$
$r = 120k + 96$	$r = 120k + 104$	$r = 120k + 36$	$r = 120k + 44$
	$r = 120k + 16$		$r = 120k + 76$
	$r = 120k + 56$		$r = 120k + 116$

Table 3: $n = 710$

Tens odd	
$3 \mid n + 1$	$3 \nmid n + 1$
$r = 60k + 18$	$r = 60k + 38$
$r = 60k + 42$	$r = 60k + 58$
	$r = 60k + 2$
	$r = 60k + 22$

Tens even			
$8 \mid n + 1$		$8 \nmid n + 1$	
$3 \mid n + 1$	$3 \nmid n + 1$	$3 \mid n + 1$	$3 \nmid n + 1$
$r = 120k + 48$	$r = 120k + 8$	$r = 120k + 108$	$r = 120k + 68$
$r = 120k + 72$	$r = 120k + 88$	$r = 120k + 12$	$r = 120k + 28$
	$r = 120k + 32$		$r = 120k + 92$
	$r = 120k + 112$		$r = 120k + 52$

3 Formulas and Prime Fields

For any formula $r(k) = ak + b$ in [?], and a prime $h \geq 7$. $r(k) = r(m) \pmod{h}$ if and only if $k = m \pmod{h}$

Proof.

Let $r(k) = ak + bh$, with h a prime, and $a = 2^{\alpha_1} 3^{\alpha_2} 5^{\alpha_3}$, then:

$$\begin{aligned}
r(k) &= r(m)h \\
\iff ak + b &= am + bh \\
\iff ak &= amh \\
\iff k &= mh
\end{aligned}$$

□

From proposition ?? we have:

1. $r(k) \pmod{h}$, $r(k+1) \pmod{h}$, ..., $r(k+h-1) \pmod{h}$ are distinct, so $Z_h = \{r(k) \pmod{h}, \dots, r(k+h-1) \pmod{h}\}$ then $\frac{h-1}{2}$ or $\frac{h+1}{2}$ of $r(k)$, $r(k+1)$, ..., $r(k+h-1)$ cannot be equal to $p+q$. In the previous example $n = 3639$ and $n \pmod{7} = 6$, the possible values of $r \pmod{7}$ are 0, 2, and 5 as shown in table ?. So, if $r(k) \pmod{7} = 3$, then $r(k) \neq p+q$
2. If $r(k) \pmod{h} \neq$ one of the possible values of $r \pmod{h}$, then $r(k+\gamma h) \neq$ one of the possible values of $r \pmod{h}$. If $r(k) \pmod{7} = 3$, then by proposition ??, $r(k+7\gamma) \pmod{7} = 3$. So, $r(k+7\gamma) \neq p+q$.

The method suggested in [?] is to compute the starting points for a number of predefined formulas $r_i(k)$ using $\lceil 2\sqrt{n} \rceil$, the formulas are chosen depending on certain characteristics of ‘ n ’. After which, the condition

$$r_i(k) = p + q \iff \sqrt{r^2 - 4n} \text{ is a positive integer} \quad (1)$$

, is checked while iteratively incrementing k .

For example, given $n = 234948664218045611$ (computed from $p = 925106617$ and $q = 253969283$) we choose the table 6 from [?]. Compute the starting points for each of the three formulas $r_1(k_{1,0})$, $r_2(k_{1,0})$, $r_3(k_{3,0})$ using $2\sqrt{n} \approx 969430068$. Check the condition $r_i(k) = p + q \iff \sqrt{r^2 - 4n}$ is a positive integer iteratively while incrementing k .

The value for k that satisfy the condition is $k_{3,1747048} = 9825632$
 $r_3(9825632) = 1179075900$.

Number of tries = $3 \times 1747048 = 5241144$

Using the results from proposition ??, one can approximately halve the number of expensive condition checks in the previous method. Computing modulo h operations h times then only checking the condition for the values in a permissible congruence class modulo h . The decrease in the number of condition checks depend on whether ‘ n ’ is a quadratic residue modulo h which is discussed in depth later in ??.

3.1 Example (with $h = 7$)

Given $n = 234948664218045611$

Rather than evaluating $r_1(k), r_2(k), r_3(k)$ starting with the above values for $k_{1,0}, k_{2,0}, k_{3,0}$ respectively then continuing to increment the k ’s until $\sqrt{r^2 - 4n}$ is equal to an integer, we use proposition ?? with $h = 7$, then compute $nh = 1$ and evaluate the first h values of $(k_{1,i}, k_{2,i}, k_{3,i})$. After which, we check if they map to the possible values modulo h as shown in table ??, if not we discard the value.

Table 4: First 7 iterations of the example

i	$r_1(k)$	$r_2(k)$	$r_3(k)$
0	969430212 mod $h = 2$	969430188 mod $h = 6$	969430140 mod $h = 0$
1	969430812 mod $h = 0$	969430788 mod $h = 4$	969430260 mod $h = 1$
2	969431412 mod $h = 5$	969431388 mod $h = 2$	969430380 mod $h = 2$
3	969432012 mod $h = 3$	969431988 mod $h = 0$	969430500 mod $h = 3$
4	969432612 mod $h = 1$	969432588 mod $h = 5$	969430620 mod $h = 4$
5	969433212 mod $h = 6$	969433188 mod $h = 3$	969430740 mod $h = 5$
6	969433812 mod $h = 4$	969433788 mod $h = 1$	969430860 mod $h = 6$

Discard all values $r(k + \gamma h)$ corresponding to the first h values crossed as shown in the above table. Traverse the remaining space until a value of $r(k)$ that satisfies the condition is reached. The value for k where a solution exists is $k_{3,1747048} = 9825632$.

- Number of tries = $\lceil \frac{4}{7} 5241144 \rceil$

3.2 Choosing a prime h

Given two primes h_1 and h_2 , where $h_1 \nmid n$ and $h_2 \nmid n$. Choosing the prime that has rh with $\frac{h-1}{2}$ values is generally better than choosing a prime with $\frac{h+1}{2}$ values. For any two primes such that $h_2 > h_1$ and both have $\frac{h-1}{2}$ congruence classes, it is better to use h_1 . However, if both primes h_1 and h_2 had $\frac{h+1}{2}$ values for rh we choose h_2 .

4 Multiple Prime Fields

Let h_1, h_2 be odd distinct primes, $\mathbb{Z}_{h_2} = \{0, \dots, h_2 - 1\}$ and $K = \{r(k + \gamma h_1) \bmod h_2 \mid \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{h_2}\}$ then $K = \mathbb{Z}_{h_2}$

Proof.

Suppose $r(k + \gamma_1 h_1) \bmod h_2 = r(k + \gamma_2 h_1) \bmod h_2$

$$(a(k + \gamma_1 h_1) + b) \bmod h_2 = (a(k + \gamma_2 h_1) + b) \bmod h_2$$

$$a(k + \gamma_1 h_1) \bmod h_2 = a(k + \gamma_2 h_1) \bmod h_2$$

$$k + \gamma_1 h_1 \bmod h_2 = k + \gamma_2 h_1 \bmod h_2$$

$$\gamma_1 h_1 \bmod h_2 = \gamma_2 h_1 \bmod h_2$$

$$\gamma_1 \bmod h_2 = \gamma_2 \bmod h_2 \text{ contradiction.}$$

□

By proposition ??:

$$r(k) \bmod h_1 = r(k + \gamma h_1) \bmod h_1$$

So, if $r(k) \bmod h_1$ is one of the possible values, then $r(k + \gamma h_1)$ is also one of the possible values, but $\frac{h_2-1}{2}$ or $\frac{h_2+1}{2}$ of $r(k), r(k + h_1), \dots, r(k + (h_2 - 1)h_1)$ are not of the possible values modulo h_2 then the number of tries decreases by $\frac{h_2-1}{2h_2}$ or $\frac{h_2+1}{2h_2}$ of the number of tries.

Table 5: $n13$

$n13$	$r13$
1	0, 1, 2, 4, 9, 11, 12
2	2, 3, 5, 8, 10, 11
3	0, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10
4	0, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11
5	2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11
6	1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12
7	1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 12
8	3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10
9	0, 1, 3, 6, 7, 10, 12
10	0, 1, 2, 6, 7, 11, 12
11	1, 2, 3, 10, 11, 12
12	0, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10

4.1 Example (with $h_1 = 7, h_2 = 13$)

$$n = 234948664218045611$$

$$nh_1 = 1$$

$$nh_2 = 2$$

Table 6: First 13 iterations of the example

	$r_1(k) = 600k_1 + 12$			$r_2(k) = 600k_2 + 588$			$r_3(k) = 120k_3 + 60$		
i	$r_1(k)$	$\text{mod } h_1$	$\text{mod } h_2$	$r_2(k)$	$\text{mod } h_1$	$\text{mod } h_2$	$r_3(k)$	$\text{mod } h_1$	$\text{mod } h_2$
0	969430212	2	10	969430212	6	12	969430212	0	3
1	969430812	0	12	969430788	4	1	969430260	1	6
2	969431412	5	1	969431388	2	3	969430380	2	9
3	969432012	3	3	969431988	0	5	969430500	3	12
4	969432612	1	5	969432588	5	7	969430620	4	2
5	969433212	6	7	969433188	3	9	969430740	5	5
6	969433812	4	9	969433788	1	11	969430860	6	8
7	969434412	2	11	969434388	6	0	969430980	0	11
8	969435012	0	0	969434988	4	2	969431100	1	1
9	969435612	5	2	969435588	2	4	969431220	2	4
10	969436212	3	4	969436188	0	6	969431340	3	7
11	969436812	1	6	969436788	5	8	969431340	4	10
12	969437412	6	8	969437388	3	10	969431340	5	0

$$\text{Number of tries} = \lceil \frac{4 \times 6}{7 \times 13} 5241144 \rceil$$

Let h_1, h_2, \dots, h_d be distinct odd primes, and $x = \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} h_i$ then $\mathbb{Z}_{h_d} = \{0, \dots, h_d - 1\}$ and let $K = \{r(k + \gamma x) \bmod h_d \mid \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{h_d}\}$ then $K = \mathbb{Z}_{h_d}$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
r(k + \gamma_1 x) &= r(k + \gamma_2 x) \bmod h \\
a(k + \gamma_1 x) + b &= a(k + \gamma_2 x) + b \pmod{h} \\
a(k + \gamma_1 x) &= a(k + \gamma_2 x) \bmod h \\
k + \gamma_1 x &= k + \gamma_2 x \pmod{h} \\
\gamma_1 x &= \gamma_2 x h \\
\gamma_1 &= \gamma_2 h
\end{aligned}$$

□

4.2 Complexity Analysis

For $b = \log_2(p - q)$

in the worst case $b \approx \log_2(n) - 1$

The factoring algorithm is split into two main parts a setup and search.

4.2.1 Complexity of The Setup Part

Given h_1, \dots, h_i primes ≥ 7 and their corresponding possible $r \bmod h_i$ values. There are on average $\approx \frac{h_i}{2} r_{j,i}$ values for any $x_i = n \bmod h_i$. The complexity of a single 'mod' operation is roughly of the form $O(b \log_2(h_i))$. For each h_i the mod operation is computed h_i times. In total there are $\sum_i h_i$ mod operations in the setup phase. So, the complexity of the setup part is:

$$O\left(\sum_i h_i b \log_2 h_i\right)$$

Assumming $i = b$

$$O\left(\sum_{i=1}^b h_i b \log_2 h_i\right)$$

4.2.2 Complexity of The Search Part

Initially the search part without the setup part made a condition check for each iteration and asumming each condition check necessitates a square root computation. $O[(2b)^2]$ conditon checks in the search part are made $c(p - q)$ times.

$$O[2^b(2b)^2]$$

After adding the setup phase the nubmer of square root computations becomes $\approx \frac{c(p-q)}{2}$

$$O\left(\frac{2^b}{2^i}(2b)^2\right)$$

assuming $i = b$ is used $O((2b)^2)$ The total expression:

$$O(\sum_i h_i b \log_2 h_i) + O(\frac{2^b}{2^i} (2b)^2))$$

for $i = b$

$$\begin{aligned} & O\left(\sum_{i=0}^b b \log_2 h_b + ((2b)^2)\right) \\ & O((b \log_2(h_b))^2 + 4b^2) \\ & O(b^2(4 + \log_2(h_b)^2)) \end{aligned}$$

5 Hello this is section

testj jthe rest of the document l testj jthe rest of the document l testj jthe rest of
the document l testj jthe rest of the document l testj jthe rest of the document
l testj jthe rest of the document l testj jthe rest of the document l testj jthe
rest of the document l testj jthe rest of the document l testj jthe rest of the
document l testj jthe rest of the document l testj jthe rest of the document l
testj jthe rest of the document l testj jthe rest of the document l testj jthe rest of
the document l testj jthe rest of the document l testj jthe rest of the document
l testj jthe rest of the document l testj jthe rest of the document l testj jthe
rest of the document l testj jthe rest of the document l testj jthe rest of the
document l testj jthe rest of the document l testj jthe rest of the document l
testj jthe rest of the document l testj jthe rest of the document l testj jthe rest
of the document l testj jthe rest of the document l