a) create table Department (

dept-id int,

lecation char [55],

name char [100],

emp-id IS NOT NULL,

primary key (dept-id),

foreign key (emp-id)

references Employee (emp-id)

on delete set 101);

create table warks-in (

dept-id int,

emp-id int,

primary key (dept-id, enp-id),

foreign key (dept-id)

references Department (dept-id)

on delete no action,

foreign key (emp-id)

references Employee (emp-id)

on delete cascade).

ereate table Employee (

emp-id int,

name char [100],

surname char [50],

salary double,

gendor char [1]);

project-id int,

state char [SO],

due-date date,

budger float,

dept-id int,

primary key (project-id, dept-id),

foreign key (dept-id)

references pepertment (dept-id)

on delete cascade);

create toble Reports-to [sup-emp-id int, sub-emp-id int, primary key (sup-emp_id, sub-enp_id), Foreign key (sup-emp-id) references Employee (emp-id) Foreign key (sub-emp-id) reterences Employee (emp-id)) create assertion every 1 - works (check (not exists (Select emp-id from Works in group by emp-id having count (enpid)=0)

c) create table Employee (Salory double check (solary >= 10000), --) create table Department (name char [105] check have like concat (1%) location) or none like concat (location, "e")); _ _ _) cratte trigger undate-budget 4) on runs - project after update referencing new table as mosted

begin uplate runs-project set state = 'Unsucressful' where project-id in (select i- praject-id

from inserted i, deleted d

where i project-id = d.project-id

and

d. budget > 1. budget)

end

a) Since product and store
entities have many to many
relation, there are 5×100 = 500
tuples between them.

Since (Induct, Store) tuples
can be related with at
most one person, the
max. number of tuples
can be [500].

Since product and Store 6) extites has many to - one relation, there are 100 tiples -con be obtained as (product, store). Every (Product, store) type ear be sold by at most one Sales Person. So me con altain only 100 (product, Store, SalesPorJan) tuples. Every customer can buy every product: 990x100= 79000 So, the answer is 99000+100 = 99100.

Q3/b) A > C } AB > F (Pseudo transinity)

B -> E ©

AB -> EF (Union of D and 2)

Q4

$$\{c,o\}^{\dagger} = \{c,D,E,6\}$$

 $\{c,o\}^{\dagger} = \{c,D,E,6\}$
 $\{F\}^{\dagger} = \{F,O,C\}$
 $\{F\}^{\dagger} = \{E,G\}$
 $\{A,C\}^{\dagger} = \{A,B,C,O,E,G\}$
 $\{O\}^{\dagger} = \{A,C,E,G\}$

Since none of the LHS's of given

FD'S is the key and F is not

In the closures of LHS's, Fm-st

be subset of the key.

[A,F] = {A,B, F,D,C,E,6} => the key!

So, we don't need to search the

closures of attributes that includes

A&F.

Since other combines with F attribute one not the hey, He only key is AF. b) Since all LHS's of FD'S are not key, R is not in BENF. c) 22 (A,C,D,E,F,6) RICA,B) 8A7B3 P4(A,C,E,F,G) R3 (F,D) EF-103 RG(ACEF) RS(E,6) EE-163 R8 (A, E, F) 27(F,C)/ [3 EF-1C3 + h : 15 non-trivial FO comes from the closure of F.

d) i) since some of FP's ore

10st when we decomposed to

into a collection of BCNF,

it is not dependency-preserving.

ii) BCNF decomposition is

always bossless.

Q5/a) A > E C -> A C -> B C -> C AB -> C E -> A NOTE: Given table is nomed as "example" in observer and oblimas are nomed as A,B, c,D and E respectively. SQL Statements $A \rightarrow E$ Select count (distinct e) from example group by a; =) (F all counts are equal to 1, then
this FO holds. (It is suitable for

every FP'S.

You can replace afterbutes for other FDIS.

b) create table RI (A varchas (20), E varahar (20), primary (ces (A)); create table R2 (c int B varchar (20), primary key (C) create table R3 (c int, A vochar (20), primary key (C), references er(C)); create table R4 (c int, D int);

select distinct A, E from example;

insert into R2

select distinct C, B from example;

insert into R3

select distinct C, A from example;

insert into R4

select c, d from example;