	Bangladesh Army University of Science and Technology (BAUST)		
	Department of Computer Science and Engineering		
	Assignment #1, Winter 2023	Level-4	Term-I
	Course Code: CSE 4131	Course Title: Artificial Neural Networks and Fuzzy Systems	
	Submission Date: 11-05-23	CO Number: CO2	Full Marks: 15
ID: 200101063	Name: Md Wahid Rahman		

Handwritten Digit Recognition System Using Machine Learning

1. Introduction

Handwriting recognition has advanced in recent years. Because cheap, powerful computers, powerful learning algorithms, and enormous databases are all available at once. Handwritten digit recognition using machine learning has been an area of active research for several decades. This study compares Bell Laboratories and other classification algorithms for reading handwritten numbers. Even while identifying individual digits is simply one of many difficulties to overcome when developing an effective recognition system, it is a wonderful opportunity to test different solutions. This study focuses on adaptive approaches that work directly on size-normalized images. Many current methods combine a handmade feature extractor and a trainable classifier. The tested databases are CENPARMI, CEDAR, and MNIST. On the test data set of each database, 80 recognition accuracies are given by combining eight classifiers with ten feature vectors. The features include gradient feature, profile structure feature, and peripheral direction contributively. With the increasing availability of digital devices that capture images of handwritten digits, the need for accurate and efficient recognition algorithms has become more pressing. In this assignment, we will examine four research papers that address different aspects of handwritten digit recognition using machine learning. These papers explore various techniques such as convolutional neural networks, support vector machines, and decision trees, and evaluate their effectiveness in terms of accuracy, computational efficiency, and robustness. These research papers provide a comprehensive analysis of the current techniques used in handwritten digit recognition and present a benchmark for evaluating the performance of different approaches. By analyzing these papers, we aim to gain a comprehensive understanding of the current state-of-the-art in handwritten digit recognition and identify potential avenues for future research.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Handwritten digit recognition: benchmarking of state-of-the-art techniques

The first research paper explored the benchmarking of state-of-the-art techniques for handwritten digit recognition. The study compared the performance of various classification algorithms, including SVM, k-NN, and neural networks, on the MNIST dataset. The authors use multiple datasets and perform extensive experimentation to compare the accuracy, training time, and testing time of each method. They conclude that deep learning-based methods outperform traditional methods and achieve high accuracy rates. The results showed that the convolutional neural network (CNN) achieved the highest accuracy of 99.77% [1].

Limitations of “Handwritten digit recognition: benchmarking of state-of-the-art techniques”-

- The study mainly focuses on benchmarking the performance of existing techniques rather than proposing a new technique.
- The dataset used for evaluation is limited to only handwritten digits, which may not represent the diverse range of handwriting styles.

2.2 Comparison of Learning Algorithms for Handwritten Digit Recognition

The second paper compared the performance of various learning algorithms, including decision trees, random forests, and neural networks, for handwritten digit recognition. The authors evaluate these methods on two datasets and measure their accuracy, training time, and testing time. They find that neural networks outperform other algorithms. The study used the MNIST dataset and found that the neural network achieved the highest accuracy of 98.94% [2].

Limitations of “Comparison of Learning Algorithms for Handwritten Digit Recognition”:

- The study is limited to comparing the performance of only five learning algorithms for digit recognition.
- The study does not explore the impact of varying parameters of the learning algorithms on the recognition performance.

2.3 Comparison of Classifier Methods: A Case Study in Handwritten Digit Recognition

The third research paper compared the performance of six different classifiers, including SVM, k-NN, decision trees, random forest, gradient boosting, and neural networks, for handwritten digit recognition. The authors use a single dataset and evaluate the accuracy, training time, and testing time of each method. They find that neural networks outperform other classifiers. The study used the MNIST dataset and found that the neural network achieved the highest accuracy of 99.39% [3].

Limitations of "Comparison of Classifier Methods: A Case Study in Handwritten Digit Recognition":

- The study is limited to comparing the performance of only five classifier methods for digit recognition.
- The study does not take into account the real-time implementation of the techniques.

2.4 Deep, Big, Simple Neural Nets for Handwritten Digit Recognition

The fourth research paper proposed a deep, big, and simple neural network architecture for handwritten digit recognition. demonstrate the effectiveness of machine learning, particularly deep learning-based methods, for handwritten digit recognition. They highlight the importance of selecting appropriate algorithms and classifiers, as well as dataset size and quality, in achieving high accuracy rates. Additionally, the papers suggest that simpler neural network architectures can be just as effective as more complex ones, potentially reducing training time and computational requirements. The study used the MNIST dataset and achieved an accuracy of 99.41%, which was higher than the accuracy achieved by other state-of-the-art approaches.

Limitations of "Deep, Big, Simple Neural Nets for Handwritten Digit Recognition":

- The study mainly focuses on proposing a new technique for digit recognition rather than comparing with existing techniques.

Overall, these four papers demonstrate the effectiveness of machine learning, particularly deep learning-based methods, for handwritten digit recognition. They highlight the importance of selecting appropriate algorithms and classifiers, as well as dataset size and quality, in achieving high accuracy rates. Additionally, the papers suggest that simpler neural network architectures can be just as effective as more complex ones, potentially reducing training time and computational requirements.

3. Result and Analysis

The four research papers presented in this assignment demonstrated the effectiveness of different approaches for recognizing handwritten digits using machine learning. All the studies achieved high accuracy rates on the MNIST dataset, with the CNN achieving the highest accuracy of 99.77%. The studies also explored the impact of various techniques, such as learning algorithms, classifier methods, and neural network architectures, on the accuracy of the models.

In the first paper, the CNN achieved the highest accuracy of 99.77% compared to other classification algorithms. The authors found that deep neural networks outperformed other techniques and achieved high accuracy rates.

The second paper showed that the neural network achieved the highest accuracy of 98.94% compared to other learning algorithms. The authors found that neural networks performed the best, followed by decision trees and k-nearest neighbors.

In the third paper, the neural network achieved the highest accuracy of 99.39% compared to other classifier methods. The authors found that support vector machines performed the best, followed by decision trees and k-nearest neighbors.

Finally, the fourth paper proposed a deep, big, and simple neural network architecture that achieved an accuracy of 99.41%, which was higher than other state-of-the-art approaches. The authors found that their simple neural network architecture was able to achieve high accuracy rates comparable to more complex architectures.

4. Conclusion

Raw computer power per euro has increased by 100 to 1000 every decade. Our findings suggest that hardware progress may be more essential than algorithm and software advances (although hybrid solutions will win in the future). Single-precision floating point GPU-based neural nets outperform all other methods on the MNIST handwriting benchmark, including those using specialized architectures, unsupervised pretraining, and combinations of machine learning classifiers. Deforming photos yields large training sets to avoid overfitting. The method can be used to numerous visual and other pattern recognition challenges, not just handwriting.

In conclusion, recognizing handwritten digits using machine learning is an important area of research with numerous practical applications. The studies presented in this assignment demonstrated the effectiveness of different approaches for recognizing handwritten digits. The results showed that the use of CNNs, neural

networks, and deep learning techniques can achieve high accuracy rates. The studies also highlighted the impact of various factors such as learning algorithms, classifier methods, and neural network architectures on the performance of the models. This research will undoubtedly play a crucial role in advancing the field of machine learning and its applications in digit recognition.

References

[1] Liu, Cheng-Lin, et al. "Handwritten digit recognition: benchmarking of state-of-the-art techniques." Pattern recognition 36.10 (2003): 2271-2285.

[2] LeCun, Yann, et al. "Comparison of learning algorithms for handwritten digit recognition." International conference on artificial neural networks. Vol. 60. No. 1. 1995.

[3] Bottou, Léon, et al. "Comparison of classifier methods: a case study in handwritten digit recognition." Proceedings of the 12th IAPR International Conference on Pattern Recognition, Vol. 3-Conference C: Signal Processing (Cat. No. 94CH3440-5). Vol. 2. IEEE, 1994.

[4] Cireşan, Dan Claudiu, et al. "Deep, big, simple neural nets for handwritten digit recognition." Neural computation 22.12 (2010): 3207-3220.