

Homework 1

2/17/2022

Question 1

The Counting and Recursive rules can be used to show the model in the figure is identified. The Counting Rule states the number of free parameters $t \leq \frac{1}{2}(s)(s + 1)$ where s is the sum of endogenous, p and exogenous q variables. In this example, $p = 4$ and $q = 3$ and therefore $s = 7$ leading to the inequality $t \leq 28 = \frac{1}{2}(7)(8)$. Additionally, t is the number of variances and covariances of the exogenous variables, disturbance terms, and path coefficients or $t = 3 + 7 + 11 = 21$ respectively. Thus we have satisfied the necessary but not sufficient Counting rule because $t = 21 \leq 28$ holds.

The Recursive Rule states B must be triangular and $\Psi = \text{Var}(\zeta)$ is a diagonal matrix from the general structural equation of the model, $y = \alpha + B \cdot y + \Gamma \cdot x + \zeta$. In this example B is indeed triangular because the model is restricted in not having feedback loops present amongst the endogenous variables. We are also assuming Ψ is diagonal such that the disturbance terms are uncorrelated with the exogenous variables.

Question 2

We know the multivariate normality assumption is violated because two of the variables, GENDER and IMMIGR, are binary. This means not only are these variable non-normal, but they are also precluded from having joint normality with the other variables.

Question 3

The results from estimating the model and the subsequent interpretation are included below.

```

# Setup path model
pisa_model <- '
  # Regressions

  READING ~ A*MEMO + B*ELAB + C*CSTRAT
  MEMO ~ D*ESCS + E*GENDER
  ELAB ~ F*ESCS + G*GENDER + H*IMMIGR
  CSTRAT ~ I*ESCS + J*GENDER + K*IMMIGR

  # Mediation Analysis

  # Indirect effect of ESCS on READING through MEMO
  DA := D*A
  # Indirect effect of ESCS on READING through ELAB
  FB := F*B
  # Indirect effect of ESCS on READING through CSTRAT
  IC := I*C
  # Total indirect effect of ESCS on READING
  DA_FB_IC := DA + FB + IC

  # Indirect effect of GENDER on READING through MEMO
  EA := E*A
  # Indirect effect of GENDER on READING through ELAB
  GB := G*B
  # Indirect effect of GENDER on READING through CSTRAT
  JC := J*C
  # Total indirect effect of GENDER on READING
  EA_GB_JC := EA + GB + JC

  # Indirect effect of IMMIGR on READING through ELAB
  HB := H*B
  # Indirect effect of IMMIGR on READING through CSTRAT
  KC := K*C
  # Total indirect effect of IMMIGR on READING
  HB_KC := HB + KC
'

# Generate summary of path analysis
fitm1 <- sem(pisa_model, data = pisa_data, estimator = "ml")

```

```

## Warning in lav_data_full(data = data, group = group, cluster = cluster, : lavaan
## WARNING: some observed variances are (at least) a factor 1000 times larger than
## others; use varTable(fit) to investigate

```

```

summary(fitm1, fit.measures = TRUE, rsq = T)

```

```

## lavaan 0.6-10 ended normally after 1 iterations
##
##      Estimator                      ML
##      Optimization method          NLMINB
##      Number of model parameters      15
##
##      Number of observations          5053
##
## Model Test User Model:
##
##      Test statistic                  5585.354
##      Degrees of freedom              7
##      P-value (Chi-square)            0.000
##
## Model Test Baseline Model:
##
##      Test statistic                  6845.608
##      Degrees of freedom              18
##      P-value                          0.000
##
## User Model versus Baseline Model:
##
##      Comparative Fit Index (CFI)      0.183
##      Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI)        -1.101
##
## Loglikelihood and Information Criteria:
##
##      Loglikelihood user model (H0)    -52631.946
##      Loglikelihood unrestricted model (H1) -49839.269
##
##      Akaike (AIC)                    105293.891
##      Bayesian (BIC)                   105391.807
##      Sample-size adjusted Bayesian (BIC) 105344.142
##
## Root Mean Square Error of Approximation:
##
##      RMSEA                          0.397
##      90 Percent confidence interval - lower 0.388
##      90 Percent confidence interval - upper 0.406
##      P-value RMSEA <= 0.05            0.000
##
## Standardized Root Mean Square Residual:
##
##      SRMR                          0.213
##
## Parameter Estimates:
##
##      Standard errors                  Standard
##      Information                      Expected
##      Information saturated (h1) model Structured
##
## Regressions:

```

```
##
##      Estimate  Std.Err  z-value  P(>|z|)
##  READING ~
##      MEMO      (A)  -26.367    1.113   -23.684    0.000
##      ELAB      (B)  -15.139    1.099   -13.777    0.000
##      CSTRAT    (C)   45.422    1.084    41.893    0.000
##  MEMO ~
##      ESCS      (D)   0.035    0.017    2.083    0.037
##      GENDER    (E)   0.225    0.031    7.339    0.000
##  ELAB ~
##      ESCS      (F)   0.140    0.018    7.908    0.000
##      GENDER    (G)  -0.030    0.031   -0.950    0.342
##      IMMIGR    (H)   0.166    0.041    4.018    0.000
##  CSTRAT ~
##      ESCS      (I)   0.272    0.017   15.575    0.000
##      GENDER    (J)   0.287    0.031    9.339    0.000
##      IMMIGR    (K)   0.238    0.041    5.833    0.000
##
## Variances:
##      Estimate  Std.Err  z-value  P(>|z|)
##  .READING    7544.331  150.093   50.264    0.000
##  .MEMO        1.191    0.024   50.264    0.000
##  .ELAB        1.221    0.024   50.264    0.000
##  .CSTRAT      1.194    0.024   50.264    0.000
##
## R-Square:
##      Estimate
##  READING      0.326
##  MEMO          0.011
##  ELAB          0.013
##  CSTRAT        0.061
##
## Defined Parameters:
##      Estimate  Std.Err  z-value  P(>|z|)
##  DA          -0.916    0.442   -2.075    0.038
##  FB          -2.116    0.309   -6.859    0.000
##  IC          12.366    0.847   14.599    0.000
##  DA_FB_IC     9.333    1.003    9.308    0.000
##  EA          -5.945    0.848   -7.010    0.000
##  GB           0.447    0.472    0.948    0.343
##  JC          13.045    1.431    9.115    0.000
##  EA_GB_JC     7.547    1.729    4.366    0.000
##  HB          -2.515    0.652   -3.857    0.000
##  KC          10.829    1.874    5.777    0.000
##  HB_KC        8.314    1.984    4.191    0.000
```

```
standardizedSolution(fitm1) %>% filter(op != "~")
```

##	lhs	op	rhs	label	est	std	se	z	pvalue	ci.lower	ci.upper
## 1	READING	~	MEMO	A	-0.274	0.011	-24.287	0.000	-0.296	-0.251	
## 2	READING	~	ELAB	B	-0.159	0.011	-13.880	0.000	-0.182	-0.137	
## 3	READING	~	CSTRAT	C	0.484	0.010	47.073	0.000	0.464	0.504	
## 4	MEMO	~	ESCS	D	0.029	0.014	2.084	0.037	0.002	0.057	
## 5	MEMO	~	GENDER	E	0.103	0.014	7.398	0.000	0.075	0.130	
## 6	ELAB	~	ESCS	F	0.116	0.014	7.981	0.000	0.087	0.144	
## 7	ELAB	~	GENDER	G	-0.013	0.014	-0.950	0.342	-0.041	0.014	
## 8	ELAB	~	IMMIGR	H	0.059	0.015	4.028	0.000	0.030	0.087	
## 9	CSTRAT	~	ESCS	I	0.222	0.014	16.118	0.000	0.195	0.249	
## 10	CSTRAT	~	GENDER	J	0.127	0.013	9.452	0.000	0.101	0.154	
## 11	CSTRAT	~	IMMIGR	K	0.083	0.014	5.860	0.000	0.055	0.111	
## 12	DA	:=	D*A	DA	-0.008	0.004	-2.074	0.038	-0.016	0.000	
## 13	FB	:=	F*B	FB	-0.018	0.003	-6.879	0.000	-0.024	-0.013	
## 14	IC	:=	I*C	IC	0.108	0.007	14.984	0.000	0.093	0.122	
## 15	DA_FB_IC	:=	DA+FB+IC	DA_FB_IC	0.081	0.009	9.429	0.000	0.064	0.098	
## 16	EA	:=	E*A	EA	-0.028	0.004	-7.034	0.000	-0.036	-0.020	
## 17	GB	:=	G*B	GB	0.002	0.002	0.948	0.343	-0.002	0.006	
## 18	JC	:=	J*C	JC	0.062	0.007	9.200	0.000	0.048	0.075	
## 19	EA_GB_JC	:=	EA+GB+JC	EA_GB_JC	0.036	0.008	4.378	0.000	0.020	0.052	
## 20	HB	:=	H*B	HB	-0.009	0.002	-3.861	0.000	-0.014	-0.005	
## 21	KC	:=	K*C	KC	0.040	0.007	5.800	0.000	0.027	0.054	
## 22	HB_KC	:=	HB+KC	HB_KC	0.031	0.007	4.200	0.000	0.016	0.045	

In this model, all direct regression coefficients are statistically significant at the $\alpha = 0.05$ level with the exception of the GENDER on the ELAB variables. A similar pattern is present with regard to the indirect effects. All are statistically significant with the exception of the GENDER on READING through ELAB variables. All three total indirect effect parameters are statistically significant.

Question 4

The test statistics generated from estimating the model parameters suggest a poor fitting model. The RMSEA value of 0.397 does not compare well to the generally accepted standard of 0.05 or lower equating to a good fitting model. The yes/no test statistic also suggests a poor fit with a value of 5585.354 and a corresponding χ^2 p-value of approximately zero.

Question 5

The modification indices sorted by decreasing values are included below.

```
modindices(fitm1,standardized=TRUE,power=TRUE,delta=0.1,alpha=.05,high.power=.80) %>%
  filter(op != "~") %>%
  arrange(desc(mi))
```

##	lhs	op	rhs	mi	epc	sepc.all	delta	ncp	power
## 1	CSTRAT	~	READING	4029.389	-0.025	-2.317	0.1	6.612950e+04	1.000
## 2	MEMO	~	CSTRAT	1985.352	0.624	0.641	0.1	5.096500e+01	1.000
## 3	CSTRAT	~	MEMO	1966.556	0.624	0.608	0.1	5.043600e+01	1.000
## 4	CSTRAT	~	ELAB	1767.623	0.585	0.577	0.1	5.168800e+01	1.000
## 5	ELAB	~	CSTRAT	1767.623	0.598	0.606	0.1	4.939800e+01	1.000
## 6	MEMO	~	ELAB	1116.603	0.464	0.470	0.1	5.195000e+01	1.000
## 7	ELAB	~	MEMO	1103.579	0.473	0.467	0.1	4.930600e+01	1.000
## 8	MEMO	~	READING	819.027	0.008	0.796	0.1	1.202311e+05	1.000
## 9	READING	~	ESCS	616.031	33.769	0.294	0.1	5.000000e-03	0.051
## 10	ESCS	~	READING	560.835	0.003	0.397	0.1	4.694443e+05	1.000
## 11	ELAB	~	READING	253.713	0.004	0.405	0.1	1.401108e+05	1.000
## 12	GENDER	~	READING	75.507	0.001	0.151	0.1	1.485835e+06	1.000
## 13	READING	~	GENDER	68.561	20.508	0.097	0.1	2.000000e-03	0.050
## 14	READING	~	IMMIGR	38.773	-19.343	-0.072	0.1	1.000000e-03	0.050
## 15	MEMO	~	IMMIGR	19.374	0.180	0.064	0.1	5.997000e+00	0.688
## 16	IMMIGR	~	MEMO	18.939	0.021	0.058	0.1	4.355650e+02	1.000
## 17	ESCS	~	MEMO	18.936	0.165	0.197	0.1	6.919000e+00	0.749
## 18	GENDER	~	MEMO	16.107	-2.869	-6.301	0.1	2.000000e-02	0.052
## 19	IMMIGR	~	READING	0.295	0.000	-0.008	0.1	2.954823e+06	1.000
## 20	IMMIGR	~	ELAB	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	3.000000e-03	0.050
## 21	ESCS	~	CSTRAT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	2.000000e-02	0.052
## 22	IMMIGR	~	CSTRAT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	1.720000e-01	0.070
## 23	GENDER	~	CSTRAT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	3.900000e-02	0.055
## 24	ESCS	~	GENDER	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	3.000000e-02	0.053
## 25	GENDER	~	ESCS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	1.380000e-01	0.066
## 26	ESCS	~	IMMIGR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	7.000000e-03	0.051
## 27	IMMIGR	~	ESCS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	3.490000e-01	0.091
## 28	GENDER	~	IMMIGR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	3.060000e-01	0.086
## 29	IMMIGR	~	GENDER	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	2.565157e+30	1.000
## 30	ESCS	~	ELAB	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	NaN	NaN
## 31	GENDER	~	ELAB	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	NaN	NaN
##	decision								
## 1	epc:nm								
## 2	*epc:m*								
## 3	*epc:m*								
## 4	*epc:m*								
## 5	*epc:m*								
## 6	*epc:m*								
## 7	*epc:m*								
## 8	epc:nm								
## 9	**(m)**								
## 10	epc:nm								
## 11	epc:nm								
## 12	epc:nm								
## 13	**(m)**								
## 14	**(m)**								
## 15	**(m)**								
## 16	epc:nm								
## 17	**(m)**								
## 18	**(m)**								
## 19	(nm)								

```
## 20      (i)
## 21      (i)
## 22      (i)
## 23      (i)
## 24      (i)
## 25      (i)
## 26      (i)
## 27      (i)
## 28      (i)
## 29      (nm)
## 30
## 31
```

Based on these results, it appears the model should be altered to relax the restriction of zero effect between ESCS and READING to allow for a direct effect between them. This appears to be the case due to the large values of the modification index, MI, as well as the expected change parameter, EPC. A new model will be estimated with the updated path.

```
# Add READING ~ ESCS path into model based on MI/EPC values
pisa_model2 <- '
  # Regressions

  READING ~ A*MEMO + B*ELAB + C*CSTRAT + L*ESCS
  MEMO ~ D*ESCS + E*GENDER
  ELAB ~ F*ESCS + G*GENDER + H*IMMIGR
  CSTRAT ~ I*ESCS + J*GENDER + K*IMMIGR

  # Mediation Analysis

  # Indirect effect of ESCS on READING through MEMO
  DA := D*A
  # Indirect effect of ESCS on READING through ELAB
  FB := F*B
  # Indirect effect of ESCS on READING through CSTRAT
  IC := I*C
  # Total indirect effect of ESCS on READING
  DA_FB_IC := DA + FB + IC
  # Total effect of ESCS on READING
  DA_FB_IC_L := DA + FB + IC + L

  # Indirect effect of GENDER on READING through MEMO
  EA := E*A
  # Indirect effect of GENDER on READING through ELAB
  GB := G*B
  # Indirect effect of GENDER on READING through CSTRAT
  JC := J*C
  # Total indirect effect of GENDER on READING
  EA_GB_JC := EA + GB + JC

  # Indirect effect of IMMIGR on READING through ELAB
  HB := H*B
  # Indirect effect of IMMIGR on READING through CSTRAT
  KC := K*C
  # Total indirect effect of IMMIGR on READING
  HB_KC := HB + KC
'
fitm2 <- sem(pisa_model2, data = pisa_data, estimator = "ml")
```

```
## Warning in lav_data_full(data = data, group = group, cluster = cluster, : lavaan
## WARNING: some observed variances are (at least) a factor 1000 times larger than
## others; use varTable(fit) to investigate
```

```
summary(fitm2, fit.measures = TRUE, rsq = T)
```



```

## lavaan 0.6-10 ended normally after 1 iterations
##
##      Estimator                      ML
##      Optimization method          NLMINB
##      Number of model parameters    16
##
##      Number of observations        5053
##
## Model Test User Model:
##
##      Test statistic                4924.514
##      Degrees of freedom            6
##      P-value (Chi-square)          0.000
##
## Model Test Baseline Model:
##
##      Test statistic                6845.608
##      Degrees of freedom            18
##      P-value                        0.000
##
## User Model versus Baseline Model:
##
##      Comparative Fit Index (CFI)    0.280
##      Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI)      -1.161
##
## Loglikelihood and Information Criteria:
##
##      Loglikelihood user model (H0)  -52301.526
##      Loglikelihood unrestricted model (H1) -49839.269
##
##      Akaike (AIC)                  104635.051
##      Bayesian (BIC)                 104739.495
##      Sample-size adjusted Bayesian (BIC) 104688.652
##
## Root Mean Square Error of Approximation:
##
##      RMSEA                          0.403
##      90 Percent confidence interval - lower 0.393
##      90 Percent confidence interval - upper 0.412
##      P-value RMSEA <= 0.05          0.000
##
## Standardized Root Mean Square Residual:
##
##      SRMR                            0.196
##
## Parameter Estimates:
##
##      Standard errors                Standard
##      Information                    Expected
##      Information saturated (h1) model Structured
##
## Regressions:

```

```

##                                Estimate  Std.Err  z-value  P(>|z|)
##  READING ~
##    MEMO      (A)   -21.963    1.043   -21.055    0.000
##    ELAB      (B)   -15.048    1.034   -14.552    0.000
##    CSTRAT    (C)    37.269    1.035    35.999    0.000
##    ESCS      (L)    33.957    1.274    26.644    0.000
##  MEMO ~
##    ESCS      (D)     0.035    0.017     2.083    0.037
##    GENDER    (E)     0.225    0.031     7.339    0.000
##  ELAB ~
##    ESCS      (F)     0.140    0.018     7.908    0.000
##    GENDER    (G)    -0.030    0.031    -0.950    0.342
##    IMMIGR    (H)     0.166    0.041     4.018    0.000
##  CSTRAT ~
##    ESCS      (I)     0.272    0.017    15.575    0.000
##    GENDER    (J)     0.287    0.031     9.339    0.000
##    IMMIGR    (K)     0.238    0.041     5.833    0.000
##
## Variances:
##                                Estimate  Std.Err  z-value  P(>|z|)
##    .READING    6619.465   131.693    50.264    0.000
##    .MEMO        1.191     0.024    50.264    0.000
##    .ELAB        1.221     0.024    50.264    0.000
##    .CSTRAT      1.194     0.024    50.264    0.000
##
## R-Square:
##                                Estimate
##    READING      0.371
##    MEMO          0.011
##    ELAB          0.013
##    CSTRAT       0.061
##
## Defined Parameters:
##                                Estimate  Std.Err  z-value  P(>|z|)
##    DA           -0.763    0.368    -2.073    0.038
##    FB           -2.104    0.303    -6.948    0.000
##    IC           10.146    0.710    14.294    0.000
##    DA_FB_IC      7.279    0.855     8.516    0.000
##    DA_FB_IC_L    41.236    1.476    27.943    0.000
##    EA           -4.952    0.715    -6.930    0.000
##    GB            0.445    0.469     0.948    0.343
##    JC           10.703    1.184     9.039    0.000
##    EA_GB_JC      6.196    1.460     4.244    0.000
##    HB           -2.499    0.645    -3.873    0.000
##    KC            8.885    1.543     5.758    0.000
##    HB_KC         6.386    1.673     3.818    0.000

```

The new model's BIC value decrease by ≈ 652.3 suggesting the new model is preferred over the original one. This new model can be justified when we consider how the ESCS variable is constructed as it includes the number of books in the home. The presence of increased number of books would seem to be a reasonable indicator of a family that places higher importance on reading skills which would lead to a higher measures of that skill regardless of any approaches to teaching.

With this new model in mind, we will repeat the process of identifying potential misspecifications.

```
modindices(fitm2,standardized=TRUE,power=TRUE,delta=0.1,alpha=.05,high.power=.80) %>%
  filter(op != "~") %>%
  arrange(desc(mi))
```

##	lhs	op	rhs	mi	epc	sepc.all	delta	ncp	power	decision
## 1	CSTRAT	~	READING	3496.620	-0.029	-2.607	0.1	42593.614	1.000	epc:nm
## 2	MEMO	~	CSTRAT	1985.352	0.624	0.641	0.1	50.965	1.000	*epc:m*
## 3	CSTRAT	~	MEMO	1966.556	0.624	0.608	0.1	50.436	1.000	*epc:m*
## 4	ELAB	~	CSTRAT	1767.623	0.598	0.606	0.1	49.398	1.000	*epc:m*
## 5	CSTRAT	~	ELAB	1767.623	0.585	0.577	0.1	51.688	1.000	*epc:m*
## 6	MEMO	~	ELAB	1116.603	0.464	0.470	0.1	51.950	1.000	*epc:m*
## 7	ELAB	~	MEMO	1103.579	0.473	0.467	0.1	49.306	1.000	*epc:m*
## 8	MEMO	~	READING	695.513	0.009	0.844	0.1	85194.275	1.000	epc:nm
## 9	ELAB	~	READING	375.105	0.006	0.585	0.1	93308.358	1.000	epc:nm
## 10	READING	~	GENDER	97.611	22.942	0.112	0.1	0.002	0.050	** (m) **
## 11	GENDER	~	READING	70.032	0.001	0.127	0.1	1815175.659	1.000	epc:nm
## 12	MEMO	~	IMMIGR	19.374	0.180	0.064	0.1	5.997	0.688	** (m) **
## 13	IMMIGR	~	MEMO	18.937	0.021	0.058	0.1	435.613	1.000	epc:nm
## 14	ESCS	~	MEMO	18.611	0.163	0.194	0.1	7.040	0.756	** (m) **
## 15	GENDER	~	MEMO	6.642	-1.183	-2.598	0.1	0.047	0.055	** (m) **
## 16	READING	~	IMMIGR	1.990	4.315	0.017	0.1	0.001	0.050	(i)
## 17	ESCS	~	READING	0.182	0.000	0.019	0.1	60925.298	1.000	(nm)
## 18	IMMIGR	~	READING	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	3429120.208	1.000	(nm)
## 19	ESCS	~	ELAB	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	0.094	0.061	(i)
## 20	ESCS	~	CSTRAT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	0.505	0.110	(i)
## 21	GENDER	~	CSTRAT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	1.532	0.236	(i)
## 22	GENDER	~	ESCS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	26.126	0.999	(nm)
## 23	IMMIGR	~	GENDER	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	0.959	0.165	(i)
## 24	ESCS	~	GENDER	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	2.286	0.327	(i)
## 25	GENDER	~	IMMIGR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	0.719	0.136	(i)
## 26	ESCS	~	IMMIGR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	1.006	0.171	(i)
## 27	IMMIGR	~	ESCS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	45.446	1.000	(nm)
## 28	GENDER	~	ELAB	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	NaN	NaN	
## 29	IMMIGR	~	ELAB	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	NaN	NaN	
## 30	IMMIGR	~	CSTRAT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	NaN	NaN	

When considering the modification indices of the second model, it appears another modification should be made in allowing a path from GENDER to READING again based on the large MI and EPC values. This third model will now be estimated.

```
# Add READING ~ GENDER path into model based on MI/EPC values
pisa_model3 <- '
# Regressions

READING ~ A*MEMO + B*ELAB + C*CSTRAT + L*ESCS + M*GENDER
MEMO ~ D*ESCS + E*GENDER
ELAB ~ F*ESCS + G*GENDER + H*IMMIGR
CSTRAT ~ I*ESCS + J*GENDER + K*IMMIGR

# Mediation Analysis

# Indirect effect of ESCS on READING through MEMO
DA := D*A
# Indirect effect of ESCS on READING through ELAB
FB := F*B
# Indirect effect of ESCS on READING through CSTRAT
IC := I*C
# Total indirect effect of ESCS on READING
DA_FB_IC := DA + FB + IC
# Total effect of ESCS on READING
DA_FB_IC_L := DA + FB + IC + L

# Indirect effect of GENDER on READING through MEMO
EA := E*A
# Indirect effect of GENDER on READING through ELAB
GB := G*B
# Indirect effect of GENDER on READING through CSTRAT
JC := J*C
# Total indirect effect of GENDER on READING
EA_GB_JC := EA + GB + JC
# Total direct effect of GENDER on READING
EA_GB_JC_M := EA + GB + JC + M

# Indirect effect of IMMIGR on READING through ELAB
HB := H*B
# Indirect effect of IMMIGR on READING through CSTRAT
KC := K*C
# Total indirect effect of IMMIGR on READING
HB_KC := HB + KC
'

fitm3 <- sem(pisa_model3, data = pisa_data, estimator = "ml")
```

```
## Warning in lav_data_full(data = data, group = group, cluster = cluster, : lavaan
## WARNING: some observed variances are (at least) a factor 1000 times larger than
## others; use varTable(fit) to investigate
```

```
summary(fitm3, fit.measures = TRUE, rsq = T)
```

```

## lavaan 0.6-10 ended normally after 1 iterations
##
##      Estimator                      ML
##      Optimization method          NLMINB
##      Number of model parameters    17
##
##      Number of observations        5053
##
## Model Test User Model:
##
##      Test statistic                4825.567
##      Degrees of freedom            5
##      P-value (Chi-square)          0.000
##
## Model Test Baseline Model:
##
##      Test statistic                6845.608
##      Degrees of freedom            18
##      P-value                        0.000
##
## User Model versus Baseline Model:
##
##      Comparative Fit Index (CFI)    0.294
##      Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI)      -1.542
##
## Loglikelihood and Information Criteria:
##
##      Loglikelihood user model (H0)   -52252.052
##      Loglikelihood unrestricted model (H1) -49839.269
##
##      Akaike (AIC)                  104538.105
##      Bayesian (BIC)                 104649.076
##      Sample-size adjusted Bayesian (BIC) 104595.056
##
## Root Mean Square Error of Approximation:
##
##      RMSEA                          0.437
##      90 Percent confidence interval - lower 0.427
##      90 Percent confidence interval - upper 0.447
##      P-value RMSEA <= 0.05           0.000
##
## Standardized Root Mean Square Residual:
##
##      SRMR                            0.194
##
## Parameter Estimates:
##
##      Standard errors                Standard
##      Information                    Expected
##      Information saturated (h1) model Structured
##
## Regressions:

```

```

##                                Estimate Std.Err z-value P(>|z|)
## READING ~
## MEMO (A) -22.558 1.038 -21.725 0.000
## ELAB (B) -13.545 1.024 -13.226 0.000
## CSTRAT (C) 35.402 1.034 34.240 0.000
## ESCS (L) 34.449 1.263 27.271 0.000
## GENDER (M) 23.030 2.299 10.015 0.000
## MEMO ~
## ESCS (D) 0.035 0.017 2.083 0.037
## GENDER (E) 0.225 0.031 7.339 0.000
## ELAB ~
## ESCS (F) 0.140 0.018 7.908 0.000
## GENDER (G) -0.030 0.031 -0.950 0.342
## IMMIGR (H) 0.166 0.041 4.018 0.000
## CSTRAT ~
## ESCS (I) 0.272 0.017 15.575 0.000
## GENDER (J) 0.287 0.031 9.339 0.000
## IMMIGR (K) 0.238 0.041 5.833 0.000
##
## Variances:
##                                Estimate Std.Err z-value P(>|z|)
## .READING 6491.106 129.139 50.264 0.000
## .MEMO 1.191 0.024 50.264 0.000
## .ELAB 1.221 0.024 50.264 0.000
## .CSTRAT 1.194 0.024 50.264 0.000
##
## R-Square:
##                                Estimate
## READING 0.376
## MEMO 0.011
## ELAB 0.013
## CSTRAT 0.061
##
## Defined Parameters:
##                                Estimate Std.Err z-value P(>|z|)
## DA -0.784 0.378 -2.073 0.038
## FB -1.894 0.279 -6.787 0.000
## IC 9.638 0.680 14.177 0.000
## DA_FB_IC 6.960 0.826 8.424 0.000
## DA_FB_IC_L 41.409 1.449 28.571 0.000
## EA -5.087 0.732 -6.953 0.000
## GB 0.400 0.422 0.947 0.343
## JC 10.167 1.128 9.010 0.000
## EA_GB_JC 5.481 1.410 3.888 0.000
## EA_GB_JC_M 28.510 2.643 10.787 0.000
## HB -2.250 0.585 -3.845 0.000
## KC 8.440 1.468 5.750 0.000
## HB_KC 6.190 1.580 3.918 0.000

```

The third model's BIC value decrease by ≈ 90.4 suggesting this is preferred over the second one. This third model can be justified when considering how GENDER and READING are related. Possible explanations could include both societal reasons, such as boys being placed in sporting activities at the expense of learning

activities, and biological reasons such as vision differences in sex due to crucial genes being on the Y-chromosome. Importantly, these potential justifications would directly affect the reading measure.

With this third model in mind, we will again repeat the process of identifying potential misspecifications.

```
modindices(fitm3,standardized=TRUE,power=TRUE,delta=0.1,alpha=.05,high.power=.80) %>%
  filter(op != "~") %>%
  arrange(desc(mi))
```

##	lhs	op	rhs	mi	epc	sepc.all	delta	ncp	power	decision
## 1	CSTRAT	~	READING	3428.751	-0.030	-2.755	0.1	36980.818	1.000	epc:nm
## 2	MEMO	~	CSTRAT	1985.352	0.624	0.641	0.1	50.965	1.000	*epc:m*
## 3	CSTRAT	~	MEMO	1966.556	0.624	0.608	0.1	50.436	1.000	*epc:m*
## 4	CSTRAT	~	ELAB	1767.623	0.585	0.577	0.1	51.688	1.000	*epc:m*
## 5	ELAB	~	CSTRAT	1767.623	0.598	0.606	0.1	49.398	1.000	*epc:m*
## 6	MEMO	~	ELAB	1116.603	0.464	0.470	0.1	51.950	1.000	*epc:m*
## 7	ELAB	~	MEMO	1103.579	0.473	0.467	0.1	49.306	1.000	*epc:m*
## 8	MEMO	~	READING	874.335	0.011	1.016	0.1	73175.570	1.000	epc:nm
## 9	ELAB	~	READING	302.697	0.006	0.538	0.1	87849.420	1.000	epc:nm
## 10	MEMO	~	IMMIGR	19.374	0.180	0.064	0.1	5.997	0.688	** (m) **
## 11	IMMIGR	~	MEMO	18.927	0.021	0.058	0.1	435.845	1.000	epc:nm
## 12	ESCS	~	MEMO	18.919	0.165	0.197	0.1	6.925	0.749	** (m) **
## 13	GENDER	~	MEMO	16.091	-2.866	-6.295	0.1	0.020	0.052	** (m) **
## 14	READING	~	IMMIGR	2.086	4.375	0.017	0.1	0.001	0.050	(i)
## 15	IMMIGR	~	READING	0.006	0.000	0.001	0.1	3525544.289	1.000	(nm)
## 16	ESCS	~	READING	0.005	0.000	0.003	0.1	62325.720	1.000	(nm)
## 17	GENDER	~	READING	0.002	0.000	-0.040	0.1	440.217	1.000	(nm)
## 18	GENDER	~	ELAB	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	0.029	0.053	(i)
## 19	GENDER	~	CSTRAT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	0.079	0.059	(i)
## 20	ESCS	~	ELAB	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	0.094	0.061	(i)
## 21	ESCS	~	CSTRAT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	0.342	0.090	(i)
## 22	IMMIGR	~	ELAB	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	0.606	0.122	(i)
## 23	GENDER	~	ESCS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	0.140	0.066	(i)
## 24	IMMIGR	~	CSTRAT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	4.295	0.545	(i)
## 25	GENDER	~	IMMIGR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	0.886	0.156	(i)
## 26	IMMIGR	~	GENDER	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	2.635	0.368	(i)
## 27	ESCS	~	GENDER	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	0.066	0.058	(i)
## 28	ESCS	~	IMMIGR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	1.058	0.177	(i)
## 29	IMMIGR	~	ESCS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.1	47.120	1.000	(nm)

These results suggest there are no more major model misspecifications.

Question 6

The final model chosen based on BIC values is shown below.

