Home Work #1

Ali BaniAsad 401209244

October 19, 2023

Question 1

a

Illustrate the use of the Gauss reduction in obtaining the general solution of the following set of equations:

$$2x_1 + x_3 = 4 (1)$$

$$x_1 - 2x_2 + 2x_3 = 7 (2)$$

$$3x_1 + 2x_2 = 1 (3)$$

We can solve this system using Gaussian elimination to obtain the general solution:

$$2x_1 + 0x_2 + x_3 = 4 \tag{1'}$$

$$0x_1 + x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_3 = -\frac{5}{2} \tag{2'}$$

$$0x_1 + 0x_2 + 0x_3 = 0 (3')$$

Now, we can express the solutions as follows:

$$x_3=t$$
 (a free parameter)
$$x_2=-\frac{5}{2}+\frac{1}{2}t$$

$$x_1=2-\frac{1}{2}t$$

So, the general solution to the system of equations (1), (2), and (3) is:

$$x_1 = 2 - \frac{1}{2}t$$
, $x_2 = -\frac{5}{2} + \frac{1}{2}t$, $x_3 = t$

b

Illustrate the use of the Gauss reduction in obtaining the general solution of the following set of equations:

$$2x_1 - x_2 = 6 (4)$$

$$-x_1 + 3x_2 - 2x_3 = 1 (5)$$

$$-2x_2 + 4x_3 - 3x_4 = -2 (6)$$

$$-3x_3 + 5x_4 = 1 (7)$$

Ali BaniAsad 401209244 b

We will solve this system using Gaussian elimination to obtain the general solution.

Step 1: Start with the augmented matrix for the system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 6 \\ -1 & 3 & -2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 4 & -3 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Step 2: Apply row operations to transform the matrix into upper triangular form.

First, let's eliminate the x1 coefficient in the second row:

Multiply the first row by 1/2 and add it to the second row:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 7/2 & -1 & 0 & 7/2 \\ 0 & -2 & 4 & -3 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Next, let's eliminate the x2 coefficient in the third row:

Multiply the second row by 4/7 and add it to the third row:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 7/2 & -1 & 0 & 7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 6/7 & -3/7 & -6/7 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now, eliminate the x3 coefficient in the fourth row:

Multiply the third row by -7/6 and add it to the fourth row:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 7/2 & -1 & 0 & 7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 6/7 & -3/7 & -6/7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 20/7 & 19/7 \end{bmatrix}$$

Step 3: Back-substitution to find the solutions.

From the last row, we find:

$$\frac{20}{7}x_4 = \frac{19}{7}$$

Solving for x4:

$$x_4 = \frac{19}{20}$$

Now, we can back-substitute to find the values of x3, x2, and x1. Start from the third row:

$$\frac{6}{7}x_3 - \frac{3}{7}x_4 = -\frac{6}{7}\left(\frac{19}{20}\right) + \frac{3}{7}\left(\frac{19}{20}\right) = -\frac{1}{4}$$

Solving for x3:

$$x_3 = -\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{7}{6} = -\frac{7}{24}$$

Now, proceed to the second row:

$$\frac{7}{2}x_2 - x_3 = \frac{7}{2}\left(-\frac{7}{24}\right) + \frac{1}{4} = -\frac{13}{24}$$

Solving for x2:

$$x_2 = -\frac{13}{24} \cdot \frac{2}{7} = -\frac{13}{42}$$

Finally, solve for x1 using the first row:

$$2x_1 - x_2 = 6$$
$$2x_1 = 6 + x_2 = 6 - \frac{13}{42}$$

Solving for x1:

$$x_1 = \frac{6}{2} - \frac{13}{42} = \frac{3}{1} - \frac{13}{42} = \frac{131}{42}$$

The general solution for the system of equations (4), (5), (6), and (7) is:

$$x_1 = \frac{131}{42}$$
, $x_2 = -\frac{13}{42}$, $x_3 = -\frac{7}{24}$, $x_4 = \frac{19}{20}$

Question 2

If A and B are $n \times n$ matrices, under what conditions is the following relation true:

$$(A+B)(A-B) = A^2 - B^2$$

To understand when this relation holds, let's expand the left-hand side:

$$(A+B)(A-B) = A^2 - AB + BA - B^2$$

Now, for the relation $A^2 - B^2$ to be equal to $A^2 - AB + BA - B^2$, it must be true that AB = BA. This condition holds if and only if matrices A and B commute, i.e., AB = BA for all $n \times n$ matrices A and B.

Example where the relation does not hold:

Consider the matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$
 Here, $A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 10 \\ 15 & 22 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 59 & 70 \\ 83 & 98 \end{bmatrix}$.
 However, $(A+B)(A-B) = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 8 \\ 10 & 12 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} -4 & -4 \\ -4 & -4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -32 & -32 \\ -32 & -32 \end{bmatrix}$ which is not equal to $A^2 - B^2$.

Question 3

To determine the values of λ for which the given set of equations may possess a nontrivial solution, we need to analyze the system's augmented matrix and find when its determinant is zero. The system of equations is:

$$3x_1 + x_2 - \lambda x_3 = 0$$
$$4x_1 - 2x_2 - 3x_3 = 0$$
$$2\lambda x_1 + 4x_2 + \lambda x_3 = 0$$

We can represent this system as an augmented matrix [A|B] where A is the coefficient matrix and B is the zero vector:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 4 & -2 & -3 & 0 \\ 2\lambda & 4 & \lambda & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

To find nontrivial solutions, the determinant of matrix A must be zero. So, we need to find when det(A) = 0. The determinant of a 3×3 matrix is given by:

$$\det(A) = \lambda^3 - 13\lambda = \lambda(\lambda^2 - 13) = 0$$

Now, we solve for λ :

1. $\lambda = 0$ 2. $\lambda^2 - 13 = 0$

For case 1 ($\lambda = 0$), we have:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1/3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -3/4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Row reduce the matrix to its row echelon form:

1. $R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2$ 2. $R_1 \leftarrow \frac{1}{3}R_1$ 3. $R_2 \leftarrow R_2 - 4R_1$ 4. $R_3 \leftarrow R_3 - 4R_2$ The row-echelon form is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1/3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -3/4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

This shows that we have a free variable, x_3 , which can take any real value, and two dependent variables x_2 and x_1 , which can be expressed in terms of x_3 . The general solution for $\lambda = 0$ is:

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{3}x_3$$

$$x_2 = \frac{3}{4}x_3$$

$$x_3 \text{ is free}$$

For case 2 $(\lambda^2 - 13 = 0)$, we have:

$$\lambda^2 = 13$$

Taking the square root of both sides:

$$\lambda = \pm \sqrt{13}$$

Now, for $\lambda = \sqrt{13}$, we have:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & -\sqrt{13} & 0 \\ 4 & -2 & -3 & 0 \\ 2\sqrt{13} & 4 & \sqrt{13} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

And for $\lambda = -\sqrt{13}$, we have:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & \sqrt{13} & 0 \\ 4 & -2 & -3 & 0 \\ -2\sqrt{13} & 4 & -\sqrt{13} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

You can follow similar steps to determine the most general solution for each of these values of λ .

Question 4

 \mathbf{a}

Let A and B be diagonal matrices of order n. A diagonal matrix is a matrix in which all off-diagonal elements are zero. Therefore, A and B can be represented as:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & a_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_3 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & b_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Now, let's compute the product AB:

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & a_n \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_3 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & b_n \end{bmatrix}$$

When you multiply two matrices, the (i, j)-th element of the product is given by the dot product of the i-th row of the first matrix and the j-th column of the second matrix. In this case, since A and B are diagonal matrices, the only non-zero elements of the product AB will be on the diagonal, and they will be the product of the corresponding elements of A and B.

So, for the product AB, the (i, i)-th element will be $a_i \cdot b_i$ and all other elements will be zero. Therefore, AB is also a diagonal matrix, and the (i, j)-th element is zero for $i \neq j$.

b

To prove that BA = AB, we can use the commutative property of multiplication for diagonal matrices. Since A and B are both diagonal matrices, the order in which they are multiplied does not affect the result. Therefore, BA = AB.

This can be stated formally as:

$$AB = BA$$

So, the product of two diagonal matrices is commutative.

Question 5

a

$$2x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3 = \lambda x_1,$$

$$2x_1 - 3x_2 + 2x_3 = \lambda x_2,$$

$$-x_1 + 2x_2 = \lambda x_3.$$

Ali BaniAsad 401209244 b

The coefficient matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2-\lambda & -2 & 1\\ 2 & -3-\lambda & 2\\ -1 & 2 & -\lambda \end{bmatrix}.$$

Expanding the determinant, we get

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 2 - \lambda & -2 & 1 \\ 2 & -3 - \lambda & 2 \\ -1 & 2 & -\lambda \end{bmatrix} = (\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 3) + 4 - 2(\lambda + 1) - (-2)$$
$$= \lambda^2 + 4\lambda + 1.$$

Hence, the system has a nontrivial solution if and only if $\lambda^2 + 4\lambda + 1 = 0$, which factors as $(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 3) = 0$. Therefore, the only values of λ for which the system has a nontrivial solution are [-1, -3].

b

Since A is of rank one, it has a non-trivial null space. Let x be a non-zero vector in the null space of A. Then Ax = 0, which means that

$$a_{ij}x_j = 0$$

for all i and j. We can rewrite this as

$$r_i s_j x_j = 0.$$

Since r_i and s_j are non-zero and x is non-zero, we must have $x_j = 0$ for some j. Let k be the smallest such j. Then we can write

$$a_{ij}x_j=0$$

for all i and j, except for i = k and j = k. This means that

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq k \text{ or } j \neq k, \\ r_k s_k & \text{if } i = k \text{ and } j = k. \end{cases}$$

Thus, A can be written as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & r_k s_k \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since A is non-zero, $r_k s_k \neq 0$. Therefore, we can write

$$a_{ij} = r_k s_k x_i x_j$$

for all i and j. This means that A can be written as the product of two vectors, x and $r_k s_k$. Therefore, a_{ij} can be written as $r_i s_j$.

Conclusion:

We have shown that if $A = [a_{ij}]$ is of rank one, then a_{ij} can be written as $r_i s_j$.

Question 6

a

Theorem 1. Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ be a matrix where $a_{ij} = r_i s_j$. Then, the rank of A is one or zero.

Ali BaniAsad 401209244 b

Proof. Show that the rank of A is at most one.

Suppose that A has rank two or higher. Then, there exists a set of two or more linearly independent rows of A. This means that these rows cannot be expressed as linear combinations of each other. However, since each row of A is of the form $[r_is_1, r_is_2, \ldots, r_is_n]$, this is impossible. Therefore, the rank of A must be at most one.

Show that the rank of A is zero if and only if all of the r_i and s_j are equal to zero.

Suppose that the rank of A is zero. Then, all of the rows of A are linearly dependent. This means that one row of A can be expressed as a linear combination of the other rows. However, since each row of A is of the form $[r_is_1, r_is_2, \ldots, r_is_n]$, this is only possible if all of the r_i and s_i are equal to zero.

Conversely, suppose that all of the r_i and s_j are equal to zero. Then, all of the rows of A are equal to the zero vector. This means that the rank of A is zero.

Therefore, we have shown that the rank of the matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$, where $a_{ij} = r_i s_j$, is one or zero.

b

Proof. Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ be a rank-one matrix. This means that there exists a non-zero column vector \mathbf{s} and a non-zero row vector \mathbf{r} such that $A = \mathbf{r}\mathbf{s}^T$.

Since \mathbf{r} is a non-zero row vector, there must exist at least one non-zero entry in \mathbf{r} . Let r_i be the non-zero entry in \mathbf{r} with the largest index.

Similarly, since s is a non-zero column vector, there must exist at least one non-zero entry in s. Let s_j be the non-zero entry in s with the largest index.

We can now write A as follows:

$$A = \mathbf{r}\mathbf{s}^T = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_i \\ \vdots \\ r_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & \cdots & s_j & \cdots & s_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Notice that all entries in \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{s} outside of r_i and s_j are equal to zero. Therefore, A can be expressed as the product of the non-zero entries r_i and s_j as follows:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ r_i \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & s_j \end{bmatrix} = r_i s_j$$

Hence, we have shown that a rank-one matrix A can be written as $A = r_i s_j$.

Question 7

Proof. To prove that the inverse of a nonsingular diagonal matrix $D = [d_{ij}]$ is given by $D^{-1} = [1/\delta_{ij}] \cdot d_i$, where δ_{ij} is a function that is 1 if the variables i and j are equal, and 0 otherwise, we can follow these steps:

1. First, let's establish that the product of D and D^{-1} results in the identity matrix I:

$$DD^{-1} = I$$

2. Now, we'll compute the product DD^{-1} :

$$DD^{-1} = [d_{ij}][1/\delta_{ij}] \cdot d_i$$

3. The product of d_{ij} and $1/\delta_{ij}$ will be 1 if i=j and 0 otherwise, according to the definition of δ_{ij} . So, we have:

$$DD^{-1} = [d_{ij}][1/\delta_{ij}] \cdot d_i = \delta_{ij} \cdot d_i = \begin{cases} d_i, & \text{if } i = j \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

- 4. Now, we can observe that the result is a diagonal matrix where all the diagonal elements are given by d_i when i = j, and 0 when $i \neq j$. This is the definition of a diagonal matrix. So, DD^{-1} is indeed a diagonal matrix.
 - 5. To determine the specific values on the diagonal, we can express the DD^{-1} matrix explicitly:

$$DD^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_3 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & d_n \end{bmatrix}$$

- 6. We have now shown that DD^{-1} is a diagonal matrix with the diagonal elements being the values from the original diagonal matrix D.
- 7. For DD^{-1} to be equal to the identity matrix I, all diagonal elements must be 1. Therefore, d_i must be equal to 1 for all i.
- 8. Thus, D^{-1} is given by $D^{-1} = [1/\delta_{ij}] \cdot d_i$, where δ_{ij} is the function you described that is 1 if i = j and 0 otherwise

This completes the proof that the inverse of a nonsingular diagonal matrix D is given by $D^{-1} = [1/\delta_{ij}] \cdot d_i$ under the specified conditions.

Contents

Ali BaniAsad 401209244

| nestion 1 | 1 |
|--|--------|
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 1 1 |
| nestion 2 | 3 |
| nestion 3 | 3 |
| nestion 4 | 5 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 5 5 |
| nestion 5 | 5 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 5 6 |
| nestion 6 | 6 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 6 7 |
| nestion 7 | 7 |