# Symbolic Melodic Music Similarity

Ali Bektas and Paul Kröger Betruer: Prof. Dr. Ulf Leser Similarity Search WS19-20

Humboldt Universität zu Berlin

**Abstract.** With an increasing need to classify musical data in terms of their content the question of similarity between musical objects becomes a challange. While there is not a single similarity function to answer all different needs, various approaches have been introduced to the field in recent decades. In our paper, we introduce the approaches which mainly focus on symbolic data, that is, a representation of the content based on an alphabet of symbols and syntax.

#### 1 Introduction

Similarity measures lie at the heart of Information Retrieval. This is also for Music Similarity the case. For a subscriber of a music streaming application like Spotify , Last.fm etc. to recommend latest albums or a musicologist to find related documents in a database , similarity measures are crucial. In comparison to its counterpart Audio Music Similarity , Symbolic Music Similarity algorithms use symbols that represent the data , where in Audio Music Similarity one has pitch-time related values. Within Symbolic Music Similarity we find algorithms that deal with harmony and those that deal with melody. What differs harmony from melody is that by harmony we see chords , that is multiple notes played at a discrete time t that together form a unity.

Representation of music dates back to as early as 2000 BC [1]. Representing music over an alphabet consists in describing the pitch and the rhythm. Since we are mainly concerned with Western music , we can restrict ourselves to 12 tones of an octave , other cultures however may use more or less notes. In Middle Eastern countries ,for instance , we see that there are 9 tones between two notes of an whole tone interval.

In further sections we will introduce some algorithms which implement basic text-similarity related operations to conclude similarity between melodies. That being said , we will also introduce algorithms , that treat musical data different than text-similarity algorithms would treat strings. The MelodyShape Algorithm of J. Urbano [2] and its variations give the best results in MIREX's annual competitions ,an EXchange group for Music Information Retrieval , between years 201 Urbano's MelodyShape forms a spline for the query melody and compares it with other splines to determine similarity between pieces. We will

conclude from this, that the field evolved in recent years in such a way that the text-based approaches are less promising for the future of the field.

Other than MelodyShape and its variations we will also present a graph-based approach [4], which incorporates routines to generalize the melody, that heavily depend on Music Theory, a geometrical approach which use polygonal chains that are built by projecting the melody onto a plain of pitch and duration.

In our paper we will follow the classification used by Velardo et al. [3]. According to Velardo et al. a melodic music similarity algorithm belongs to either of the four classes (1) Music Theory, (2) Cognition, (3) Mathematics, (4) Hybrid. Hybrid algorithms are usually formed by taking a linear combination of different similarity measures.

Our main emphases are that the field suffers from the subjectivity of music similarity and that one single algorithms fails to answer all needs , which is especially the case when algorithms are tested only against a narrow range of data. In order to reduce these drawbacks we will show how MIREX , an EXchange group for Music Information Retrieval , took statistical approaches to form a Ground Truth for the data , that were collected from experts' evaluations.

# 2 Algorithms

# 2.1 A Graph Based Approach

Orio et al. [4] introduce in their paper a series of operations to reduce melodies into a single large tree. Melodies are segmentated and then these segments are added into the tree as terminal nodes. The intermediate nodes represent generalization of the segments. In a single step of generalization a segment is transformed into a simpler segment by deleting less important notes in the given segment. Which notes are less important is decided by three coefficients: (1) its underlying harmonic function, (2) its metric position and (3) the interval between the tone and the root of the underlying chord. In order to determine these coefficients, harmonic analysis must be applied. Harmonic analysis is the process of making statements about in which way notes of a sequence are related to each other. These three coefficients must then be determined in such a way that it reflects the priorities of the human when considering two songs to be similar. In their paper Orio et al. state that they chose to manually annotate the functions of notes in order to prevent any problems that could have otherwise arised during automated annotation, which could cause wrong simplification steps. We see this as a serious challange for the data, that only contain melody in comparison to data with harmonic elements, which could give better results of the underlying harmony.

The distance between two documents is expressed as the median of shortest distance between all segments. The similarity function  $s(c_i, q)$  between a document  $c_i$  from a collection of N documents and a query document q is calculated as:

$$s(c_i, q) = \left(1 + \frac{d(c_i, q)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{d(c_i, c_j)}{N - 1}}\right)$$
(1)

In order to apply simplification proces

, on which the similarity of the melodies can be described as the shortest path between the two terminal nodes.

## 3 MIREX

MIREX is a platform for enthusiasts of this field to exchange ideas. It arranges annual competition where researchers present their algorithms. The subbranch "Symbolic Melodic Music Similarity" doesn't take place since 2016.

As we have mentioned in Introduction , one of the main problems of Symbolic Melodic Music Similarity is that there is no consensus over a universal measure of similarity. To circumvent this problem MIREX consults human listeners' ratings on relevance of songs in a database to a given query. The results are then grouped into smaller units.

Taipke et al.

#### 4 Evaluation

Sth Not so boring tho.

#### 5 Conclusion

### References

- A. D. Kilmer and M. Civil, "Old Babylonian Musical Instructions Relating to Hymnody" Journal of Cuneiform Studies 38, no. 1 (Spring 1986): 94-98.
- J. Urbano. MelodyShape at MIREX 2014 Symbolic Melodic Similarity. Technical report, Music Information Retrieval Evaluation eXchange, 2014.
- 3. Symbolic Melodic Similarity: State of the Art and Future Challenges Valerio Velardo, Mauro Vallati and Steven Jan Computer Music Journal, 40:2, pp. 70–83, Summer 2016 doi:10.1162/COMJ a 00359 2016, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.v
- 4. Orio, N., and A. Rodá. 2009. "A Measure of Melodic Similarity Based on a Graph Representation of the Music Structure." In Proceedings of the International Conference for Music Information Retrieval, pp. 543–548.
- Greg Aloupis, Thomas Fevens, Stefan Langerman, Tomomi Matsui, Antonio Mesa, Yurai Nunez, David Rappaport, and Godfried Toussaint, "Algorithms for Computing Geometric Measures of Melodic Similarity" Computer Music Journal, Vol.30, No. 3 (Autumn, 2006), pp. 67-76