Grades for Simon Katampoi

Arrange by



NAME			DUE	STATUS SCOR	E OUT OF	
Week 1 Independe Landing Page Imported Assignme		ga School	18 May by 14:00	(LATE) 8	12	时间
SCORE DETAILS						CLOSE
Mean: 7.58	High: 12	Low: 0				
COMMENTS						CLOSE

Reference the README template posted on slack or refer to https://www.makeareadme.com/#template-1, And Deploy your work

samuel.maingi@moringaschool.com, 4 May at 14:53

Assessment by rose.oketch@moringaschool.com

Close Rubric

CRITERIA	RATINGS			POINTS
Project inlcudes a well documented README markdown file. view longer description	3 pts A well documented Readme file on Github A project README that includes: - project or program name - author name - description of project - project setup instructions - link to live site on GitHub Pages - copyright and license information	1 pts Default ReadMe This is the default readme that is generated by Github on new projects. Usually contains the name of the project and a description if one is provided	0 pts No Readme file on Github	1/3 pt
Project is in a polished, portfolioquality state.	2 pts Went above and beyond in the visual aspect Suggestions for what this can mean: Intuitive, easy to follow layout. Simple yet polished styling. Form field labels where appropriate. Form fields that are validated correctly, and get cleared after submitting. Detailed, well put together readme. No typos. And much more.	1 pts Average polished project The project is styled averagely, has an average visual appeal, and one can work with the website without much of a strain.	O pts Project NOT polished at all The project shows NO effort of styling to make it visually appealing.	1 / 2 pt

CRITERIA	RATINGS				POINTS
Commits are made regularly with clear messages associated with them view longer description	3 pts Full Marks 20 + commits in the project with well detailed commit messages	2 pts Half Mar Between 20 Githuk commits detailed of message	Github commits present in the project commit		3 / 3 pi
Page incorporates a custom-made stylesheet view longer description	1 pts Used custom CSS stylesheet The project uses CS extentsively to style components in the w		styleshe The projection use of a continuous	ect does not make custom CSS et to style the actual	1 / 1 pts
Project objectives are met. view longer description		Objective is met The student has made the correct use of HTML		s has incorrect use of ement and tags	1 / 1 pts
Project objectives are met. view longer description	2 pts Objectives is met Student has correctly least 4 styling rules	y used at		s has not used at yling rules	1 / 2 pts
					Total points: 8

Week 2 Independent Project | Quick Movers Website 18 May by

Imported Assignments

18 May by 18:59

8.3

16



NAME

DUE

STATUS SCORE

OUT OF

CLOSE

Mean: 9.75

High: 15

Low: 0

CLOSE

CHeck out my comments and implement the changes

STATUS SCORE

OUT OF

CLOSE

STATUS SCORE

OUT OF

CLOSE

Assessment by samuel.maingi@moringaschool.com

Close Rubric

QUICK MOVERS				
CRITERIA	RATINGS	POINTS		
	3 pts Full marks	1.5 pts Half Marks	0 pts No marks	
Project meets the required Objectives	The project layout and UI components are Designed similarly to the reference provided in the resources	The UI differs from the reference image provided but all the assets have been utilised and located in their right places with the image sizes retaining their respective sizes	Missing Assets and a mismatched flow in the positioning of the UI components and lacking inflow as well as resized image sizes.	1.5 / 3 pts
Color Implementation view longer description	2 pts Full marks The text colors and the full screen menus were strictly adhered to	1 pts Half Marks Either the text colors and the screen menus differ from the given instructions.	0 pts No marks No color requirements have been implemented in the UI	1 / 2 pts

CRITERIA	RATINGS				POINTS
The project contains a properly documented README markdown file.	project name, project description, author, technologies used, dependencies, setup & installation instructions, contact & license information. Comments Make sure you include setup & install how to access the website and the re Do Refer to the pinned README on s https://www.makeareadme.com/#te		slack or check emplate-1		0 / 1 pts
Use of Version Control.	https://www.makeareadme.com/#template/ 3 pts 20+ commits The project has more than 20 commits. Commits are feature-based and with clear commit messages associated with them. For example, a commit message should read "navigation section complete, links clickable and redirecting to right pages".		th clear	O pts Less than 10 commits The project contains less than 10 commits with clear commit messages associated with them.	2 / 3 pts

QUICK MOVERS					
CRITERIA	RATINGS				POINTS
Deployment	1 pts Full marks Project is deployed to Github Pages & project description and live link are provided	0.5 pts Half Marl The prese either a w link witho project de constitute mark	ence of orking ut the escription	O pts No marks Project is not deployed to Github Pages. The project is NOT deployed to github pages and neither the project description nor URL to live site are provided on the Github repository.	1 / 1 pts
Project Structure view longer description	Full marks The project uses CSS		0 pts No marks Project has no custom CSS stylesheet.		0.8 / 1 pts
Syntax & Indenation is used in the Project.	2 pts Consistent spacing and Indentation. The project code has consistent indentation and spacing which makes the code easily readable. Comments use a vsode extension called prettier of the code in the code is a spacing which makes the code easily readable.		O pts No proper indentation and syntax. The project's code has no fluent indentation. This means the code is scattered and is not easy to follow up or read.		1 / 2 pts

QUICK MOVERS			
CRITERIA	RATINGS	POINTS	
Project meets the required Objectives	2 pts The project is responsive The website can be rendered in an efficient, optimized, and easy-to-read format across a variety of devices and web browsers on different platforms.	0 pts No marks The website is not responsive	0 / 2 pts
This criterion is linked to a learning outcome	1 pts Page Linkage The pages are correctly linked to the navigation tabs	0 pts No marks Not fully linked to nav tabs	1 / 1 pts
			Total points: 8.3

Friday: Independent Project - Quiz Board

Imported Assignments

14 24




SCORE DETAILS CLOSE

Mean: 16.71

High: 24

Low: 0



COMMENTS CLOSE

Avoid openning multiplepages for the JS will not work currently the score is 0 fo the the right answers havent being computed by JS

samuel.maingi@moringaschool.com, 19 May at 5:45

Anonymous User

Close Rubric

QUIZ BOARD (1)						
CRITERIA	RATINGS					POINTS
The Project achieves the required objectives. view longer description	4 pts The project achieves all the objectives given.	3 pts The project achieves more than half of the objectives given.	2 pts The project achieves half of the objectives given.	1 pts The project achieves less than half of the objectives given.	0 pts The project does NOT achieve ANY of the objectives given.	2 / 4 pts
	Comments the total score is	s not showing				-
User stories are met. view longer description	4 pts The project functional ly achieves all the user stories given.	3 pts The project functional ly achieves more than half of the user stories given.	2 pts The project achieves half of the user stories given.	1 pts The project achieves less than half of the user stories given.	0 pts The project does NOT achieve ANY of the user stories given.	2 / 4 pts

CRITERIA	RATINGS			POINTS
Code indentation and syntax view longer description	3 pts The project uses proper indentation & syntax. The project code is consistently indented & the javascript code uses camelcase naming of variables. The variable names used also make sense.	2 pts The project implements fair code indentation & syntax. The project code is fairly indented which means it not constantly indented all-through but looks fairly neat and is fairly easy to read. The project JS code also uses camelcase & proper variable naming.	1 pts Improper indentation of code The project code lacks consist indentation & does not implement camelcase and appropriate naming of variables.	3 / 3 pts

CRITERIA	RATINGS				POINTS
The project contains a properly documented README markdown file. view longer description	3 pts The project contains a properly documented README file. The project contains a README markdown file in the Github repository that is well structured and detailed. The readme file contains the project name, project description, author, technologies used, dependencies , setup & installation instructions, contact & license information.	2 pts The project contains an averagely detailed README file The project has a README file in the Github repository that is fairly detailed and contains most but not all features of a README file & the project.	1 pts The project contains a default README file The project contains the default README file that is generated by Github and has minimal details about the project.	O pts The project does NOT contain a README file. The Github repository does NOT contain any README markdown file.	1/3 pt:

CRITERIA	RATINGS			POINTS
The project is polished in a Portfolio quality state. view longer description	2 pts The project is very well polished in a portfolio quality state. The project is well designed, very visually appealing, easy to work through the website, shows a touch of creativity. The project uses Bootstrap classes and custom CSS to style elements. This also means it goes above the visual aspect with features like clearing form fields after an event and so much more.	1 pts The project is averagely polished. The project is styled averagely, has an average visual appeal, and one can work with the website without much of a strain.	O pts The project is NOT polished. The project looks clumsy & shows NO effort of styling to make it visually appealing.	1/2p

CRITERIA	RATINGS				POINTS
Use of Version Control. view longer description	3 pts 20+ commits Project has more than 20 commits Commits are feat based and with clear commit messages associated with them. For example a commit messages should read "navigation section complete, links clickable and redirecting to rig pages". Comments write dessscriptive in	s. 10 to 20 continue with clear messages associate them pole, age	ct contains commits commits commits commit le s d with	pts ess than 10 ommits he project contains ess than 10 ommits with clear ommit messages essociated with eem.	2 / 3 pts
Deployment view longer description	3 pts Project is deployed to Github Pages & project description and live link are provided. The project description and the link to the deployed site are present in the Github repository with the live link displaying the actual project.	2 pts Project description and link provided. The project description and live link are provided on the Github repository but the live link does not display the actual project.	1 pts Project description or URL provided The Github repository has only one of the elements, either the project description or the URL to the deployed site.	to github pages and neither the project description e nor URL to	3 / 3 pts

STATUS SCORE

OUT OF

DUE

NAME

QUIZ BOARD (1) CRITERIA **RATINGS** POINTS Seperation of 0 pts 2 pts business Logic & The projects business logic The project business logic & UI logic code is seperated from the UI **UI logic code is NOT** 0 / 2 pts view longer logic code. seperated. description Total points: 14 **Lesson 1 Quiz** 1公 5 5 Assignments **SCORE DETAILS CLOSE** ¢ Mean: 4.08 Low: 0 High: 5 **Lesson 2 Quiz** 峾 2 4 Assignments **SCORE DETAILS** CLOSE Mean: 3.81 High: 4 Low: 1 **Lesson 3 Quiz** 玆 3 6 Assignments **SCORE DETAILS CLOSE** Mean: 4.42 High: 6 Low: 1 **Lesson 4 Quiz** 6 Assignments

NAME	DUE	STATUS SCORE	OUT OF
Lesson 5 Quiz Assignments		-	7
Lesson 6 Quiz Assignments		-	6
Lesson 7 Quiz Assignments		-	3
Lesson 9 Quiz Assignments		-	7
Lesson 11 Quiz Assignments		-	3
Lesson 12 Quiz Assignments		-	10
Lesson 13 Quiz Assignments		-	7
Lesson 14 Quiz Assignments		-	3
Lesson 15 Quiz Assignments		-	4
Roll Call Attendance Assignments		100%	100
SCORE DETAILS			CLOSE
Mean: 96.15 High: 100	Low: 33	<u> </u>	
ASSIGNMENTS		95.65%	110.00 / 115.00
IMPORTED ASSIGNMENTS		58.27%	30.30 / 52.00

NAME	DUE	STATUS SCORE	OUT OF
TOTAL		84.01%	140.30 / 167.00