

Intensity of infection with intracellular *Eimeria* spp. and pinworms is reduced in hybrid mice compared to parental subspecies

Abstract

Animal systems offering a range of hybrid genotypes between two parental species provide the opportunity to study the control of parasite burden by their host (resistance). In the European house mouse hybrid zone, the longstanding impression that hybrid mice are more highly parasitized and less fit than parentals persists despite the findings of recent studies. Working across a novel transect we assessed infections by intracellular protozoans, *Eimeria* spp., and infections by extracellular macroparasites, pinworms. For *Eimeria* we found lower intensities in hybrid hosts than in parental mice but no evidence of lowered probability of infection or increased mortality in the centre of the hybrid zone. This means ecological factors are very unlikely to be responsible for the reduced load of infected hybrids. Focusing on parasite intensity (load in infected hosts) we also corroborated reduced pinworm loads reported for hybrid mice in previous studies. We conclude that intensity of diverse parasites, including the previously unstudied *Eimeria*, is reduced in hybrid mice compared to parental subspecies. We suggest caution in extrapolating this to differences in hybrid host fitness in the absence of, for example, evidence for a link between parasitemia and health.

Keywords: parasites, hybridization, resistance, eimeria

19 Introduction

20 The relevance of hybridization, producing individuals admixed between genetically distinct
21 populations, is increasingly recognized by biologists. Mallet (2005) suggested that hybridization
22 occurs in more than 10% of animal species and 25% of vascular plant species. Recently, the
23 realization that humans are also a product of hybridization has raised interest further (Green et
24 al., 2010). In a conservation context hybridization with introduced species can threaten
25 autochthonous endangered animals (Simberloff, 1996). Parasites are omnipresent in natural
26 systems and impact human and animal health (Schurer, Mosites, Li, Meschke, & Rabinowitz,
27 2016). It is therefore important for biologists to comprehend the interplay between parasites and
28 hosts under hybridization.

29 The European house mouse hybrid zone (HMHZ), one of the first animal hybrid zones studied
30 for differences in parasite loads (Sage, Heyneman, Lim, & Wilson, 1986), is a tension zone
31 characterized by selection against hybrids replaced by immigrating less admixed mice (Barton &
32 Hewitt, 1985). After ~500 000 years of (mostly) allopatric divergence two house mouse
33 subspecies, *Mus musculus domesticus* and *Mus musculus musculus* (hereafter Mmd and Mmm),
34 have come into secondary contact in Europe as a result of different colonization routes south and
35 north of the Black Sea, respectively (Boursot, Auffray, Britton-Davidian, & Bonhomme, 1993;
36 Duvaux, Belkhir, Boulesteix, & Boursot, 2011). The HMHZ is about 20 km wide and more than
37 2500 km long, running from Scandinavia to the coast of the Black Sea (Baird & Macholán, 2012;
38 Boursot, Auffray, Britton-Davidian, & Bonhomme, 1993; Jones, Kooij, Solheim, & Searle,
39 2010; Macholán, Kryštufek, & Vohralík, 2003). This zone represents a semi-permeable barrier to

40 gene flow between the two taxa (Macholán et al., 2007; Macholán et al., 2011). The main
41 selective forces acting against hybrids are thought to be endogenous rather than ecological (Baird
42 & Macholán, 2012; Boursot, Auffray, Britton-Davidian, & Bonhomme, 1993), for example
43 disruption of spermatogenesis in hybrids (Albrechtová et al., 2012; Turner, Schwahn, & Harr,
44 2012).

45 Parasites are traditionally seen as decreasing their hosts' fitness, and differences in resistance to
46 parasites between hybrid and pure hosts were suggested to affect the dynamics of hybrid zones
47 (Fritz, Moulia, & Newcombe, 1999). This traditional framework postulates differences in
48 parasite loads in hybrids vs. parental hosts to result in effects on the strength of host species
49 barriers. However, recent work in controlled conditions has shown that resistance (host capacity
50 to reduce parasite burden) and tolerance (reduced impact of a given parasite burden on host
51 health) are not necessarily correlated (Råberg, Sim, & Read, 2007).

52 Initial results on parasites obtained in the HMMZ and experimental studies seemed to indicate
53 elevated parasite loads in hybrids. This has been interpreted as potentially leading to fitness
54 reductions in hybrids, hampering hybridization and thus reinforcing species barriers (Moulia et
55 al., 1991; Moulia, Le Brun, Dallas, Orth, & Renaud, 1993; Sage et al., 1986). Infection
56 experiments using the protozoan *Sarcocystis muris* led to a similar conclusion (Derothe, Le
57 Brun, Loubes, Perriat-Sanguinet, & Moulia, 2001). Other laboratory experiments, however,
58 showed either no effect in inter-subspecies F1s on helminth load or even reduced load in inter-
59 subspecies F1s compared to pure mouse strains (Derothe, Porcherie, Perriat-Sanguinet, Loubès,
60 & Moulia, 2004; Moulia, Le Brun, Loubes, Marin, & Renaud, 1995). A more recent field study,

61 with high statistical power (more mice sampled and more suitable statistical approach) and
62 improved sampling design found reduced helminth loads (especially the pinworms *Aspiculuris*
63 *tetraptera* and *Syphacia obvelata* and the whipworm *Trichuris muris*) in hybrid mice (Baird et
64 al., 2012). It should also be noted that the design of the field studies preceding the Baird et al.
65 (2012) reappraisal usually suffered from low sample sizes and/or maintenance of mice under
66 laboratory conditions before assessment of parasite burden, which may have allowed spurious
67 signal to dominate the results. Nevertheless, even the basic direction of parasite load differences
68 in hybrid mice compared to parental genotypes seems still controversial to some researchers.

69 We now see that, despite working within the framework of the same hybrid zone, two different
70 interpretations of parasite loads in hybrid mice have arisen. It should be noted that all the
71 previous studies chose to focus on either helminth or protozoan parasite models. In vertebrates,
72 the immune mechanisms of parasite control differs greatly between these two groups.
73 Extracellular macroparasites like helminths trigger a T helper type 2 (Th2) -dominated response,
74 and intracellular microparasites like protozoa trigger a T helper type 1 (Th1) -mediated response
75 (Sher & Coffman, 1992). One way forward in such circumstances is to test hypotheses over
76 replicates and “along different axes” of parasitism, and to consider simultaneously helminths and
77 protozoans to address the generality of hybrid response. To distinguish between interpretations
78 of parasite load we here asked if (1) parasite loads are higher or lower in hybrids compared to
79 parentals, and (2) if these loads are consistent, or differ, between prevalent representative
80 helminths and protozoa. We did so in a geographically new transect replicate of the HMMZ.

81 Pinworms (oxyurids) have been detected in mice in numerous field studies (see for example
82 Behnke, 1975; Behnke, 1976; Kriska, 1993; Ressouche et al., 1998). They have been shown to
83 be the most prevalent helminths infecting house mice in the HMMZ (Gouïy de Bellocq, Ribas, &
84 Baird, 2012). They are often considered to provoke mild symptoms on their hosts, even if in rare
85 conditions (e.g. particularly high burden) they have been shown to affect the health of laboratory
86 mice (Taffs, 1976). *Eimeria* spp. are often considered host-specific, with several thousand
87 species parasitizing different vertebrates (Chapman et al., 2013; Haberkorn, 1970). These
88 parasites infect the intestinal epithelial cells of vertebrates and induce symptoms such as weight
89 loss and diarrhoea. For example, infecting the NMRI mouse laboratory strain with *Eimeria*
90 oocysts isolated from mice captured in the HMMZ resulted in a weight loss up to 20% compared
91 to control (Al-khlifeh et al., 2019). In the European HMMZ, three *Eimeria* species have been
92 identified: *E. ferrisi*, *E. falciformis*, and *E. vermiformis* with prevalences of 16.1%, 4.2% and
93 1.1%, respectively (Jarquín-Díaz et al., 2019).

94 We assessed *Eimeria* infection in a novel transect of the HMMZ in Brandenburg, northeastern
95 Germany, in which the hypothesis of hybrid resistance/susceptibility to parasite had never before
96 been tested. We assessed the impact of host hybridization on intensity of this parasite. By
97 focusing on parasite intensity (extent of parasite infection in only infected animals; Bush et al.
98 1997), we arguably exclude ecological factors for differences in load. We show that (1) parasite
99 loads are consistently lower in hybrids compared to parental genotypes in the HMMZ and (2) that
100 this pattern is similar for our intracellular and extracellular parasite models.

101 **Material & Methods**

102 **Sampling**

103 Our sampled individuals consist of 660 house mice trapped using live traps placed in farms or
104 houses between 2014 and 2017. The study area ranges from 51.68 to 53.29 degrees of latitude
105 (200 km) and from 12.52 to 14.32 degrees of longitude (140 km). Each year mice were trapped
106 in September when it is possible to capture a high number of mice in this region. In addition,
107 sampling at the same season every year reduces potential seasonal variation (Abu-Madi, Behnke,
108 Lewis, & Gilbert, 2000; Haukisalmi, Henttonen, & Tenora, 1988). The locations for trapping
109 were selected across a geographical range allowing both parental and hybrid/recombinant
110 individuals to be captured. Mice were individually isolated in cages and then euthanized by
111 isoflurane inhalation followed by cervical dislocation and dissection within 24 hours after
112 capture (animal experiment permit No. 2347/35/2014). Tissue samples (muscle and spleen) were
113 transported in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C for subsequent host genotyping. Digestive
114 tracts were dissected and inspected for helminth parasites (see below). Ileum, caecum and colon
115 tissues were frozen in liquid nitrogen and then stored separately at -80°C. Individual mice were
116 measured (body length from nose to anus) and weighted.

117 **Host genotyping**

118 The admixture of mouse genomes across the HMMZ was estimated for each mouse as a value of
119 the hybrid index (HI) calculated as a proportion of Mmm alleles in a set of 4-14 diagnostic
120 markers (at least 10 loci in 92% of the mice). This set consists of one mitochondrial marker
121 (*Bam*HI, a restriction site in the *Nd1* gene; Božíková et al., 2005; Munclinger, Božíková,

122 Šugerková, Piálek, & Macholán, 2002), one Y-linked marker (presence/absence of a short
123 insertion in the *Zfy2* gene; Boissinot & Boursot, 1997; Nagamine et al., 1992), six X-linked
124 markers (three B1 and B2 short interspersed nuclear elements in *Btk*, *Tsx* (Munclinger, Boursot,
125 & Dod, 2003), and *Syap1* (Macholán et al., 2007), *X332*, *X347* and *X65* (Dufková, Macholán, &
126 Piálek, 2011; Ďureje, Macholán, Baird, & Piálek, 2012)), and six autosomal markers (*Es1*, *H6pd*,
127 *Idh1*, *Mpi*, *Np*, *Sod1*; Macholán et al., 2007). HIs ranged from 0 to 1, HI of 0 indicating a pure
128 Mmd and HI of 1 a pure Mmm (Baird et al., 2012; Macholán et al., 2007). Histograms for the
129 number of genotyped markers, as well as their distribution across the hybrid index indicate no
130 bias in genotyping (Supplementary Figure S1).

131 The expected centre of the HMMZ across the study area was estimated using the program
132 Geneland v4.0.8 (with graphical resolution increased over defaults, the modified code is
133 available at <https://github.com/alicebalard/Geneland> as a complete R-package), based on a subset
134 of the six autosomal markers that were genotyped in all individuals with 6 diploid markers
135 (N=598 mice). Geneland uses a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) approach to combine both
136 geographical and genetic information (Guillot, Mortier, & Estoup, 2005). The number of clusters
137 was set to 2, 10^6 MCMC iterations were performed and saved every 100th iterations (10^4
138 iterations saved). The first 200 iterations were discarded as burn-in and the resolution of the map
139 was set to 2000 pixels for the x axis and 1400 for the y axes corresponding roughly to 1 pixel for
140 100m (Macholán et al., 2011).

Parasite load estimation

Mouse digestive tracts were dissected and inspected for helminth presence with a binocular microscope. Helminths were counted and stored in 70% ethanol for later identification by molecular analysis and, when more than one worm per host was present, in 3.5% formalin for later morphological comparison with species descriptions. As in this study we required high statistical power to test our hypotheses, we considered only the most prevalent helminths, the oxyurids *Syphacia obvelata* and *Aspicularis tetraptera*. Histograms presenting the distribution of counts for other helminths can be found in **Supplementary Figure S2** and data is available in **Supplementary Table S3**.

DNA was extracted from ileum and caecum tissues and quantitative PCR (qPCR) was used for estimation of *Eimeria* spp. load. DNA extraction was performed using the innuPREP DNA Mini Kit (Analytik Jena AG, Jena, Germany) following the instructions of the manufacturer with additional mechanical tissue disruption with liquid nitrogen in a mortar. Both quality and quantity of isolated DNA were measured by spectrophotometry in a NanoDrop 2000c (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, USA). The presence of *Eimeria* spp. was tested using qPCR to detect intracellular stages of the parasite as well as a house mouse house-keeping gene as internal reference. Primers used for *Eimeria* spp. detection targeted a short mitochondrial *COI* region (Eim_COI_qX-F: TGTCTATTCACTTGGGCTATTGT; Eim_COI_qX-R: GGATCACCGTTAAATGAGGCA), while *Mus musculus* primers targeted the *CDC42* nuclear gene (Ms_gDNA_CDC42_F: CTCTCCTCCCCTCTGTCTTG; Ms_gDNA_CDC42_R: TCCTTTTGGGTTGAGTTTCC; Al-khlifeh et al., 2019; Jarquín-Díaz et al., 2019). These

qPCRs have been independently confirmed with respect to detection of experimental infection (Al-khlifeh et al., 2019) and with genotyping PCRs using different primers and markers (Jarquín-Díaz et al., 2019). Reactions were performed using 1X iTaq™ Universal SYBR® Green Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories GmbH, München, Germany), 400 nM of each primer and 50 ng of DNA template in 20 µL final volume. Cycling amplification was carried out in a Mastercycler® RealPlex 2 thermocycler (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) with the following amplification program: 95°C initial denaturation (2 min) followed by 40 cycles of 95°C denaturation (15 s), 55°C annealing (15 s) and 68°C extension (20 s). Melting curve analyses were performed in order to detect primer dimer formation and unspecific amplification. ΔC_t was calculated as difference of the threshold cycle (C_t) between mouse and *Eimeria* spp. values (corresponding to a log2 ratio between parasite and mouse DNA). This method was validated in an infection experiment of NMRI mice (Al-khlifeh et al., 2019). We considered $\Delta C_t = -5$ our limit of detection as at this limit it was possible to obtain genotyping data for all samples using independent PCR reactions (Ahmed et al., 2019; Jarquín-Díaz et al., 2019). Samples with a ΔC_t lower than -5 were considered negative (unspecific signal due to amplification of non-target DNA). Samples with a ΔC_t higher than -5 for at least one of the two intestinal tissues were considered positive, and in the case of detection in both tissues, the higher value was taken as a proxy of individual parasite load. This parasite load of the intestinal tissue stage is denoted as “ $\Delta C_{t_{\text{Mouse}-Eimeria}}$ ” throughout the following. *Eimeria* identification at the species level was performed by means of two PCR markers (18S and COI) followed by a confirmation of morphology and tissue preference as described in Jarquín-Díaz et al., 2019 (column “eimeriaSpecies” of Supplementary Table S3).

184 **General parasite assessment**

185 As the distributions of parasite loads are expected to be highly skewed (Bliss & Fisher, 1953),
186 the median (as an estimator for the mode) is more informative than the mean (Rózsa, Reiczigel,
187 & Majoros, 2000). We therefore **report** the median of parasite load across all hosts (median
188 abundance) and of parasite load of infected host (median intensity) for pinworms, and only
189 median intensity for *Eimeria* spp. **For qPCR some uninfected samples present technical noise**
190 **due to unspecific amplification of non-target DNA. We therefore used a qPCR threshold**
191 **validated by independent genotyping PCRs (see “Fig. 4” of Jarquín-Díaz et al., 2019) to establish**
192 **the infection status of each sample (and we do not report abundance for *Eimeria*, see Jarquín-**
193 **Díaz et al., 2019 for details).** Prevalence (relative frequency of infected individuals amongst all
194 tested individuals) confidence intervals were obtained with Sterne’s exact method (Reiczigel,
195 Földi, & Ozsvári, 2010; Sterne, 1954). Calculations were performed using the epiR package
196 (Nunes et al., 2018) running within the R statistical computing environment (R Development
197 Core Team, 2008).

198 **Statistical design: testing hybrid resistance/susceptibility in a natural system**

199 **According to the SIR model of epidemiology, individuals can be divided into susceptible (S),**
200 **infected (I), and removed (R, dead or recovered). Animals captured in the field can show (1)**
201 **absence, or (2) presence of a given parasite. Absence of a parasite in a given host can result from**
202 **absence of exposure to the parasite, complete host resistance, recovery, or death (Krämer,**
203 **Kretzschmar, & Krickeberg, 2010). On the other hand, quantitative parasite load depends on**
204 **intrinsic host or parasite components or their interactions. We argue that when testing the**

hypotheses of hybrid resistance or susceptibility in a natural system, a focus on the latter is beneficial. Therefore, we test a potential increase or decrease of parasite load in infected animals (intensity) towards the centre of the zone compared to its sides. We performed this analysis for our parasites of interest, but first verified that we could exclude differences in prevalence (probability of infection) across the hybrid index for each parasite. This leaves mortality as the only epidemiological factor (in the SIR model) to potentially influence both prevalence and intensity, we therefore additionally tested increased mortality by analyzing differences in (infected/uninfected) age categories across the hybrid index (see below: Statistical test for different mortality of hybrids).

The hybridization level on each individual was modelled as the degree to which new gene combinations are brought together compared to the pure subspecies. This was estimated from the hybrid index using the function for expected heterozygosity (Baird et al., 2012):

$$He = 2 \cdot HI \cdot (1 - HI) , \quad (Eq. 1)$$

Statistical prediction of probability of infection by parasites along the hybrid zone

We considered the predicted probability of infection across the HI as equivalent to the prevalence and modelled a dichotomous response variable (uninfected = 0; infected = 1) by logistic regression. We performed two analyses, one testing for prevalence differences on both halves of the hybrid index separately and a second one with a unified “genetic distance to zone centre” (for individuals with HI between 0 and 0.5 the proxy is HI, for individuals with HI between 0.5 and 1 the proxy is $1 - HI$). This means we do not blindly assume equality of prevalence at both ends of the hybrid index, but also maximize power to reject the null

hypothesis (esp. in case of a negative result in the separate analysis). Analyses were done in R with the function `glm` from the stats package (R Development Core Team, 2008) including host sex and interaction terms with the variable representing hybrid genetics.

Statistical test for different mortality of hybrids

Secondly, morbidity or mortality caused by hyperparasitism could impact both prevalence and intensity measures of parasite loads, as only the surviving, less parasitized mice could be captured. This, however, would also lead to differences in age distribution along the hybrid index. We used an age estimation based on weight (as in Behnke, 1976) as a proxy to test if hybrid mice were younger or older than that expected for intermediate between pure hybridizing taxa (“additivity”). Values of body weight are well described by the Normal distribution, parameterized by its standard deviation (allowed to vary freely during maximum likelihood searches) and its mean defined as:

$$ExpectedBodyWeight = (BW1 + (BW2 - BW1) \cdot HI) \cdot (1 - \alpha \cdot He), \quad (Eq. 2)$$

where BW1 is the expected body weight of pure Mmd, BW2 the expected body weight of pure Mmm. Alpha represent the hybridization effect, or deviation from additivity between the two parental genomes. We allowed difference between sex and taxons, fit the models using maximum likelihood (using the R package `mle2`; Bolker, 2017), either including or excluding the hybridization effect parameter (by setting $HI = 0$ in *ExpectedBodyWeight*), and we compared these two models using the G-test.

Statistical test of the host hybridization effect on parasite intensity

246 It has been shown that macroparasites tend to aggregate within their hosts, the majority of host
 247 carrying no or a low burden, and a minority a high one (Shaw & Dobson, 1995). We modelled
 248 this distribution of parasite burden in infected hosts as negative binomial. Following the
 249 approach of Baird et al. (2012), we tested if hybrid mice had higher or lower parasite burdens
 250 than that expected in case of additivity (if the relationship between host parasite load and hybrid
 251 index was linear).

252 The parasite load for a given HI was then estimated as follows:

$$253 \quad \text{ExpectedLoad} = (L1 + (L2 - L1) \cdot HI) \cdot (1 - \alpha \cdot He), \quad (\text{Eq. 3})$$

254 where L1 is the parasite load of pure Mmd, L2 the parasite load of pure Mmm, and alpha the
 255 hybridization effect (deviation of parasite estimated load from the additive model). We
 256 considered four nested hypotheses increasing in complexity, and compared them with the G-test
 257 (likelihood ratio test) to consider a more complex hypothesis only when justified by a significant
 258 increase in likelihood. Expected parasite load is fixed to be identical for both subspecies and both
 259 host sexes in hypothesis H0. The more complex H1 allows load differences for the host sexes,
 260 H2 allows different loads between the subspecies at the extremes of the hybrid index, and H3
 261 allows differences both between the subspecies and sexes.

262 Adequate distributions of values for each parasite and detection method considered were selected
 263 using log likelihood and AIC criteria and by comparing goodness-of-fits plots (density, CDF, Q-
 264 Q, P-P) (R packages MASS (Venables & Ripley, 2002) and fitdistrplus (Delignette-Muller &
 265 Dutang, 2015) (see **Supplementary Figure S4**). The negative binomial distribution should
 266 perform well for macroparasite counts (Crofton, 1971; Shaw & Dobson, 1995), which was

confirmed for helminths in a previous transect (Baird et al., 2012). Values of ($\Delta Ct_{\text{Mouse-Eimeria}}$) were found to be well described by the Weibull distribution after being positively shifted.

The Negative Binomial distribution is parameterized by two arguments: its expectation (Expected Load, Eq. 3), and the inverse of its aggregation, which is allowed to vary across HI as:

$$Aggregation = (A1 + (A2 - A1) \cdot HI) + Z \cdot He, \quad (Eq. 4)$$

Z being the deviation from the additive model, in proportion to He , which is maximal in the zone centre (Baird et al., 2012). The Weibull distribution is parametrized by its shape and scale parameters (allowed to vary freely during maximum likelihood search) linked by the formula:

$$Scale = ExpectedLoad / \Gamma(1 + 1/shape), \quad (Eq. 5)$$

Γ being the gamma function.

We fit the models using likelihood maximisation (using the R package mle2; Bolker, 2017). Parasite load was estimated either including or excluding the hybridization effect parameter (by setting $HI = 0$ in *ExpectedLoad*), and we compared these two models using the G-test. In the case of $\Delta Ct_{\text{Mouse-Eimeria}}$, the Weibull distribution requires positive values as input. Therefore, we estimated an extra “shift parameter” which was optimized by maximum likelihood.

Test of body condition differences between infected and non-infected mice across the hybrid zone

After the previous tests on hybrid resistance/susceptibility to parasites, we wanted to see if our field system could allow differences in tolerance to parasites to be tested. We thus tested whether

286 we could detect different body condition between infected and non-infected mice along the
287 hybrid index. Residuals from ordinary least squares regression of body weight by body length
288 were estimated for each individual, separately for males and females. Pregnant females were
289 excluded from the analysis. Individuals with a positive residual were considered in better
290 condition than individuals with a negative one, as this index correlates with variation in fat,
291 water, and lean dry mass (Schulte-Hostedde, Zinner, Millar, & Hickling, 2005). We tested if
292 hybrid mice had higher or lower residuals than that expected for intermediate between pure
293 hybridizing taxa (“additivity”), and if the potential hybridization effect was different between
294 infected and not infected mice, for *Eimeria* spp. as well as for pinworm infections. Differences
295 between the subspecies were allowed.

296 Values of residuals of body weight by body length regression are well described by the Normal
297 distribution, parameterized by its standard deviation (allowed to vary freely during maximum
298 likelihood searches) and its mean defined as:

299
$$ExpectedResidual = (R1 + (R2 - R1) \cdot HI) \cdot (1 - \alpha \cdot He), \quad (Eq. 6)$$

300 where R1 is the expected residual value of pure Mmd, R2 the expected residual value of pure
301 Mmm, and alpha the hybridization effect. We fit the models using maximum likelihood (using
302 the R package mle2; Bolker, 2017), either including or excluding the hybridization effect
303 parameter (by setting HI = 0 in *ExpectedResiduals*), and we compared these two models using
304 the G-test.

305 All graphics were produced using the R packages ggplot2 (Wickham, 2016) and ggmap (Kahle
306 & Wickham, 2013), and compiled using the free software inkscape v.0.92 (<https://inkscape.org>).

307 Full R code used for this article can be found at:

308 https://github.com/alicebalard/Article_IntensityEimeriaHMHZ/tree/master/code

309 Results

310 Host genotyping and characterization of the HMHZ for a novel transect

311 We caught and genotyped a total of 650 mice (359 females, 291 males) over four sampling
312 seasons (2014: $N=86$; 2015: $N=156$; 2016: $N=167$; 2017: $N=241$) at 149 localities. A median of 2
313 mice per locality were captured. A table of individual mouse data including hybrid indices,
314 georeferences and parasite loads is available in **Supplementary Table S3**. On the probability
315 map of the hybrid zone centre, shown in **Figure 1**, we see that the HMHZ runs across the former
316 East Germany, making a broad arc around the city of Berlin, approaching within ca. 20 km of the
317 bordering Oder River near Eberswalde.

318 Parasite prevalence and intensity

319 To investigate *Eimeria* infections we checked 384 mice sampled in 2016 and 2017 for the
320 presence and intensity of tissue stages (**Figure 2a**). The estimated parasite prevalence was 18.2%
321 (70/384) (Sterne's Exact method CI 95%: [14.5, 22.5]). To quantify the intensity of infection we
322 determined the amount of *Eimeria* mitochondrial DNA per host nuclear DNA using
323 $\Delta C_{t_{\text{Mouse-Eimeria}}}$. The median *Eimeria* intensity was -2.4 corresponding to 5.2 times less parasite
324 mitochondrial DNA than host nuclear DNA.

325 Between 2014 and 2017, 585 mice were investigated for helminths (**Figure 3a**). Prevalence of
326 pinworms in the transect was 52.5% (307/585) (Sterne's Exact method CI 95%: [48.4, 56.5])

327 with a median abundance of 1 pinworm per mouse and median intensity of 13 pinworms per
328 infected mouse (maximum number of pinworms in one host: 489).

329 Overall prevalence of pinworms and *Eimeria* in our samples did not significantly differ between
330 approximated age categories (using body weight as a proxy, as in Behnke, 1976) and between
331 the sexes (Pearson's Chi-squared test, p -value > 0.05) (**Supplementary Table S5**).

332 **Similar prevalence of parasites across the zone**

333 In order to control for impact of ecological factors on prevalence, such as a host density trough at
334 the zone centre, we tested if the probability of being infected was significantly lower for
335 individuals at this zone centre. We performed this analysis (1) with a unified “genetic distance to
336 zone centre” and (2) on both halves of the hybrid index separately. Logistic regression using a
337 linear combination of the predictor variables “genetic distance to zone centre” and “Sex”
338 (including interactions) didn’t show any statistically significant effect ($p > 0.05$) on the
339 probability of infection when a unified “genetic distance to zone centre” (1) was used, neither for
340 *Eimeria* spp. (**Figure 2b**) nor for pinworms (**Figure 3b**). Results were identical for specifically
341 *Eimeria ferrisi* infected mice vs. non infected (see **Supplementary Figure S6a**). Similarly, we
342 could not reject the hypothesis of constant prevalence by running the analyses on both halves of
343 the hybrid scale separately (2), for both parasites ($p > 0.05$). We therefore could not find
344 evidence of significantly more or less uninfected hosts in the centre hybrid zone, neither for
345 *Eimeria* as a genus, nor the most prevalent species *E. ferrisi*, nor pinworms.

346 **No evidence of hyper- or under-mortality of hybrids compared to parents**

We tested the hybridization effect on body weight as proxy of age. Modelling the body weight across the hybrid zone showed an effect of taxon (G-test of model allowing taxon differences vs. no taxon differences (H1 vs. H0); p-value = 0.017, N = 456) and no effect of sex (G-test of models allowing sex differences vs. no sex differences (both H2 vs. H0 (p-value = 0.057), and H3 vs. H1 (p-value = 0.079), N = 456)). More notably, the model allowing taxon difference did not show a statistically significant hybridization effect (G-test; p-value = 0.214, N = 456; see **Supplementary Figure S7**). We therefore could not detect any decrease or increase of overall mortality in more admixed mice.

***Eimeria* spp. load is lower in infected hybrid vs pure Mmm and Mmd mice**

To test more specifically the intrinsic host-parasite interplay of hybrids compared to pure mice, we considered only individuals infected by *Eimeria* spp. tissue stages (N = 70). Complex models involving differences between sexes and parental taxa did not fit the data significantly better than the null model (**Supplementary Table S8**). The fit involving the hybridization effect, however, showed significantly higher likelihood than the model without it (G-test; p-value = 0.02). Infected hybrids had significantly lower load of *Eimeria* spp. tissue stages than expected if the load was linear along the hybrid index, with a hybridization effect parameter alpha of 0.74 (**Figure 2d**, values of parameters of the fitted model given in **Table 1**). Considering only the more prevalent *Eimeria* species, *E. ferrisi*, infected mice (N=44), we found similar results: no significant improvement of the model when differences between sexes and parental taxa were included and significantly higher likelihood of the model with hybridization effect than the

model without it (G-test; p-value = 0.02, hybridization parameter = 0.73; see **Supplementary**

Figure S6b.

Pinworm load is lower in infected hybrid vs. pure Mmm and Mmd mice

We tested pinworm intensity ($N = 307$) in infected hybrids comparing them to infected ‘pure parental’ mice in our Brandenburg transect, excluding potential ecological confounders in the same way. The model allowing differences between the parental taxa and sexes (H3) was found to fit our observations significantly better than the less complex models (**Supplementary Table S8**). For both sexes, the fit including the hybridization effect showed significantly higher likelihood than the model without it (G-test; p-value = 0.04 for females, p-value < 0.001 for males). Infected hybrids had significantly lower pinworm load than expected if the load was linear across the hybrid index, with the hybridization effect parameter alpha 0.91 (females) and 1.46 (males) (**Figure 3d**, values of parameters of the fitted model given in **Table 1**).

Comparison of pinworms loads with previous reports

To compare the strength of the hybridization effect between our Brandenburg transect and the Czech-Bavarian portion of the HMMZ we applied the H1 model (differences between the taxa but not between the host sexes) to our pinworm abundance data, once with freely varying alpha (fit 1), and once with alpha set to 1.39 as in Baird et al. (2012) (fit 2). Within fit 1, alpha was found significant (G-test; p-value < 0.001). The comparison between the model with freely varying alpha (fit 1) and that using fixed alpha (fit 2) showed no significant likelihood difference (G-test; p-value = 0.11). Therefore, we can conclude that pinworm load differences found in

387 hybrids in this study are consistent with the results obtained in the previously studied Czech-
388 Bavarian transect (Baird et al., 2012).

389 **No evidence of body condition differences between infected and non-infected mice along the** 390 **hybrid zone**

391 To test whether infections have a different effect in hybrids vs. parental mice we assessed body
392 condition, which could be a better proxy for host health than parasite load. Modelling of the
393 residuals from ordinary least squares regression of body weight by body length across the hybrid
394 zone (**Figure 4a**) did not show a statistically significant hybridization effect (G-test; p-value >
395 0.05 in both parasite datasets considered). When infected and non-infected individuals were
396 considered separately, neither *Eimeria* spp. infected individuals (G-test; p-value = 0.58) nor
397 *Eimeria* spp. non-infected individuals (G-test; p-value = 0.90) showed a hybridization effect in
398 body condition index (**Figure 4b**). The same was true for pinworm infected individuals (G-test;
399 p-value = 0.44) and pinworm non-infected individuals (G-test; p-value = 0.96; **Figure 4c**).

400 **Discussion**

401 We found lower intensities of the intracellular parasites *Eimeria* spp. and intestinal parasite
402 pinworms in hybrid than in parental subspecies hosts in a previously unstudied transect of the
403 European HMMZ. Lower intensity in hybrids is unlikely to be explained by ecological
404 differences across the HMMZ, as we did not find the probability of infection to be similarly
405 reduced in hybrid hosts, and no overall increase or decrease or mortality towards the zone centre.

406 House mouse hybrids in the European HMMZ are not first-generation crossings, but rather
407 genetically complex “late generation” recombinants. This means that each of their genomes
408 presents a complex admixture of both Mmm and Mmd tracts (Macholán et al., 2007). There is no
409 clear cut-off between hybrids and parental individuals. Therefore, individuals in such systems
410 should not be considered in categories, but rather on a continuous scale of “hybridicity” (a hybrid
411 index) when analyzing parasite infections or any other trait (Baird et al., 2012). We followed the
412 statistical analysis of Baird et al. (2012) and explicitly modelled the effect of hybridization on
413 parasite intensity by approximating the number of new combinations of genes brought together
414 in a hybrid genotype by its expected heterozygosity (H_e). In other words we used H_e to derive
415 non-linear predictions for hybridization effect based on the observed individual hybrid indices.
416 To increase reproducibility, we make our analysis available in an R package (Balard &
417 Heitlinger, 2019). The package allows statistical modelling with distributions additional to the
418 original negative binomial distribution for (worm) count data (Baird et al., 2012). This allowed
419 us to model the intensity of *Eimeria* infections as measured by a recently established quantitative
420 PCR (Ahmed et al., 2019; Al-khlifeh et al., 2019; Jarquín-Díaz et al., 2019).

421 To our knowledge no studies have previously tested the effect of mouse hybridization on
422 parasites other than helminths in a field setting of the HMMZ. To understand parasite processes
423 in host hybrid zones, it is necessary to test different types for parasites. Our parasite models
424 present differences that are likely to involve different resistance mechanisms in their hosts (and
425 also different impact on host health and immune systems, with intracellular parasites triggering
426 mainly Th1 vs. extracellular parasites triggering mainly Th2 responses (Jankovic, Sher & Yap,
427 2001; Maizels & Holland, 1998)). Yet the pattern of reduced load in hybrid hosts is the same for

428 the two parasites. These findings confirm that reduction in parasite intensity is either an effect
429 intrinsic to the host individuals (e.g. enhanced immune reactions leading to increased resistance),
430 or, if dependent on the parasite and/or parasite-host interplay, can be generalized over very
431 different parasites.

432 Adding more evidence to the original observations of reduced parasite loads for previously
433 investigated parasites, we also found reduced pinworms loads in hybrids of our novel transect of
434 the HMMZ. We found differences between the Brandenburg and Czech-Bavarian transects in
435 pinworm infection such as distinct loads between males and females and lower prevalence
436 (52.5%) and abundance (18.7) in the former compared to the latter (no significant difference
437 between sexes; prevalence 70.9%, abundance 39.18; Baird et al., 2012). Power to detect distinct
438 male/female load is expected to be reduced by lower prevalence and abundance. Despite the fact
439 that these studies took place in distant geographical locations of the HMMZ likely to present
440 different ecological conditions, the direction and strength of the hybridization effect were very
441 similar in the two study areas. This similarity reinforces our confidence that reduced parasite
442 load in mouse hybrids is a general phenomenon, intrinsic to the individual host or host-parasite
443 interplay rather than a by-product of ecology.

444 A novel aspect of our work compared to previous studies of parasitism in the HMMZ is the
445 separate study of parasite prevalence and intensity. This approach should not only reduce
446 problems in statistical inference caused by false negative measurements (so called zero-inflation)
447 but also allows us to address two different questions separately: (i) Is the *probability* of infection

448 different for hybrids and pure subspecies? and (ii): Is there a difference in parasite *intensity*
449 between infected hybrid and infected pure individuals?

450 An illustrative example of an ecological factor that could potentially lead to **parasite load**
451 differences is the density of hosts. Densities of mouse populations in the HMMZ centre may be
452 lower than outside (either due to selection against hybrids or because the HMMZ as a tension
453 zone tends to be trapped in “density troughs” sensu Hewitt 1975). Host density is expected to be
454 positively correlated with pathogen transmission (Anderson & May, 1979) and as a result
455 prevalence may be higher in more dense populations (Morand & Guégan, 2000; **Hakkarainen et**
456 **al., 2007**). This is, however, not a general law as host density and *Eimeria* spp. prevalence are,
457 for example, negatively correlated in bank voles (Winternitz, Yabsley, & Altizer, 2012).
458 Independent of the direction of the effect, correlation between abundance and prevalence could
459 be confounded with intrinsic effects of hybrid hosts.

460 Our analysis of prevalence (presence/absence in a logistic regression), did not however show any
461 significant decrease of this probability of infection towards the centre of the zone, for neither
462 *Eimeria* spp. nor pinworms. We argue here that, in conjunction with higher intensities, this
463 distinguishes intrinsic hybrid effects from potential ecological confounders.

464 Animals tolerant of low-pathogenic parasites might not suffer fitness reduction during high
465 parasitemia. This could be the case, for example, if the parasite is beneficial for the host’s
466 interaction with other parasites (Heitlinger, Ferreira, Thierer, Hofer, & East, 2017) or if immune
467 responses against the parasite are costly relative to the harm it causes (Råberg, Sim, & Read,
468 2007). In addition, according to the “Old Friend” (or “Hygiene”) hypothesis, the constant

469 presence of helminths in natural populations has led to the evolution of a background basal
470 release of regulatory cytokines (Rook, 2009) which might in turn impact the outcome of more
471 pathogenic infections. Even for relatively pathogenic parasites, such as *Eimeria*, differences in
472 resistance could be uncoupled from health effects by differences in tolerance (Råberg et al.,
473 2007). For these reasons parasite load in itself should not be blindly considered as a proxy for
474 host health and certainly not for host fitness comparisons across hybrid zones (see Baird & Goüy
475 de Bellocq, 2019). We here used body condition as a proxy for the health component of host
476 fitness. We, however, did not find evidence for differences in body condition between hybrids
477 and pure mice upon infection. We conclude that we do not have evidence that lower parasitemia
478 in hybrids increases their health.

479 Intensity of a particular parasite infection is not necessarily correlated with reduced health and a
480 fitness decrease. For example, the fitness of sterile hybrids (always zero) is invariant to infection
481 intensity. Moreover a hybrid host could be robust due to heterosis (though it may still be sterile).
482 Even if we had found increased health of hybrids, this would not be interpretable as leading to a
483 higher total hybrid fitness, as the parasite mediated health fitness component is only one (likely
484 minor) component of overall fitness. It has been shown for example that male mice in the HMMZ
485 centre have reduced fertility compared to parental individuals (Albrechtová et al., 2012; Turner
486 et al., 2012). If reduced parasite intensity is host driven (and not a result of host-parasite
487 interactions) one could conclude that some physiological systems (e.g. reproductive) may be
488 more dependent on “co-adapted complexes”, while others – such as the immune system – benefit
489 from diversity. This latter would be hybrid vigour in the narrow sense (Baird et al., 2012), but

490 would still not necessarily lead to any effects on host species barriers (Baird & Goüy de Bellocq,
491 2019).

492 We can in future ask whether host (immunity and resistance), parasite (infectivity and virulence),
493 or their interactions are underlying reduced parasite intensity in hybrid house mice. *Eimeria* spp.
494 are suitable pathogens to perform experimental and field studies in this endeavour. An
495 experimental setup investigating resistance (inverse of parasite intensity) and tolerance (impact
496 on host health measured by weight loss) during an infection in mice of pure subspecies and
497 crosses between them could address this question in more detail.

498 A prime candidate locus for mediating a positive effect of hybridization on the immune system
499 (hybrid vigour) is the major histocompatibility complex (MHC). In mice two genes of the MHC
500 showed different levels of polymorphism as well as population structure with many alleles
501 inferred to be shared between the subspecies by maintenance of ancestral polymorphism
502 (Čížková, Goüy de Bellocq, Baird, Piálek, & Bryja, 2011). Additionally, the small demes of
503 house mice can function as reservoirs of MHC alleles, contributing to the diversity of this system
504 across demes and populations (Linnenbrink, Teschke, Montero, Vallier, & Tautz, 2018). The
505 genetic structure of the MHC and especially polymorphism shared across subspecies should
506 make these loci good candidates to investigate for mechanisms behind hybrid vigour, among a
507 number of other loci including Toll-like receptors (Skevaki, Pararas, Kostelidou, Tsakris, &
508 Routsias, 2015). Previous work on toll-like receptor 4 already suggests different evolutionary
509 patterns between the house mouse subspecies (Fornuskova, Bryja, Vinkler, Macholán, & Piálek,

510 2014). For host parasite interactions major candidate loci are immunity related GTPases on the
511 host side and rhoptry kinases in coccidia (Lilue, Müller, Steinfeldt, & Howard, 2013).

512 Hybridization has played a significant role during and after the divergence of house mouse
513 subspecies as well as during the formation of “classical inbred strains” (Yang et al., 2011).
514 Improving our understanding of parasite process across the HMHZ provides valuable
515 information on the house mouse as the (non-human) model species with the most thoroughly
516 understood immune system. A transfer of knowledge from this model might further
517 understanding of the interplay between parasites and hybridizing species, our own as well as
518 species relevant for conservation.

519 **References**

- 520 Abu-Madi, M. A., Behnke, J. M., Lewis, J. W., & Gilbert, F. S. (2000). Seasonal and site
521 specific variation in the component community structure of intestinal helminths in *Apodemus*
522 *sylvaticus* from three contrasting habitats in south-east England. *Journal of Helminthology*, 74,
523 7–15. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X00000020>
- 524 Ahmed, N., Heitlinger, E., Affinass, N., Köhl, A. A., Xenophontos, N., Jarquin, V. H., ...
525 Hartmann, S. (2019). A novel non-invasive method to detect RELM beta transcript in gut barrier
526 related changes during a gastrointestinal nematode infection. *Frontiers in Immunology*, 10, 1–11.
527 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.00445>
- 528 Albrechtová, J., Albrecht, T., Baird, S. J. E., Macholán, M., Rudolfsen, G., Munclinger, P., ...
529 Piálek, J. (2012). Sperm-related phenotypes implicated in both maintenance and breakdown of a
530 natural species barrier in the house mouse. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological*
531 *Sciences*, 279, 4803–4810. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.1802>
- 532 Al-khlifeh, E., Balard, A., Jarquín-Díaz, V. H., Weyrich, A., Wibbelt, G., & Heitlinger, E.
533 (2019). *Eimeria falciformis* BayerHaberKorn1970 and novel wild derived isolates from house
534 mice: differences in parasite lifecycle, pathogenicity and host immune reactions. *BioRxiv*, 1–33.
535 <https://doi.org/10.1101/611277>
- 536 Anderson, R. M., & May, R. M. (1979). Population biology of infectious diseases: Part I. *Nature*,
537 280, 361–367. <https://doi.org/10.1038/280361a0>

538 Baird, S. J. E., & Goüy de Bellocq, J. (2019). Shifting paradigms for studying parasitism in
 539 hybridising hosts: Response to Theodosopoulos, Hund, and Taylor. *Trends in Ecology &*
 540 *Evolution*, 34, 387–389. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2019.01.011>

541 Baird, S. J. E., & Macholán, M. (2012). What can the *Mus musculus musculus*/*M. m. domesticus*
 542 hybrid zone tell us about speciation? In M. Macholán, S. J. E. Baird, P. Munclinger, & J. Piálek
 543 (Eds.), *Evolution of the House Mouse* (pp. 334–372). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

544 Baird, S. J. E., Ribas, A., Macholán, M., Albrecht, T., Piálek, J., & Goüy de Bellocq, J. (2012).
 545 Where are the wormy mice? A reexamination of hybrid parasitism in the European house mouse
 546 hybrid zone. *Evolution*, 66, 2757–2772. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2012.01633.x>

547 Baker, D. G. (1998). Natural pathogens of laboratory mice, rats, and rabbits and their effects on
 548 research. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 11, 231–266.

549 Balard, A., & Heitlinger, E. (2019). alicebalard/parasiteLoad: working version with negbin,
 550 normal and weibull (+ shift) distributions (Version v2.0). Zenodo.
 551 <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2554966>

552 Barton, N. H., & Hewitt, G. M. (1985). Analysis of hybrid zones. *Annual Review of Ecology*
 553 *and Systematics*, 16, 113–148. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.16.110185.000553>

554 Behnke, J. M. (1975). Aspiculuris tetraptera in wild *Mus musculus*. The prevalence of infection
 555 in male and female mice. *Journal of Helminthology*, 49(2), 85-90.
 556 [doi:10.1017/S0022149X0002318X](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X0002318X)

557 Behnke, J. M. (1976). *Aspiculuris tetraptera* in wild *Mus musculus*. Age resistance and acquired
 558 immunity. *Journal of Helminthology*, 50(3), 197-202. doi:10.1017/S0022149X00027759
 559 Bliss, C. I., & Fisher, R. A. (1953). Fitting the negative binomial distribution to biological data.
 560 *Biometrics*, 9, 176–200. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3001850>
 561 Boissinot, S., & Boursot, P. (1997). Discordant phylogeographic patterns between the Y
 562 chromosome and mitochondrial DNA in the house mouse: Selection on the Y chromosome?
 563 *Genetics*, 146, 1019–1034.
 564 Bolker, B. (2017). Bbmle: Tools for general maximum likelihood estimation. Retrieved from
 565 <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=bbmle>
 566 Boursot, P., Auffray, J.-C., Britton-Davidian, J., & Bonhomme, F. (1993). The evolution of
 567 house mice. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 24, 119–152.
 568 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.24.110193.001003>
 569 Božíková, E., Munclinger, P., Teeter, K. C., Tucker, P. K., Macholán, M., & Piálek, J. (2005).
 570 Mitochondrial DNA in the hybrid zone between *Mus musculus musculus* and *Mus musculus*
 571 *domesticus*: A comparison of two transects. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 84, 363–
 572 378. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.2005.00440.x>
 573 Bush, A. O., Lafferty, K. D., Lotz, J. M. & Shostak, A. W. (1997). Parasitology meets ecology
 574 on its own terms: Margolis et al. revisited. *Journal of Parasitology*, 83, 575–583.

575 Chapman, H. D., Barta, J. R., Blake, D., Gruber, A., Jenkins, M., Smith, N. C., ... Tomley, F. M.
 576 (2013). A selective review of advances in coccidiosis research. *Advances in Parasitology*, 83,
 577 93–171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-407705-8.00002-1>

578 Čížková, D., Gouy de Bellocq, J. , Baird, S. J. E., Piálek, J., & Bryja, J. (2011). Genetic structure
 579 and contrasting selection pattern at two major histocompatibility complex genes in wild house
 580 mouse populations. *Heredity*, 106, 727–740. <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2010.112>

581 Crofton, H. D. (1971). A quantitative approach to parasitism. *Parasitology*, 62, 179–193.
 582 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182000071420>

583 Delignette-Muller, M. L., & Dutang, C. (2015). *fitdistrplus*: An R package for fitting
 584 distributions. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 64(4), 1–34. <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v064.i04>

585 Derothe, J. M., Le Brun, N., Loubes, C., Perriat-Sanguinet, M., & Moulia, C. (2001).
 586 Susceptibility of natural hybrids between house mouse subspecies to *Sarcocystis muris*.
 587 *International Journal for Parasitology*, 31, 15–19. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519\(00\)00155-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519(00)00155-7)
 588 7

589 Derothe, J. M., Porcherie, A., Perriat-Sanguinet, M., Loubès, C., & Moulia, C. (2004).
 590 Recombination does not generate pinworm susceptibility during experimental crosses between
 591 two mouse subspecies. *Parasitology Research*, 93, 356–363. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-004-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-004-1145-1)
 592 1145-1

593 Dufková, P., Macholán, M., & Piálek, J. (2011). Inference of selection and stochastic effects in
 594 the house mouse hybrid zone. *Evolution*, 65, 993–1010. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01222.x)
 595 5646.2011.01222.x

596 Ďureje, L., Macholán, M., Baird, S. J., & Piálek, J. (2012). The mouse hybrid zone in central
 597 Europe: From morphology to molecules. *Folia Zoologica*, 61, 308–318. [https://doi.org/10.25225/](https://doi.org/10.25225/fozo.v61.i3.a13.2012)
 598 fozo.v61.i3.a13.2012

599 Duvaux, L., Belkhir, K., Boulesteix, M., & Boursot, P. (2011). Isolation and gene flow: inferring
 600 the speciation history of European house mice. *Molecular Ecology*, 20, 5248–5264.
 601 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05343.x>

602 Fornuskova, A., Bryja, J., Vinkler, M., Macholán, M., & Piálek, J. (2014). Contrasting patterns
 603 of polymorphism and selection in bacterial-sensing toll-like receptor 4 in two house mouse
 604 subspecies. *Ecology and Evolution*, 4, 2931–2944. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1137>

605 Fritz, R. S., Moulia, C., & Newcombe, G. (1999). Resistance of hybrid plants and animals to
 606 herbivores, pathogens, and parasites. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 30, 565–591.
 607 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.30.1.565>

608 Göüy de Bellocq, J., Ribas, A., & Baird, S. J. E. (2012). New insights into parasitism in the
 609 house mouse hybrid zone. In M. Macholán, S. J. E. Baird, P. Munclinger, & J. Piálek (Eds.),
 610 *Evolution of the House Mouse* (pp. 455–481). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

611 Green, R. E., Krause, J., Briggs, A. W., Maricic, T., Stenzel, U., Kircher, M., ... Pääbo, S.
612 (2010). A draft sequence of the Neandertal genome. *Science*, 328, 710–722.
613 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1188021>

614 Guillot, G., Mortier, F., & Estoup, A. (2005). Geneland: A computer package for landscape
615 genetics. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 5, 712–715. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2005.01031.x)
616 [8286.2005.01031.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2005.01031.x)

617 Haberkorn, A. (1970). Die Entwicklung von *Eimeria falciformis* (Eimer 1870) in der weißen
618 Maus (*Mus musculus*). *Zeitschrift für Parasitenkunde*, 34, 49–67.
619 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00629179>

620 Hakkarainen, H., Huhta, E., Koskela, E., Mappes, T., Soveri, T., & Suorsa, P. (2007). *Eimeria*-
621 parasites are associated with a lowered mother's and offspring's body condition in island and
622 mainland populations of the bank vole. *Parasitology*, 134, 23–31.
623 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182006001120>

624 Haukisalmi, V., Henttonen, H., & Tenora, F. (1988). Population dynamics of common and rare
625 helminths in cyclic vole populations. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 57, 807–825.
626 <https://doi.org/10.2307/5094>

627 Heitlinger, E., Ferreira, S. C. M., Thierer, D., Hofer, H., & East, M. L. (2017). The intestinal
628 eukaryotic and bacterial biome of spotted hyenas: The impact of social status and age on
629 diversity and composition. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*, 7, 262.
630 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2017.00262>

631 Hewitt, G. M. (1975). A sex-chromosome hybrid zone in the grasshopper *Podisma pedestris*
632 (Orthoptera: Acrididae). *Heredity*, 35, 375–387. <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1975.108>

633 Jankovic, D., Sher, A., & Yap, G. (2001). Th1/Th2 effector choice in parasitic infection: decision
634 making by committee. *Current Opinion in Immunology*, 13, 403–409.
635 [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0952-7915\(00\)00234-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0952-7915(00)00234-x)

636 Jarquín-Díaz, V. H., Balard A., Jost J., Kraft J., Dikmen, M. N., Kvičerová J., Heitlinger, E. (in
637 press). Detection and quantification of house mouse *Eimeria* at the species level – challenges and
638 solutions for the assessment of Coccidia in wildlife. *International Journal for Parasitology:*
639 *Parasites and Wildlife*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2019.07.004>

640 Jones, E. P., Kooij, J. V. D., Solheim, R., & Searle, J. B. (2010). Norwegian house mice (*Mus*
641 *musculus musculus/domesticus*): Distributions, routes of colonization and patterns of
642 hybridization. *Molecular Ecology*, 19, 5252–5264. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04874.x)
643 [294X.2010.04874.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04874.x)

644 Kahle, D., & Wickham, H. (2013). Ggmap: Spatial visualization with ggplot2. *The R Journal*,
645 5(1), 144–161. <https://doi.org/10.32614/RJ-2013-014>

646 Krämer A., Kretzschmar, M., & Krickeberg, K. (2010). *Modern infectious disease epidemiology:*
647 *concepts, methods, mathematical models, and public health*. New York, NY: Springer.

648 Kriska, T. (1993). Parasitic helminths of house mouse (*Mus musculus Linnaeus*, 1758) in
649 Hungary. *Miscellanea zoologica hungarica*, 8, 13–23. <https://doi.org/10.32614/RJ-2013-014>

650 Lilue, J., Müller, U. B., Steinfeldt, T., & Howard, J. C. (2013). Reciprocal virulence and
 651 resistance polymorphism in the relationship between *Toxoplasma gondii* and the house mouse.
 652 ELife, 2, e01298. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.01298>

653 Linnenbrink, M., Teschke, M., Montero, I., Vallier, M., & Tautz, D. (2018). Meta-populational
 654 demes constitute a reservoir for large MHC allele diversity in wild house mice (*Mus musculus*).
 655 Frontiers in Zoology, 15, 15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12983-018-0266-9>

656 Macholán, M., Kryštufek, B., & Vohralík, V. (2003). The location of the *Mus musculus*/*M.*
 657 *domesticus* hybrid zone in the Balkans: clues from morphology. Acta Theriologica, 48, 177–188.
 658 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03194157>

659 Macholán, M., Munclinger, P., Šugerková, M., Dufková, P., Bímová, B., Božíková, E., Zima, J.,
 660 & Piálek, J. (2007). Genetic analysis of autosomal and X-linked markers across a mouse hybrid
 661 zone. Evolution 61: 746–771. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2007.00065.x>

662 Macholán, M., Baird, S. J. E., Dufková, P., Munclinger, P., Bímová, B. V., & Piálek, J. (2011).
 663 Assessing multilocus introgression patterns: A case study on the mouse X chromosome in central
 664 Europe. Evolution, 65, 1428–1446. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01228.x>

665 Maizels, R. M., & Holland, M. J. (1998). Parasite immunity: Pathways for expelling intestinal
 666 helminths. Current Biology, 8, R711–R714. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0960-9822\(98\)70455-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0960-9822(98)70455-5)

667 Mallet, J. (2005). Hybridization as an invasion of the genome. Trends in Ecology & Evolution,
 668 20, 229–237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2005.02.010>

669 Morand, S., & Guégan, J.-F. (2000). Distribution and abundance of parasite nematodes:
 670 Ecological specialisation, phylogenetic constraint or simply epidemiology? *Oikos*, 88, 563–573.
 671 <https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0706.2000.880313.x>

672 Moulia, C., Aussel, J. P., Bonhomme, F., Boursot, P., Nielsen, J. T., & Renaud, F. (1991).
 673 Wormy mice in a hybrid zone: A genetic control of susceptibility to parasite infection. *Journal of*
 674 *Evolutionary Biology*, 4, 679–687. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1420-9101.1991.4040679.x>

675 Moulia, C., Le Brun, N., Dallas, J., Orth, A., & Renaud, F. (1993). Experimental evidence of
 676 genetic determinism in high susceptibility to intestinal pinworm infection in mice: A hybrid zone
 677 model. *Parasitology*, 106, 387–393. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182000067135>

678 Moulia, C., Le Brun, N., Loubes, C., Marin, R., & Renaud, F. (1995). Hybrid vigour against
 679 parasites in interspecific crosses between two mice species. *Heredity*, 74, 48–52.
 680 <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1995.6>

681 Munclinger, P., Božíková, E., Šugerková, M., Piálek, J., & Macholán, M. (2002). Genetic
 682 variation in house mice (*Mus*, Muridae, Rodentia) from the Czech and Slovak Republics. *Folia*
 683 *Zoologica*, 51, 81–92.

684 Munclinger, P., Boursot, P., & Dod, B. (2003). B1 insertions as easy markers for mouse
 685 population studies. *Mammalian Genome*, 14, 359–366. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00335-002-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00335-002-3065-7)
 686 3065-7

687 Nagamine, C. M., Nishioka, Y., Moriwaki, K., Boursot, P., Bonhomme, F., & Lau, Y. F. C.
 688 (1992). The *musculus*-type Y chromosome of the laboratory mouse is of Asian origin.
 689 Mammalian Genome, 3, 84–91. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00431251>

690 Nunes, M. S. with contributions from T., Heuer, C., Marshall, J., Sanchez, J., Thornton, R.,
 691 Reiczigel, J., ... Jay, M. (2018). epiR: Tools for the analysis of epidemiological data. Retrieved
 692 from <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=epiR>

693 Poulin, R. (2013). Explaining variability in parasite aggregation levels among host samples.
 694 Parasitology, 140, 541–546. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182012002053>

695 R Development Core Team. (2008). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
 696 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Retrieved from <http://www.R-project.org>

697 Råberg, L., Sim, D., & Read, A. F. (2007). Disentangling genetic variation for resistance and
 698 tolerance to infectious diseases in animals. Science, 318, 812–814.
 699 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1148526>

700 Reiczigel, J., Földi, J., & Ozsvári, L. (2010). Exact confidence limits for prevalence of a disease
 701 with an imperfect diagnostic test. Epidemiology and Infection, 138, 1674–1678.
 702 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268810000385>

703 Ressouche, L., Ganem, G., Derothe, J. M., Searle, J., Renaud, F. & Moulia, C. (1998). Host
 704 chromosomal evolution and parasites of the house mouse *Mus musculus domesticus* in Scotland.
 705 Zeitschrift für Saugetierkunde, 63, 52–57.

706 Rook, G. A. W. (2009). Review series on helminths, immune modulation and the hygiene
 707 hypothesis: The broader implications of the hygiene hypothesis. *Immunology*, 126, 3–11. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2567.2008.03007.x)
 708 doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2567.2008.03007.x

709 Rózsa, L., Reiczig, J., & Majoros, G. (2000). Quantifying parasites in samples of hosts. The
 710 *Journal of Parasitology*, 86, 228–232. [https://doi.org/10.1645/0022-](https://doi.org/10.1645/0022-3395(2000)086[0228:QPISOH]2.0.CO;2)
 711 [3395\(2000\)086\[0228:QPISOH\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1645/0022-3395(2000)086[0228:QPISOH]2.0.CO;2)

712 Sage, R. D., Heyneman, D., Lim, K.-C., & Wilson, A. C. (1986). Wormy mice in a hybrid zone.
 713 *Nature*, 324, 60–63. <https://doi.org/10.1038/324060a0>

714 Schulte-Hostedde, A. I., Zinner, B., Millar, J. S., & Hickling, G. J. (2005). Restitution of mass–
 715 size residuals: Validating body condition indices. *Ecology*, 86, 155–163.
 716 <https://doi.org/10.1890/04-0232>

717 Schurer, J., Mosites, E., Li, C., Meschke, S., & Rabinowitz, P. (2016). Community-based
 718 surveillance of zoonotic parasites in a “One Health” world: A systematic review. *One Health*, 2,
 719 166–174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.onehlt.2016.11.002>

720 Shaw, D. J., & Dobson, A. P. (1995). Patterns of macroparasite abundance and aggregation in
 721 wildlife populations: A quantitative review. *Parasitology*, 111 Suppl, S111-127.

722 Sher, A., & Coffman, R. L. (1992). Regulation of immunity to parasites by T cells and T cell-
 723 derived cytokines. *Annual Review of Immunology*, 10, 385–409.
 724 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.iy.10.040192.002125>

725 Simberloff, D. (1996). Hybridization between native and introduced wildlife species: importance
 726 for conservation. *Wildlife Biology*, 2(3), 143–151. <https://doi.org/10.2981/wlb.1996.012>

727 Skevaki, C., Pararas, M., Kostelidou, K., Tsakris, A., & Routsias, J. G. (2015). Single nucleotide
 728 polymorphisms of Toll-like receptors and susceptibility to infectious diseases. *Clinical and*
 729 *Experimental Immunology*, 180, 165–177. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cei.12578>

730 Sterne, T. E. (1954). Some remarks on confidence or fiducial limits. *Biometrika*, 41, 275–278.
 731 <https://doi.org/10.2307/2333026>

732 Taffs, L. F. (1976). Pinworm infections in laboratory rodents: a review. *Laboratory Animals*, 10,
 733 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1258/002367776780948862>

734 Turner, L. M., Schwahn, D. J., & Harr, B. (2012). Reduced male fertility is common but highly
 735 variable in form and severity in a natural house mouse hybrid zone. *Evolution*, 66, 443–458.
 736 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01445.x>

737 Venables, W. N., & Ripley, B. D. (2002). *Modern Applied Statistics with S* (Fourth edition).
 738 New York, NY: Springer.

739 Wickham, H. (2016). *Ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis* (Second edition). New York,
 740 NY: Springer.

741 Winternitz, J. C., Yabsley, M. J., & Altizer, S. M. (2012). Parasite infection and host dynamics in
 742 a naturally fluctuating rodent population. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 90, 1149–1160.
 743 <https://doi.org/10.1139/z2012-083>

744 Yang, H., Wang, J. R., Didion, J. P., Buus, R. J., Bell, T. A., Welsh, C. E., ... Pialek, J. (2011).
745 Subspecific origin and haplotype diversity in the laboratory mouse. *Nature Genetics*, 43, 648–
746 655. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.847>

747 **Tables**

748 **Table 1. Parametrisation of fitted models.** Parameters estimated by maximum likelihood for
749 each dataset. Alpha is the hybridization effect (deviation of parasite estimated load from the
750 additive model) given with its significance p-value. If sexes are separated, corresponding
751 parameters for each sex are given with symbols ♀ and ♂. Nested hypotheses are as follow. H0:
752 same expected load for the subspecies and between sexes; H1: same expected load across sexes,
753 but can differ across subspecies; H2: same expected load across subspecies, but can differ
754 between the sexes; H3: expected load can differ both across subspecies and between sexes. *Mus*
755 *musculus domesticus* and *Mus musculus musculus* are named hereafter Mmd and Mmm.

<i>Eimeria</i> intensity	Hyp.	Alpha value)	(p-	Load in ΔCt for both parental subspecies		Shape		
present study, <i>Eimeria</i> sp.	H0	0.74 (0.02)		-0.70		2.33		
present study, <i>Eimeria ferrisi</i>	H0	0.74 (0.02)		-0.70		2.33		
Pinworm intensity	Hyp.	Alpha value)	(p-	Load in count Mmd	Load in count Mmm	Aggregation Mmd	Aggregation Mmm	Z parameter
		♀ 0.91 (0.04)		♀ 35.57	♀ 68.67	♀ 1.45	♀ 2.00	♀ -1.04
present study	H3	♂ 1.46 (<0.001)						
				♂ 30.38	♂ 51.86	♂ 2.10	♂ 1.33	♂ -1.23
present study (data from Baird et al. 2012)	H1	1.21 (<0.001)		94.37	46.81	1.88	1.34	-0.13

757 **Figure legends**

758 **Figure 1. Geographic range of house mouse subspecies in the European house mouse**
 759 **hybrid zone.** Spatial organization of the HMMZ was inferred using all individuals with 6
 760 autosomal markers available (N=598 mice) (*Es1*, *H6pd*, *Idh1*, *Mpi*, *Np*, *Sod1*). *Mus musculus*
 761 *domesticus* is found west of the hybrid zone (blue), *Mus musculus musculus* east of it (red). The
 762 numbers at the level contours indicate posterior probabilities of population membership for each
 763 mouse subspecies. White dots represent each mouse included in the study.

764 **Figure 2. Probability of infection is constant and intensity of *Eimeria* infection is reduced in**
 765 **hybrids.** Individual mice tested for detection and quantification of *Eimeria* spp. tissue stages (a)
 766 and mice tested positive (c) are displayed on a map (point color indicates mice genotype, on a
 767 gradient ranging from blue (pure Mmd) to red (pure Mmm); increasing number of mice sampled
 768 at one locality is displayed as decrease in transparency). The predicted probability of infection
 769 does not differ in more admixed mice (b) for males (green) and females (orange)(average overall
 770 observed probability of infection (prevalence) for males and females considered together: grey
 771 dotted line). *Eimeria* intensity (white dots = individual mice) is reduced at intermediate values of
 772 the hybrid index (d), represented as a gradient ranging from 0 (pure Mmd, in blue) to 1 (pure
 773 Mmm, in red). The optimized fit is represented by a solid line, the 95%CI of the fit as all
 774 parameters are allowed to vary in their 95%CI, is plotted as a grey ribbon. The 95%CI of the
 775 hybridization parameter alpha, as all parameters are fixed to their fitted value while alpha is
 776 allowed to vary in its 95%CI, is plotted as dashed lines.

777 **Figure 3. Probability of infection is constant and intensity of pinworm infection is reduced**
 778 **in hybrids.** Individual mice tested for detection and quantification of pinworms (a) and mice

779 tested positive (c) are displayed on a map (point color indicates mice genotype, on a gradient
 780 ranging from blue (pure Mmd) to red (pure Mmm); increased number of mice sampled at one
 781 point displayed as decrease in transparency). The predicted probability of infection does not
 782 differ in more admixed mice (b) for males (green) and females (orange)(average overall
 783 observed probability of infection (prevalence) for males and females considered together: grey
 784 dotted line). Pinworm intensity (white dots = individual mice) is reduced at intermediate values
 785 of the hybrid index (d), represented as a gradient ranging from 0 (pure Mmd, in blue) to 1 (pure
 786 Mmm, in red), for males (green) and females (orange). The optimized fit is represented by a
 787 solid line, the 95%CI of the fit as all parameters are allowed to vary in their 95%CI, is plotted as
 788 a grey ribbon. The 95%CI of the hybridization parameter alpha, while all parameters are fixed to
 789 their fitted value and alpha is allowed to vary in its 95%CI, is plotted as dashed lines.

790 **Figure 4. Body condition does not significantly differ between hybrids and pure mice upon**
 791 **infection.** We modelled the residuals from ordinary least squares regression of body weight by
 792 body length along the hybrid zone. The fit and residuals for female and male mice is given in (a).
 793 The hybrid index is represented as a gradient ranging from 0 (pure Mmd, in blue) to 1 (pure
 794 Mmm, in red). "Body condition" residuals along the hybrid index (for *Eimeria* spp. (b) and
 795 pinworms (c)) show no difference for infected mice (light green) and non-infected mice (grey).
 796 The optimized fit is represented by a solid line, the 95%CI of the fit as all parameters are allowed
 797 to vary in their 95%CI, is plotted as a grey ribbon. The 95%CI of the hybridization parameter
 798 alpha, as all parameters are fixed to their fitted value while alpha is allowed to vary in its 95%CI,
 799 is plotted as dashed lines.