Boris Babic

MC 101 40 – 1200 E. California Blvd. – Pasadena CA, 91125 917. 267. 9873 · bbabic@caltech.edu · babic917@gmail.com

https://borisbabic.com

Postdoctoral Scholar and Instructor, California Institute of Technology	2017-2019
Visiting Fellow, Australian National University	July 2018
Education	
PhD in Philosophy, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor	2013-2017
Dissertation Title: Foundations of Epistemic Risk Committee: James Joyce (Chair), Peter Railton, Brian Weatherson, Rich Gonzalez (Statistics/ Psychology)	
MS in Statistics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor	2013-2017
Visitor, Carnegie Mellon University	June 2014
JD, cum laude, Harvard Law School	2007-2010
BA (Honors), summa cum laude, York University	2003-2007
Areas of Specialization and Competence	

Areas of Specialization and Competence

AOS: Formal Epistemology, Philosophy of Science (esp. Statistics), Philosophy of Law **AOC**: Decision & Game Theory, Logic, Normative Ethics

Publications

A Theory of Epistemic Risk, Philosophy of Science

forthcoming

Moral Obligations and Epistemic Risk, Oxford Studies in Normative Ethics prov. forthcoming (co-authored with Zoë Johnson-King)

Work in Progress

A Challenge for Approximate Coherentism	available on request
Norms, Stereotpyes, and Accuracy	available on request

Testing for Discrimination and the Risk of Error

available on request

Adaptive Burdens of Proof

available on request

Dynamic Epistemic Risk

in progress

Invariance, Entropy, and (Objective) Bayesianism

in progress

Selected Presentations

"Moral Obligations and Epistemic Risk"

Arizona Workshop in Normative Ethics (January 2019)

"A Challenge for Approximate Coherentism"

University of Colorado, Boulder, 34th Conf. on Chance and Probability (October 2018)

"Testing for Discrimination and the Risk of Error"

Philosophy of Science Association, 26th Biennial Meeting, poster (November 2018) The Australian National University (July 2018)

"Dynamic Epistemic Risk"

University of California, Irvine (February 2018) California Institute of Technology (January 2018)

"Generalized Entropy and Epistemic Risk"

University of Michigan, Philosophy of Science Colloquium (February 2018) London School of Economics, Philosophy of Probability Conference (June 2017) University of Chicago, Graduate Conference (April 2016)

"Frequency Encoding Credences"

London School of Economics, Decisions, Games and Logic Workshop (June 2015)

Comment on Elisabeth Camp, "Whence and Wherefore Semantics"

University of Michigan, Spring Colloquium (March 2015)

"Degrees of Belief, Moral Judgment, and Legal Responsibility"

Harvard Legal Philosophy Colloquium (November 2014)

"A Puzzle about Presidential Non-Enforcement of Laws"

UCLA, Law and Philosophy Conference (April 2012) Harvard Law School, Public Law Workshop (April 2010)

Fellowships & Awards

Doctoral Fellowship (\$60,000)

2015-2018

Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada

Rackham Dissertation Fellowship (\$10,200) University of Michigan, Ann Arbor	2017
Rackham Research Grant (\$3,000) <i>University of Michigan, Ann Arbor</i>	2017
Conference Travel Grant (\$1,000 x 3) <i>University of Michigan, Ann Arbor</i>	2017, 2016, 2014
Weinberg Summer Fellowship (\$4,000) <i>University of Michigan, Ann Arbor</i>	Summer 2015
Phi Kappa Phi honor society University of Michigan, Ann Arbor	April 2015
Mellon Recruitment Fellowship (\$4,000) University of Michigan, Ann Arbor	April 2013
Rhodes Scholarship Finalist for Province of Ontario	December 2009
Public Interest Law Fellowship (\$5,000) Harvard Law School	2008
Prize for best undergraduate essay in philosophy (x 2) York University	2006, 2007
Queen Elizabeth II Merit Scholarship (\$14,000) Province of Ontario	2003-2007
Trounce of Ontario	
Teaching (as primary instructor)	
	Spring 2018
Teaching (as primary instructor) Statistics, Ethics, and Law	Spring 2018 Spring 2018
Teaching (as primary instructor) Statistics, Ethics, and Law Caltech Probability, Evidence and Belief	, .
Teaching (as primary instructor) Statistics, Ethics, and Law Caltech Probability, Evidence and Belief Caltech Knowledge and Reality	Spring 2018
Teaching (as primary instructor) Statistics, Ethics, and Law Caltech Probability, Evidence and Belief Caltech Knowledge and Reality Caltech Nature of Science	Spring 2018 Fall 2017
Teaching (as primary instructor) Statistics, Ethics, and Law Caltech Probability, Evidence and Belief Caltech Knowledge and Reality Caltech Nature of Science University of Michigan Knowledge and Reality	Spring 2018 Fall 2017 Fall 2016
Teaching (as primary instructor) Statistics, Ethics, and Law Caltech Probability, Evidence and Belief Caltech Knowledge and Reality Caltech Nature of Science University of Michigan Knowledge and Reality University of Michigan	Spring 2018 Fall 2017 Fall 2016
Teaching (as primary instructor) Statistics, Ethics, and Law Caltech Probability, Evidence and Belief Caltech Knowledge and Reality Caltech Nature of Science University of Michigan Knowledge and Reality University of Michigan Teaching (as TA) Philosophy, Politics & Economics	Spring 2018 Fall 2017 Fall 2016 Summer 2016

Experience

Stikeman Elliott, LLP Litigation Associate	Toronto 2012–2013
Quinn, Emanuel, Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP Litigation Associate	Los Angeles 2010–2012
Kirkland & Ellis, LLP Summer Associate (offer extended)	New York Summer 2009
Petrie-Flom Center for Health Law Policy, Biotechnology & Bioethics Research Assistant for I. Glenn Cohen, Harvard Law School	Cambridge, MA 2009–2010
UNIDROIT Research Associate for International Commercial Contracts Group	Rome, IT Summer 2008

Professional Service

Reviewer for the following publications: *Philosophy of Science, Synthese, Erkenntnis, American Philosophical Quarterly*

10th Workshop in Decisions, Games and Logic Organizer (Co-), \$10,000 from various funding sources	June 2019
Philosopher's Annual <i>Editor (Volumes 35 and 36)</i>	2017, 2016
Foundations of Belief and Decision Making Workshop Coordinator (and Co-founder), \$11,000 in grant funding	2015-2017
9th Workshop in Decisions, Games and Logic Organizer (Co-), \$4,000 from various funding sources	July 2015
Graduate Student Faculty Representative University of Michigan Department of Philosophy	2015-2016
University of Michigan, Department of Philosophy Spring Colloquium Organizer (Co-), \$8,000 grant funding	Spring 2015
Graduate Student Representative to Rackham Forum University of Michigan Department of Philosophy	2014-2015
Law & Philosophy Working Group Coordinator (Co-), \$12,000 grant funding	2013-2015
Harvard Journal of Law and Technology Editor	2007-2009

Computer Skills

R, Python, SAS, JAGS, Stan

Memberships & Affiliations

State Bar of California

US Federal District Court for the Northern District of California

Provincial Bar of Ontario (i.e., Law Society of Upper Canada)

References

Research...

James Joyce

C. H. Langford Collegiate Professor of Philosophy, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor office. 734. 763. 2120 cell. 734. 330. 6849 jjoyce@umich.edu

Peter Railton

Gregory S. Kavka
Distinguished University
Professor,
University of Michigan,
Ann Arbor
office. 734. 763. 2122
cell. 734. 395. 1350
prailton@umich.edu

Brian Weatherson

Marshall M. Weinberg Professor of Philosophy, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor office. 734.764.6285 weath@umich.edu

Teaching.

Elizabeth Anderson

John Dewey Distinguished University Professor, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor office. 734. 763. 2118 eandersn@umich.edu

Graduate Coursework

Statistics

Random Processes (Ohwadi, Caltech)

Probability (Tamuz, Caltech)

Statistical Computing (Bois, Caltech)

Advanced Bayesian Inference (J. Kang)

Survival Analysis (Li)

Machine Learning (Nguyen)

Linear Models (Thelen)

Statistical Inference (M. Kang)

Probability Theory (Braun)

Game Theory II (Lupia)

Game Theory I (Osgood)

Philosophy

Decision Theory (Joyce)

Formal Methods (Moss)

Philosophy of Mathematics (Tappenden)

Advanced Logic (Goldfarb, Harvard, audit)

Epistemology (Williamson)

Epistemology (Lasonen-Aarnio, audit)

Formal Epistemology (Joyce)

Metaphysics (Manley, audit)

David Lewis Seminar (Weatherson)

Quine (Goldfarb, Harvard)

Wittengstein (Goldfarb, Harvard)

Moral Psychology (Railton)

Normative Uncertainty (Weatherson)

Plato (Evans)

Hume (Loeb, audit)

Law (Harvard, selected advanced courses)

Public Law Workshop (Minow/Fallon, by admission)

Constitutional Law, Fourteenth Amendment (Minow)

Constitutional Law, First Amendment (Feldman)

Comparative Constitutional Law (Michelman)

Separation of Powers (Kavanaugh)

Administrative Law (Rakoff)

International Law (Goldsmith)

International Law Theory (Alford)

Federal Litigation (Rosenberg)

Dissertation Abstract

While risk analysis is central in ordinary decision theory, it is almost entirely absent in the decision-theoretic assessment of partial beliefs or credences. As a result, in my doctoral dissertation I propose a general theory of *epistemic risk*. This theory is broadly inspired by C.S. Peirce and his notion of the "economy of research."

In the first two chapters, I motivate and develop a general measure of epistemic risk. I suggest that one probability measure is riskier than another if an agent who adopts the first as her credence function, instead of the second, incurs a potential gain in cognitive utility as well as a corresponding potential cost (where cognitive utility is measured by a statistical loss function). The most conservative probability measure is the one that guarantees a certain outcome in terms of cognitive utility. The measure of epistemic risk is then given as a smooth monotonic function of the difference between the loss to the agent, if they move away from the truth, and the gain, if they move closer to it. This measure is similar in important respects to the Rothschild/Stiglitz notion of risk in terms of stochastic dominance for ordinary economic prospects. In the simple case where we are formulating a credence about a single proposition, the intended interpretation of this risk function is that risk increases monotonically with potential increases in marginal inaccuracy in the direction of false positive (Type I) or false negative (Type II) errors. A symmetric risk function indicates indifference between error types whereas an asymmetric one reflects different kinds of trade-offs an agent might make.

I show that under modest regularity conditions, this notion of risk is dual to a generalized notion of information entropy. In particular, risk is a scaled reflection of generalized information entropy so that their sum is always constant. That is,

$$Risk + Entropy = k$$

Like entropy, epistemic risk forms a partial order on a Bayesian agent's set of potential prior credence functions. As a result, thinking about credences from a risk perspective offers a novel approach to identifying an appropriate prior. If we know (1) the shape of an agent's risk function and (2) their attitude to epistemic risk (together, I call this the agent's 'risk profile') then we can determine the prior they ought to hold, by their own lights. For example, it is often argued that an agent ought to choose a prior by maximizing Shannon information entropy. My approach makes clear that this is true only if the agent is both extremely conservative and indifferent to different types of error. This is a highly specific (and unusual) risk profile.

In the third chapter, I extend this theory of epistemic risk to the updating context, where I defend the claim that dynamic epistemic risk is given by cross-entropic change, because the minimum cross-entropy posterior credence function is the unique credence function that preserves the epistemic risk profile reflected in the agent's prior credences. And in most cases, the minimum cross-entropy posterior is indeed the posterior recommended by Bayesian conditioning. Therefore, the theory of epistemic risk I propose also yields a novel defense of updating by Bayesian conditioning.

In the final chapter, I apply the epistemic risk framework to legal decision-making. In particular, I explain how attitudes toward epistemic risk affect a judge or jury's subjective interpretation of the burden of proof and their assessment of statistical evidence. By recasting the debate over statistical evidence in terms of sensitivity to epistemic risk, the account I propose enables us to understand, and resolve, some well-known paradoxes in the theory of evidence law.