Overall Opinion:

MySwag iPhone Application Development Project

I think that the overall project draft is a solid paper for the MySwag iPhone app. There were minimal grammar errors and the writer has seemed to put an honest effort into their project for this course. I believe the writer has a solid timeline and will be able to complete this project on time for the fall semester. In the project draft the writer has hit all the main points for each of sub categories of their paper. Problems that I have found with the paper are spacing issues and indentations when starting a new paragraph. Besides this I wish the writer was able to go into more detail about the "Knowledge being applied to project", "Risk Factors", "works to be performed by others". These are interesting points that I would like to know more about. I assume the writer knows that for the final project they must have 10 sources needed for the final paper. Overall this is a good draft for the final paper and the writer should be confident in their ability to successfully finish their project.

Writing:

As I have stated in my overall assumption the paper is written well and in proper English. There were a few spacing error where paragraphs should be indented and should be space away from the other paragraph but overall they have done a good job on editing their paper and making sure there are no errors in their paper. One thing to look out for is to make

sure all titles are formatted the same. For example most of the sub categories are bolded and highlighted in blue but a few categories like, project details were not blue or bolded. Since the writer is not arguing a thesis they did not have to state one and argue it all the way through. The writer spent more time in the

project details section describing the steps they took in order to get to the point that they are at now. The writer did this in an effective way where I was able to understand what step they were at during different times and they provided a clear explanation on where there struggles were during their project the writer has done a good job at writing this paper.

References:

The author does not have all ten references. The author only has six references in the reference section of their paper. Even though the author does not have all ten of the references they do utilize the ones they have in their draft while they are writing in the project detail section and they do make good use of this. Also it is easy to figure out which reference they were citing in their paper. I don't think the writer would need to go over ten references because they are making an app and not stating a thesis so they don't need to find more sources in order to argue anything though I think screen shots of their app in the paper would be useful for them.

Tables and Figures:

The author adds tables but has no figures to the draft. The tables are good because they out line and show the reader the timeline and process of going through this project. But I believe that the author should add some figures to his paper about the app. Screen shots of his

app being developed or just the icon of the app to show the reader what the app looks like in the store. What the author must defiantly add is screen shots of the software development tools that they are using because this will help the reader feel more involved with the author's development process. Not only is that but a visual reference is very helpful for people who are not

familiar with the applications you are using but it is also useful as a talking point in your paper.

Format:

The format of this draft meets the requirements in the syllabus hitting each of the sections listed in the syllabus. Just remember some of the sections should be filled out just a little more so the reader has a strong understanding of what is going on.

Topic:

In my opinion the topic should be able to be completed in one semester. The other has chosen and created a realistic plan and if followed correctly the author will be done with enough time to make a presentation for the professor. Scope of the project should not be

narrowed it fits just fine. The only thing that I think the author could add is figures to the paper. I do agree with the evaluation of the topic and I believe it is just and proper for them to go through with research and the project. Since the author is arguing a thesis I cannot answer the rest of the questions for the topic. But I believe the author has chosen a good topic and they are performing what they need to do in a timely manner.

Length:

The length of the paper is the proper length the author passed the minimum number of pages required for this draft. The only sections I feel the author should expand on are Knowledge being applied to project, and the sections following this one. They are in my opinion a little short and it feels the author rushed through the last parts.

Intellectual Content:

I feel the author has reflected an understanding of the topic. The author has proven to me that they have a strong understanding of what they are doing for the topic and can continue on with what they are doing. The author works is solely their own and did not build upon someone's work. As reader of this paper I feel that I have learned of the complexity of completing and creating an app even as simple as this one.