

Peer Review

Title

After reading the Peer Review of Denial of Service Attacks the paper does contain a title. I think the title does describe what the draft is about. The title, Denial of Service Attacks, tells me that the writer is going to explain what service attacks are and how users can prevent them from happening.

The main points that were made in the draft were the explanation of a DDOS is and why it is important, an explanation of their ideas, how the device is going to be used, an explanation of who helped, their resources, the materials and requirements that were needed to complete the project, also a detailed explanation of the project and why the writer felt it was important.

The paper flowed well and did have some references but did not have any figures or tables. However, the writer did have some evidence as to when attacks had happened in the past which they referenced as well.

After reading the paper, I believe that the secondary idea did not need to be added. Even though it was related to his topic, it was not necessary to be included. As a reader, it was a bit confusing and almost distracted me from the original point.

Again, the flow of the paper was fine. I would have explained the importance at beginning so that readers understood why the writer was so passionate about service attacks. It would have more of an impact had it been at the beginning of the paper.

Citations

The paper did include several references however, there were only five references. The required amount of references was ten. After the writer used an explanation or evidence which was not original, they included cited references throughout the entire paper. The paper was written in APA style when the citations and references were used.

Wording and Formatting

When writing a paper, regardless of the topic, it is important to think about the reader. After reading the paper, technical jargon was used. The jargon needed more explanation and detail so that it can be easy to understand by others that are not familiar with IT language. Even though jargon was used, the paper was readable by a novice in the field. The paper flowed well making it easy for anyone to read.

The paper contained at least 10 pages and the headings and sections were appropriate for the paper. This made it easy to follow and easy for readers to understand.

Final Thoughts

The thesis was to educate readers that do not have knowledge on how computers can be an easy target for hackers and if you do not have the right tools to protect the safety of your device and personal information it can be harmful. After reading the paper, it was easy to see that the thesis was supported. The references also helped support the writer's thesis.

The type of information that I felt was missing was how the writer intends to introduce the product to the market. Also, who specifically would be the target audience.

The most important part was when the writer explained their goal and why they believed it was important. It seems that the writer has a clear vision on why they want to continue research on this topic.