

Title

1. Yes, there is a title. The document is titled “The State of Government Surveillance”. It does adequately describe what the draft topic is about.

Points

1. The main points of the document are to research and identify if government surveillance is an issue or is privacy protected by the Constitution. Another main point of this document is there such a thing called privacy in the age of information technology. The author points out that they understand and will argue both sides of the spectrum. Other points include the actions of the government, laws being broken, public opinion, and oversight.
2. The areas that need more support regard public opinion on government surveillance and oversight on government surveillance. The author mentioned these points but did not dive into how oversight should be handled cases of government surveillance. The author fails to go into detail on how these points will help prove his thesis.
3. I don’t think any main point should be removed but the authors main points are not really discussed. The author makes good claims but does fail to support his thesis and overall idea. The overall theme of this paper is understood but lacks detailed evidence to support the authors claim. Authors points should not be eliminated but elaborated on.
4. The main points are stated in order in this document. The author discusses the overall theme of government surveillance. The author follows up by discussing the issues surrounding government surveillance such as public opinion, government actions, and oversight.

Citations

1. No, there are no (0) citations listed under references. The author should go and cite the references used to collect this information. The author can also provide scholarly journals/articles that help prove his point.
2. The author does not cite any references throughout his paper. I recommend the author go back and add references to this paper for authentication purposes.
3. The author does not reference any APA style in-text citations in the document.

Wording and Formatting

1. Some technical jargon is used and is clearly identified.
2. The document is readable by a novice in the field of government surveillance.
3. The document is at least 10 pages but missing critical information.

Final Thoughts

1. After recent terrorists attacks around the world government surveillance of foreign citizens and US have increased. Government surveillance, if executed properly, can be help against terrorist activities. With that comes the view of the public, the actions of the government, and the oversight to regulate such actions.
2. Unfortunately, after reading this paper the thesis is not supported by evidence.
3. There is a ton of information missing from this document. First, there needs to be a clear main point. I'm not saying you shouldn't argue both side but it is better to argue a side a prove why it is or is not wrong for government surveillance. Secondly, the main points are just stated and not argued for or against. The main points should have an argument that clearly defines its relationship to the theme of the essay. Lastly, references are not listed in this document.

4. I think the most important thing said in this paper has to do with the issue of government surveillance because it is a controversial issue that will have effects on governments and the public.