

Title

1. Is there a title? Does the title adequately describe what the draft is about?

The title which I believe gives a paper a justifiable introduction. A good title should always be in favor of the article and the two must agree on the concept presented. In this paper, there is no title given rather, the analysis starts immediately with the objectives of the paper. The paper also lacks an abstract which is important as it allows readers to understand the paper immediately without having to read it entirely.

Main Points

1. List the main points made in the draft, in order that they are presented in the paper.

The main points are as follows:-

- The objectives of the paper
 - Starts with a summary of NFC history
 - First objective is to study the implications of the NFC technology to the current technology
 - Second objective is to investigate the potential benefits of the NFC technology
- Project plan starts by gathering necessary data from the scholarly sources in the local library and the internet
- Advantages of using NFC:-
 - Improved file sharing
 - Improved device synchronization
 - Contactless payment
 - Social networking platform

- Easy service delivery
 - Potential benefit is in the advertisement service
 - Knowledge required to carry out the research study is the modern telecommunication course within IT.
 - No risk factor foreseen
2. Which main points need more support? (more evidence, more tables/figures, more references, etc.)
- The brief history requires more details collaborating the statements either as factors or as hearsay.
 - More explanation regarding the failure to have risk factors.
3. Which main points (if any) should be eliminated, as they do not contribute to the overall theme of the paper?

I believe that all the main points are very valid and relevant

4. Are the main points out of order? If so, how would you reorder the paper?

I believe that the main points are in order because they maintain a cautious flow of nature of the resented work. However, I find it strange to have the brie history on NFC presented in the objective chapter.

Citations

1. Are there at least 10 references?

There are more than 10 references and all seem friendly.

2. Is each of the references cited at least once in the paper?

No, very few are cited in the body raising contention over the nature of the research study itself.

3. Is the APA style of in-text citations and references used?

The author has used the in text citation to the in text citations are properly done in APA style and the other the word formatting to.

Wording and Formatting

1. If technical jargon is used, is it is defined and explained clearly?

Technical jargon is employed but not so much. The interviewers also meant the company has to acquire the community at large.

2. Is the paper readable by a novice in the field?

The paper is very much understandable by a rookie in the field as it does not use a lot of technical terms. The paper is also understandable by a person who has a faint knowledge on technology advancements such as the NFC. It does not demand a lot of knowledge in order to decipher the meaning of the research it contains.

3. Is the paper at least 10 pages?

The paper is not long enough for ten pages. The paper is eight pages long but it articulates the necessary information regarding the suggested research.

4. Are the sections and headings appropriate for the content within them?

I also do believe that the sections and headlines as presented are appropriate for this study. This is because the section and headlines help in relaying the specific chain of thoughts necessary in this study. Most of the information is relevant too.

Final Thoughts

1. In two to three sentences describe the thesis of this paper?

The thesis of this paper is to determine the benefits of the NFC technology and portray the NFC technology as the best and a step forward in the right direction. In addition, the

researchers hope that the information will help steer the implementation after the match.

Therefore, NFC technology is good for implementation as soon as possible.

2. After reading the paper, is the thesis supported?

The thesis is supported by the research as the work done so far is to find information necessary in regarding to the case itself.

3. What kinds of information, if any, are missing?

4. What was the single most important thing said in the paper?

The single most important thing said in this paper is the overall potential benefits that the DP is watching.