

Peer Review #2

Title

There is a title, and it does not describe the draft at all. The title is “Types of Fitness Tracker and its Impact”, not once was the impact mentioned, there was a lot of facts that steer off what the paper is supposed to be about.

The reader assumes you will tell them what each of these devices will do for them, not what features they have, and who made them.

Main Points

The author is trying to support whether the devices are safe or not, but does not give evidence as to why they wouldn't be safe. A supporting article, that is well reference could help the readers understand why it is not safe, and or not. That was poorly written, and seem extremely rushed.

Another point that the author tried to make was to see which device is the best, but nowhere in the draft is there evidence supporting why it wouldn't be safe in the first place, you can't make bold statements as such without supporting them otherwise.

I think the author needs to get rid of the idea of what device was safe or not, the device has been FDA approved, we know it is safe by design, the real question that should be asked if whether it is accurate? And how accurate are they amongst each other, so finding out which competitor makes better technology is a much better argument then the current one.

Citation

There are 9 citations, and when you get them from easy bib please remove N.P...n.d. it just means for that subsection nothing was filled, and or applicable. You are still missing one more citation.

There is also no in text citation as all if not most of the paper seem to be opinionated instead of factual, as you add facts please add the author name, and or site where you got it from as a supporting branch for your content.

I could not tell if it was APA style.

Wordings and Formatting

I think the only jargon I ran into was JAMA, I personally don't know what it means, and maybe an acronym for some sort of study, but it would be nice for the reader to understand what it means as well.

This paper is rather elementary, so it is readable by a novice, but lacks structure, and depth. The paper seemed rushed, and spaces were created to make 10 pages, but in reality this was actually 4 pages with a lot of spacing.

You do not need to title each page, just introduce your paragraph as such “Now I will talk about the risk associated with....”

Final Thoughts

The thesis was rather messy, “through this research I am hoping to convince the reader that this is a great technology to wear which is not only safe but also helps you keep your health on track. Also, by doing this research I will show them what different impact this technology will bring into their health”

You said the same thing twice in two different wording within the thesis, it was poorly written, and needs to be rewritten with your reader in mind.

As stated earlier this was a poorly written paper, I don’t think there is enough substance to prove your thesis, nor does your thesis makes sense to begin with, a safer bet would be to challenge the accuracy of these devices amongst your competitor, it is obviously safe unless you can support someone has died from this, and cite that. You also stated different impact, what does that mean? What impact are we talking about there is so much missing that just reading the thesis alone adds confusion.

I didn’t see much importance said in this paper, I read it three times, and was confused each time. There is a lot of error, spelling, grammar, proofread is one word not two, imporved I think was meant to be written as improved, not enough if any facts, and of the facts you do have most of them didn’t make sense.

For example Fitbit is not owned, nor was it created by Apple, it is a public company of its own, and please do more research. Here you can find more information
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fitbit>

One last thing, I believe a paragraph once started with “According to me...” You don’t need to say that, the reader can almost differentiate when you are giving your opinions, and facts with supporting citations.

Good luck on your paper, and hopefully my words of advice could help you!