

Peer Review 2

The Project Draft that I reviewed did not have a title. The main points outlined in the draft are as follows: Objective, Client, Project Plan, and the Risk associated with the project. I thought that this was a novel idea for a mobile application, and after thinking about it I am a little surprised that an application aimed at finding the most economical means of travel hasn't yet been developed, or at least gained popularity. Overall, the premise of the project and the main points are supported sufficiently throughout. However, the Client section could provide more information as to who the target audience is for this application. From what I can tell, the main points are in an appropriate order and do not need to be reorganized. In the table that displays the timeline, the tasks allotted to each time frame have more to do with the completion of the deliverables for the IT Capstone project, rather than the mobile application itself. There is no part of the table that includes when actual application development will occur. I am not sure if this is the correct way to go about it, but I may be mistaken.

The table of resources needed for the project is very thorough and provides a good amount of insight as to what is necessary in mobile application development. At the beginning of the Risk section, I do not understand the reference made to the online graduation petition or how that has anything to do with the application. The description of the project details is quite informative and does a good job of describing the interface that the user can expect to see. There are not any in-text citations anywhere in the paper, as far as I can tell. Although in the references section, there are 10 references listed. The APA guidelines are followed in the formulation of the Reference section.

There isn't really any technical jargon in the Project Draft that needed to be explained further. However, the acronyms API and GET could have been explained so that it could be understood by readers who may be unfamiliar. For the most part, aside from a few acronyms, this paper could be understood by a novice in the field. The table of contents should start at page 1, rather than 3. Including the cover page and table of contents, this draft is exactly 10 pages

long. The section headers are appropriate for the content found within them. In reading this draft, I would assume that the thesis would be along the lines of “If this mobile application is done well-enough, it will be accepted by the Apple Mobile App Store” assuming that the end-goal is to develop a useful application that will be accepted and downloaded by many people. Information that should have been included is what the specific end-goal of the project is, and who is the target consumer? The single most important thing said in the paper is “The objective of this project is to create a simple and intuitive mobile IOS application that helps to find economical cheap rides from point A to point B.” because it most efficiently gets the point across as to what the paper is about, and what the project is. That sentence alone would be informative enough for anyone to understand what they are about to read. As a whole, I really liked the idea of an application aimed at saving users money in traveling to destinations, and thought that this Project Draft was very well put-together and got the points across that it needed to.