**Peer Review**

From reading this topic submission I have gathered that the author is trying to prove that cloud computing is not advanced enough to become the future technology of computing due to an uncertain amount of security flaws. The author has clearly stated their side on the topic and they have clearly stated the hypothesis. I think the author could have provided a little more background information on cloud computing and why they believe that the technology is not developed enough to allow it to become the future technology of computing. There are many industries that conduct business online and they have very mature business models that could disprove this thesis. I think the author should look into the types of cloud computing that are currently available to consumers/businesses and research the type of encryption that is being used. The author did a good job introducing the topic but they could have provided more detailed information and used real world examples to support their thesis. The thesis feels like it is based off a personal opinion instead of a well formulated testable hypothesis.

I would be interested in seeing why the security flaws outweigh the level of productivity that cloud computing offers. I think it is a general understanding that any software will contain security flaws but those flaws generally do not outweigh the pros of using the product. Therefore, you will have to provide specific examples of instances where security flaws could lead to catastrophic data loss in case of a breach.

I think the project is definitely possible to complete in one semester and the timeline makes sense. It seems to coincide with the project due dates. I would just add a few dates between the peer review and project draft for research so you have sufficient time before the project draft is due.

I think this will be an interesting project and I will look forward to seeing what information you came up with. I know that security is a top concern in this era so I am sure you will be able to find lots of information to back up your thesis as well as maybe disproving it. I thought the paper was written well and it offered lots of external resources that could be reviewed.