

Peer Review Guide

Read the entire draft paper you have been assigned. Answer each of the following questions with a few sentence response. Your entire Peer Review should amount to 2 pages of written text.

Title

1. Is there a title? Does the title adequately describe what the draft is about?
 - Yes, there is a title. The author uses the subject that he or she is covering as the title of the paper. I believe there could be a better title that describes the paper better.

Main Points

1. List the main points made in the draft, in order that they are presented in the paper.
 - How the product got it start as a Kick Starter.
 - Oculus Rift is virtual reality head-mounted display.
 - Frist to be released.
 - Features of the head set.
 - Testing the prototype.
2. Which main points need more support? (more evidence, more tables/figures, more references, etc.)
 - All the main points are valid, however the use of the product should be elaborated more on and why someone would use this technology. The author does mention that it is a gaming technology but should stated it earlier in the description part for

the readers who many not know what VR headsets are.

3. Which main points (if any) should be eliminated, as they do not contribute to the overall theme of the paper?

- All the main points should be kept in the writing and do contribute to the authors point. So I would not take out any main points.

4. Are the main points out of order? If so, how would you reorder the paper?

- The only main point that I think should be moved is what the purpose of this VR headset servers as I stated earlier. It should be moved to the description section I believe.

Citations

1. Are there at least 10 references?

- Yes, there are 10 references.

2. Is each of the references cited at least once in the paper?

- No.

3. Is the APA style of in-text citations and references used?

- No, there just links.

Wording and Formatting

1. If technical jargon is used, is it is defined and explained clearly?

- Yes, there are few technical jargon used in the writing but the author des define them and some are not defined.

2. Is the paper readable by a novice in the field?

- Yes, for the most part anyone can read it and understand what the author is

stating.

3. Is the paper at least 10 pages?
 - No, just eight pages including the title page and table of contents.
4. Are the sections and headings appropriate for the content within them?
 - Yes, each section is appropriately labeled and easy for the reader to find.

Final Thoughts

1. In two to three sentences describe the thesis of this paper?
 - The thesis of this paper is regards to how this product will exceed its future sales. Also, how it will change the gaming platforms by breaking records. The author also mentions the risk factor and how it will be better than past projects dealing with virtual reality.
2. After reading the paper, is the thesis supported?
 - The thesis of this paper does not really match up with the content of the writing. Many the author should provided information on future sales, demand, usage, risk, reviews, and other technologies that compete with it.
3. What kinds of information, if any, are missing?
 - The author should add date of the sales, competition, and risk of the product to support the thesis.
4. What was the single most important thing said in the paper?
 - "It will break all the records held by all the other gaming platforms."

Abdullahi Mohamed

- This is important to me (I do not know much about the gaming industry) because in gaming all you have is PS4 or Xbox one and not much about other platforms. The only platform that I know that will or can take over is mobile gaming i.e. using your mobile phone.