1. What is the author's thesis or testable hypothesis? After reading the submission is it clear to you what the author is attempting to do? Has the author clearly stated their stance/position on the issue and what they will test or measure?

Information was slightly hard to decode, but the student wants to research the 2015 breach of the Office of Personnel Management, the student believes that the government needs to restructure their security systems when storing sensitive information in order to decrease the amount of future breaches.

2. Is the document well written? You do not need to point out every grammatical error. However, you should point out any logical errors and highlight a few grammatical and syntactical errors.

Like stated in the first response, the proposal seemed a little drawn out, specifically in the hypothesis, it would have been easier to read if the hypothesis was presented first, and then all information on personal stance was presented, instead of all mashed into a few paragraphs.

3. Is the timeline feasible? Given what the author described and the timetable they proposed, can this project reasonably be completed in one semester?

Yes it seems like a good timeline, but I believe that if the student finds their sources as soon as possible they will have a better chance of receiving a higher grade

4. Any comments for improving the project – such as resources to look at or ideas to try

Try to look up breaches with similar circumstances that were caused by the government. (Outdated hardware with software that wasn't designed to run on it)