

Analysis of Directional Data

Introduction

Examples

Wish to analyze data in which response is a “direction”:

- 2d directional data are called circular data
- 3d directional data are called spherical data
- not all “directional” data are directions in the usual sense
- “directional” data may also arise in higher dimensions

Wind Directions

- Recorded at Col de la Roa, Italian Alps
- $n = 310$ (first 40 listed below)
- Radians, clockwise from north
- Source: Agostinelli (CSDA 2007); also R package circular

Data

```
## |=====
## | 6.23 | 1.03 | 0.15 | 0.72 | 2.20
## | 0.46 | 0.63 | 1.45 | 0.37 | 1.95
## | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.33 | 0.09 | 0.09
## | 6.23 | 0.05 | 6.14 | 6.28 | 6.17
## | 6.24 | 6.02 | 6.14 | 6.25 | 0.01
## | 5.38 | 5.30 | 5.63 | 0.77 | 1.34
## | 6.14 | 0.22 | 6.23 | 2.33 | 3.61
## | 0.49 | 6.12 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.46
## |=====
```

Plot

Arrival Times at an ICU

- 24-hour clock times (format hrs.mins)
- $n = 254$ (first 32 listed below)
- Source: Cox & Lewis (1966); also Fisher (1993) and R package circular

Data

```
## |=====
## | 11.00 | 17.00 | 23.15 | 10.00
## | 12.00 | 8.45  | 16.00 | 10.00
## | 15.30 | 20.20 | 4.00  | 12.00
```




Figure 1:

```
## | 2.20 | 12.00 | 5.30 | 7.30
## | 12.00 | 16.00 | 16.00 | 1.30
## | 11.05 | 16.00 | 19.00 | 17.45
## | 20.20 | 21.00 | 12.00 | 12.00
## | 18.00 | 22.00 | 22.00 | 22.05
## |=====
```

Plot

Primate Vertebrae

- Orientation of left superior facet of last lumbar vertebra in humans, gorillas, and chimpanzees
- Source: Keifer (2005 UF Anthropology MA Thesis)

Plot of Human Data

Butterfly Migrations

- Direction of travel observed for 2649 migrating butterflies in Florida
- Source: Thomas J Walker, University of Florida, Dept of Entomology and Nematology
- Other variables:
 - site: 23 locations in Florida
 - observer: Thomas Walker (tw) or James J. Whitesell (jw)
 - species: cloudless sulphur (cs), gulf fritillary (gf), long-tailed skipper (lt)




Figure 2:

- distance to coast (km)
- date and time of observation
- percentage of sky free of clouds
- quality of sunlight: (b)right, (h)aze, (o)bstructed, (p)artly obstructed
- presence/absence and direction (N, NE, E, SE, S, SW, W, NW) of wind
- temperature

Why is the Analysis of Directional Data Different?

- First three observations from the wind directions data: `paste(round(wind[1:3], 2), collapse=",")`{.r .rundoc-block rundoc-language="R"}
- The mean of these three numbers is `round(mean(wind[1:3]), 2)`{.r .rundoc-block rundoc-language="R"}
{results(2.47)}
- What do you think?

Graphical Display of Directional Data

Graphical Display of Circular Data (in R)

- Have already seen simple dot plots for circular data, e.g., for the wind data:




Figure 3: Human Lumbar vertebra with right superior facet labelled as superior articulate process.




Figure 4: Orientation of left superior facets for samples of 18 chimpanzees (red), 16 gorillas (green) and 19 humans (blue).




Figure 5:

```

windc <- circular(wind, type = "angles", units = "radians",
                  template = "geographics")
require("circular")
par(mar=c(0,0,0,0)+0.1, oma=c(0,0,0,0)+0.1)
plot(windc, cex=1.5, axes=FALSE,
      bin=360, stack=TRUE, sep=0.035, shrink=1.3)
axis.circular(at=circular(seq(0, (7/4)*pi, pi/4),
                           template="geographics",
                           labels=c("N", "NE", "E", "SE", "S", "SW", "W", "NW"),
                           cex=1.4)
ticks.circular(circular(seq(0, (15/8)*pi, pi/8)),
               zero=pi/2, rotation="clock",
               tcl=0.075)

```

Graphical Display of Circular Data (in R) (ctd)

- and for the ICU data:

```

## Note that pch=17 does not work properly here.
par(mar=c(0,0,0,0)+0.1, oma=c(0,0,0,0)+0.1)
plot(fisherB1c, cex=1.5, axes=TRUE,
      bin=360, stack=TRUE, sep=0.035, shrink=1.3)

```

- and one more ...

Graphical Display of Circular Data (in R) (ctd)

Graphical Display of Circular Data (in R) (ctd)

```

par(mar=c(0,0,0,0)+0.1, oma=c(0,0,0,0)+0.1)
plot(fisherB10c$set1, units="degrees", zero=pi/2,
      rotation="clock", pch=16, cex=1.5)
ticks.circular(circular(seq(0, (11/6)*pi, pi/6)),
               zero=pi/2, rotation="clock", tcl=0.075)
points(fisherB10c$set2, zero=pi/2,
        rotation="clock", pch=16, col="darkgrey",
        next.points=-0.1, cex=1.5)
points(fisherB10c$set3, zero=pi/2,
        rotation="clock", pch=1,
        next.points=0.1, cex=1.5)

```

Circular Histograms

- Circular histograms exist (see Fisher and Mardia and Jupp) but is there a ready-made function in R?

Rose Diagrams

- Invented by Florence Nightingale (elected first female member of the Royal Statistical Society in 1859; honorary member of ASA)




Figure 6: Walking directions of long-legged desert ants under three different experimental conditions.

- Nightingale's rose in R (see also this post and the R graph catalog)
- Note that radii of segments are proportional to square root of the frequencies (counts), so that areas are proportional to frequencies. Is this the right thing to do?
- Rose diagrams suffer from the same problems as histograms. The impression conveyed may depend strongly on:
 - the binwidth of the cells
 - the choice of starting point for the bins

Adding a Rose Diagram to the Plot of Wind Directions

```
rose.diag(windc, bins=16, col="darkgrey",
          cex=1.5, prop=1.35, add=TRUE)
```

Adding a Rose Diagram to the Plot of Wind Directions




Figure 7: Wind direction data with rose diagram with segment areas are proportional to counts (segment radii are proportional to square roots of counts).




Figure 8:




Figure 9:

Changing the Binwidth

Fewer/Wider Bins

Narrow Bins

Changing the Radii

- I think that the default “radii proportional to counts” is generally best, but this is not always obvious. The scale certainly makes a big difference however.

```
rose.diag(windc, bins=16, col="darkgrey",  
          radii.scale="linear",  
          cex=1.5, prop=2.4, add=TRUE)
```

Changing the Radii




Figure 10: Wind direction data with rose diagram (segment radii proportional to counts).

Kernel Density Estimates

```
lines(density.circular(windc, bw=40), lwd=2, lty=1)
```




Figure 11: Wind direction data with rose diagram and kernel density estimate.

Kernel Density Estimates

Spherical Data

- Are there any canned routines for plotting spherical data in R?

Basic Summary Statistics

Mean Direction and Mean Resultant Length

- First three observations from the wind directions data:

```
## |=====
## | theta | x      | y
## | 6.23  | -0.06 | 1.00
## | 1.03  | 0.86  | 0.51
## | 0.15  | 0.15  | 0.99
## |=====
```

- resultant (sum of direction vectors): $(0.952, 2.5)$
- mean vector: $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (0.317, 0.833)$
- resultant length (Euclidean norm of resultant): $R = 2.675$
- mean resultant length: $\bar{R} = 0.892$
- mean direction: $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})/\bar{R} = (0.356, 0.934)$
- $\tilde{\theta} = 0.364$




Figure 12: First three observations from the wind directions data and their sample mean direction.

Plot

Aside: Generating from the Uniform Distribution on the Sphere

Generating Random Points on the Sphere

- Wish to generate a random “direction” in d -dimensions; i.e., an observation from the uniform distribution in the $d - 1$ sphere.
- Usual way: let $X \sim N_d(0, I)$ and return $U = X/||X||$.
- An alternative rejection sampler:
 - Repeat until $||X|| \leq 1$
 - * Let X be uniformly distributed on the cube $[-1,1]^d$
 - Return $U = X/||X||$
- What is the acceptance rate for the rejection sampler:
 - Volume of the $d - 1$ sphere is $\pi^{d/2}/\Gamma(d/2 + 1)$
 - Volume of $[-1,1]^d$ is 2^d
 - Acceptance rate is $(\pi^{1/2}/2)^d/\Gamma(d/2 + 1)$
 - Curse of dimensionality

```
## |=====
## | dimension      | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10
## | accept rate (%) | 79 | 52 | 31 | 16 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0
## |=====
```

Code for Timing Results

```
runifSphere <- function(n, dimension, method=c("norm", "cube", "slownorm")) {
  method <- match.arg(method)
  if (method=="norm") {
    u <- matrix(rnorm(n*dimension), ncol=dimension)
    u <- sweep(u, 1, sqrt(apply(u*u, 1, sum)), "/")
  } else if (method=="slownorm") {
    u <- matrix(nrow=n, ncol=dimension)
    for (i in 1:n) {
      x <- rnorm(dimension)
      xnorm <- sqrt(sum(x^2))
      u[i,] <- x/xnorm
    }
  } else {
    u <- matrix(nrow=n, ncol=dimension)
    for (i in 1:n) {
      x <- runif(dimension, -1, 1)
      xnorm <- sqrt(sum(x^2))
      while (xnorm > 1) {
        x <- runif(dimension, -1, 1)
        xnorm <- sqrt(sum(x^2))
      }
      u[i,] <- x/xnorm
    }
  }
  u
}
```

Easy fix for Borel's paradox in 3-d

Take longitude $\phi \sim U(0, 2\pi)$ independent of latitude $\theta = \arcsin(2U - 1)$, $U \sim U(0, 1)$.

Rotationally Symmetric Distributions

Comparison of Projected Normal and Langevin Distributions

One way that we might compare the $L(\mu, \kappa)$ and $PN(\gamma\mu, I)$ distributions by choosing κ and γ to give the same mean resultant lengths and comparing the densities of the cosine of the angle θ between U and μ .

Of course matching mean resultant lengths is not necessarily the best way to compare these families of distributions.

$$d = 2$$

$$d = 3$$

$$d = 4$$




Figure 13:




Figure 14:




Figure 15: