In [145]: # Loading in required libraries import pandas as pd import seaborn as sns import numpy as np # Reading in the Nobel Prize data nobel = pd.read_csv('datasets/nobel.csv') # Taking a look at the first several winners nobel.head(6) Out[145]: category prize_share | laureate_id full_name birth_date prize motivation laureate_type birth year The Nobel recognition Jacobus Prize in 1852-08-0 1901 Chemistry 160 of the 1/1 Henricus Rotterda Individual Chemistry 30 extraordinary van 't Hoff 1901 services ... The Nobel "in special Prize in 1839-03recognition Sully 1 1901 Literature 1/1 569 Individual Paris Literature of his poetic Prudhomme 16 1901 composit... The Nobel "for his work Prize in on serum Physiology **Emil Adolf** 1854-03-Hansdor 2 1901 Medicine 293 therapy, 1/1 Individual or von Behring 15 (Lawice) especially Medicine its... 1901 The Nobel Jean Henry 1828-05-3 1901 Peace 1/2 462 Peace NaN Individual Geneva Dunant 80 Prize 1901 The Nobel Frédéric 1822-05-1/2 **4** 1901 Peace Peace NaN 463 Individual Paris Passy 20 Prize 1901 The Nobel recognition Wilhelm Prize in 1845-03-Lennep **5** 1901 Physics Individual of the 1/1 1 Conrad **Physics** 27 (Remsch extraordinary Röntgen 1901 services ... In [146]: %%nose last_value = _ def test_pandas_loaded(): assert pd.__name__ == 'pandas', \ "pandas should be imported as pd" def test_seaborn_loaded(): assert sns.__name__ == 'seaborn', \ "seaborn should be imported as sns" def test_numpy_loaded(): assert np.__name__ == 'numpy', \ "numpy should be imported as np" import pandas as pd def test_nobel_correctly_loaded(): correct_nobel = pd.read_csv('datasets/nobel.csv') assert correct_nobel.equals(nobel), \ "The variable nobel should contain the data in 'datasets/nobel.csv'" def test_Wilhelm_was_selected(): assert "Wilhelm Conrad" in last_value.to_string(), \ "Hmm, it seems you have not displayed at least the first six entries of nobel. A fel low named Wilhelm Conrad Röntgen should be displayed." Out[146]: 5/5 tests passed 2. So, who gets the Nobel Prize? Just looking at the first couple of prize winners, or Nobel laureates as they are also called, we already see a celebrity: Wilhelm Conrad Röntgen, the guy who discovered X-rays. And actually, we see that all of the winners in 1901 were guys that came from Europe. But that was back in 1901, looking at all winners in the dataset, from 1901 to 2016, which sex and which country is the most commonly represented? (For country, we will use the birth_country of the winner, as the organization_country is NaN for all shared Nobel Prizes.) In [147]: # Display the number of (possibly shared) Nobel Prizes handed # out between 1901 and 2016 display(len(nobel)) # Display the number of prizes won by male and female recipients. display(nobel['sex'].value_counts()) # Display the number of prizes won by the top 10 nationalities. nobel['birth_country'].value_counts().head(10) 911 Male 836 Female 49 Name: sex, dtype: int64 Out[147]: United States of America 259 United Kingdom 85 Germany 61 France 51 Sweden 29 24 Japan Canada 18 Netherlands 18 Russia 17 Italy 17 Name: birth_country, dtype: int64 In [148]: %%nose last_value = _ correct_value = nobel['birth_country'].value_counts().head(10) def test_last_value_correct(): assert last_value.equals(correct_value), \ "The number of prizes won by the top 10 nationalities doesn't seem correct... Maybe check the hint?" Out[148]: 1/1 tests passed 3. USA dominance Not so surprising perhaps: the most common Nobel laureate between 1901 and 2016 was a man born in the United States of America. But in 1901 all the winners were European. When did the USA start to dominate the Nobel Prize charts? In [149]: # Calculating the proportion of USA born winners per decade nobel['usa_born_winner'] = nobel['birth_country'] == 'United States of America' nobel['decade'] = (np.floor(nobel['year'] / 10)*10).astype(int) prop_usa_winners = nobel.groupby('decade', as_index=False)['usa_born_winner'].mean() # Display the proportions of USA born winners per decade display(prop_usa_winners) decade usa_born_winner 0.017544 1900 1910 0.075000 1920 0.074074 0.250000 1930 1940 0.302326 1950 0.291667 1960 0.265823 1970 0.317308 1980 0.319588 1990 0.403846 **10** 2000 0.422764 2010 0.292683 In [150]: %%nose def test_decade_int(): assert nobel['decade'].dtype == "int64", \ "Hmm, it looks like the decade column isn't calculated correctly. Did you forget to conv ert it to an integer?" def test_correct_prop_usa_winners(): correct_prop_usa_winners = nobel.groupby('decade', as_index=False)['usa_born_winner'].me an() assert correct_prop_usa_winners.equals(prop_usa_winners), \ "prop_usa_winners should contain the proportion of usa_born_winner by decade. Don't forget to set as_index=False in the groupby() method." Out[150]: 2/2 tests passed 4. USA dominance, visualized A table is OK, but to see when the USA started to dominate the Nobel charts we need a plot! In [151]: # Setting the plotting theme sns.set() # and setting the size of all plots. import matplotlib.pyplot as plt plt.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = [11, 7] # Plotting USA born winners ax = sns.lineplot(x = 'decade', y = 'usa_born_winner', data = prop_usa_winners) # Adding %-formatting to the y-axis from matplotlib.ticker import PercentFormatter ax.yaxis.set_major_formatter(PercentFormatter(1.0)) 40.0% 35.0% 30.0% 25.0% Lug 20.0% 15.0% 10.0% 5.0% 0.0% 1900 1920 1940 1960 1980 2000 decade In [152]: %%nose def test_y_axis(): assert all(ax.get_lines()[0].get_ydata() == prop_usa_winners.usa_born_winner), \ 'The plot should be assigned to ax and have usa_born_winner on the y-axis' def test_x_axis(): assert all(ax.get_lines()[0].get_xdata() == prop_usa_winners.decade), \ 'The plot should be assigned to ax and have decade on the x-axis' Out[152]: 2/2 tests passed 5. What is the gender of a typical Nobel Prize winner? So the USA became the dominating winner of the Nobel Prize first in the 1930s and had kept the leading position ever since. But one group that was in the lead from the start, and never seems to let go, are *men*. Maybe it shouldn't come as a shock that there is some imbalance between how many male and female prize winners there are, but how significant is this imbalance? And is it better or worse within specific prize categories like physics, medicine, literature, etc.? # Calculating the proportion of female laureates per decade nobel['female_winner'] = nobel['sex'] == 'Female' prop_female_winners = nobel.groupby(['decade', 'category'], as_index=False)['female_winner']. mean() # Plotting USA born winners with % winners on the y-axis plt.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = [11, 7] ax = sns.lineplot(x = 'decade', y = 'female_winner', data = prop_female_winners, hue = 'category') # Adding %-formatting to the y-axis ax.yaxis.set_major_formatter(PercentFormatter(1.0)) category 40.0% Chemistry Literature Medicine 35.0% Peace Physics 30.0% Economics 25.0% 20.0% female 15.0% 10.0% 5.0% 1920 1940 1980 2000 1900 1960 decade In [154]: %%nose def test_correct_prop_usa_winners(): correct_prop_female_winners = nobel.groupby(['decade', 'category'], as_index=False)['fem ale_winner'].mean() assert correct_prop_female_winners.equals(prop_female_winners), \ "prop_female_winners should contain the proportion of female_winner by decade. Don't forget to set as_index=False in the groupby() method." def test_y_axis(): assert all(pd.Series(ax.get_lines()[0].get_ydata()).isin(prop_female_winners.female_winn 'The plot should be assigned to ax and have female_winner on the y-axis' def test_x_axis(): assert all(pd.Series(ax.get_lines()[0].get_xdata()).isin(prop_female_winners.decade)), \ 'The plot should be assigned to ax and have decade on the x-axis' Out[154]: 3/3 tests passed 6. The first woman to win the Nobel Prize The plot above is a bit messy as the lines are overplotting. But it does show some interesting trends and patterns. Overall the imbalance is pretty large with physics, economics, and chemistry having the largest imbalance. Medicine has a somewhat positive trend, and since the 1990s the literature prize is also now more balanced. The big outlier is the peace prize during the 2010s, but keep in mind that this just covers the years 2010 to 2016. Given this imbalance, who was the first woman to receive a Nobel Prize? And in what category? # Picking out the first woman to win a Nobel Prize nobel[nobel['sex']=='Female'].nsmallest(1, 'year') Out[155]: year category prize share laureate id laureate type prize motivation full_name | birth_date | birth_city The Nobel Marie recognition 1867-11-**19** 1903 Physics Prize in of the 1/4 6 Individual Curie, née Warsaw **Physics** Sklodowska extraordinary 1903 services ... 1 rows × 21 columns In [156]: %%nose last_value = _ def test_Marie_was_selected(): assert "Marie Curie" in last_value.to_string(), \ "Hmm, it seems you have not displayed the row of the first woman to win a Nobel Priz e, her first name should be Marie." Out[156]: 1/1 tests passed 7. Repeat laureates For most scientists/writers/activists a Nobel Prize would be the crowning achievement of a long career. But for some people, one is just not enough, and few have gotten it more than once. Who are these lucky few? (Having won no Nobel Prize myself, I'll assume it's just about luck.) In [157]: # Selecting the laureates that have received 2 or more prizes. nobel.groupby('full_name').filter(lambda group: len(group) >= 2) Out[157]: prize_share | laureate_id | laureate_type full_name | birth_date category prize motivation year The "in recognition Nobel Marie Curie, of the 1867-11-1903 Physics Prize in 1/4 6 Individual née 07 extraordinary Physics Sklodowska services ... 1903 The "in recognition Nobel Marie Curie, of her services 1867-11-1/1 62 1911 Chemistry Prize in 6 Individual née to the 07 Chemistry Sklodowska advance... 1911 The Comité Nobel international de Peace 1917 Peace 1/1 482 NaN Organization NaN la Croix Rouge Prize (Intern... 1917 The Comité Nobel international de **215** 1944 Peace Peace NaN 1/1 482 Organization NaN la Croix Rouge Prize (Intern... 1944 The "for his Nobel research into 1901-02-Linus Carl 1/1 **278** 1954 Chemistry Prize in 217 Individual Pauling the nature of 28 Chemistry the chemi... 1954 The Office of the Nobel **United Nations** 1/1 **283** 1954 Peace Peace NaN 515 Organization NaN High Prize Commissioner... 1954 The "for their Nobel 1908-05researches on **298** | 1956 | Physics 1/3 66 Individual Prize in John Bardeen 23 semiconductors Physics and th... 1956 The Nobel "for his work on Frederick 1918-08-222 **306** 1958 Chemistry Prize in the structure of Individual Sanger 13 Chemistry proteins, es... 1958 The Nobel 1901-02-Linus Carl **340** 1962 Peace Peace NaN 1/1 217 Individual **Pauling** 28 Prize 1962 The Comité Nobel international de 1/2 **348** | 1963 | Peace Peace NaN 482 Organization NaN la Croix Rouge Prize (Intern... 1963 The for their jointly Nobel 1908-05developed **424** 1972 Physics 1/3 Prize in 66 Individual John Bardeen 23 theory of Physics superco... 1972 The "for their Nobel Frederick 1918-08contributions **505** | 1980 | Chemistry Prize in 1/4 222 Individual Sanger 13 concerning the Chemistry determ... 1980 The Office of the Nobel **United Nations** 1/1 **523** 1981 Peace Peace NaN 515 Organization NaN High Prize Commissioner... 1981 13 rows × 21 columns In [158]: %%nose last_value = _ def test_something(): correct_last_value = nobel.groupby('full_name').filter(lambda group: len(group) >= 2) assert correct_last_value.equals(last_value), \ "Did you use groupby followed by the filter method? Did you filter to keep only thos e with >= 2 prises?" Out[158]: 1/1 tests passed 8. How old are you when you get the prize? The list of repeat winners contains some illustrious names! We again meet Marie Curie, who got the prize in physics for discovering radiation and in chemistry for isolating radium and polonium. John Bardeen got it twice in physics for transistors and superconductivity, Frederick Sanger got it twice in chemistry, and Linus Carl Pauling got it first in chemistry and later in peace for his work in promoting nuclear disarmament. We also learn that organizations also get the prize as both the Red Cross and the UNHCR have gotten it twice. But how old are you generally when you get the prize? In [159]: # Converting birth_date from String to datetime nobel['birth_date'] = pd.to_datetime(nobel['birth_date']) # Calculating the age of Nobel Prize winners nobel['age'] = nobel['year'] - nobel['birth_date'].dt.year # Plotting the age of Nobel Prize winners sns.lmplot(x = 'year',y = 'age',data = nobel, lowess = True, aspect = 2,line_kws={'color' : 'black'}) Out[159]: <seaborn.axisgrid.FacetGrid at 0x7f07f2946a58> 90 80 70 60 age 50 40 30 20 1900 1920 1940 1960 1980 2000 2020 year In [160]: %%nose $ax = _{-}$ def test_birth_date(): assert pd.to_datetime(nobel['birth_date']).equals(nobel['birth_date']), \ "Have you converted nobel['birth_date'] using to_datetime?" def test_year(): assert (nobel['year'] - nobel['birth_date'].dt.year).equals(nobel['age']), \ "Have you caluclated nobel['year'] correctly?" def test_plot_data(): assert list(ax.data)[0] in ["age", "year"] and list(ax.data)[1] in ["age", "year"], \ 'The plot should show year on the x-axis and age on the y-axis' # Why not this testing code? # def test_plot_data(): assert list(ax.data)[0] == "age" and list(ax.data)[1] == "year", $\$ 'The plot should show year on the x-axis and age on the y-axis' Out[160]: 3/3 tests passed 9. Age differences between prize categories The plot above shows us a lot! We see that people use to be around 55 when they received the price, but nowadays the average is closer to 65. But there is a large spread in the laureates' ages, and while most are 50+, some are very young. We also see that the density of points is much high nowadays than in the early 1900s -- nowadays many more of the prizes are shared, and so there are many more winners. We also see that there was a disruption in awarded prizes around the Second World War (1939 - 1945). Let's look at age trends within different prize categories. In [161]: # Same plot as above, but separate plots for each type of Nobel Prize sns.lmplot(x = 'year', y = 'age',data = nobel, row = 'category', lowess = True, aspect = 2,line_kws={'color' : 'black'}) Out[161]: <seaborn.axisgrid.FacetGrid at 0x7f07f2c84fd0> category = Chemistry 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 category = Literature 90 80 70 60 age 50 40 30 20 category = Medicine 90 80 70 60 age 50 40 30 20 category = Peace 90 80 70 60 age 50 40 30 20 category = Physics 90 80 70 60 ege 50 40 30 20 category = Economics 90 80 70 60 30 20 1920 1940 1960 1980 1900 2000 2020 year In [162]: %%nose $ax = _{-}$ def test_plot_data(): 'The plot should show year on the x-axis and age on the y-axis, with one plot row for ea ch category.' Out[162]: 1/1 tests passed 10. Oldest and youngest winners More plots with lots of exciting stuff going on! We see that both winners of the chemistry, medicine, and physics prize have gotten older over time. The trend is strongest for physics: the average age used to be below 50, and now it's almost 70. Literature and economics are more stable. We also see that economics is a newer category. But peace shows an opposite trend where winners are getting younger! In the peace category we also a winner around 2010 that seems exceptionally young. This begs the questions, who are the oldest and youngest people ever to have won a Nobel Prize? In [163]: # The oldest winner of a Nobel Prize as of 2016 display(nobel.nlargest(1, 'age')) # The youngest winner of a Nobel Prize as of 2016 nobel.nsmallest(1, 'age') motivation prize_share laureate_id laureate_type full_name birth_date birth_cit year category prize The "for having laid the Sveriges foundations Riksbank 1917-08-Leonid 1/3 **793** 2007 Economics 820 Individual Moscow 21 Hurwicz Prize in Economic | mechanism Scienc... 1 rows × 22 columns Out[163]: motivation | prize_share | laureate_id | laureate_type | full_name | birth_date | birth_city year category prize The "for their Nobel struggle 1997-07-Malala **885** | 2014 | Peace 914 Peace against the Individual Mingora Yousafzai 12 Prize suppression 2014 of... 1 rows × 22 columns In [164]: %%nose last_value = _ def test_oldest_or_youngest(): assert 'Hurwicz' in last_value.to_string() or 'Yousafzai' in last_value.to_string(), \ "Have you displayed the row of the oldest winner and the row of the youngest winne r?" Out[164]: 1/1 tests passed 11. You get a prize! Hey! You get a prize for making it to the very end of this notebook! It might not be a Nobel Prize, but I made it myself in paint so it should count for something. But don't despair, Leonid Hurwicz was 90 years old when he got his prize, so it might not be too late for you. Who knows. Before you leave, what was again the name of the youngest winner ever who in 2014 got the prize for "[her] struggle against the suppression of children and young people and for the right of all children to education"? In [165]: # The name of the youngest winner of the Nobel Prize as of 2016 youngest_winner = 'Malala Yousafzai' In [166]: %%nose import re def test_right_name(): assert re.match("(malala|yousafzai)", youngest_winner.lower()), \ "youngest_winner should be a string. Try writing only the first / given name."

Out[166]: 1/1 tests passed

1. The most Nobel of Prizes

take a look.

The Nobel Prize is perhaps the world's most well known scientific award. Except for the honor, prestige and substantial prize money the recipient also gets a gold medal showing Alfred Nobel (1833 - 1896) who established the prize. Every year it's given to scientists and scholars in the categories chemistry, literature, physics, physiology or medicine, economics, and peace. The first Nobel Prize was handed out in 1901, and at that time the Prize was very Eurocentric and male-focused, but

Well, we're going to find out! The Nobel Foundation has made a dataset available of all prize winners from the start of the prize, in 1901, to 2016. Let's load it in and

nowadays it's not biased in any way whatsoever. Surely. Right?