```
1. Scala's real-world project repository data
          With almost 30k commits and a history spanning over ten years, Scala is a mature programming language. It is a general-
          purpose programming language that has recently become another prominent language for data scientists.
          Scala is also an open source project. Open source projects have the advantage that their entire development histories -- who
          made changes, what was changed, code reviews, etc. -- are publicly available.
          We're going to read in, clean up, and visualize the real world project repository of Scala that spans data from a version control
          system (Git) as well as a project hosting site (GitHub). We will find out who has had the most influence on its development and
          who are the experts.
          The dataset we will use, which has been previously mined and extracted from GitHub, is comprised of three files:
           1. pulls_2011-2013.csv contains the basic information about the pull requests, and spans from the end of 2011 up
              to (but not including) 2014.
            2. pulls_2014-2018.csv contains identical information, and spans from 2014 up to 2018.
            3. pull_files.csv contains the files that were modified by each pull request.
In [53]: # Importing pandas
          import pandas as pd
          # Loading in the data
          pulls_one = pd.read_csv('datasets/pulls_2011-2013.csv')
          pulls_two = pd.read_csv('datasets/pulls_2014-2018.csv')
          pull_files = pd.read_csv('datasets/pull_files.csv')
In [54]: %%nose
          import pandas as pd
          def test_pulls_one():
              correct_pulls_one = pd.read_csv('datasets/pulls_2011-2013.csv')
              assert correct_pulls_one.equals(pulls_one), \
              "Read in 'datasets/pulls_2011-2013.csv' using read_csv()."
          def test_pulls_two():
              correct_pulls_two = pd.read_csv('datasets/pulls_2014-2018.csv')
              assert correct_pulls_two.equals(pulls_two), \
              "Read in 'datasets/pulls_2014-2018.csv' using read_csv()."
          def test_pull_files():
              correct_pull_files = pd.read_csv('datasets/pull_files.csv')
              assert correct_pull_files.equals(pull_files), \
              "Read in 'pull_files.csv' using read_csv()."
Out[54]: 3/3 tests passed
          2. Preparing and cleaning the data
          First, we will need to combine the data from the two separate pull DataFrames.
          Next, the raw data extracted from GitHub contains dates in the ISO8601 format. However, pandas imports them as regular
          strings. To make our analysis easier, we need to convert the strings into Python's DateTime objects. DateTime objects have
          the important property that they can be compared and sorted.
          The pull request times are all in UTC (also known as Coordinated Universal Time). The commit times, however, are in the
          local time of the author with time zone information (number of hours difference from UTC). To make comparisons easy, we
          should convert all times to UTC.
In [55]: # Append pulls_one to pulls_two
          pulls = pulls_two.append(pulls_one)
          print(pulls.head())
          # Convert the date for the pulls object
          pulls['date'] = pd.to_datetime(pulls['date'], utc=True)
                    pid
                               user
          0 163314316
                             hrhino 2018-01-16T23:29:16Z
             163061502 joroKr21 2018-01-15T23:44:52Z
             163057333 mkeskells 2018-01-15T23:05:06Z
          3 162985594
                             lrytz 2018-01-15T15:52:39Z
            162838837 zuvizudar 2018-01-14T19:16:16Z
In [56]: %%nose
          # one or more tests of the students code.
          # The @solution should pass the tests.
          # The purpose of the tests is to try to catch common errors and to
          # give the student a hint on how to resolve these errors.
          def test_pulls_length():
               assert len(pulls) == 6200, \setminus
               'The DataFrame pulls does not have the correct number of rows. Did you correctly append
           pulls_one to pulls_two?'
          def test_pulls_type():
              assert type(pulls['date'].dtype) is pd.core.dtypes.dtypes.DatetimeTZDtype, \
               'The date for the pull requests is not the correct type.'
Out[56]: 2/2 tests passed
          3. Merging the DataFrames
          The data extracted comes in two separate files. Merging the two DataFrames will make it easier for us to analyze the data in
          the future tasks.
In [57]: # Merge the two DataFrames
          data = pulls.merge(pull_files, on='pid')
          print(data.head())
                    pid
                           user
                                                         date \
          0 163314316 hrhino 2018-01-16 23:29:16+00:00
          1 163314316 hrhino 2018-01-16 23:29:16+00:00
          2 163314316 hrhino 2018-01-16 23:29:16+00:00
          3 163314316 hrhino 2018-01-16 23:29:16+00:00
             163314316 hrhino 2018-01-16 23:29:16+00:00
                    test/files/pos/t5638/Among.java
                   test/files/pos/t5638/Usage.scala
          1
                         test/files/pos/t9291.scala
                         test/files/run/t8348.check
          4 test/files/run/t8348/TableColumn.java
In [58]: %%nose
          # one or more tests of the students code.
          # The @solution should pass the tests.
          # The purpose of the tests is to try to catch common errors and to
          # give the student a hint on how to resolve these errors.
          def test_merge():
              assert len(data) == 85588, \
               'The merged DataFrame does not have the correct number of rows.'
          def test_merge_dataframes():
               correct_data = pulls.merge(pull_files, on='pid')
               also_correct_data = pull_files.merge(pulls, on='pid')
               assert correct data.equals(data) or \
                   also_correct_data.equals(data), \
                   "The DataFrames are not merged correctly."
Out[58]: 2/2 tests passed
          4. Is the project still actively maintained?
          The activity in an open source project is not very consistent. Some projects might be active for many years after the initial
          release, while others can slowly taper out into oblivion. Before committing to contributing to a project, it is important to
          understand the state of the project. Is development going steadily, or is there a drop? Has the project been abandoned
          altogether?
          The data used in this project was collected in January of 2018. We are interested in the evolution of the number of
          contributions up to that date.
          For Scala, we will do this by plotting a chart of the project's activity. We will calculate the number of pull requests submitted
          each (calendar) month during the project's lifetime. We will then plot these numbers to see the trend of contributions.
            • A helpful reminder of how to access various components of a date can be found in this exercise of Data Manipulation

    Additionally, recall that you can group by multiple variables by passing a list to groupby (). This video from <u>Data</u>

              Manipulation with pandas should help!
In [59]: %matplotlib inline
          # Create a column that will store the month
          data['month'] = data['date'].dt.month
          # Create a column that will store the year
          data['year'] = data['date'].dt.year
          # Group by the month and year and count the pull requests
          counts = data.groupby(['month', 'year'])['pid'].count()
          # Plot the results
          counts.plot(kind='bar', figsize = (12,4))
Out[59]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x7f8aec90d630>
           4000
           3000
           2000
           1000
               month,year
In [60]: \%\nose
          def test_group_and_count():
               assert len(counts) == 74, \
               "The data was not grouped correctly. The history only spans 74 months."
Out[60]: 1/1 tests passed
          5. Is there camaraderie in the project?
          The organizational structure varies from one project to another, and it can influence your success as a contributor. A project
          that has a very small community might not be the best one to start working on. The small community might indicate a high
          barrier of entry. This can be caused by several factors, including a community that is reluctant to accept pull requests from
          "outsiders," that the code base is hard to work with, etc. However, a large community can serve as an indicator that the project
          is regularly accepting pull requests from new contributors. Such a project would be a good place to start.
          In order to evaluate the dynamics of the community, we will plot a histogram of the number of pull requests submitted by each
          user. A distribution that shows that there are few people that only contribute a small number of pull requests can be used as in
          indicator that the project is not welcoming of new contributors.
          # Required for matplotlib
In [61]:
          %matplotlib inline
          # Group by the submitter
          by_user = data.groupby('user')['pid'].count()
          # Plot the histogram
          by_user.plot(kind='bar', figsize = (12,4))
Out[61]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x7f8aec8cf630>
           12000
           10000
            8000
            6000
            4000
            2000
In [62]: %%nose
          # one or more tests of the students code.
          # The @solution should pass the tests.
          # The purpose of the tests is to try to catch common errors and to
          # give the student a hint on how to resolve these errors.
          def test_by_user():
              assert len(by_user) == 467 or len(by_user) == 464, \setminus
               'The grouping by user is not correct'
Out[62]: 1/1 tests passed
          6. What files were changed in the last ten pull requests?
          Choosing the right place to make a contribution is as important as choosing the project to contribute to. Some parts of the
          code might be stable, some might be dead. Contributing there might not have the most impact. Therefore it is important to
          understand the parts of the system that have been recently changed. This allows us to pinpoint the "hot" areas of the code
          where most of the activity is happening. Focusing on those parts might not the most effective use of our times.
In [63]: # Identify the last 10 pull requests
          last_10 = pulls.sort_values(by='date').tail(10)
          # Join the two data sets
          joined_pr = pull_files.merge(last_10, on='pid')
          # Identify the unique files
          files = set(joined_pr['file'])
          # Print the results
          files
Out[63]: {'LICENSE',
            'doc/LICENSE.md',
           'doc/License.rtf',
           'project/VersionUtil.scala',
           'src/compiler/scala/reflect/reify/phases/Calculate.scala',
           'src/compiler/scala/tools/nsc/backend/jvm/BCodeHelpers.scala',
           'src/compiler/scala/tools/nsc/backend/jvm/PostProcessor.scala',
           'src/compiler/scala/tools/nsc/backend/jvm/analysis/BackendUtils.scala',
           'src/compiler/scala/tools/nsc/profile/AsyncHelper.scala',
           'src/compiler/scala/tools/nsc/profile/Profiler.scala',
           'src/compiler/scala/tools/nsc/symtab/classfile/ClassfileParser.scala',
           'src/compiler/scala/tools/nsc/typechecker/Contexts.scala',
           'src/library/scala/Predef.scala',
           'src/library/scala/concurrent/Lock.scala',
           'src/library/scala/util/Properties.scala',
           'src/reflect/scala/reflect/internal/pickling/ByteCodecs.scala',
           'src/reflect/scala/reflect/internal/tpe/GlbLubs.scala',
           'src/scaladoc/scala/tools/nsc/doc/html/page/Entity.scala',
           'src/scalap/decoder.properties',
           'test/files/neg/leibniz-liskov.check',
           'test/files/neg/leibniz-liskov.scala',
           'test/files/pos/leibniz-liskov.scala',
           'test/files/pos/leibniz_liskov.scala',
           'test/files/pos/parallel-classloader.scala',
           'test/files/pos/t10568/Converter.java',
           'test/files/pos/t10568/Impl.scala',
           'test/files/pos/t10686.scala',
           'test/files/pos/t5638/Among.java',
           'test/files/pos/t5638/Usage.scala',
           'test/files/pos/t9291.scala',
           'test/files/run/t8348.check',
           'test/files/run/t8348/TableColumn.java',
           'test/files/run/t8348/TableColumnImpl.java',
           'test/files/run/t8348/Test.scala'}
In [64]: | %%nose
          # one or more tests of the students code.
          # The @solution should pass the tests.
          # The purpose of the tests is to try to catch common errors and to
          # give the student a hint on how to resolve these errors.
          def test_last_10():
              assert len(last_10) == 10, \setminus
               'You need to select the last 10 pull requests.'
          def test_join():
              assert len(joined_pr) == 34, \
               'The join was not done correctly. You lost some pull requests in the process.'
          def test_no_files():
               assert len(files) == 34, \
               'You did not select the right number of pull requests.'
Out[64]: 3/3 tests passed
          7. Who made the most pull requests to a given file?
          When contributing to a project, we might need some guidance. We might find ourselves needing some information regarding
          the codebase. It is important direct any questions to the right person. Contributors to open source projects generally have
          other day jobs, so their time is limited. It is important to address our questions to the right people. One way to identify the right
          target for our inquiries is by using their contribution history.
          We identified src/compiler/scala/reflect/reify/phases/Calculate.scala as being recently changed. We are
          interested in the top 3 developers who changed that file. Those developers are the ones most likely to have the best
          understanding of the code.
In [65]: # This is the file we are interested in:
          file = 'src/compiler/scala/reflect/reify/phases/Calculate.scala'
          # Identify the commits that changed the file
          file_pr = data[data['file'] == file]
          # Count the number of changes made by each developer
          author_counts = file_pr.groupby('user').count()
          # Print the top 3 developers
          author_counts.nlargest(3, 'file')
Out[65]:
                    pid date file month year
               user
                             11 11
           xeno-by
                    11
                        11
                                        11
           retronym
                    5
                        5
           SOC
In [66]:
          %%nose
          # one or more tests of the students code.
          # The @solution should pass the tests.
          # The purpose of the tests is to try to catch common errors and to
          # give the student a hint on how to resolve these errors.
          def test_selecting_commits():
               assert len(file_pr) == 30, \
               'You did not filter the data on the right file.'
          def test_author_counts():
               assert len(author_counts) == 11, \
               'The number of authors is not correct.'
Out[66]: 2/2 tests passed
          8. Who made the last ten pull requests on a given file?
          Open source projects suffer from fluctuating membership. This makes the problem of finding the right person more
          challenging: the person has to be knowledgeable and still be involved in the project. A person that contributed a lot in the past
          might no longer be available (or willing) to help. To get a better understanding, we need to investigate the more recent history
          of that particular part of the system.
          Like in the previous task, we will look at the history of
          src/compiler/scala/reflect/reify/phases/Calculate.scala.
In [67]: file = 'src/compiler/scala/reflect/reify/phases/Calculate.scala'
          # Select the pull requests that changed the target file
          file_pr = pull_files[pull_files['file']==file]
          # Merge the obtained results with the pulls DataFrame
          joined_pr = pulls.merge(file_pr, on='pid')
          # Find the users of the last 10 most recent pull requests
          users_last_10 = set(joined_pr.nlargest(10, 'date')['user'])
          # Printing the results
          users_last_10
Out[67]: {'bjornregnell', 'retronym', 'soc', 'starblood', 'xeno-by', 'zuvizudar'}
In [68]: %%nose
          # one or more tests of the students code.
          # The @solution should pass the tests.
          # The purpose of the tests is to try to catch common errors and to
          # give the student a hint on how to resolve these errors.
          def test_join():
              assert len(joined_pr) == len(file_pr), \
               'The join was not done correctly. You lost some pull requests in the process.'
          def test_file_pr():
              assert len(joined_pr) == 30, \
               'The file does not have the correct number of pull requests.'
          def test_last_10():
               assert len(users_last_10) == 6, \
               'You did not select the right number of pull requests.'
Out[68]: 3/3 tests passed
          9. The pull requests of two special developers
          Now that we have identified two potential contacts in the projects, we need to find the person who was most involved in the
          project in recent times. That person is most likely to answer our questions. For each calendar year, we are interested in
          understanding the number of pull requests the authors submitted. This will give us a high-level image of their contribution
          trend to the project.
In [69]: %matplotlib inline
          # The developers we are interested in
          authors = ['xeno-by', 'soc']
          # Get all the developers' pull requests
          by_author = pulls[pulls['user'].isin(authors)]
          # Count the number of pull requests submitted each year
          counts = by_author.groupby([by_author['user'],
                                         by_author['date'].dt.year]).agg({'pid': 'count'}).reset_index()
          # Convert the table to a wide format
          counts_wide = counts.pivot_table(index='date', columns='user', values='pid', fill_value=0)
          # Plot the results
          counts_wide.plot(kind='bar', figsize = (12,4))
Out[69]: <matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x7f8ad768b828>
                                                                                                user
           250
                                                                                                 xeno-by
           200
           150
           100
            50
In [70]: | %%nose
          # one or more tests of the students code.
          # The @solution should pass the tests.
          # The purpose of the tests is to try to catch common errors and to
          # give the student a hint on how to resolve these errors.
          def test_author_pr():
              assert len(by_author) == 715, \
               "The wrong number of pull requests have been selected."
          def test_counts():
              assert len(counts) == 11, \
               'The data should span 6 years.'
Out[70]: 2/2 tests passed
          10. Visualizing the contributions of each developer
          As mentioned before, it is important to make a distinction between the global expertise and contribution levels and the
          contribution levels at a more granular level (file, submodule, etc.) In our case, we want to see which of our two developers of
          interest have the most experience with the code in a given file. We will measure experience by the number of pull requests
          submitted that affect that file and how recent those pull requests were submitted.
In [71]: | authors = ['xeno-by', 'soc']
          file = 'src/compiler/scala/reflect/reify/phases/Calculate.scala'
          # Select the pull requests submitted by the authors, from the `data` DataFrame
          by_author = data[data['user'].isin(authors)]
```

def test\_by\_author():
 assert len(by\_author) == 16999, \
 'Selecting by author did not produce the expected results.'

def test\_by\_file():
 assert len(by\_file) == 15, \
 'Selecting by file did not produce the expected results.'

# def test\_grouped():
 # assert len(grouped) == 4, \
 # 'There should be only 3 years that matches our data.'

def test\_by\_file\_wide():
 assert len(by\_file\_wide) == 3, \
 'There should be only 3 years that matches our data.'
Out[72]: 3/3 tests passed

# Select the pull requests that affect the file
by\_file = by\_author[by\_author['file']==file]

Out[71]: <matplotlib.axes.\_subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x7f8acdbc3da0>

# Transform the data into a wide format

# one or more tests of the students code.
# The @solution should pass the tests.

# Plot the results

10

2

In [72]: \%\nose

by\_file\_wide.plot(kind='bar')

# Group and count the number of PRs done by each user each year

SOC

# The purpose of the tests is to try to catch common errors and to

# give the student a hint on how to resolve these errors.

grouped = by\_file.groupby(['user', by\_file['date'].dt.year]).count()['pid'].reset\_index()

by\_file\_wide = grouped.pivot\_table(index='date', columns='user', values='pid', fill\_value=0)