


## 7.12 — Using declarations and using directives

---

 [learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/using-declarations-and-using-directives/](http://learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/using-declarations-and-using-directives/)

You've probably seen this program in a lot of textbooks and tutorials:

```
#include <iostream>

using namespace std;

int main()
{
    cout << "Hello world!\n";

    return 0;
}
```

Some older IDEs will also auto-populate new C++ projects with a similar program (so you can compile something immediately, rather than starting from a blank file).

If you see this, run. Your textbook, tutorial, or compiler are probably out of date. In this lesson, we'll explore why.

### A short history lesson

Back before C++ had support for namespaces, all of the names that are now in the `std` namespace were in the global namespace. This caused naming collisions between program identifiers and standard library identifiers. Programs that worked under one version of C++ might have a naming conflict with a newer version of C++.

In 1995, namespaces were standardized, and all of the functionality from the standard library was moved out of the global namespace and into namespace `std`. This change broke older code that was still using names without `std::`.

As anyone who has worked on a large codebase knows, any change to a codebase (no matter how trivial) risks breaking the program. Updating every name that was now moved into the `std` namespace to use the `std::` prefix was a massive risk. A solution was requested.

Fast forward to today -- if you're using the standard library a lot, typing `std::` before everything you use from the standard library can become repetitive, and in some cases, can make your code harder to read.

C++ provides some solutions to both of these problems, in the form of using-statements.

But first, let's define two terms.

## Qualified and unqualified names

A name can be either qualified or unqualified.

A **qualified name** is a name that includes an associated scope. Most often, names are qualified with a namespace using the scope resolution operator (::). For example:

```
std::cout // identifier cout is qualified by namespace std
::foo // identifier foo is qualified by the global namespace
```

For advanced readers

A name can also be qualified by a class name using the scope resolution operator (::), or by a class object using the member selection operators (. or ->). For example:

```
class C; // some class

C::s_member; // s_member is qualified by class C
obj.x; // x is qualified by class object obj
ptr->y; // y is qualified by pointer to class object ptr
```

An **unqualified name** is a name that does not include a scoping qualifier. For example, `cout` and `x` are unqualified names, as they do not include an associated scope.

## Using-declarations

One way to reduce the repetition of typing `std::` over and over is to utilize a using-declaration statement. A **using declaration** allows us to use an unqualified name (with no scope) as an alias for a qualified name.

Here's our basic Hello world program, using a using-declaration on line 5:

```
#include <iostream>

int main()
{
    using std::cout; // this using declaration tells the compiler that cout should
    resolve to std::cout
    cout << "Hello world!\n"; // so no std:: prefix is needed here!

    return 0;
} // the using declaration expires at the end of the current scope
```

The using-declaration `using std::cout;` tells the compiler that we're going to be using the object `cout` from the `std` namespace. So whenever it sees `cout`, it will assume that we mean `std::cout`. If there's a naming conflict between `std::cout` and some other use of `cout`, `std::cout` will be preferred. Therefore on line 6, we can type `cout` instead of `std::cout`.

This doesn't save much effort in this trivial example, but if you are using `cout` many times inside of a function, a using-declaration can make your code more readable. Note that you will need a separate using-declaration for each name (e.g. one for `std::cout`, one for `std::cin`, etc...).

The using-declaration is active from the point of declaration to the end of the scope in which it is declared.

Although this method is less explicit than using the `std::` prefix, it's generally considered safe and acceptable (when used inside a function).

## Using-directives

Another way to simplify things is to use a using-directive. Slightly simplified, a **using directive** imports all of the identifiers from a namespace into the scope of the using-directive.

## For advanced readers

For technical reasons, using-directives do not actually import names into the current scope -- instead they import the names into an outer scope (more details about which outer scope is picked can be found [here](#)). However, these names are not accessible from the outer scope -- they are *only* accessible via unqualified (non-prefixed) lookup from the scope of the using-directive (or a nested scope).

The practical effect is that (outside of some weird edge cases involving multiple using-directives inside nested namespaces), using-directives behave as if the names had been imported into the current scope. To keep things simple, we will proceed under the simplification that the names are imported into the current scope.

Here's our Hello world program again, with a using-directive on line 5:

```
#include <iostream>

int main()
{
    using namespace std; // this using directive tells the compiler to import all
names from namespace std into the current namespace without qualification
    cout << "Hello world!\n"; // so no std:: prefix is needed here

    return 0;
} // the using-directive expires at the end of the current scope
```

The using-directive `using namespace std;` tells the compiler to import *all* of the names from the `std` namespace into the current scope (in this case, of function `main()`). When we then use unqualified identifier `cout`, it will resolve to the imported `std::cout`.

Using-directives are the solution that was provided for old pre-namespace codebases that used unqualified names for standard library functionality. Rather than having to manually update every unqualified name to a qualified name (which was risky), a single using-directive (`using namespace std;`) could be placed at the top of each file, and all of the names that had been moved to the `std` namespace could still be used unqualified.

Problems with using-directives (a.k.a. why you should avoid “using namespace std;”)

In modern C++, using-directives generally offer little benefit (saving some typing) compared to the risk. Because using-directives import *all* of the names from a namespace (potentially including lots of names you’ll never use), the possibility for naming collisions to occur increases significantly (especially if you import the `std` namespace).

For illustrative purposes, let’s take a look at an example where using-directives cause ambiguity:

```
#include <iostream>

namespace a
{
    int x{ 10 };
}

namespace b
{
    int x{ 20 };
}

int main()
{
    using namespace a;
    using namespace b;

    std::cout << x << '\n';

    return 0;
}
```

In the above example, the compiler is unable to determine whether the `x` in `main` refers to `a::x` or `b::x`. In this case, it will fail to compile with an “ambiguous symbol” error. We could resolve this by removing one of the using-statements, employing a using-declaration instead, or qualifying `x` with an explicit scope qualifier (`a::` or `b::`).

Here’s another more subtle example:

```

#include <iostream> // imports the declaration of std::cout

int cout() // declares our own "cout" function
{
    return 5;
}

int main()
{
    using namespace std; // makes std::cout accessible as "cout"
    cout << "Hello, world!\n"; // uh oh!  Which cout do we want here?  The one in the
std namespace or the one we defined above?

    return 0;
}

```

In the above example, the compiler is unable to determine whether our use of `cout` means `std::cout` or the `cout` function we've defined, and again will fail to compile with an “ambiguous symbol” error. Although this example is trivial, if we had explicitly prefixed `std::cout` like this:

```
std::cout << "Hello, world!\n"; // tell the compiler we mean std::cout
```

or used a using-declaration instead of a using-directive:

```
using std::cout; // tell the compiler that cout means std::cout
cout << "Hello, world!\n"; // so this means std::cout
```

then our program wouldn't have any issues in the first place. And while you're probably not likely to write a function named “cout”, there are hundreds, if not thousands, of other names in the `std` namespace just waiting to collide with your names. “count”, “min”, “max”, “search”, “sort”, just to name a few.

Even if a using-directive does not cause naming collisions today, it makes your code more vulnerable to future collisions. For example, if your code includes a using-directive for some library that is then updated, all of the new names introduced in the updated library are now candidates for naming collisions with your existing code.

There is a more insidious problem that can occur as well. The updated library may introduce a function that not only has the same name, but is actually a better match for some function call. In such a case, the compiler may decide to prefer the new function instead, and the behavior of your program will change unexpectedly.

Consider the following program:

foolib.h (part of some third-party library):

```

#ifndef FOOLIB_H
#define FOOLIB_H

namespace Foo
{
    // pretend there is some useful code that we use here
}
#endif

```

**main.cpp:**

```

#include <iostream>
#include <foolib.h> // a third-party library, thus angled brackets used

int someFcn(double)
{
    return 1;
}

int main()
{
    using namespace Foo; // Because we're lazy and want to access Foo:: qualified
names without typing the Foo:: prefix
    std::cout << someFcn(0) << '\n'; // The literal 0 should be 0.0, but this is an
easy mistake to make

    return 0;
}

```

This program runs and prints **1**.

Now, let's say we update the foolib library, which includes an updated foolib.h. Our program now looks like this:

**foolib.h (part of some third-party library):**

```

#ifndef FOOLIB_H
#define FOOLIB_H

namespace Foo
{
    // newly introduced function
    int someFcn(int)
    {
        return 2;
    }

    // pretend there is some useful code that we use here
}
#endif

```

main.cpp:

```
#include <iostream>
#include <foolib.h>

int someFcn(double)
{
    return 1;
}

int main()
{
    using namespace Foo; // Because we're lazy and want to access Foo:: qualified
names without typing the Foo:: prefix
    std::cout << someFcn(0) << '\n'; // The literal 0 should be 0.0, but this is an
easy mistake to make

    return 0;
}
```

Our `main.cpp` file hasn't changed at all, but this program now runs and prints **2**!

When the compiler encounters a function call, it has to determine what function definition it should match the function call with. In selecting a function from a set of potentially matching functions, it will prefer a function that requires no argument conversions over a function that requires argument conversions. Because the literal **0** is an integer, C++ will prefer to match `someFcn(0)` with the newly introduced `someFcn(int)` (no conversions) over `someFcn(double)` (requires a conversion from int to double). That causes an unexpected change to our program results.

This would not have happened if we'd used a using-declaration or explicit scope qualifier.

Finally, the lack of explicit scope prefixes make it harder for a reader to tell what functions are part of a library and what's part of your program. For example, if we use a using-directive:

```
using namespace ns;

int main()
{
    foo(); // is this foo a user-defined function, or part of the ns library?
}
```

It's unclear whether the call to `foo()` is actually a call to `ns::foo()` or to a `foo()` that is a user-defined function. Modern IDEs should be able to disambiguate this for you when you hover over a name, but having to hover over each name just to see where it comes from is tedious.

Without the using-directive, it's much clearer:

```
int main()
{
    ns::foo(); // clearly part of the ns library
    foo(); // likely a user-defined function
}
```

In this version, the call to `ns::foo()` is clearly a library call. The call to plain `foo()` is probably a call to a user-defined function (some libraries, including certain standard library headers, do put names into the global namespace, so it's not a guarantee).

## The scope of using-declarations and using-directives

If a using-declaration or using-directive is used within a block, the names are applicable to just that block (it follows normal block scoping rules). This is a good thing, as it reduces the chances for naming collisions to occur to just within that block.

If a using-declaration or using-directive is used in the global namespace, the names are applicable to the entire rest of the file (they have file scope).

## Cancelling or replacing a using-statement

Once a using-statement has been declared, there's no way to cancel or replace it with a different using-statement within the scope in which it was declared.

```
int main()
{
    using namespace Foo;

    // there's no way to cancel the "using namespace Foo" here!
    // there's also no way to replace "using namespace Foo" with a different using
    statement

    return 0;
} // using namespace Foo ends here
```

The best you can do is intentionally limit the scope of the using-statement from the outset using the block scoping rules.



```

int main()
{
    {
        using namespace Foo;
        // calls to Foo:: stuff here
    } // using namespace Foo expires

    {
        using namespace Goo;
        // calls to Goo:: stuff here
    } // using namespace Goo expires

    return 0;
}

```

Of course, all of this headache can be avoided by explicitly using the scope resolution operator (::) in the first place.

### Best practices for using-statements

Avoid using-directives (particularly `using namespace std;`), except in specific circumstances (such as `using namespace std::literals` to access the `s` and `sv` literal suffixes). Using-declarations are generally considered safe to use inside blocks. Limit their use in the global namespace of a code file, and never use them in the global namespace of a header file.

### Best practice

Prefer explicit namespaces over using-statements. Avoid using-directives whenever possible. Using-declarations are okay to use inside blocks.

### Related content

The `using` keyword is also used to define type aliases, which are unrelated to using-statements. We cover type aliases in lesson [10.7 -- Typedefs and type aliases](#).