## 22.6 — std::shared\_ptr

learncpp.com/cpp-tutorial/stdshared\_ptr/

Unlike std::unique\_ptr, which is designed to singly own and manage a resource, std::shared\_ptr is meant to solve the case where you need multiple smart pointers co-owning a resource.

This means that it is fine to have multiple std::shared\_ptr pointing to the same resource. Internally, std::shared\_ptr keeps track of how many std::shared\_ptr are sharing the resource. As long as at least one std::shared\_ptr is pointing to the resource, the resource will not be deallocated, even if individual std::shared\_ptr are destroyed. As soon as the last std::shared\_ptr managing the resource goes out of scope (or is reassigned to point at something else), the resource will be deallocated.

Like std::unique ptr, std::shared ptr lives in the <memory> header.

```
#include <iostream>
#include <memory> // for std::shared_ptr
class Resource
public:
        Resource() { std::cout << "Resource acquired\n"; }</pre>
        ~Resource() { std::cout << "Resource destroyed\n"; }
};
int main()
{
        // allocate a Resource object and have it owned by std::shared_ptr
        Resource* res { new Resource };
        std::shared_ptr<Resource> ptr1{ res };
                std::shared_ptr<Resource> ptr2 { ptr1 }; // make another
std::shared_ptr pointing to the same thing
                std::cout << "Killing one shared pointer\n";</pre>
        } // ptr2 goes out of scope here, but nothing happens
        std::cout << "Killing another shared pointer\n";</pre>
        return 0;
} // ptr1 goes out of scope here, and the allocated Resource is destroyed
```

This prints:

```
Resource acquired
Killing one shared pointer
Killing another shared pointer
Resource destroyed
```

In the above code, we create a dynamic Resource object, and set a std::shared\_ptr named ptr1 to manage it. Inside the nested block, we use the copy constructor to create a second std::shared\_ptr (ptr2) that points to the same Resource. When ptr2 goes out of scope, the Resource is not deallocated, because ptr1 is still pointing at the Resource. When ptr1 goes out of scope, ptr1 notices there are no more std::shared\_ptr managing the Resource, so it deallocates the Resource.

Note that we created a second shared pointer from the first shared pointer. This is important. Consider the following similar program:

```
#include <iostream>
#include <memory> // for std::shared_ptr
class Resource
{
public:
        Resource() { std::cout << "Resource acquired\n"; }</pre>
        ~Resource() { std::cout << "Resource destroyed\n"; }
};
int main()
{
        Resource* res { new Resource };
        std::shared_ptr<Resource> ptr1 { res };
                std::shared_ptr<Resource> ptr2 { res }; // create ptr2 directly from
res (instead of ptr1)
                std::cout << "Killing one shared pointer\n";</pre>
        } // ptr2 goes out of scope here, and the allocated Resource is destroyed
        std::cout << "Killing another shared pointer\n";</pre>
        return 0;
} // ptr1 goes out of scope here, and the allocated Resource is destroyed again
This program prints:
```

Resource acquired
Killing one shared pointer
Resource destroyed
Killing another shared pointer
Resource destroyed

and then crashes (at least on the author's machine).

The difference here is that we created two std::shared\_ptr independently from each other. As a consequence, even though they're both pointing to the same Resource, they aren't aware of each other. When ptr2 goes out of scope, it thinks it's the only owner of the Resource, and deallocates it. When ptr1 later goes out of the scope, it thinks the same thing, and tries to delete the Resource again. Then bad things happen.

Fortunately, this is easily avoided: if you need more than one std::shared\_ptr to a given resource, copy an existing std::shared\_ptr.

## Best practice

Always make a copy of an existing std::shared\_ptr if you need more than one std::shared\_ptr pointing to the same resource.

Just like with std::unique\_ptr, std::shared\_ptr can be a null pointer, so check to make sure it is valid before using it.

```
std::make_shared
```

Much like std::make\_unique() can be used to create a std::unique\_ptr in C++14, std::make\_shared() can (and should) be used to make a std::shared\_ptr. std::make\_shared() is available in C++11.

Here's our original example, using std::make\_shared():

```
#include <iostream>
#include <memory> // for std::shared_ptr
class Resource
{
public:
        Resource() { std::cout << "Resource acquired\n"; }</pre>
        ~Resource() { std::cout << "Resource destroyed\n"; }
};
int main()
{
        // allocate a Resource object and have it owned by std::shared_ptr
        auto ptr1 { std::make_shared<Resource>() };
        {
                auto ptr2 { ptr1 }; // create ptr2 using copy of ptr1
                std::cout << "Killing one shared pointer\n";</pre>
        } // ptr2 goes out of scope here, but nothing happens
        std::cout << "Killing another shared pointer\n";</pre>
        return 0;
} // ptr1 goes out of scope here, and the allocated Resource is destroyed
```

The reasons for using std::make\_shared() are the same as std::make\_unique() -- std::make\_shared() is simpler and safer (there's no way to directly create two std::shared\_ptr pointing to the same resource using this method). However, std::make\_shared() is also more performant than not using it. The reasons for this lie in the way that std::shared\_ptr keeps track of how many pointers are pointing at a given resource.

Digging into std::shared\_ptr

Unlike std::unique\_ptr, which uses a single pointer internally, std::shared\_ptr uses two pointers internally. One pointer points at the resource being managed. The other points at a "control block", which is a dynamically allocated object that tracks of a bunch of stuff, including how many std::shared\_ptr are pointing at the resource. When a std::shared\_ptr is created via a std::shared\_ptr constructor, the memory for the managed object (which is usually passed in) and control block (which the constructor creates) are allocated separately. However, when using std::make\_shared(), this can be optimized into a single memory allocation, which leads to better performance.

This also explains why independently creating two std::shared\_ptr pointed to the same resource gets us into trouble. Each std::shared\_ptr will have one pointer pointing at the resource. However, each std::shared\_ptr will independently allocate its own control block, which will indicate that it is the only pointer owning that resource. Thus, when that std::shared\_ptr goes out of scope, it will deallocate the resource, not realizing there are other std::shared\_ptr also trying to manage that resource.

However, when a std::shared\_ptr is cloned using copy assignment, the data in the control block can be appropriately updated to indicate that there are now additional std::shared\_ptr co-managing the resource.

Shared pointers can be created from unique pointers

A std::unique\_ptr can be converted into a std::shared\_ptr via a special std::shared\_ptr constructor that accepts a std::unique\_ptr r-value. The contents of the std::unique\_ptr will be moved to the std::shared\_ptr.

However, std::shared\_ptr can not be safely converted to a std::unique\_ptr. This means that if you're creating a function that is going to return a smart pointer, you're better off returning a std::unique ptr and assigning it to a std::shared ptr if and when that's appropriate.

The perils of std::shared ptr

std::shared\_ptr has some of the same challenges as std::unique\_ptr -- if the std::shared\_ptr is not properly disposed of (either because it was dynamically allocated and never deleted, or it was part of an object that was dynamically allocated and never deleted) then the resource it is managing won't be deallocated either. With std::unique\_ptr, you only have to

worry about one smart pointer being properly disposed of. With std::shared\_ptr, you have to worry about them all. If any of the std::shared\_ptr managing a resource are not properly destroyed, the resource will not be deallocated properly.

std::shared ptr and arrays

In C++17 and earlier, std::shared\_ptr does not have proper support for managing arrays, and should not be used to manage a C-style array. As of C++20, std::shared\_ptr does have support for arrays.

## Conclusion

std::shared\_ptr is designed for the case where you need multiple smart pointers comanaging the same resource. The resource will be deallocated when the last std::shared\_ptr managing the resource is destroyed.