

# STATISTICAL PATTERN RECOGNITION

## ASSIGNMENT 5

Ali Gholami

Department of Computer Engineering & Information Technology  
Amirkabir University of Technology

<https://aligholamee.github.io>  
[aligholami7596@gmail.com](mailto:aligholami7596@gmail.com)

### Abstract

**Keywords.** *Dimensionality Reduction, Principal Component Analysis, Fisher Linear Discriminant Analysis, Feature Subset Selection, Sequential Feature Selection, Data Visualization & Representation.*

## 1 Sequential Feature Selection

Given the following objective function, use **SFS**, **SBS** and **Plus-2 Minus-1 Selection** to select 3 features:

$$J(x) = 5x_1 + 7x_2 + 4x_3 + 9x_4 + 3x_5 - 2x_1x_2 + 2x_1x_2x_3 - 2x_2x_3 - 4x_1x_2x_3x_4 + 3x_1x_3x_5$$

### Solution

#### SFS

In this method, we start feature selection from an empty set. We add features one by one and compute the value of the objective function with respect to each of the features being added. The feature with the largest objective function will be selected. The iteration goes on until all features are covered. We then select ideal features (subset with  $k$  features and maximum objective). The actual algorithm is as following:

1. Start with the empty set  $Y_0 = \emptyset$
2. Select the next best feature  $x^+ = \operatorname{argmax}[J(Y_k + x)]$
3. Update  $Y_{k+1} = Y_k + x^+$ ;  $k = k + 1$
4. Go to 2

Below is the demonstration of iterations taken to completely explore the search space. The first iteration is:

- $J(x_1) = 5$
- $J(x_2) = 7$
- $J(x_3) = 4$
- $J(x_4) = 9$
- $J(x_5) = 3$

According to the heuristic nature of sequential subset selection, we'll choose  $x_4$  as the first best feature. We'll then generate subsets containing combination of features with  $x_4$ :

- $J(x_4x_1) = 14$
- $J(x_4x_2) = 16$
- $J(x_4x_3) = 13$
- $J(x_4x_5) = 12$

Thus, features  $x_4$  and  $x_2$  are selected until now. We'll drive the 3 sized subsets:

- $J(x_4x_2x_1) = 19$
- $J(x_4x_2x_3) = 18$
- $J(x_4x_2x_5) = 22$

Three best features selected by the algorithm are  $x_4$ ,  $x_2$  and  $x_5$ .

## SBS

This method initiates the feature selection procedure using a complete subset of features. It then removes each feature and evaluates the objective function. The feature that causes the lowest decrease in the objective function will be remove (useless feature!). We'll stop when we reach a satisfying 3 sized feature subset. The algorithm is formally working as follows:

1. Start with the full set  $Y_0 = X$
2. Remove the worst feature  $x^- = \operatorname{argmax}[J(Y_k - x)]$
3. Update  $Y_{k+1} = Y_k - x^-$ ;  $k = k + 1$
4. Go to 2

Applying this algorithm on the given objective function yields the following results:

- $J(x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5) = 25$

And the results of removing each of the features:

- $J(x_1x_2x_3x_4) = 19$
- $J(x_1x_2x_3x_5) = 20$
- $J(x_1x_2x_4x_5) = 22$
- $J(x_1x_3x_4x_5) = 24$
- $J(x_2x_3x_4x_5) = 21$

It is obvious that  $x_2$  is the most useless feature among these. We'll remove  $x_2$  and obtain the feature subset with 4 features:  $x_1, x_3, x_4$  and  $x_5$ .

- $J(x_1x_3x_4x_5) = 24$

We can obtain the following subsets:

- $J(x_1x_3x_4) = 18$
- $J(x_1x_3x_5) = 15$
- $J(x_1x_4x_5) = 17$
- $J(x_3x_4x_5) = 16$

$x_5$  will be removed since it has the lowest effect on the greatness of evaluation. The proper feature subset includes:  $x_1, x_3$  and  $x_4$ .

## 2 PCA & FLDA

In this problem, dimensionality reduction with a two-feature two-class dataset is explored. Consider the following dataset and the test sample:  $x = [0.85 \quad 1.15]^T$

- **Class 1:**  $[[0.8, 1.2], [0.9, 1.4], [1.2, 1.4], [1.1, 1.5]]$
  - **Class 2:**  $[[0.8, 1.1], [0.6, 1], [0.65, 1.1], [0.75, 0.9]]$
- Demonstrate the preprocessing steps (need to show step-by-step details). Calculate the **mean** of each class ( $m_1$  and  $m_2$ ). Calculate the **covariance** matrix of each class.
  - Using **Fisher's Linear Discriminant** to find a projection vector ( $w$ ) which optimally separates the projections of these two classes.
  - Is the vector derived from *FLD* along the same direction as the  $m_1 - m_2$ ? Plot both of them on the same figure.
  - Using **Principal Component Analysis** to reduce the dimension to 1 and plot the principal component on the same figure.

- (e) Comment on the differences between **FLD** and **PCA** and  $m_1 - m_2$ . Make up a scenario where **FLD** will be aligned, perpendicular to  $m_1 - m_2$ , if possible at all.
- (f) Project the test sample  $x$  onto  $w$  derived from **FLD** and determine its label.
- (g) Project the test sample  $x$  onto the principal axis from **PCA** and determine its label.

## Solution

(a) Mean of each class  $k$  can be calculated using (2.1).

$$m_k = \frac{1}{|n_k|} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} x_i \quad (2.1)$$

Thus, for each class we'll have to following results:

- $m_1 = \frac{1}{4}[0.8 + 0.9 + 1.2 + 1.1 \quad 1.2 + 1.4 + 1.4 + 1.5]^T = [1 \quad 1.3]$
- $m_2 = \frac{1}{4}[0.8 + 0.6 + 0.65 + 0.75 \quad 1.1 + 1 + 1.1 + 0.9]^T = [0.7 \quad 1]$

Covariance matrix for each class  $k$  can be calculated using (2.2).

$$\Sigma_k = \frac{1}{n_k - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} (x - m_k)(x - m_k)^T \quad (2.2)$$

Thus, the results for each class will be:

- $\Sigma_1 = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} -0.2 & -0.1 & 0.2 & 0.1 \\ -0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -0.2 & -0.1 \\ -0.1 & 0.1 \\ 0.2 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.03 & 0.01 \\ 0.01 & 0.02 \end{bmatrix}$
- $\Sigma_2 = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & -0.1 & -0.05 & 0.05 \\ 0.1 & 0 & 0.1 & -0.1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.1 \\ -0.1 & 0 \\ -0.05 & 0.1 \\ 0.05 & -0.1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.008 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.01 \end{bmatrix}$

(b) In order to find a proper projection vector ( $w$ ), we shall compute the **within class scatter** matrix. Using the definition of  $S_w$ :

$$S_w = S_1 + S_2 \quad (2.3)$$

which is equal to the addition of scatter matrices. Scatter matrix of class  $k$  can be obtained from its covariance matrix using (2.4).

$$S_k = (|n_k| - 1)\Sigma_k \quad (2.4)$$

Replacing the results from the first part into (2.4) yields the following results:

- $S_1 = 3 \begin{bmatrix} 0.03 & 0.01 \\ 0.01 & 0.02 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.09 & 0.03 \\ 0.03 & 0.06 \end{bmatrix}$
- $S_2 = 3 \begin{bmatrix} 0.008 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.01 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.024 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.03 \end{bmatrix}$

Thus, the within class scatter matrix can be written as following:

$$S_w = \begin{bmatrix} 0.117 & 0.03 \\ 0.03 & 0.09 \end{bmatrix}$$

In order to find a proper projection vector, we should solve (2.5):

$$S_w^{-1} S_B V = \lambda V \quad (2.5)$$

which is an eigenvector equation. Proper  $V$  can be found using (2.6).

$$V = S_w^{-1} (m_1 - m_2) \quad (2.6)$$

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & -1 \\ -1 & 11.7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 \\ 0.3 \end{bmatrix} = [2.4 \quad 3.2]^T$$

(c) According to the figure 2.1, these vectors are not along the same direction.




Figure 2.1: Illustration of FLD Projection Vector and Mean Deviation Vector.

(d) In order to perform a principal component analysis on the dataset, we should find the global scatter matrix of our data. In this case, we don't care about the classes and assume

the whole data as a single class. Before going further, we have to make sure that all data are decreased by the mean vector value.

$$S = 8 * \begin{bmatrix} -0.05 & -0.05 & 0.35 & 0.25 & -0.05 & -0.25 & -0.2 & -0.1 \\ 0 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.3 & -0.1 & -0.2 & -0.1 & -0.3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -0.05 & 0 \\ -0.05 & 0.2 \\ 0.35 & 0.2 \\ 0.25 & 0.3 \\ -0.05 & -0.1 \\ -0.25 & -0.2 \\ -0.2 & -0.1 \\ -0.1 & -0.3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.44 & 1.72 \\ 1.72 & 2.56 \end{bmatrix}$$

In this step, we'll find the eigenvalues of the scatter matrix. We need to solve the following equation.

$$\lambda^2 - 5\lambda + 3.29 = 0$$

which yields the following results:

$$\lambda_1 = 4.22 \quad \lambda_2 = 0.78$$

The eigenvector corresponding to the greatest eigenvector is obtained as:

$$V = \alpha[1 \quad 1.03]^T$$

where

$$\sqrt{\alpha^2 + 1.06\alpha^2} = 1 \rightarrow V = [0.69 \quad 0.71]^T$$




Figure 2.2: Illustration of PCA Projection Vector.

(e) Fisher's vector provides the proper direction in which the classes are mostly separable. However, PCA's vector provides the direction in which the variance of the whole data is maximized and thus useful for representation purposes. Figure 2.3 provides the scatter plot of this phenomenon.




Figure 2.3: Dataset & Projection Vectors Using Fisher and PCA Methods.

(f) We can use (2.7) to project our samples on a given vector A:

$$y_i = A^t x_i \quad (2.7)$$

replacing  $x_i$  with the test sample and  $A^t$  with  $[2.4 \ 3.2]$ :

$$y = [2.4 \ 3.2][0.85 \ 1.15]^t = 5.72$$

(g) Using the exactly same equation as previous section, we can obtain the project of test sample onto the principal component as follows:

$$y = [0.69 \ 0.71][0.85 \ 1.15]^t = 1.39$$

This point will be classified as class 1 using a linear classifier in one dimensional space.

### 3 PCA on IRIS

Carry out a PCA of Fishers iris data. These data consist of 50 observations on each of three species of iris: Iris setosa, Iris versicolor, and Iris virginica. The four measured variables are sepal length, sepal width, petal length, and petal width. Ignore the species labels. Compute the PC scores and plot all pairwise sets of PC scores in a matrix plot (a matrix of scatter plots). Explain your results, taking into consideration the species labels.

## Solution

Here is the demonstration of *iris.py* output in the figure 3.1. In this case, the number of principal components was given 3 and the 3d plot illustrates the projection of iris points using the PCA technique. Figure 3.2 illustrates the pairwise principal component scores for




Figure 3.1: Demonstration of PCA on IRIS Dataset with 3 Components.

this dataset. It has been borrowed from the official site of *Seaborn* library.




Figure 3.2: Pairwise Scatter Matrix of PC Scores of Iris Dataset with 2 Components.