Homework 2 Solution

Problem 1. Let \mathcal{X} be a set and \mathcal{F} be a Hilbert space. Let $\Psi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{F}$, and $K : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ be:

$$\forall x, x' \in \mathcal{X}, \quad K(x, x') = \langle \Psi(x), \Psi(x') \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$$

Show that K is a positive definite kernel on \mathcal{X} , and describe its RKHS \mathcal{H} .

Step 1 (Positive Definiteness)

for any set $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ of points in \mathcal{X} , the matrix of K is the gram matrix of $\{\Psi(x_1), \ldots, \Psi(x_n)\}$. Further, we know that gram matrices are positive semi-definite and symmetric (as long as the inner product is real-valued), which implies that K is a PD kernel.

Step 2 (Candidate RKHS)

Assume that we have $w = \sum_{i} a_i \Psi(x_i)$.

We have:

$$f_w(x) = \sum_i a_i K(x_i, x) = \sum_i a_i \langle \Psi(x_i), \Psi(x') \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$$
$$= \langle \sum_i a_i \Psi(x_i), \Psi(x') \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$$
$$= \langle w, \Psi(x) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$$

Let \mathcal{H} be the set of all such functions endowed with the inner product:

$$\langle f_w, f_{w'} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle w, w' \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$$

Step 3 (Hilbert Check)

The candidate RKHS is isomorphic to \mathcal{F} with the mapping $\Phi: w \to f_w$, hence it is indeed a Hilbert space.

Step 4 (RKHS properties)

First, we know that

$$K_x: y \to \langle \Psi(x), \Psi(y) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = f_{\Psi(x)} \in \mathcal{H}$$

Second

$$f_w(x) = \langle w, \Psi(x) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = \langle f_w, f_{\Psi(x)} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

on the other hand

$$f_{\Psi(x)}: y \to \langle \Psi(x), \Psi(y) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$$

Which is the same as K_x , hence:

$$f_w(x) = \langle f_w, K_x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

Therefore, the reproducing property holds as well, which implies that our candidate is indeed the RKHS. \Box

Problem 2. Prove that for any p.d. kernel K on a space \mathcal{X} , a function $f: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the RKHS with kernel K iff there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $K'(x,y) = K(x,y) - \lambda f(x)f(y)$ is p.d.

 \Rightarrow

Assume that \mathcal{H} is the RKHS represented by K, and let $\langle .,. \rangle$ denote the inner product of \mathcal{H} , and $\|.\|$ denote the induced norm.

We can rewrite the relation as $\langle K_x, K_y \rangle - \lambda \langle f, K_x \rangle \langle f, K_y \rangle$ since $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Note that $K_x - \frac{\alpha \langle f, K_x \rangle f}{\|f\|}$ is a member of \mathcal{H} for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

We have:

$$\langle K_x - \frac{\alpha \langle f, K_x \rangle f}{\|f\|}, K_y - \frac{\alpha \langle f, K_y \rangle f}{\|f\|} \rangle$$

$$= \langle K_x, K_y \rangle + \alpha^2 \langle f, K_x \rangle \langle f, K_y \rangle - 2\alpha \frac{\langle f, K_x \rangle \langle f, K_y \rangle}{\|f\|}$$

$$= \langle K_x, K_y \rangle + (\alpha^2 - \frac{2\alpha}{\|f\|}) \langle f, K_x \rangle \langle f, K_y \rangle$$

Setting $\lambda = (\alpha^2 - \frac{2\alpha}{\|f\|})$ where $\alpha < \frac{2}{\|f\|}$, gives us a relation similar to that of K'.

Now, consider a set of points $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, and set

 $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ where $v_i = K_{x_i} - \frac{\alpha\langle f, K_{x_i} \rangle f}{\|f\|}$. The matrix of K' with respect to X is positive semi-definite, since it is equal to the gram matrix of V, and gram matrices are positive semi-definite. This implies that K' is a PD kernel (Mercer's theorem).

 \Leftarrow

Let \mathcal{H}' denote the RKHS represented by K'. For any function $g \in \mathcal{H}'$ we have:

$$g(x) = \sum_{i} a_i K'(x_i, x) = \sum_{i} a_i K(x_i, x) - \lambda f(x) \sum_{i} a_i f(x_i)$$

or equivalently:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i} \frac{K(x_i, x)}{\lambda f(x_i)} - \frac{g(x)}{\lambda \sum_{i} a_i f(x_i)}$$

letting g be the zero function, we can see that:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i} c_i K(x_i, x)$$

which means that $f \in \mathcal{H}$, where \mathcal{H} has the same definition as the last part of the proof.