

FRIDAY.

- QUIZ TODAY: quiz sheets near entrances
have calculators ready
- COMPUTING #1 report: due MONDAY

LAST DAY

• PIVOTING.

- partial pivoting: makes GE robust to small pivots
- scaled P. Pivoting: avoid badly scaled equations
(WRONG PIVOT)

• OPERATION COUNTS

- a measure of computing effort \rightarrow is it exactly the same as
COMPUTING TIME?
(CS2!).

• GE without pivoting

$$\text{back substitution} = \sum_{j=1}^N (1 + 2(j-1)) = N^2$$

$$\text{row reduction} = \sum_{j=1}^N (N-j) \{1 + 2(N-j+1)\}$$

$$= \frac{2}{3} N^3 \left(1 + \frac{3}{4N} - \frac{7}{4N^2} \right)$$

- extra overhead for

Piv	$O(N^2)$
Scaled Piv	$O(N^3)$
Full Piv	$O(N^3)$

★

- GE summarized: ACC, EFF + ROB

CONDITION NUMBER

- estimate of "worst case" error for linear solve

$$\text{err} \approx K(A) \cdot \epsilon$$

↪ last digit of floating-point precision

$$\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{\max}(A^*A)}{\lambda_{\min}(A^*A)}}$$

we don't
the theory behind this
definition, but we can
calculate this.

↪ so does matlab: (A)

- condition # is a property of $[A]$, but
whether we are in "case", or
often depends on

- this is why matlab gives

- checking

15

thing to do

SECTIONS 6.3 & 6.4

- see textbook review of matrix/vector arithmetic
- multiplying matrices (& vectors)

$$\begin{bmatrix} & \\ & \end{bmatrix}_{m \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} & \\ & \end{bmatrix}_m * \begin{bmatrix} & \\ & \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n}$$

- square matrices :
 - (eye)
 - (diag)
 - (inv)
 - lower triangular (triu & tril)

- matrix transpose (transpose)

$$[a_{jk}] = \begin{bmatrix} & \\ & \end{bmatrix}$$

- ANY QUESTIONS ? ask in TUTORIALS / WORKSHOPS

IS GE AN ALGORITHM or MATHEMATICS ?

- most generally, an algorithm is a for
numerical data.

- mathematical formulas allow us to do
analytical or analysis

GE is a MATRIX (S6.5)

- fact 1) ALL 3 row operations are on the
- $cE_j \rightarrow E_j$ ($c \neq 0$)

$$\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dots R_1 \dots \\ \dots R_j \dots \\ \dots R_k \dots \\ \dots R_n \dots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \dots R_1 \dots \\ \dots R_j \dots \\ \dots R_k \dots \\ \dots R_n \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

↑

- $-cE_j + E_k \rightarrow E_k$ ($c \neq 0$)

$$\begin{matrix} \text{row } j \\ \text{row } k \end{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dots R_j \dots \\ \dots R_k \dots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

↑ ↑
 a_{1j} a_{1k}

- $E_j \leftrightarrow E_k$

$$\begin{matrix} \text{row } j \\ \text{row } k \end{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dots R_j \dots \\ \dots R_k \dots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

↑ ↑
 a_{1j} a_{1k}

- all 3 matrices are square $N \times N$ & special

$cE_j \rightarrow E_j$ is matrix

$-cE_j + E_k \rightarrow E_k$ is

$E_j \leftrightarrow E_k$ is a 1 MATRIX (p411)
(a single " " in +)

- IDEA #1: no pivot row-reduction is to
-multiplying

$[A]\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ by a string of

matrix for.

matrices!

$$[T \ T \ \dots \ T][A]\vec{x} = [T_n \ T_{n-1} \ \dots \ T_1]\vec{b}$$

$$\underbrace{[\]}_{\text{matrix}} \vec{x} = \vec{b} = \underbrace{[T_n \ T_{n-1} \ \dots \ T_1]}_{\text{effect of on right side.}} \vec{b}$$

now solve for \vec{x} by

- fact #2: each of the 3 row operations are (with $c \neq 0$)

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} E_i \rightarrow E_j \\ E_j + E_k \rightarrow E_k \\ E_j \leftrightarrow E_k \end{array} \right\}$$

exists!

it is to figure them out!

- ISEA #2: move all $[T_k]$ to left side

$$[A]\vec{x} = [T^{-1} \dots T^{-1}][U]\vec{x} = \vec{b}$$

- fact #3: inverse matrix of $-cE_j + E_k \rightarrow E_k$ is also product of is also

$$[A]\vec{x} = [] [U]\vec{x} = \vec{b}$$

LU FACTORIZATION/DECOMPOSITION (p.69)

Theorem 6.19

If Gaussian elimination can be performed on the linear system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ without row interchanges, then the matrix A can be factored into the product of a lower-triangular matrix L and an upper-triangular matrix U , that is, $A = LU$, where $m_{ji} = a_{ji}^{(i)} / a_{ii}^{(i)}$,

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}^{(1)} & a_{12}^{(1)} & \dots & a_{1n}^{(1)} \\ 0 & a_{22}^{(2)} & \dots & a_{2n}^{(2)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{nn}^{(n)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ m_{21} & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ m_{n1} & \dots & m_{n,n-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- for GE with PARTIAL PIVOTING. results in a permuted (row switched) LU factorization

$$\underbrace{[L^P]}_{\text{lower } \Delta} [U] \vec{x} = [A] \vec{x} = \vec{b}$$

lower Δ .

matrix

or.

$$[L][U] = [P][A]$$

MATLAB does LU.

- $[L, U, P] = \text{lu}(A)$ gives partial pivot LU fact.
- $[L^P, U] = \text{lu}(A)$ gives $L^P = [P^{-1}][L]$.
not lower Δ .

```
>> [mL,mU,mP] = lu(A)

mL =

    1.0000    0.    0
    0.2857    1.0000    0
   -0.5714    0.1803    1.0000

mU =

    7.0000   -1.0000   -4.0000
    0.    -8.7143    3.1429
    0.     0.    -0.8525

mP =

    0    0    1
    1    0    0
    0    1    0
```

SET YOUR CALCULATOR TO RADIAN'S



muraki

these notes are for the use of SFU students in MACM 316 (spring 2019) & SFU copyright applies