Did they actually do it? The study shows they made a plan, but did Purdue actually start selling more drugs to these communities because of "Project Tango"?

Who said this was okay? Were there specific bosses or people inside the company who looked at this plan and approved it?

What words did they use? In their private documents, did they ever directly say "Black" or "Latino" communities, or was it always hidden behind words like "vulnerable"?

How is this legal? How were they able to make a plan that seems to target people based on race? Aren't there rules against that?

What's the most important fix? Based on what you found, what is the single biggest thing we need to change to stop companies from doing this?

What do the people who live right next to the park today actually think about it? Do they feel like it helps them or hurts them?

You mentioned that very few people from nearby communities get jobs in the park. What kind of good jobs are there, and who gets them?

The 'Peace Park' sounds like a good idea but involved moving people. How do the people who promote the park justify that trade-off?

Has connecting Kruger to parks in other countries actually helped the animals, and was it worth the cost?

If you could change one rule in Kruger tomorrow to make it fairer for local people, what would it be?