

# Program Equivalence: An Interactive Relational Separation Logic Prover Implemented in Maude

Andrei Alin Corodescu

Scientific Coordinator:  
Conf. Dr. Ciobaca Stefan

July 2, 2018

- 1 The Problem
- 2 Technologies and theoretical concepts
  - Relational Separation Logic
  - Maude
- 3 Prover Implementation
  - Goals
  - Axiom Recognition
  - Automatic Proof of Implications

# The Problem

## Problem Description

Reducing the gap between theoretical and practical aspects of formal program equivalence verification to increase software quality by making robust methods of verification accessible and easy to use.

# The Problem

## Problem Description

Reducing the gap between theoretical and practical aspects of formal program equivalence verification to increase software quality by making robust methods of verification accessible and easy to use.

## Difficulties

- Representing the theoretical concepts
- Computationally-hard problems
- User experience

- 1 The Problem
- 2 Technologies and theoretical concepts
  - Relational Separation Logic
  - Maude
- 3 Prover Implementation
  - Goals
  - Axiom Recognition
  - Automatic Proof of Implications

# Relational Separation Logic

- Helps reason about how two programs are related

- Hoare Quadruples :  $\{R\} \overset{C}{C'} \{S\}$

- Proof rules : 
$$\frac{R \Rightarrow R_1 \quad \{R_1\} \overset{C}{C'} \{S_1\} \quad S_1 \Rightarrow S}{\{R\} \overset{C}{C'} \{S\}}$$
- Separation Logic axioms :  $\{E \mapsto -\}[E] := F \{E \mapsto F\}.$

- 1 The Problem
- 2 Technologies and theoretical concepts
  - Relational Separation Logic
  - **Maude**
- 3 Prover Implementation
  - Goals
  - Axiom Recognition
  - Automatic Proof of Implications

- Based on Rewriting logic
- Natural Representation of logics through sorts and operators
- Powerful meta language applications

## Example

```
rl [Consequence] : { R } C1 — C2 { S } => ((R => R1) ◇ ({  
  R1} C1 — C2 {S1}))) ◇ (S1 => S) [nonexec] .
```



- 1 The Problem
- 2 Technologies and theoretical concepts
  - Relational Separation Logic
  - Maude
- 3 Prover Implementation
  - Goals
  - Axiom Recognition
  - Automatic Proof of Implications

- The central concept of the prover is **Goal**, which represents something to be proven.
- A **Goal** is consumed if it is matched with an *axiom*, is *manually admitted* by the user, is *automatically proven* (in case of implications) or it is *replaced* by the goals generated by applying a proof rule.
- Goals are stored in a **GoalStack** structure

- 1 The Problem
- 2 Technologies and theoretical concepts
  - Relational Separation Logic
  - Maude
- 3 Prover Implementation
  - Goals
  - **Axiom Recognition**
  - Automatic Proof of Implications

- Simple goals that match **axioms** are automatically admitted by the prover.
- Equality between variables is **interpreted** before matching axioms
- Axioms are represented as terms with variables

- 1 The Problem
- 2 Technologies and theoretical concepts
  - Relational Separation Logic
  - Maude
- 3 Prover Implementation
  - Goals
  - Axiom Recognition
  - Automatic Proof of Implications

# Automatic Proof of Implications

- Uses the **search** functionality of Maude
- Searches for a series of **rewrites** from  $R \Rightarrow S$  to true
- Rewrite rules denoting relation equivalences and implications



- The prover showcases:
  - A promising executable environment for Relational Separation Logic which can be improved upon in the future
  - The features of Maude that make it fit for this purpose
- Personal conclusions:
  - Learning by modelling and applying logics
  - Shortcomings of Maude because of it not being widely adopted