

**CSE 132A**  
Solutions to Practice Problems on Schema Design

1. We apply the lossless join test. The tableau corresponding to the decomposition  $\rho$  is:

$A$	$B$	$C$	$D$
$a$	$b$	—	—
—	$b$	$c$	—
—	—	$c$	$d$
$a$	$b$	$c$	$d$

After chasing this with respect to  $F = \{B \rightarrow A, C \rightarrow B\}$  the last row becomes  $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ .

2. The fds  $AB \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow C$  are obviously preserved because each applies to one relation in the decomposition. Consider  $C \rightarrow D$ , which does not apply to a single relation. We compute the closure of  $C$  relative to the local fds according to the algorithm described in class. Initially we have  $C$  in the relation  $CE$  and in  $ABC$ . The closure of  $C$  is  $CED$ , so we obtain  $E$  within  $CE$ . Now  $E$  is available in  $ADE$ . The closure of  $E$  is  $ECD$  so we obtain  $D$  within  $ADE$ . Since  $D$  is now on the list, it is in the closure of  $C$  wrt the local fds, so  $C \rightarrow D$  is preserved. It remains to check  $AB \rightarrow E$ . We now start with  $AB$ , so we have  $AB$  within  $ABC$ , and  $A$  within  $ADE$ . The closure of  $AB$  is  $ABCED$  so we obtain  $C$  within  $ABC$ . Now we have  $C$  within  $CE$ , and  $C^+ = CED$  so we get  $E$  within  $CE$ . Thus,  $AB \rightarrow E$  is also preserved.

3. We first rewrite the fds so that we only have single attributes on the righthand side:

$$A \rightarrow C, AB \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow I, C \rightarrow D, CD \rightarrow I, EC \rightarrow A, EC \rightarrow B, EI \rightarrow C$$

We next look at each of the fds and see if they are redundant.  $A \rightarrow C$  is not, because  $A^+$  (wrt the other fds) is  $A$ .  $AB \rightarrow C$  is clearly redundant, since it is implied by  $A \rightarrow C$ . We eliminate it from the list. Similarly,  $C \rightarrow D$  is not redundant. However,  $C \rightarrow I$  is redundant, and we eliminate it. Next,  $CD \rightarrow I$  is not redundant wrt the fds left on the list. Similarly,  $EC \rightarrow A$ ,  $EC \rightarrow B$ , and  $EI \rightarrow C$  are not redundant. The remaining list of fds is so far:

$$A \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D, CD \rightarrow I, EC \rightarrow A, EC \rightarrow B, EI \rightarrow C.$$

Next, we check for redundant attributes on lefthand sides of fds. Consider  $CD \rightarrow I$ . We need to check whether  $C$  or  $D$  can be eliminated.  $C$  can be eliminated if  $D \rightarrow I$  is implied by the fds on the list (the entire list!). Clearly,  $D^+ = D$ , so  $D \rightarrow I$  is not implied. Next,  $D$  can be eliminated if  $C \rightarrow I$  is implied. Now  $C^+ = CDI$  so  $C \rightarrow I$  is implied. So  $D$  is redundant and we replace  $CD \rightarrow I$  by  $C \rightarrow I$  in the list of fds. It easy to see that there are no redundant attributes in

$$EC \rightarrow A, EC \rightarrow B, EI \rightarrow C$$

so the final minimized set of fds is:

$$A \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D, C \rightarrow I, EC \rightarrow A, EC \rightarrow B, EI \rightarrow C.$$

#### 4.

- (a)  $IS$  is a key, i.e. a minimal superkey. Indeed,  $(IS)^+ = ISDBQO$ . To see that it is minimal, note that  $I$  is not a key and  $S$  is not a key.
- (b)  $IS$  is the only minimal key. To see this, it is enough to note that any key  $K$  must contain  $IS$ . This is obvious, because neither  $I$  nor  $S$  appear on the righthand side of any fd.
- (c) A BCNF decomposition with lossless join obtained by the algorithm is:

$$\rho = \{SD, IB, IO, ISQ\}.$$

(See separate bcnf file.)

- (d) It is easy to check that  $S \rightarrow D, I \rightarrow B, IS \rightarrow Q, B \rightarrow O$  is minimal. Thus,  $\{SD, IB, ISQ, BO\}$  is a 3NF decomposition which is dependency preserving. Note that the key  $IS$  is a subset of one relation in the decomposition ( $ISQ$ ) so there is no need to add it, and the decomposition also has lossless join.

#### 5.

- (a) By decomposing  $ABCD$  using  $D \rightarrow C$  (which violates BCNF within  $ABCD$ ), we obtain  $\{DC, ABD\}$ . Clearly,  $DC$  is in BCNF (no violation can occur in a two-attribute relation). In  $ABD$ , the only violations could come from fds with a single attribute on the lefthand side. Thus, it is sufficient to check  $A^+, B^+$ , and  $D^+$  within  $ABD$ :  $A^+ \cap ABD = A$ ,  $B^+ \cap ABD = B$ ,  $D^+ \cap ABD = D$ . So  $ABD$  is in BCNF and the final BCNF decomposition is  $\{DC, ABD\}$ .
- (b) It is necessary to check preservation of  $AB \rightarrow C$  and  $B \rightarrow C$ . Our algorithm shows that  $AB \rightarrow C$  is preserved, but  $B \rightarrow C$  is not.
- (c) First, we rewrite the fds as

$$AB \rightarrow C, AB \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow C.$$

Clearly,  $AB \rightarrow C$  is redundant and the remainder set is minimal. Thus, the 3NF decomposition is  $\{ABD, DC, BC\}$ . Note that  $ABD$  contains the key  $AB$ , so there is no need to add a key to the schema. So the above 3NF decomposition is dependency preserving and has lossless join.