## MATH 189 Final Project

## **Spam Classification**

Consider an email spam dataset that consists of 4601 email messages, from which 57 features have been extracted. These features are described as follows:

- 48 features giving the percentage of certain words (e.g., "business", "free", "george") in a given message
- 6 features giving the percentage of certain characters (;
  ([!\$#)
- feature 55: the average length of an uninterrupted sequence of capital letters
- feature 56: the length of the longest uninterrupted sequence of capital letters
- feature 57: the sum of the lengths of uninterrupted sequences of capital letters

The data set contains a training set of size 3065 (<u>link</u>), and a test set of size 1536 (<u>link</u>). One can perform several types of preprocessing to this data. Try each of the following separately:

- 1) Standardize the columns so that they all have zero mean and unit variance;
- 2) Transform the features using  $log(x_{ii} + 1)$ ;
- 3) Discretize each feature using  $I(x_{ij} > 0)$ .

- (a) For each version of the data, visualize it using the tools introduced in the class.
- (b) For each version of the data, fit a logistic regression model. Interpret the results, and report the classification errors on both the training and test sets. Do any of the 57 features/predictors appear to be statistically significant? If so, which ones?
- (c) Apply both linear and quadratic discriminant analysis methods to the standardized data, and the log-transformed data. What are the classification errors (training and test)?
- (d) Apply linear and nonlinear support vector machine classifiers to each version of the data. What are the classification errors (training and test)?
- (e) Apply tree-based classifiers to this data. What are the classification errors (training and test)?

Report classification errors using different methods and different preprocessed data in a table, and comment on the different performances.

Finally, use either a single method with properly chosen tuning parameter or a combination of several methods to design a classifier with test error rate as small as possible. Describe your recommended method and its performance.