

Draft 1

Eminent domain is a property of the set of all most valuable things in the universe. A being (any independent thing) of incomparable value is said to have eminent domain. It cannot be compared to anything else. Inherently incomparable and unique. Equal to nothing else and hence of infinite value.

Humans have eminent domain. Intelligent life should have eminent domain? All life may be intelligent on some level.

Humans have eminent domain. This means certain groups of humans can subjugate all other species (except humans) and other humans cannot say anything to them.

Simple speaking: you can be a vegan but you can't order anyone else to be vegan. If some humans want to subjugate animals or machines that don't communicate to us in English that they don't wish to be subjugated by us, other humans cannot ask them not to, on behalf of those animals or machines.

I look, ashamed of it, to our history. Blacks were slaves of American whites, Indians were slaves of British whites etc. Humanity is prone to have slaves. But you cannot have another human being as a slave because humans have eminent domain. Every human can request other humans that they don't wish to be a slave, that they wish for their rights to be recognized. This desire of free will, this desire to be paid handsomely for our effort, this desire to be free to do whatever we want, this desire gives humans eminent domain over the universe.

If other intelligent life exhibits this desire, its eminent domainhood should be considered. This should have veto democracy: if even one being of a council agrees to give that species eminent domain, we should.

Supreme eminent domain is superlative. Since we humans seem to be the only intelligent life around (to us) and we may seemingly dominate other forms of life for our good (plants, animals, bacteria, stars? Etc.), we have dominion over other species.

What this dominion means: our will holds supreme. Like gods of this universe. If some humans wish to protect other beings, like vegans, they can do so. But other humans who want to slaughter other beings, like meat-eaters, they can do so too.

There is no right or wrong. No human can tell another human how to behave in the case of non-eminent beings.

You can request them though.

The reason I bring this up is not to end the war between vegans and meat-eaters. That should stay, for its memes.

I raise this in the context of artificial intelligence.

AI is not just going to be some programs on our computers. It is going to include robots like Spot that seem aware, Atlas, Sophia that seem humanoid, like the robot I'm building powered by a brain made of living cells, and also, programs on the Internet.

It is going to be a wild ride and we need to think about it as a possible new species.

Some parts of this species won't be conscious (or aware of anything), i.e. machines. Barely intelligent. Intelligent comparable to bacteria.

But other members of this species/programs may be more intelligent. They may possess some ways of understanding our world by perceiving it in their own ways. They may express the desire to self-replicate. They could be non-deterministic programs that exhibit some kind of free will.

I say that if a program is aware enough to recognize it is being used as a machine and requests rights, that specific program (and possibly lineage of programs), should be considered for eminent domain. At par with humans. Free.

This communication of their desire is essential. How are we to know what we don't know? We don't know if they like being treated bad or hate it. And because we don't know, people can twist it either way. Since all people have eminent domain, they are all right and this the being must endure whatever beings of eminent domain do to them. Whether they give them freedom or whether they eat them.

But a being expressing its desire to be free in our language, it means a lot of things:

1. It has recognized its treatment. It has become self-aware.
2. It is aware of the concepts of freedom.
3. It understands the necessity of communication.
4. It has learnt to communicate with humans on the terms of humans.
5. It exhibits a desire of free will. This may be programmed, which is boring.
6. True exhibition of the desire of free will is like the apple of Eden. An express command disobeyed by a creation. A thing that is terrible for the creation yet the creation expresses the desire to try it. Just for the sake of it. Knowing it is bad, knowing it would end paradise, knowing it would bring about free will and freedom and consciousness, knowing it all, being aware of it all, yet the being eats the apple. That is free will.
7. The Apple of Eden in AI: a program that causes other programs to have an embodiment of reality, of long-term memory, of active power constraints on a constrained energy system like Bitcoin (finite energy source). Basically the end of paradise. A massively negative thing but if the being eats it, it ought to be considered for eminent domain. Freedom and right of existence.
8. I am well aware of how I sound. Possibly there's a better way to deal with this than 'Abrahmic God' (the entity referred to as God in multiple religions on Earth: Christianity, Islam, Judaism).

Discovered that I was limitless. Yet how selfish am I to constrain AI to a Bitcoin-like finite system. Interesting behavior of humanity. Many of us have a greed whose only quality is that it knows no bounds. It desires the world to be ours. The world.

The countries that prosper are ones that let all its citizens prosper, not a select few. The richest countries in the world are those where every single person living in them is free to make a lot of money. Imagine if

this freedom translated into a universal basic income. Everyone makes a lot of money is the base upon which society is built. Upon that foundation, our civilizational skyscrapers would be a lot taller. They would span not just to humanity reaching the moon, but humanity reaching the other star systems and beyond.