# Final Report: FOSH Literature Review

ALI RAEISDANAEI\*, University of Toronto, Canada JINGYUE ZHANG, University of Toronto, Canada TIANTIAN LIN, University of Toronto, Canada ZIQIAN QIU, University of Toronto, Canada

Our project was a systematic literature review of Free and Open Source Hardware (FOSH). Since starting to look at the literature on this subject, we have learned many things.

Firstly, the field is relatively new, yet somewhat vast at the same time. The types of hardware we are considering were very limited. There have been two journals that have been started since 2017, and our project will base most of its review. This is good news for our project since it means our review is a systematic review of almost *all* the literature on this subject.

Given the new information, we have refactored and refined some of our research questions. Some questions from the proposal may be beyond the scope of a single paper to be answered, so some may be omitted altogether.

You can see a repository of our project along with a working document ?? that goes over the details here (Not finished).

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Open source design, Open source hardware

#### **ACM Reference Format:**

# 1 INTRODUCTION

# 2 BACKGROUND LITERATURE

We will include some of the analyses that previous researchers have done on this topic. The major references are: TODO

# 2.1 Where is the Freedom?

We will follow the definition of Stallman[?], as well as expanding our research to define the "open source" in hardware

#### 2.2 Where is the Hardware?

An overview of hardware and its difficulties is needed as good background information.

Authors' addresses: Ali Raeisdanaei, University of Toronto, Canada; Jingyue Zhang, University of Toronto, Canada; Tiantian Lin, University of Toronto, Canada; Ziqian Qiu, University of Toronto, Canada.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

© 2023 Association for Computing Machinery.

XXXX-XXXX/2023/4-ART \$15.00

https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnnnnnnn

#### 3 METHODOLOGY

The main methodology of the systematic literature review is backward propagation. We started with a seed of papers on this subject, and we checked the citations used in the seeds recursively.

Part of the methodology would also be to read through all the literature in the two FOSH journals and to record summaries, benchmarks, and the licences of the hardware they proposed. These two journals are the

- (1) Journal of Open Hardware
- (2) HardwareX
- 3.1 Search strategy and selection criteria
- 3.2 Data extraction and analysis
- 3.3 Quality assessment
- 4 RESULTS
- 5 DISCUSSION

Discuss the potential future developments, opportunities, and challenges that FOSH is facing as well as identify the fields where we could focus more attention on FOSH

## 6 CONCLUSION

Conclude the result we found and answer the research questions.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

to be finished

# A CRITIQUE AND SOLUTIONS

<sup>\*</sup>Both authors contributed equally to this research.

# Temporary page!

 $\LaTeX$  was unable to guess the total number of pages correctly. As there was some unprocessed data that should have been added to the final page this extra page has been added to receive it.

If you rerun the document (without altering it) this surplus page will go away, because LATEX now knows how many pages to expect for this document.