Concentration without Independence via Information Measures

Kiarash Joolaei

Contents

Introduction **Preliminaries Main Results Applications Proofs**

Introduction

McDiarmid's Inequality

Consider a sequence of independent random variables (X_1, \dots, X_n) .

If f satisfies the bounded difference property with parameters c_i , then:

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(|f(X^n) - \mathbb{E}[f(X^n)]| \geqslant t\Big) \leqslant 2\exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\right)$$

Bounded difference property:

$$\forall x^n, \hat{x}, i \in [1, n] : |f(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}, \dots, x_n)| \leq c_i$$

Introduction

McDiarmid's Inequality

Consider a sequence of independent random variables (X_1, \dots, X_n) .

If f satisfies the bounded difference property with parameters c_i , then:

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(|f(X^n) - \mathbb{E}[f(X^n)]| \geqslant t\Big) \leqslant 2\exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\right)$$

- The random variables can come from different distributions and must be independent
- What if they are dependent?

Intuition

- Deriving similar bounds for "semi-independent" random variables
- Paying a multiplicative price related to how far the random variables are from being independent in the upper bound
- Calculating the distance between distributions using divergences

$$\mathcal{P}_X(f) := \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathcal{P}_X(.)}[f(x)]$$

$$\mu \ll \nu \iff \Big(\forall A \in \mathcal{F}; \nu(A) = 0 \Longrightarrow \mu(A) = 0 \Big)$$
 absolute continuity

$$p, q \in (1, +\infty)$$
 $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ Hölder Conjugates

$$\varphi$$
 – divergence

Probability Spaces
$$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$$
 & $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{Q})$
 $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ convex function $\varphi(1) = 0$
 $\mathcal{P} \ll \mathcal{Q}$

$$D_{\varphi}(\mathcal{P}||\mathcal{Q}) := \int \varphi\left(\frac{d\mathcal{P}}{d\mathcal{Q}}\right) d\mathcal{Q}$$

Hellinger Integral

Probability Spaces
$$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$$
 & $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{Q})$

$$\varphi_{\alpha}: \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \ , \ \varphi_{\alpha}(x) = x^{\alpha} \ , \ \alpha > 1$$

$$\mathcal{P} \ll \mathcal{Q}$$

$$H_{\alpha}(\mathcal{P}||\mathcal{Q}) := D_{\varphi_{\alpha}}(\mathcal{P}||\mathcal{Q}) = \int \left(\frac{d\mathcal{P}}{d\mathcal{Q}}\right)^{\alpha} d\mathcal{Q}$$

Renyi divergence

Probability Spaces
$$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$$
 & $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{Q})$

$$p = \frac{d\mathcal{P}}{d\mu}$$
, $q = \frac{d\mathcal{Q}}{d\mu}$ Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$\mathcal{P} \ll \mu \& \mathcal{Q} \ll \mu \ (\mu \text{ always exists})$$

$$D_{\alpha}(\mathcal{P}||\mathcal{Q}) := \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \int p^{\alpha} q^{1 - \alpha} d\mu \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{+} - \{1\}$$

Markov Kernel: Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}) be a measurable space.

A Markov Kernel K is a mapping $K: \mathcal{F} \times \Omega \longrightarrow [0,1]$ such that:

- 1. $\forall x \in \Omega$; K(.|x) is a probability measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F})
- 2. $\forall E \in \mathcal{F}$; K(E|.) is an \mathcal{F} -measurable real-valued function

$$\mu K(E) := \mu(K(E|.)) = \int K(E|x) d\mu(x) \qquad \text{A measure}$$

$$Kf(x) := \int f(y) dK(y|x) \qquad \qquad \text{A function}$$

In a Markov Chain:

$$\mathbb{P}(X_i \in E | X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}) = \mathbb{P}(X_i \in E | X_{i-1}) = K_i(E | X_{i-1})$$

In a time-homogeneous Markov Chain with discrete state space :

$$\forall i \geq 2; K_i = P \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{X}| \times |\mathcal{X}|}$$
 stochastic matrix

$$||K||_{\alpha \to \gamma} := \sup_{f \neq 0} \frac{||Kf||_{\alpha}}{||f||_{\gamma}} , \gamma \leqslant \alpha$$

K is contractive iff $||K||_{\alpha \to \alpha} \leq 1$

K is hyper-contractive iff $||K||_{\alpha\to\gamma} \leq 1$ for some $\gamma < \alpha$

$$\gamma_K^{\star}(\alpha) := \arg\min\{\gamma \mid \gamma < \alpha, ||K||_{\alpha \to \gamma} \le 1\}$$

$$K_{\mu}^{\leftarrow}(y|x) = \frac{K(y|x)\mu(x)}{\mu K(y)}$$
 adjoint of kernel K (discrete setting)

Main Result

 \mathcal{P}_{X^n} : joint distribution of (X_1, \dots, X_n)

 \mathcal{P}_{X_i} : marginal distribution corresponding to X_i

 $\mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^n X_i}$: the joint measure induced by the product of the marginals

Main Result

Theorem. If f satisfies bounded difference property with parameters c_i and $\mathcal{P}_{X^n} \ll \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^n X_i}$, for all t > 0 and $\alpha > 1$:

$$\mathcal{P}_{X^n}\Big(|f-\mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^n X_i}(f)| \geqslant t\Big) \leqslant 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \exp\Big(\frac{-2t^2}{\beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\Big) \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\mathcal{P}_{X^n}||\mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^n X_i})$$

$$\beta = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \quad \text{(H\"{o}lder Conjugate of } \alpha\text{)}$$
Multiplicative Price

Remarks

1. For any measurable set E:

$$\mathcal{P}_{X^n}(E) \leqslant \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^n X_i}^{\frac{1}{\beta}}(E) \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\mathcal{P}_{X^n} || \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^n X_i})$$

2.
$$\mathcal{P}_{X^n}\Big(|f-\mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^n X_i}(f)| \geqslant t\Big) \leqslant 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \exp\Big(\frac{-2t^2}{\beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\Big) \prod_{i=2}^n \max_{x^{i-1}} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\mathcal{P}_{X_i|X^{i-1}=x^{i-1}}||\mathcal{P}_{X_i})$$

Remarks

3.
$$\mathcal{P}_{X^n}\Big(|f-\mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^n X_i}(f)| \geqslant t\Big) \leqslant 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \exp\Big(-n\Big(\frac{2t^2}{\beta} - \frac{1}{n\alpha}\log H_{\alpha}(\mathcal{P}_{X^n}||\mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^n X_i})\Big)\Big)$$

$$c_i = \frac{1}{n}$$

$$t > \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2n\alpha}} \ln H_{\alpha}(\mathcal{P}_{X^n}||\mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^n X_i})$$
 Exponential Decay of Upper Bound

Applications

Discrete Time Markov
Chain

Non-contracting Markov Chain (SSRW)

Non-Markovian Process

Markov Chain Monte Carlo

Discrete Time Markov Chain

Markov Chain
$$(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$

Transition Matrices $(K_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$

$$X_1 \sim P_1$$
 $X_i \sim (P_1 K_1 \cdots K_{i-1} = P_i)$

Discrete Time Markov Chain

Theorem. Consider discrete-valued Markov kernels K_i .

If function f satisfies bounded difference property with parameters $c_i = \frac{1}{n}$, for every $\alpha > 1$ we have:

$$\mathcal{P}_{X^{n}}(\left|f - \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}(f)\right| \geq t) \leq 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \exp\left(-\frac{2nt^{2}}{\beta} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\log \|K_{i}^{\leftarrow}\|_{\alpha \to \gamma_{i}^{\star}(\alpha)} - \frac{1}{\bar{\gamma}_{i}^{\star}(\alpha)} \min_{j \in supp(\mathcal{P}_{i})} \log P_{i}(j)\right)\right)$$

Hölder Conjugate

Discrete Time Markov Chain

For a time-homogeneous Markov Chain with $K_i = K$:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{P}_{X^n}(\left|f - \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^n X_i}(f)\right| \geq t) \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \exp\left(-\frac{2nt^2}{\beta} + (n-1)\log\|K^\leftarrow\|_{\alpha \to \gamma_K^\star(\alpha)} - \frac{1}{\bar{\gamma}_K^\star(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\min_{j \in \mathit{supp}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \log P_i(j)\right)\right) \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \exp\left(-\frac{2nt^2}{\beta} + (n-1)\log\|K^\leftarrow\|_{\alpha \to \gamma_K^\star(\alpha)} - \frac{n-1}{\bar{\gamma}_K^\star(\alpha)} \left(\min_{i=1,...,(n-1)} \min_{j \in \mathit{supp}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \log P_i(j)\right)\right) \end{split}$$

Simple Symmetric Random Walk

$$(S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$

$$S_i = S_{i-1} + X_i$$

$$X_i \sim \text{Rad } \forall i \geqslant 1$$
 $\mathbb{P}(X_0 = 0) = 1$

$$\mathbb{P}(X_0 = 0) = 1$$

- No stationary distribution
- Not contracting

Useful Lemmas

Lemma 1. Let $i \geq 1$, $x \in supp(S_{i-1})$, $0 \leq j \leq i$, and $\alpha \geq 1$. Then,

$$2^{\frac{1}{\beta}\left(-1+i(1-h_2(\frac{i+1}{2i})+\frac{1}{2}\log_2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{i^2-1}{i}\right)\right)\right)} \le H_{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\mathcal{P}_{S_i|S_{i-1}=x}\|\mathcal{P}_{S_n}) \le 2^{i\frac{1}{\beta}-1+\frac{1}{\alpha}},$$

where $h_2(x) = -x \log_2(x) - (1-x) \log_2(1-x)$ denotes the binary entropy. Thus one has that:

$$\frac{n-2}{4\beta} \le \log_2 H_\alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\mathcal{P}_{S^n} \| \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{j=1}^n S_j}) \le \frac{n(n-1)}{2\beta}.$$

Useful Lemmas

Lemma 2. Let $\alpha > 1$. Denote with $t_{\alpha} = \sqrt{\frac{\beta \ln(H_{\alpha}(\mathcal{P}_{X^n} || \mathcal{P}_{\otimes X_i}))}{2\alpha n}}$. Then, the following holds true:

$$\left| \mathcal{P}_{X^n}(f) - \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^n X_i}(f) \right| \le t_\alpha + \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \sqrt{\frac{2n}{\alpha} \ln(H_\alpha(\mathcal{P}_{X^n} || \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^n X_i}))}}.$$

Prior work and McDiarmid-like Inequalities bound deviation with respect to **joint measure expected value**, unlike the main result of the paper.

 $o_n(1)$

$$\frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\sqrt{\frac{2n}{\alpha}\ln(H_{\alpha}(\mathcal{P}_{X^n}\|\mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^n X_i}))}} \leq \frac{2^{\frac{1}{\beta}\beta}}{n\sqrt{\ln(2)(2-\frac{4}{n})}} = o_n(1)$$

$$|f - \mathcal{P}_{S^n}(f)| = |f - \mathcal{P}_{S^n}(f) - \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^n S_i}(f) + \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^n S_i}(f)|$$

$$\leq |f - \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^n S_i}(f)| + |\mathcal{P}_{X^n}(f) - \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^n S_i}(f)|$$

$$\leq |f - \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^n S_i}(f)| + t_\alpha + o_n(1).$$

Prior work:

$$\mathbb{P}(|f - \mathcal{P}_{S^n}(f)| \ge t) \le 2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2n}\right)$$

Paper's Result:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|f - \mathcal{P}_{S^n}(f)| \ge t + t_\alpha + o_n(1)\right) \le$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|f - \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} S_{i}}(f)\right| \ge t\right) \le 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \exp\left(\frac{-2nt^{2}}{\beta} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2\beta} \ln 2\right)$$

Prior work:
$$\tilde{t} = 2\sqrt{n}$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|f - \mathcal{P}_{S^n}(f)| \ge \tilde{t}\right) \le 2\exp(-2)$$

Paper's Result:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|f - \mathcal{P}_{S^n}(f)| \ge \tilde{t}\right) \le 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \exp\left(-\frac{n^2}{\beta} \left(2 - \frac{\ln 2}{2} + \frac{\ln 2}{2n}\right)\right)$$

$$X_n = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{with probability } \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_i X_i, \\ -1, & \text{with probability } 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_i X_i, \end{cases}$$

$$p_i = 2^{-i-1}$$
 $\mathbb{P}_{X_1}(1|x_0) = \frac{1}{2} = \mathbb{P}_{X_1}(-1|x_0)$

Fully dependent on the past

$$X_n = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{with probability } \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_i X_i, \\ -1, & \text{with probability } 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_i X_i, \end{cases}$$

$$p_i = 2^{-i-1}$$
 $\mathbb{P}_{X_1}(1|x_0) = \frac{1}{2} = \mathbb{P}_{X_1}(-1|x_0)$

$$\mathbb{P}_{X_n}(1|x_0^{n-1}) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_i x_i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i 2^{-i-1} = 1 - \mathbb{P}_{X_n}(-1|x_0^{n-1})$$

Claim.

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\left|f - \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}(f)\right| \ge t\right\}\right) \le \inf_{\beta > 1} 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \exp\left(-\frac{2n}{\beta}\left(t^{2} - \frac{n-1}{n}\frac{\beta \ln 2}{2}\right)\right)$$

$$t^2 > (1 + o_n(1)) \frac{\beta \ln 2}{2}$$

Exponential decay given the values for t

Claim.

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\left|f - \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}(f)\right| \ge t\right\}\right) \le \inf_{\beta > 1} 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \exp\left(-\frac{2n}{\beta} \left(t^{2} - \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{\beta \ln 2}{2}\right)\right)$$

Proof Ideas:

$$H_{\alpha}(\mathcal{P}_{X_n}(\cdot|x_0^{n-1})\|(1/2,1/2)) < 2\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{\alpha}{2} \rfloor} {\lfloor \alpha \rfloor \choose 2j} \left(2\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_i x_i\right)^{2j}$$

$$\implies \max_{x_1^{n-1}} H_{\alpha}(\mathcal{P}_{X_n}(\cdot|x_0^{n-1})\|(1/2,1/2)) < 2^{\alpha} \implies H_{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\mathcal{P}_{X_n}\|(1/2,1/2)^{\otimes n}) < 2^{n-1}$$

- A method for sampling from a target distribution
- Estimating the mean of a function using correlated samples
- Starting from an arbitrary distribution
- Forming a Markov Chain whose stationary distribution is our target distribution
- After the "burn-in phase", the generated samples are coming from the stationary distribution

Prior work: If $f: \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow [a, b]$ is uniformly bounded, then:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(X_{n_0+i}) - \pi(f) > t\right) \le C(\nu, n_0, \alpha) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\beta} \cdot \frac{1 - \max\{\lambda_r, 0\}}{1 + \max\{\lambda_r, 0\}} \cdot \frac{2nt^2}{(b-a)^2}\right)$$

$$\lambda_r = 1 - \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(P), \lambda \neq 1\}$$
 Right spectral gap

Claim. If $f: \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow [a, b]$ is uniformly bounded, then:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}f(X_{n_0+i}) - \pi(f) > t\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2nt^2}{\beta(b-a)^2}\right)H_{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\nu K^{n_0}\|\pi)\prod_{i=2}^{n}\max_{x_{n_0+i-1}}H_{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(K(\cdot|x_{n_0+i-1})\|\pi) \\
\leq C(\nu, n_0, \alpha)\exp\left(-\frac{2nt^2}{\beta(b-a)^2}\right)\max_{x}\pi(\{x\})^{-\frac{n-1}{\beta}},$$

 ν starting distribution

 π stationary distribution of MC

$$t^{2} \ge \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{2} \frac{1+\lambda_{r}}{2\lambda_{r}} \log \left(\frac{1}{\min_{x} \pi(\lbrace x \rbrace)}\right)$$

For these values of t, the new bound is tighter than the prior

If $n_0 = \Omega(\log n)$, the exponential decay is guaranteed

Tensorization

Tensorization of $D_{\rm KL}$:

$$D(\mathcal{Q}||\mathcal{P}) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int d\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{X}^{i}} D(Q_{X_{i}|\bar{X}^{i}}||P_{X_{i}})$$

Q is a product measure

With some changes, tensorization might hold for other divergences

Tensorization

Theorem 3. Let Q and P be two probability measures on the space X^n such that $Q \ll P$, and assume that P is a product measure (i.e., $P = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n P_i$). Assume also that Q is a Markov measure induced by Q_1 and the kernels $Q_i(\cdot|\cdot)$ with $1 \le i \le n$, i.e., $Q(x^n) = Q_1(x_1) \prod_{i=2}^n Q_i(x_i|x_{i-1})$. Moreover, given a constant c, let $X_0 = c$ (almost surely) be an auxiliary random variable, then,

$$D_{\alpha}(\mathcal{Q}||\mathcal{P}) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\beta_{i-1}} \log \mathcal{P}_{X_{i-1}} \left(\exp \left(\frac{(\alpha \alpha_i - 1)\beta_{i-1}}{\alpha_i} \left(D_{\alpha \alpha_i} (Q_i(\cdot | X_{i-1}) || P_{X_i}) \right) \right) \right)$$

where
$$\alpha_i \geq 1$$
 for $i \geq 0$, $\beta_0 = 1$, $\alpha_n = 1$ and $\beta_i = \alpha_i/(\alpha_i - 1)$

Tensorization

Theorem 3. Let \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{P} be two probability measures on the space \mathcal{X}^n such that $\mathcal{Q} \ll \mathcal{P}$, and assume that \mathcal{P} is a product measure (i.e., $\mathcal{P} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n P_i$). Assume also that \mathcal{Q} is a Markov measure induced by Q_1 and the kernels $Q_i(\cdot|\cdot)$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$, i.e., $\mathcal{Q}(x^n) = Q_1(x_1) \prod_{i=2}^n Q_i(x_i|x_{i-1})$. Moreover, given a constant c, let $X_0 = c$ (almost surely) be an auxiliary random variable, then,

$$H_{\alpha}(Q||\mathcal{P}) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{P}_{X_{i-1}}^{\frac{1}{\beta_{i-1}}} \left(H_{\alpha \alpha_{i}}^{\frac{\beta_{i-1}}{\alpha_{i}}} (Q_{i}(\cdot|X_{i-1})||P_{X_{i}}) \right)$$

where
$$\alpha_i \geq 1$$
 for $i \geq 0$, $\beta_0 = 1$, $\alpha_n = 1$ and $\beta_i = \alpha_i/(\alpha_i - 1)$

Tensorized Version of the Theorem

if $(X_1, ..., X_n)$ are Markovian under \mathcal{P}_{X^n} , i.e., $\mathcal{P}_{X_i|X^{i-1}} = \mathcal{P}_{X_i|X_{i-1}}$ almost surely, then the following holds:

$$\mathcal{P}_{X^n}\left(\left|f - \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^n X_i}(f)\right| \ge t\right) \le 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \exp\left(\frac{-2t^2}{\beta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\right) \left(\prod_{i=2}^n H_i^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}},$$

with
$$\beta = \alpha/(\alpha - 1)$$
, $H_i^{\alpha} = \mathcal{P}_{X_{i-1}}^{\frac{1}{\beta_{i-1}}} \left(H_{\alpha\alpha_i}^{\frac{\beta_{i-1}}{\alpha_i}} (\mathcal{P}_{X_i|X_{i-1}} || \mathcal{P}_{X_i}) \right)$, $\alpha_i > 1$ for $i \geq 0$, $\beta_0 = 1$, $\alpha_n = 1$, and $\beta_i = \alpha_i/(\alpha_i - 1)$.

Useful Lemma

Hölder's Inequality

Let (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) be a measure space. For all measurable functions f, g we have:

$$\int_{X} |fg| d\mu \leqslant \left(\int_{X} |f|^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{X} |g|^{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

$$p, q \in [1, +\infty], \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

Main Theorem Proof

Proof. Assume that $E = \{|f - \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^n X_i}(f)| \geq t\}$. Then, one has that $\mathcal{P}_{X^n}(E) = \int \mathbb{1}_E \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{P}_{X^n}$ $= \int \mathbb{1}_E \frac{d\mathcal{P}_{X^n}}{d\mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes^n X_i}} \, d\mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes^n_{i=1} X_i}$ Hölder's Inequality $\leq \left(\int \mathbb{1}_E d\mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^n X_i}\right)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} \left(\int \left(\frac{d\mathcal{P}_{X^n}}{d\mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^n X_i}}\right)^{\alpha} d\mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^n X_i}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}}$ $= \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}^{\frac{1}{\beta}}(E) H_{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\mathcal{P}_{X^{n}} \| \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}),$

Main Theorem Proof

McDiarmid's Inequality
$$\Longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}^{\frac{1}{\beta}}(E) \leqslant 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \exp\left(-\frac{2t^{2}}{\beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{2}}\right)$$

 $\Longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{X^{n}}\left(|f-\mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}(f)| \geqslant t\right) \leqslant 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \exp\left(\frac{-2t^{2}}{\beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{2}}\right) \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\mathcal{P}_{X^{n}}||\mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}})$

Proof of Lemma 2

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{P}_{X^{n}}(f) - \mathcal{P}_{\otimes_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}(f)| &= |\mathcal{P}_{X^{n}}(f - c)| \\ &\leq \mathcal{P}_{X^{n}}\left(|f - c|\right) \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_{X^{n}}(|f - c| \geq t) \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{t_{\alpha}} 1 \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_{t_{\alpha}}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_{X^{n}}(|f - c| \geq t) \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &\leq t_{\alpha} + 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} H_{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\mathcal{P}_{X^{n}} \| \mathcal{P}_{\otimes_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}) \int_{t_{\alpha}}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{2nt^{2}}{\beta}\right) \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &\leq t_{\alpha} + 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} H_{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\mathcal{P}_{X^{n}} \| \mathcal{P}_{\otimes_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}) \frac{\beta}{2nt_{\alpha}} \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{2nt}{\beta} \exp\left(-\frac{2nt^{2}}{\beta}\right) \, \mathrm{d}t \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 2

$$= t_{\alpha} + 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} H_{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} (\mathcal{P}_{X^{n}} \| \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}) \frac{\beta}{2nt_{\alpha}} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \exp\left(-\frac{2nt^{2}}{\beta} \right) \right) \Big|_{t_{\alpha}}^{\infty}$$

$$= t_{\alpha} + 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} H_{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} (\mathcal{P}_{X^{n}} \| \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}) \frac{\beta}{4nt_{\alpha}} \exp\left(-\frac{2nt_{\alpha}^{2}}{\beta} \right)$$

$$= t_{\alpha} + 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \frac{\beta}{4nt_{\alpha}}$$

$$= t_{\alpha} + 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \frac{\beta}{4n} \frac{\sqrt{2n}}{\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \ln(H_{\alpha}(\mathcal{P}_{X^{n}} \| \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}))}}$$

$$= t_{\alpha} + \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \sqrt{\frac{2n}{\alpha} \ln(H_{\alpha}(\mathcal{P}_{X^{n}} \| \mathcal{P}_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}))}},$$

Thank You!