Notes for literature review

alisonc

2015-04-23

Lots of design decisions for MPTCP were made with the goal of making it compatible with Plain Old TCP and the myriad of middleboxes in the today's internet. This is not something we need to be concerned about. [4]

MPTCP: the same data can be sent on multiple subflows for resilience. The first copy that arrives should be taken as authoritative and delivered to the application; further are ignored. [4, p. 25]

Retransmissions are "clearly suboptimal". All retransmissions on a different subflow first require retransmission on the original subflow. Why? Because compatibility with legacy middleboxes. [4, § 3.3.6] Also, much about subflows depends on local policy. [4, § 3.3.8]

"Multiple retransmissions are triggers that will indicate that a subflow performs badly and could lead to a host resetting the subflow with a RST. However, additional research is required to understand the heuristics of how and when to reset underperforming subflows. For example, a highly asymmetric path may be misdiagnosed as underperforming." [4, p. 33]

Subflow priority is binary – either main or backup. This is just a suggestion and not binding though.

Address adding and removing via `ADD_ADDR` and `REMOVE_ADDR` depends on the hosts view of its network connections, not the topology. [4, § 3.4].

MPTCP has some shortcomings:

- No network level view of topology. can't pick the k shortest paths

- Most applicable to multihomed systems

- data redundancy is implement badly

- All the design compromises to be compatible with legacy middleboxen

References

[1] Olivier Bonaventure, Janardhan Iyengar, and Costin Raiciu. *Recent Advances in Networking*, chapter Recent Advances in Reliable Transport Protocols. ACM SIGCOMM, August 2013.

[2] Carlos T. Calafate. Mitigating the impact of mobility on H.264 real-time video streams using multiple paths. *Journal of Communications and Networks*, December 2004.

[3] Jonathan Corbet. Multipath TCP: an overview, March 2013. LWN.net.

[4] A. Ford, C. Raiciu, M. Handley, and O. Bonaventure. TCP extensions for multipath operation with multiple addresses, January 2013. RFC 6824.

[5] Dongkyun Kim and Joon-Min Gil. Reliable and fault-tolerant software-defined network operations scheme for remote 3D printing. *Journal of Electronic Materials*, March 2015.

[6] Sung-Ju Lee. Split multipath routing with maximally disjoint paths in ad hoc networks. In *IEEE International Conference on Communications 2001*, 2001.

[7] S. Y. Oh, M. Gerla, and A. Tiwari. Robust MANET routing using adaptive path redundancy and coding. In *COMSNETS 2009*, 2009.

[8] Christoph Paasch and Olivier Bonaventure. Multipath TCP. *ACM Queue*, March 2014.