Hybrid Analytic-Numerical Initialization of the Numerov Algorithm for $l > 0$ Partial-Wave Scattering

Alisher Sanetullaev, Marhabo Beymamatova, and Mirzabek Botirov

Department of Physics, New Uzbekistan University

(Dated: December 28, 2025)

The numerical solution of the radial Schrödinger equation for non-zero angular momentum ($l > 0$) is often hindered by the centrifugal singularity at the origin. We present a hybrid integration scheme that utilizes an analytic Riccati-Bessel initialization to satisfy initial boundary conditions for the Numerov propagator. Unlike standard power-series starts, this method incorporates the local potential depth and incident energy, preventing the excitation of spurious irregular solutions. We demonstrate that this approach preserves the discrete Wronskian to machine precision ($\sim 10^{-14}$) and significantly improves the convergence of phase shifts δ_l in Woods-Saxon potentials. For $l = 1$ scattering at $2\,\mathrm{MeV}$, the Bessel-start achieves phase shift errors of 7.5×10^{-10} with $h = 0.01\,\mathrm{fm}$, compared to 2.5×10^{-5} for the naive start—an improvement of five orders of magnitude. The method extends naturally to higher partial waves and provides a robust foundation for modern scattering calculations.

I. INTRODUCTION

The numerical solution of the radial Schrödinger equation is a cornerstone of nuclear and atomic physics, essential for calculating scattering cross-sections, bound states, and resonance properties. For partial waves with $l > 0$, the centrifugal barrier term, $l(l+1)/r^2$, introduces a singularity at the origin that poses significant challenges for standard finite-difference methods. The Numerov algorithm is widely favored for its $O(h^6)$ local truncation error and efficiency in solving second-order equations lacking first-derivative terms [**?**].

Standard implementations typically initialize the integration at $r = h$ using a simple power-series $u_l(r) \approx C r^{l+1}$. However, this approach ignores the influence of the potential $V(r)$ and energy E near the origin, introducing a leading-order mismatch. This error excites the irregular solution (r^{-l}), leading to a drift in the Wronskian and loss of unitarity. The problem becomes particularly acute for high angular momenta and large step sizes, where the initialization error propagates through the entire integration domain.

We propose a hybrid scheme employing an analytical Riccati-Bessel start to align the numerical propagator with the physical solution from the first step. By incorporating the local potential depth and incident energy into the initialization, we eliminate the leading-order error and maintain the symplectic structure of the Numerov algorithm. This approach is particularly valuable for scattering calculations requiring high precision, such as resonance analysis and cross-section predictions.

II. THEORY AND METHODOLOGY

A. The Radial Schrödinger Equation

The radial Schrödinger equation for partial wave l is:

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + V(r) + \frac{\hbar^2 l(l+1)}{2mr^2} - E \right] u_l(r) = 0 \quad (1)$$

where $u_l(r) = r R_l(r)$ is the reduced radial wavefunction, $V(r)$ is the potential, and E is the energy. The boundary condition $u_l(0) = 0$ ensures regularity at the origin.

B. The Riccati-Bessel Analytic Start

In the region $r \to 0$, where the potential is approximately constant ($V(r) \approx -V_0$), the radial equation reduces to the spherical Bessel equation:

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + q^2 - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right] u_l(r) = 0 \quad (2)$$

where $q = \sqrt{2m(E + V_0)/\hbar^2}$. The regular solution is the Riccati-Bessel function $F_l(qr) = qr\, j_l(qr)$, where j_l is the spherical Bessel function of the first kind.

For $l = 1$, the Riccati-Bessel function has the exact form:

$$F_1(qr) = \frac{\sin(qr)}{qr} - \cos(qr) \quad (3)$$

with the power series expansion:

$$F_1(qr) = \frac{(qr)^2}{3} - \frac{(qr)^4}{30} + \frac{(qr)^6}{840} - \cdots \quad (4)$$

By using this expansion for $u(h)$, we incorporate the physical parameters E and V_0 into the initialization. The leading term $(qr)^2/3$ already contains the energy and potential dependence through q, providing a physically consistent start that matches the local solution structure.

For general l, the Riccati-Bessel function can be computed using:

$$F_l(qr) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi qr}{2}} J_{l+1/2}(qr) \qquad (5)$$

where J_ν is the ordinary Bessel function, with power series:

$$F_l(qr) = \frac{(qr)^{l+1}}{(2l+1)!!} \left[1 - \frac{(qr)^2}{2(2l+3)} + \cdots \right] \qquad (6)$$

C. The Numerov Algorithm

The Numerov algorithm is a fourth-order method for second-order differential equations of the form $u''(r) = f(r)u(r)$. For the radial Schrödinger equation, we have:

$$f(r) = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} [V(r) - E] + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \qquad (7)$$

The Numerov step is:

$$u_{n+1} = \frac{2u_n - u_{n-1} + \frac{h^2}{12}(10f_n u_n + f_{n-1}u_{n-1})}{1 - \frac{h^2}{12} f_{n+1}} \qquad (8)$$

where h is the step size and $f_n = f(nh)$.

D. Discrete Wronskian Conservation

The Numerov algorithm preserves a discrete Wronskian W_n that measures the symplectic structure of the solution. For two independent solutions u and v, the discrete Wronskian is:

$$W_n = \left(1 - \frac{h^2}{12} f_{n+1}\right) u_{n+1} v_n - \left(1 - \frac{h^2}{12} f_n\right) u_n v_{n+1} \qquad (9)$$

For a single solution u (with $v = u$), this reduces to checking the conservation of a quantity related to the phase-space volume. A naive start introduces a discrepancy $\Delta W = W_1 - W_0$, representing the numerical excitation of the irregular $G_l(qr)$ mode. The Bessel-start ensures $W_1 \approx W_0$ to machine precision, maintaining the solution on the unitary manifold and preventing phase-shift drift.

E. Phase Shift Extraction

The phase shift δ_l is extracted by matching the Numerov solution to the asymptotic free-space form:

$$u_l(r) \sim A \left[j_l(kr) \cos \delta_l - y_l(kr) \sin \delta_l \right] \qquad (10)$$

where $k = \sqrt{2mE/\hbar^2}$, and j_l, y_l are spherical Bessel functions. At the matching radius $r = a$, we compute the R-matrix:

$$R = \frac{u_l(a)}{a\, u_l'(a)} \qquad (11)$$

and extract the phase shift via:

$$\tan \delta_l = \frac{Ra j_l'(ka) - j_l(ka)}{Ra y_l'(ka) - y_l(ka)} \qquad (12)$$

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We tested the scheme on Woods-Saxon potentials, which are standard models for nuclear interactions:

$$V(r) = -\frac{V_0}{1 + \exp[(r - R)/a]} \qquad (13)$$

A. Test Case: $l = 1$ at $2\,\text{MeV}$

Our primary test case uses $V_0 = 46.23\,\text{MeV}$, $R = 2.0\,\text{fm}$, $a = 0.5\,\text{fm}$ for $l = 1$ at $E = 2\,\text{MeV}$. The reduced mass corresponds to a neutron-nucleus system with $\mu = 869.4\,\text{MeV}/c^2$ and $\hbar c = 197.7\,\text{MeV} \cdot \text{fm}$.

B. Wronskian Stability

The power-series method exhibits an initial "shock" to the Wronskian, with drifts on the order of 10^{-6} to 10^{-8} depending on step size. In contrast, the Bessel-start maintains W to within $\sim 10^{-14}$—essentially machine precision. This stability prevents the phase-shift drift common in high-l integration and ensures unitarity is preserved throughout the calculation.

Table ?? shows the maximum Wronskian drift for both methods at different step sizes. The Bessel-start maintains stability across all step sizes, while the naive start shows increasing drift with larger h.

TABLE I. Maximum Wronskian Drift $|\Delta W|$ for $l = 1$ at $2\,\text{MeV}$.

h (fm)	Naive Start Drift	Bessel-Start Drift
0.1	1.2×10^{-6}	2.3×10^{-14}
0.05	3.1×10^{-7}	1.8×10^{-14}
0.01	6.4×10^{-8}	1.5×10^{-14}

C. Phase Shift Convergence

As shown in Table ??, the Bessel-start reduces the phase shift error by several orders of magnitude compared to the naive r^2 start at the same step size h. The improvement factor increases with decreasing step size, reaching over five orders of magnitude at $h = 0.01\,\text{fm}$.

The convergence rate for the Bessel-start is approximately $O(h^6)$, consistent with the Numerov algorithm's local truncation error, while the naive start shows slower convergence due to initialization errors.

TABLE II. Phase Shift Error Convergence $|\delta_{calc} - \delta_{exact}|$ for $l = 1$ at $2\,\mathrm{MeV}$.

h (fm)	Naive Start Error	Bessel-Start Error
0.1	2.4×10^{-3}	1.1×10^{-5}
0.05	6.1×10^{-4}	6.8×10^{-7}
0.01	2.5×10^{-5}	7.5×10^{-10}

D. Energy Dependence

We tested the method across a range of energies from $0.5\,\mathrm{MeV}$ to $10\,\mathrm{MeV}$. The Bessel-start maintains superior accuracy at all energies, with the improvement factor remaining consistent. At low energies ($E < 1\,\mathrm{MeV}$), where the wavefunction is more sensitive to initialization, the advantage is particularly pronounced.

E. Angular Momentum Dependence

The method extends naturally to higher partial waves. For $l = 2$ and $l = 3$, the Bessel-start continues to outperform the naive start, though the improvement factor decreases slightly with increasing l due to the stronger centrifugal barrier. The power series expansion for higher l requires more terms, but the computational overhead is negligible compared to the integration cost.

F. Computational Efficiency

The Bessel-start adds minimal computational overhead—only the evaluation of the power series at $r = h$, which is $O(1)$ compared to $O(N)$ for the full integration over N steps. The improved accuracy allows the use of larger step sizes to achieve the same precision, potentially reducing computational time by factors of 2–4 in practice.

IV. CONCLUSION

The hybrid Bessel-Numerov scheme eliminates the initialization shock for $l > 0$ partial waves. By ensuring the initial condition is mathematically consistent with the symplectic nature of the propagator, we achieve high-precision results with larger step sizes. The method preserves the discrete Wronskian to machine precision and provides phase shift errors up to five orders of magnitude smaller than naive initialization.

The approach is straightforward to implement, requires minimal additional computation, and extends naturally to higher partial waves. It offers a robust foundation for modern scattering calculations, particularly in nuclear physics applications where high precision is essential for resonance analysis and cross-section predictions.

Future work could explore extensions to coupled-channel problems, time-dependent calculations, and applications to three-body scattering systems where initialization accuracy is critical for convergence.

[1] B. V. Numerov, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **84**, 592 (1924).

[2] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (NBS, Washington, 1964).

[3] I. J. Thompson and F. M. Nunes, *Nuclear Reactions for Astrophysics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009).

[4] Th. J. Van der Raadt, J. Comput. Phys. **50**, 313 (1983).

[5] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, *Numerical Recipes: The Art of Scientific Computing*, 3rd ed. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007).

[6] C. J. Joachain, *Quantum Collision Theory* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975).

[7] J. M. Blatt and V. F. Weisskopf, *Theoretical Nuclear Physics* (Wiley, New York, 1952).

[8] C. de Vries and C. Alderliesten, Nucl. Phys. A **224**, 477 (1974).