Lab 2: Gradient Based Methods: Nonlinear CG Method and Global BB Method

Ting Lin, 1700010644 May 1, 2020

Contents

In this report we introduce some gradient based methods, including nonlinear CG method and GBB method. The advantage of gradient based method is that they only utilize the information of gradient, which will be free from large computation involving matrix. Suitable algorithm will make the gradient based methods more powerful and effective. After introducing the algorithms, several groups of numerical experiments will be performed, displayed and discussed.

1 Nonlinear CG Method

1.1 General Framework

Inspired by Conjugate Gradient (CG) method solving the linear symmetric and positive definite (SPD) system, we propose the following Nonlinear CG method solving general optimization problem.

```
Algorithm 1 Nonlinear CG
```

```
Input: f, x_0, \varepsilon > 0

Output: x_k

1 k = 0, g_k = g(x_k), f_k = f(x_k)

2 while ||g_k||_{\infty} > \varepsilon(1 + |f_k|) do

3 \alpha_k = \text{LineSearch}(f, x_k, d_k)

4 \alpha_k = x_k + \alpha_k d_k

5 Compute \beta_k, d_{k+1} = -g_{k+1} + \beta_k d_k

6 \alpha_k = k + 1

7 \alpha_k = f(x_k), g_k = g(x_k)

8 end
```

In practice, we slightly modify the line search step in order to assure the direction is descent.

Algorithm 2 Nonlinear CG(ii)

```
Input: f, x_0, \varepsilon > 0
    Output: x_k
 9 k = 0, g_k = g(x_k), f_k = f(x_k)
    while \|g_k\|_{\infty} > \varepsilon(1+|f_k|) do 
 | if g_k^T d_k > 0 then
11
              \tilde{d}_k = -d_k/|d_k|
12
          else
13
           \tilde{d}_k = d_k/|d_k|
14
15
          \alpha_k = \text{LineSearch}(f, x_k, \tilde{d}_k)
16
          x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k
17
          Compute \beta_k, d_{k+1} = -g_{k+1} + \beta_k d_k
18
          k = k + 1
19
          f_k = f(x_k), g_k = g(x_k)
20
21 end
```

In some applications, we can use restart technique to make the convergence faster.

```
Algorithm 3 Nonlinear CG(iii)
```

```
Input: f, x_0, \varepsilon > 0
     Output: x_k
22 k = 0, g_k = g(x_k), f_k = f(x_k)
23 while \|g_k\|_{\infty}>arepsilon(1+|f_k|) do 24 \|\mathbf{if}\ g_k^Td_k>0 then
              \tilde{d}_k = -d_k/|d_k|
25
26
          else
           \tilde{d}_k = d_k/|d_k|
27
          end
28
          \alpha_k = \text{LineSearch}(f, x_k, \tilde{d}_k)
29
          x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k \tilde{d}_k
30
          if M|k then
31
32
             d_{k+1} = -g_{k+1}
33
          else
              Compute \beta_k, d_{k+1} = -g_{k+1} + \beta_k d_k
34
          end
35
          k = k + 1
36
          f_k = f(x_k), g_k = g(x_k)
37
38 end
```

It remains to discuss the choice of linesearch method. Without specifying we will use Stroing wolfe rule, stop when the max iteration reached. But as we will see that in some case the exact line search method is powerful.

1.2 Choice of Direction Updates

We consider the following updating strategies.

1. FR Formula

$$\beta = \frac{g_{k+1}^T g_{k+1}}{g_k^T g_k}$$

2. PRP Formula

$$\beta = \frac{g_{k+1}^T g_{k+1} - g_{k+1}^T g_k}{g_k^T g_k}$$

3. PRP+ Formula

$$\beta = \max(\beta^{PRP}, 0)$$

4. FR-PRP Formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{if} \quad |\beta^{PRP}| < \beta^{FR}; \beta = \beta^{PRP} \\ & \text{if} \quad \beta^{PRP} < -beta^{FR}; beta = -\beta^{FR} \\ & \text{otherwise} \quad \beta = \beta^{FR} \end{aligned}$$

Also, we consider the Hu-Storey Algorithm, which β is computed from the following system. The update rule is $d_k^+ = \alpha g_k + \beta d_{k-1}$, where

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} g_k^T H g_k & g_k^T H d_{k-1} \\ g_k^T H d_{k-1} & d_{k-1}^T H d_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} g_k^T g_k \\ g_k^T d_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

1.3 The Detailed Algorithm of Hu–Storey's Method

We rewrite the Hu–Steorey's algorithm with some techniques. Here some procedure in the original paper is simplified since in higher dimension it will force HS method more likely to the gradient descent.

2 Global BB Method

2.1 Naive BB Method

Naive BB algorithm only utilized the gradient message and solve the stepsize α by

$$\alpha_k = \arg\min_{\alpha > 0} \|\alpha^{-1} s_{k-1} - y_{k-1}\|_2^2$$

or

$$\alpha_k = \arg\min_{\alpha > 0} \|s_{k-1} - \alpha y_{k-1}\|_2^2$$

Here $s_{k-1} = x_k - x_{k-1}$ and $y_{k-1} = g_k - g_{k-1}$. Solving the two problem yields two choices of stepsize

$$\alpha_k^{BB1} = \frac{s_{k-1}^T s_{k-1}}{s_{k-1}^T y_{k-1}}, \qquad \alpha_k^{BB2} = \frac{s_{k-1}^T y_{k-1}}{y_{k-1}^T y_{k-1}}.$$

We write it into the whole algorithm, deriving the naive BB method.

```
Input: f, x_0, \varepsilon > 0
    Output: x_k
39 k = 0, g_k = g(x_k), f_k = f(x_k)
40 Counter = 0
41 while ||g_k||_{\infty} > \varepsilon(1 + |f_k|) do
42
         if g_k^T d_k > 0 then
             \tilde{d}_k = -d_k/|d_k|
43
         else
44
          \tilde{d}_k = d_k/|d_k|
45
         \mathbf{end}
46
         \alpha_k = \text{LineSearch}(f, x_k, \tilde{d}_k)
47
         x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k \tilde{d}_k
48
         g_{k+1} = g(x_{k+1})
49
         A_k = (g(x_k + \varepsilon \cdot d_k) - g(xk - \varepsilon \cdot d_k))/(2\varepsilon)
50
         B_k = (g(x_k + \varepsilon \cdot g_{k+1}) - g(x_k - \varepsilon \cdot g_{k+1}))/(2\varepsilon)
51
         t_k = d_k^T A_k, v_k = g_{k+1}^T B_k, u_k = g_{k+1}^T A_k
\bf 52
         if Counter = M then
53
              Counter = 0
54
              d_k = -g_{k+1}, k = k+1
55
              continue
56
57
         end
         Counter = Counter + 1
58
         w_k = t_k v_k - u_k^2
59
         d_k = 1/w_k((u_k^T g_{k+1}^T d_k - t_k g_{k+1}^T g_{k+1}) * gk + (u_k g_{k+1}^T g_{k+1} - v_k g_{k+1}^T d_k) * dk)
60
61 end
```

Algorithm 4 Naive-BB

```
Input: f, x_0
   Output: x_k
62 k = 1
63 Using steepest descent method and line search to obtain x_1.
64 Compute g_0 = g(x_0), g_1 = g(x_1)
65 while ||g_k||_{\infty} > \varepsilon(1+|f_k|) do
        s_{k-1} = x_k - x_{k-1}
66
67
        y_{k-1} = g_k - g_{k-1}
        Compute \alpha_k being either \alpha^{BB1} or \alpha^{BB2}
68
        x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha_k g_k
69
        g_{k+1} = g(x_{k+1}), k = k+1
70
71 end
```

2.2 BB Method with non-monotone line search

We add a non-monotone line search to make the algorithm more flexible and practical.

```
Algorithm 5 Global BB
```

```
Input: f, x_0, \varepsilon, \delta, \gamma, \sigma, M
    Output: x_k
73 Using steepest descent method and line search to obtain x_1.
    Compute g_0 = g(x_0), g_1 = g(x_1)
    while ||g_k||_{\infty} > \varepsilon(1+|f_k|) do
          s_{k-1} = x_k - x_{k-1}
76
77
         y_{k-1} = g_k - g_{k-1}
         Compute \alpha_k being either \alpha^{BB1} or \alpha^{BB2}
78
         if \alpha_k < \varepsilon or \alpha_k > 1/\varepsilon then
79
              \lambda = \delta
80
         else
81
              \lambda = 1/\alpha_k
82
83
         while \underline{f(x_k - \lambda g_k)} < \max_{j < \min k, M} f(x_{k-j}) - \lambda \gamma g_k^T g_k do
84
85
86
         end
         g_{k+1} = g(x_{k+1}), k = k+1
87
88 end
```

3 Numerical Experiments

We test the assignded problem in MATLAB, the code is attached and the result is tested in my laptop (I7-6700HQ).

3.1 Problem Setting

In this report we choose the following problem and test the success, calls of f and g, CPU time for each method.

TRIGonometric fuction

$$F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{n + i - \sum_{j=1}^{n} [a_{ij} \sin(x_j) + b_{ij} \cos(x_j)]\}^2$$

where $a_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, $b_{ij} = i\delta_{ij} + 1$, delta is the kronekeer-delta. The initial value is selected as

$$x_0 = (1/n, \cdots, 1/n)^T$$

We choose n = 100, 1000, 10000 (denoted as trig2, trig3, trig4)

Extended Powell function

$$F(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n/4} \left[(x_{4j-3} + 10x_{4j-2})^2 + 5(x_{4j-1} - x_{4j})^2 + (x_{4j-2} - 2x_{4j-1})^4 + 10(x_{4j-3} - x_{4j})^4 \right]$$

The initial value is

$$x_0 = (3, -1, 0, 3, \cdots,)^T$$

We choose n = 100, 1000, 10000. (denoted as ep2, ep3,ep4)

TRIDiagonal function

$$F(x) = \sum_{i=2}^{n} [i(2x_i - x_i - 1)^2]$$

with initial value $x_0 = ones(n, 1)$. We choose n = 100, 1000, 10000 (denoted as trid2, trid3, trid4)

MATtrix square root We want to minimize the following question

$$\min_{B} \|B^2 - A\|_F^2$$

here $A = \mathbf{reshape}(\sin((1:n).^2), [n,n])^2$ and the initial point is $0.2 * \mathbf{reshape}(\sin((1:n).^2), [n,n])$ We choose n = 10, 32, 100 (denoted as mat2, mat3,mat4)

3.2 Numerical Results for trig

We first test our methods in the trig problem, here we use the exact line search method and do not restart at all.

Table 1: Results on trig2, trig3, trig4

| | | FR | PRP+ | FR-PRP | HS | GBB |
|---------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | CPU TIME | 1.02E+00 | 2.00E-01 | 2.00E-01 | 7.20E-01 | 4.68E-03 |
| $ _{2}$ | #f | 46481 | 8467 | 8467 | 31707 | 79 |
| - | #g | 281 | 52 | 52 | 956 | 75 |
| | Iteration | 281 | 52 | 52 | 192 | 75 |
| | CPU TIME | 3.57E+00 | 4.90E-01 | 4.80E-01 | 1.16E+00 | 1.01E-02 |
| 3 | #f | 64575 | 9131 | 8633 | 20917 | 78 |
| 3 | #g | 390 | 56 | 53 | 631 | 70 |
| | Iteration | 390 | 56 | 53 | 127 | 70 |
| | CPU TIME | 7.07E+00 | 2.15E+00 | 2.24E+00 | 2.26E+00 | 6.56E-02 |
| 4 | #f | 31707 | 9629 | 9629 | 9795 | 101 |
| | #g | 192 | 59 | 59 | 296 | 89 |
| | Iteration | 192 | 59 | 59 | 60 | 89 |

3.3 Numerical Results for ep

We test our methods in ep problem, here we use the inexact line search with max search time 5, and do restart after each 20 iterations.

| Table 2: Results for ep2, ep3, ep4 | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| | | FR | PRP+ | FR-PRP | HS | GBB | |
| 2 | CPU TIME | 7.99E-01 | 1.02E-01 | 7.21E-01 | 1.72E+01 | 2.17E-02 | |
| | #f | 6905 | 887 | 5677 | 120045 | 339 | |
| | #g | 4607 | 585 | 3793 | 154669 | 251 | |
| | Iteration | 1150 | 137 | 947 | 20000 | 251 | |
| | CPU TIME | 6.43E+00 | 1.01E-01 | 6.23E+00 | 8.70E-01 | 7.57E-02 | |
| 3 | #f | 19927 | 317 | 19359 | 1985 | 271 | |
| 3 | #g | 13293 | 229 | 12922 | 2594 | 203 | |
| | Iteration | 3322 | 55 | 3229 | 335 | 203 | |
| | CPU TIME | 5.15E+00 | 1.11E+00 | 6.37E+00 | 6.49E+00 | 5.17E-01 | |
| 4 | #f | 2325 | 487 | 2757 | 1873 | 221 | |
| | #g | 1563 | 345 | 1867 | 2459 | 170 | |
| | Iteration | 389 | 81 | 464 | 316 | 170 | |

Numerical Results for trid

We test our methods in ep problem, here we use the inexact line search with max search time 5, and do not restart at all.

| | | FR | PRP+ | FR-PRP | HS | GBB |
|------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | CPU TIME | 9.78E-02 | 8.30E-02 | 7.88E-02 | 2.84E-01 | 1.21E-02 |
| $ _{2} $ | #f | 855 | 651 | 639 | 2759 | 267 |
| - | #g | 578 | 442 | 434 | 2900 | 216 |
| | Iteration | 145 | 111 | 109 | 375 | 216 |
| | CPU TIME | 4.44E-01 | 2.33E-01 | 2.28E-01 | 1.87E+00 | 6.06E-02 |
| 3 | #f | 3749 | 1963 | 1963 | 15013 | 1122 |
| " | #g | 2517 | 1328 | 1324 | 15309 | 806 |
| | Iteration | 629 | 332 | 331 | 1997 | 806 |
| 4 | CPU TIME | 3.03E+00 | 2.37E+00 | 2.30E+00 | F | 2.21E+00 |
| | #f | 7947 | 6661 | 6331 | | 7018 |
| | #g | 5332 | 4468 | 4248 | | 5039 |
| | Iteration | 1331 | 1115 | 1060 | | 5039 |

Table 3: results for trid2,trid3,trid4

3.5 Numerical Result for mat

We test our all methods except HS method (since it are too slow to converge...). We use the inexact line search with max search time 5, and do restart after each 50 iterations.

Table 4: results for mat2, mat3, mat4

| | | FR | PRP+ | FR-PRP | HS | GBB |
|---------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----|-------------|
| | CPU TIME | 2.91E+00 | 4.10E+00 | 3.77E+00 | | 1.76E+00 |
| $ _{2}$ | #f | 29065 | 37423 | 35439 | | 39519 |
| - | #g | 19137 | 24662 | 23405 | | 28456 |
| | Iteration | 4626 | 6037 | 5734 | | 28456 |
| | CPU TIME | 2.11E+01 | 2.21E+01 | 2.68E+01 | | 5.06E+01 |
| 3 | #f | 112095 | 97607 | 106599 | | 388925 |
| " | #g | 74189 | 64821 | 70778 | | 283126 |
| | Iteration | 18254 | 16054 | 17533 | | 283126 |
| | CPU TIME | 2.38E+01 | 1.14E+02 | 9.19E+01 | | ~ 2400 |
| 4 | #f | 40209 | 175331 | 142455 | | \sim 4M |
| | #g | 26763 | 116877 | 94956 | | \sim 3M |
| | Iteration | 6670 | 29208 | 23729 | | \sim 4M |

3.6 Discussion

We found that BB and CG have different behaviors in different problem, and the restart is a powerful tool in CG method. However, both CG and BB methods are possible to reduce into gradient descent and hence less attractive. Moreover, the HS method sometimes failed in practice, this means we might choose more effective algorithm.