

Published in Image Processing On Line on YYYY–MM–DD. ISSN 2105–1232 © YYYY IPOL & the authors CC–BY–NC–SA This article is available online with supplementary materials, software, datasets and online demo at http://dx.doi.org/10.5201/ipol.YYYY.XXXXXXXX

PREPRINT August 19, 2013

LSSC

Yohann Salaun¹ &Marc Lebrun²

¹ Polytechnique, France (yohann.salaun@polytechnique.org)
² CMLA, ENS Cachan, France (marc.lebrun@cmla.ens-cachan.fr)

Abstract

- 1 Overview
- 2 Theoritical Description

2.1 Notations

In order to keep coherence with [3], the notations used are the same. A picture of n pixels is seen as a column vector in \mathbb{R}^n . The noisy picture is noted \mathbf{y} and the denoised one \mathbf{x} . The i-th pixel of \mathbf{x} is noted $\mathbf{x}[i]$ and the patch centered in $\mathbf{x}[i]$ and of size m is noted \mathbf{x}_i .

2.2 Learned Sparse Coding

The idea behind this method is to assume that the denoised picture is a signal that can be approximated by a sparse linear combinations of elements from a basis set. The basis set is called a dictionary $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ and is composed of k elements. The denoised patches are then computed from \mathbf{D} with:

$$min_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^k} ||\boldsymbol{\alpha}||_p \quad s.t. \quad ||\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{D}\boldsymbol{\alpha}||_2^2 \leqslant \epsilon$$
 (1)

 $\mathbf{D}\alpha$ is the estimate of the denoised patch and $||\alpha||_p$ is a regularization term that impose sparsity for α .

p is usually 0 or 1. Eq. 1 becomes NP-hard to solve when p=0 and a greedy algorithm such as Orthogonal Matching Pursuit [5] can give an approximation. With p=1, the problem is convex and solved efficiently with the LARS algorithm [6]. Experimental observations [7] have shown that the learning part is better with p=1 and the recomposition part with p=0.

 ϵ can be chosen according to the value of the estimated standard deviation of the noise.

2.3 Simultaneous Sparse Coding

3 Algorithm Description

3.1 Algorithm Overview

The first part consists in initializing a dictionary that will denoise roughly the picture.

Once the picture is denoised a first time, a clustering is made in order to regroup similar patches for further treatment.

Then, iteratively for each clusters, the dictionary is updated using simultaneous sparse coding and the cluster is denoised.

3.2 Dictionnary Initialization

The initial dictionnary is first learned offline on the 10 000 images of the PASCAL VOC'07 database using the online dictionary learning procedure of [2]. This procedure is then used on the noisy picture in order to improve the dictionary efficiency.

In fact, only a fixed number T of patches in the picture are used to update the dictionary. However they are chosen so that they are independently and identically distributed in the picture.

The algorithm corresponds then to the minimization of eq.1 on the T patches with the l_1 norm using the LARS [6] algorithm.

Input: number of iterations T, i.i.d. sampling of T patches of the noisy picture Y_T , initial dictionary $\mathbf{D}^0 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$, regularization parameter λ

Output: learned dictionary D

Initialization: $\mathbf{A}^0 \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k} \leftarrow 0, \, \mathbf{B}^0 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k} \leftarrow 0$

for t = 1...T do

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{Y}_T[\mathbf{t}]$$

Sparse coding: compute with LARS algorithm:

$$\alpha^t = \operatorname{argmin}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^k} ||\alpha||_1 \text{ s.t. } ||\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{D}^{t-1}\alpha||_2^2 \le \lambda$$

$$\mathbf{A}^t \leftarrow \mathbf{A}^{t-1} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}^t \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{tT} \\ \mathbf{B}^t \leftarrow \mathbf{B}^{t-1} + \mathbf{y}_t^t \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{tT}$$

$$\mathbf{B}^t \leftarrow \mathbf{B}^{t-1} + \mathbf{v}_{\perp}^t \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{tT}$$

Update dictionary from \mathbf{D}^{t-1} to \mathbf{D}^t so that:

$$\mathbf{D}^{t} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{t} (\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{y}_{t}^{i} - \mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{i}||_{2}^{2} + \lambda ||\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{i}||_{1})$$

end return \mathbf{D}^T

Algorithm 1: Online Dictionary Learning

Input :input dictionary $\mathbf{D} = [\mathbf{d}^1, \dots, \mathbf{d}^k] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ $\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^k] \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}, \, \mathbf{B} = [\mathbf{b}^1, \dots, \mathbf{b}^k] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$

Output: updated dictionary D

repeat

for
$$j = 1..k$$
 do
$$\begin{array}{c|c} & \text{update the } j^{th} \text{ column:} \\ & \text{if } \quad \boldsymbol{A}(j,j) = 0 \text{ then} \\ & & \\ & \text{end} \\ & \text{else} \\ & & \\ &$$

until convergence Marc: apparemment, dans le code on a une boucle sur params.updateIteration = 1. Est-ce qu'il ne vaudrait mieux pas calculer l'argmin à chaque boucle et s'arrêter lorsque c'est plus petit qu'une certaine valeur ?Yohann: je n'ai pas trop compris ce que tu voulais faire. Ca c'est le pseudo code prsent par Mairal, qui est donc une sorte de descente de gradient. Ce genre d'algo converge en thorie l'infini, en pratique on prend un grand nombre d'itration. Cependant, dans ce cas on fait une minimisation alterne d'un problem plus global (selon D puis selon alpha et on itre). Du coup, et surement cause de contraintes de temps, Mairal a fix le nombre d'itration 1 mais permet de le changer en paramtre dans son algo. Du coup j'ai voulu faire pareil que Mairal.;

return D

Algorithm 2: Dictionary Update updateDictionary Marc: Algo validé

```
Input: Input dictionary \mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k},
noisy patch \mathbf{y}, constraint \lambda
Output : sparse vector \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^k
-INITIALIZATION-
TODO: use better notations \mathcal{A} coeffs and D_{\mathcal{A}} + explain the output + better layout
\mathbf{G} = \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k} \leftarrow \mathbf{D}^T \mathbf{D}
normPatch \in \mathbb{R} \leftarrow ||\mathbf{y}||_2^2
coeffs \in \mathbb{R}^k \leftarrow \mathbf{0}
Most\ correlated\ element
\hat{\mathbf{c}} \in \mathbb{R}^k \leftarrow \mathbf{D}^T \mathbf{y}
C \in \mathbb{R}^+ \leftarrow \max_{j=1..m}(|\hat{\mathbf{c}}_j|)
currentInd \leftarrow j s.t. \hat{\mathbf{c}}_j = C
\mathcal{A} \leftarrow \emptyset
\mathrm{newAtom} \leftarrow \mathbf{True}
TODO: explain the condition
if normPatch > \lambda then
 | return 0
end
for i = 1..k do
 LOOP !!!
end
return \alpha = sort(coeffs, \mathcal{A}) (sorted coefficient with respect to the increasing order of \mathcal{A}
indexes and filled with 0 if necessary)
                     Algorithm 3: LARS algorithm - Mairal Version computeLars
```

```
Input:
Output:
 —NEW ATOM—
if newAtom then
               \mathcal{A} \leftarrow \mathcal{A} \cup \{currentInd\}
               G_A[i^{th} \text{ line}] \leftarrow G[\mathcal{A}[i]^{th} \text{ line}]
               G_S[i^{th} \text{ line}] \leftarrow G_A[\mathcal{A}[i]^{th} \text{ line}]
               symmetrize G_S
                UPDATE G_S^{-1}
end
—VARIABLES UPDATES—
\mathbf{u} \leftarrow G_S^{-1}(\operatorname{sgn}(\hat{\mathbf{c}}_j))_{j \in \mathcal{A}} \operatorname{Marc} : \operatorname{qu'est-ce} \operatorname{que} \operatorname{que} \operatorname{que} \operatorname{que} : \operatorname{qu'est-ce} \operatorname{que} \operatorname{que} : \operatorname{qu'est-ce} \operatorname{que} : \operatorname{qu'est-ce} \operatorname{que} : \operatorname{qu'est-ce} : \operatorname{qu'est-ce}
ratio \leftarrow \left(-\frac{\text{coeffs}[j]}{u_j}\right)_{j \in [1;i]}
stepMAX \leftarrow min^+(ratio) (min<sup>+</sup> means its the minimum between positive values)
criticalInd ← j s.t. ratio[j] = stepMAX Marc : criticalInd n'est jamais plus utilisé par la suite
??!!
C \leftarrow \hat{\mathbf{c}}[0] \text{ Marc} : \text{ ca ne devrait pas être } C = \max \hat{\mathbf{c}}[j] ?
\gamma \leftarrow \min^+ \left( \frac{C \pm \hat{\mathbf{c}}_j}{1 \pm (G_A u)[j]} \right)_{j \notin \mathcal{A}} \text{Marc} : \text{ça fait 2 possibilités ou bien 4 avec les } \pm ?
—POLYNOMIAL RESOLUTION—
\begin{array}{l} a \leftarrow \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} \mathrm{sgn}(\hat{\mathbf{c}}[\mathcal{A}[j]]) u[j] \\ b \leftarrow \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} \hat{\mathbf{c}}[\mathcal{A}[j]] u[j] \end{array}
c \leftarrow \text{normX} - \lambda
\Delta \leftarrow b^2 - ac
stepMAX2 \leftarrow min(\frac{b-\sqrt{\Delta}}{a}, C)
—FINAL STEP & BREAK-
\gamma \leftarrow \min(\gamma, \text{stepMAX}, \text{stepMAX2})
coeffs \leftarrow coeffs + \gamma u Marc : a priori coeffs et u ne sont pas de la même taille
\hat{\mathbf{c}} \leftarrow \hat{\mathbf{c}} - \gamma G_A u
normX \leftarrow normX + a\gamma^2 - 2b\gamma
if |\gamma| < 1e^{-15} || \gamma = stepMAX2 || normX < 1e^{-15} || normX - \lambda < 1e^{-15} then
  break Marc: ici on travaille en float, donc je pense qu'on peut mettre 1e^{-6}
end
if \gamma = stepMAX then
              DOWNDATE G_S^{-1}
               newAtom \leftarrow False
              i ← i-2 Marc c'est possible que i devienne négatif? Ca risque de poser problème non?
end
else
    \perp newAtom \leftarrow True
end
```

Algorithm 4: LARS algorithm - Mairal Version computeLars - LOOP

```
Input: Gram matrix G_S \in \mathbb{R}^{i \times i}, and its former inverse to update G_S^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{i-1 \times i-1}
    Output: updated G_S^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{i \times i}
    if i = 1 then
     return \frac{1}{G_S}
    end
    u \leftarrow G_S^{-1}G_S[i^{th} \text{ line}]

\sigma \leftarrow \frac{1}{G_s(i,i) - u.G_S[i^{th} \text{ line}]} 

G_s^{-1}(i,i) \leftarrow \sigma 

G_s^{-1}[i^{th} \text{ line}] \leftarrow -\sigma u

return G_s^{-1} \leftarrow G_s^{-1} + \sigma u u^T

Algorithm 5: Update invert algorithm updateGram Marc: Algo correspondent, mais à valider.
    Input: pseudo-Gram matrix G_A \in \mathbb{R}^{i \times i} Gram matrix G_S \in \mathbb{R}^{i \times i}, and its inverse G_S^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{i \times i},
    active indexes list A \in \mathbb{R}^i, sparse coefficient list coeffs \in \mathbb{R}^k, criticalInd \in [1; k], current
    iteration i
    Output: downdated matrices G_S, G_S^{-1}, G_A \in \mathbb{R}^{i-1 \times i-1}, downdated lists A \in \mathbb{R}^{i-1}, coeffs \in \mathbb{R}^k
    \sigma \leftarrow \frac{1}{G_S^{-1}(\text{criticalInd},\text{criticalInd})}
    u \leftarrow G_S^{-1}[criticalInd<sup>th</sup> line] without its criticalInd<sup>th</sup> coefficient
    for j = criticalInd:i do
         G_A[j^{th} \text{ line}] \leftarrow G_A[(j+1)^{th} \text{ line}]
          for k=1:criticalInd-1 do
               G_S(j,k) \leftarrow G_S(j+1,k)
               G_s^{-1}(j,k) \leftarrow G_s^{-1}(j+1,k)
          end
          for k = criticalInd:i do
               G_S(j,k) \leftarrow G_S(j+1,k+1)
               G_S^{-1}(j,k) \leftarrow G_S^{-1}(j+1,k+1)
         \mathcal{A}[j] \ \leftarrow \ \mathcal{A}[j+1]
         coeffs[j] \leftarrow coeffs[j+1]
    end
    coeffs[i] \leftarrow 0
 G_s^{-1} \leftarrow G_s^{-1} - \sigma u u^T

Algorithm 6: Downdate invert algorithm downdateGram Marc: Algo ne correspondant pas, j'ai
```

Algorithm 6: Downdate invert algorithm downdateGram Marc: Algo ne correspondant pas, j'ai créé une nouvelle fonction downdateGramBis dont je pense est une meilleure version. Il faudra la tester et la valider.

Glossary

Image Credits

POL (there's no need to credit this image, here is used as an example.)

4 References

References

- [1] B. Efron, T. Hastie, I. Johnstone, and R. Tibshirani Least angle regression. Ann. Statist., 32(2):407499, 2004.
- [2] J.Mairal, F. Bach, J. Ponce, and G. Sapiro Online dictionary learning for sparse coding. ICML, 2009.
- [3] J. Mairal, F. Bach, J. Ponce, G. Sapiro and A. Zisserman Non-local Sparse Models for Image Restoration. International Conference on Computer Vision, 2009.
- [4] J. Mairal Representations parcimonieuses en apprentissage statistique, traitement dimage et vision par ordinateur. PhD thesis, 2010.
- [5] S. Mallat and Z. Zhang Matching pursuit in a timefrequency dictionary. IEEE T. SP, 41(12):33973415, 1993.
- [6] B. Efron, T. Hastie, I. Johnstone, and R. Tibshirani Least angle regression. Ann. Statist., 32(2):407499, 2004.
- [7] M. Elad and M. Aharon Image denoising via sparse and redundant representations over learned dictionaries. IEEE T. IP, 54(12):37363745, 2006.