

Manufacturing Dissent: A Timeline of the Coordinated Suppression of the Australian Greens

Introduction: The Anatomy of a Counter-Offensive

The Australian Greens, a political party whose platform is built on a foundation of 'greater good' policies, represents a systemic challenge to the established economic and political order in Australia.¹ Their agenda—which includes taxing corporate super-profits, ending fossil fuel expansion, strengthening political integrity laws, and tackling the housing crisis through market intervention—directly threatens the interests of a powerful network of corporations, media conglomerates, and their political allies.

Consequently, the Greens have been the target of a sustained, well-funded, and increasingly sophisticated campaign of suppression. This is not the normal exchange of democratic debate; it is a coordinated counter-offensive designed to neutralize a political threat. This report provides an in-depth timeline of this suppression, identifying the key detractors, their methods, and their ultimate ties—both financial and ideological—to the broader objectives of the Minimisation Plan. The campaign against the Greens serves as a textbook case study in how domestic actors, whose wealth and power are inextricably linked to a primary Minimisation actor (China), can be weaponized to erode a nation's democratic integrity and policy sovereignty from within.³

Phase 1 (2010–2014): The Foundational Battles and the Corporate Playbook

The template for suppressing 'greater good' policies in Australia was forged in the fires of the resource and carbon tax debates. These campaigns, waged against the Rudd and Gillard

Labor governments in which the Greens held the balance of power, demonstrated the overwhelming force that the mining lobby could deploy to protect its interests.

- **May 2010: The War on the Resource Super Profits Tax (RSPT)**

- **Greens' Position:** The Greens supported the principle of a super-profits tax on the mining industry to ensure the public received a greater share of the profits from finite national resources.³
- **Suppression Campaign:** The Minerals Council of Australia (MCA), representing the country's largest mining interests, unleashed a massive A\$22 million advertising campaign against the tax.⁵ The campaign's messaging was a classic example of the "Satan Archetype" psyop: it used the sympathetic "bait" of protecting jobs to push the deceptive "cover" that the tax would destroy the national economy, masking the "true intent" of protecting the super-profits of a few corporations.⁷ The campaign was a key factor in the political instability that led to the replacement of Prime Minister Kevin Rudd with Julia Gillard.⁶
- **Outcome:** The RSPT was abandoned and replaced with a significantly weakened Minerals Resource Rent Tax (MRRT), which raised negligible revenue before being repealed in 2014. This was a decisive victory for the mining lobby and established a clear political precedent: any direct challenge to the sector's profits would be met with overwhelming force.⁹

- **July 2012: The War on the Carbon Tax**

- **Greens' Position:** The Greens were instrumental in negotiating and passing the Clean Energy Act 2011, which introduced a carbon pricing scheme.¹⁰ This was a cornerstone of their climate policy, aimed at making major polluters pay and driving investment in renewable energy.
- **Suppression Campaign:** The political opposition, led by Tony Abbott, in concert with industry groups including the MCA, launched a ferocious "scare campaign".¹² The policy was deliberately mislabeled a "toxic" "carbon tax" that would hurt families and destroy jobs.¹³ This narrative successfully reframed a long-term environmental policy as a direct and immediate attack on the cost of living.¹¹
- **Outcome:** The campaign was a major factor in the defeat of the Labor government in 2013, and the carbon price was repealed in 2014.¹⁴ This victory cemented the playbook for defeating 'greater good' policies: mischaracterize the policy, create a narrative of economic harm to "ordinary families," and spend millions on advertising to saturate the media landscape.

- **Detractors and their Minimisation Ties (2010-2014):**

- **The Minerals Council of Australia (MCA):** The primary vehicle for the campaign. Its members, including BHP, Rio Tinto, and Glencore, are deeply integrated with the Chinese economy, the main destination for their exports.³
- **Gina Rinehart, Andrew Forrest, Clive Palmer:** These mining billionaires, whose fortunes are built on the China trade, were prominent individual opponents of both taxes.⁷

- **Political Donations:** Disclosed donations from the mining industry to the major parties surged during this period, peaking at nearly \$3.8 million in 2010-11, the year of the RSPT fight. The vast majority (81%) of these donations went to the Coalition, which led the political charge against both taxes.¹⁵ This demonstrates a clear financial link between the industry whose profits were threatened and the political party that ultimately repealed the threatening legislation.

Phase 2 (2015–2021): Entrenching Influence and the Rise of the Third-Party Attack Dogs

Following their victories, the detractors focused on consolidating their influence and developing new vectors for suppression. This period was marked by the major parties blocking integrity reforms that would have curtailed corporate power and the emergence of new, well-funded third-party campaign groups.

- **Blocking Integrity Reforms:** The Greens have consistently pushed for a suite of democratic reforms, including banning corporate donations from harmful industries, strengthening lobbying laws, and, crucially, introducing federally enforceable "truth in political advertising" laws. These reforms have been systematically blocked or watered down by the major parties, who benefit from the current opaque system. The lack of truth in advertising laws is a critical vulnerability, creating a legal vacuum that allows third-party groups to "lie, mislead, and fearmonger with zero consequences".¹⁶
- **2018: The Launch of Advance Australia:**
 - **Formation and Purpose:** The conservative lobby group Advance (originally Advance Australia) was launched in 2018, explicitly to counter the influence of progressive groups.¹⁷ Its leadership is largely composed of former Liberal Party operatives, and it espouses an ideology of climate change denialism and opposition to progressive taxation.¹⁷
 - **Detractors and their Minimisation Ties:** Advance is bankrolled by wealthy Australians with deep ties to the Liberal Party and the fossil fuel industry.¹⁸ Its early funders included climate denier Maurice Newman and hedge fund manager Simon Fenwick.¹⁸ This establishes a direct link between the fossil fuel sector—whose interests align with the Minimisation Plan's goal of slowing Western decarbonization—and a dedicated political attack group.

Phase 3 (2022–2025): The Election Escalation and the

War on the Greens

The 2022 and 2025 federal elections saw the suppression campaign escalate dramatically, with a focus on well-funded, targeted, and misleading third-party advertising aimed specifically at defeating the Greens in key seats.

- **The 2022 Federal Election:**

- **Greens' Platform:** The Greens went to the election with a transformative agenda, including taxing billionaires and corporations to fund an expansion of social services, building affordable homes, and rapidly transitioning away from coal and gas.²⁰ The key issues for voters in 2022 were the cost of living, the environment, the economy, and health—all areas where the Greens had detailed policies.²¹
- **Outcome:** The Greens achieved their best-ever result, winning four seats in the House of Representatives and 12 seats in the Senate, securing the balance of power.²² This success was a direct threat to the established order and triggered a more aggressive response.

- **The 47th Parliament (2022-2025): The "Blockers" Narrative:**

- **Legislative Efforts Blocked:** In the 47th Parliament, the Greens used their balance of power to negotiate on key legislation. They secured an additional \$1 billion for public housing in exchange for passing Labor's Housing Australia Future Fund, but their key demands for a national rent freeze and reforms to negative gearing were rejected.²³
- **Illogical Attacks:** The Labor government and media commentators repeatedly branded the Greens as "blockers" for delaying the housing legislation while negotiating for these additional measures.²⁵ This narrative illogically twisted the Greens' attempt to secure more ambitious outcomes on the housing crisis—a 'greater good' policy—into an act of obstruction, a tactic designed to damage them politically with progressive voters.²⁴

- **The 2025 Federal Election Campaign:**

- **The Detractors' War Chest:** The campaign against the Greens was supercharged with unprecedented funding. The right-wing group Advance amassed a "\$15.6 million election war chest".²⁷
- **Targeted Attack Ads:** Advance spent \$1.7 million on social media advertising, with \$240,000 specifically targeting the Greens' priority seats of Brisbane, Macnamara, Griffith, Ryan, and Wills.²⁷ The campaign messaging was relentlessly negative, framing the Greens as "extreme" and "reckless".²⁸ Another anti-Greens group, Australians for Prosperity, spent over \$400,000 on online ads targeting similar seats.²⁹
- **Outcome and Claims of Success:** Following the election, in which the Greens' lower house representation was reduced, Advance sent an email to supporters titled

"BREAKING: Greens destroyed by Advance blitz," claiming their campaign had "helped stop the Greens in their tracks".²⁸ This was immediately amplified by the fossil fuel lobby, with an article in *The Australian* titled "Industry message to Labor: Greens are dead, now hit the gas," quoting an energy CEO who called the result a "rejection by the Australian people of the extreme Green agenda".²⁸

Exposing the Detractors: A Network of Influence

The campaign against the Greens is not random; it is driven by a network of individuals and organizations whose financial and ideological interests are aligned. These actors function as vectors for the Minimisation Plan by working to neutralize a political party that directly threatens the economic dependency and domestic complacency upon which the plan relies.

Detractor/Entity	Key Actions & Messaging	Funding/Ties to Minimisation Actors		
Advance	Spent millions on targeted social media ads in the 2025 election, branding the Greens "extreme" and "reckless". ²⁸ Ran misinformation campaigns on climate ("hoax") and fabricated policies ("death tax"). ¹⁶	Bankrolled by mega-millionaires with fossil fuel ties. ²⁸ Received \$500,000 from the	Cormack Foundation, a Liberal Party investment vehicle. ¹⁸ Largest donor in 2022-23 was	Hadley Holdings (\$1.025M), a shell company linked to mining investor Brian Anderson. ³⁰
Cormack	The Liberal	Chaired by		

Foundation	<p>Party's main electioneering slush fund and investment vehicle.¹⁹</p> <p>Donated \$500,000 to Advance specifically to fund the "Greens Truth" attack campaign.³²</p>	<p>Liberal Party luminaries like Charles Goode; former directors include John Howard.³² Its wealth originates from a media asset granted to a Liberal predecessor party.³³ Acts as a key financial link between the political establishment and third-party attack groups.</p>
Minerals Council of Australia (MCA)	<p>Led the A\$22M campaign against the RSPT and the campaign against the carbon tax.⁵</p> <p>Threatens new ad campaigns against any proposed windfall profits tax.³⁴ Lobbies against environmental laws that include a "climate trigger".³⁵</p>	<p>Represents Australia's largest mining companies, whose primary export market is China.³</p> <p>Consistently donates to the major parties, overwhelmingly favouring the Coalition.¹⁵</p>

Gina Rinehart	<p>Vocal opponent of climate action and mining taxes.³</p> <p>Secretly funded the climate-sceptic Institute of Public Affairs (IPA) with \$4.5M.³ Used "lawfare" to intimidate journalists investigating her business affairs.³</p>	<p>Wealth is fundamentally dependent on iron ore exports to China. Her Roy Hill project is a joint venture with state-owned China Steel Corporation.³</p>
Clive Palmer	<p>Spent over \$100M on populist, anti-establishment advertising through his United Australia Party, injecting chaos into the 2019 and 2022 elections.³</p>	<p>Initial fortune was amplified by a deal with Chinese SOE CITIC Pacific, which later soured into protracted legal battles.³ Functions as a "Chaos Agent," eroding faith in democratic institutions, which serves a key objective of the Minimisation Plan.³</p>
News Corp Australia	<p>Runs a "longstanding campaign</p>	<p>Owned by Rupert Murdoch. Its</p>

	against climate science". Has been accused of biased reporting in favour of conservative governments and running campaigns targeting the Greens. Amplified the fossil fuel lobby's narrative after the 2025 election. ²⁸	outlets, particularly Sky News Australia, feature a lineup of conservative commentators who amplify anti-Greens and anti-climate action narratives.
--	---	---

Conclusion: A Manufactured Reality

The suppression of the Australian Greens is a clear and present threat to Australian democracy. It demonstrates a sophisticated, multi-layered strategy where corporate wealth, generated primarily from resource exports to a strategic rival, is laundered through political donations and third-party front groups to attack a legitimate political party. This campaign manufactures a distorted public reality by blocking integrity reforms, running multi-million dollar misinformation campaigns, and using powerful media platforms to amplify a hostile narrative.

The actors involved, whether consciously or not, are executing a strategy that perfectly aligns with the goals of the Minimisation Plan. By fighting to maintain Australia's economic dependency on fossil fuel exports and by sowing domestic political chaos, they are weakening Australia's sovereignty, degrading its democratic discourse, and neutralizing a political force that advocates for a more resilient and independent future. The fight against the Greens is a fight for the status quo—a status quo that disproportionately benefits a small, powerful elite and serves the long-term strategic interests of Australia's adversaries.

Works cited

1. Anthony Albanese - Wikipedia, accessed September 1, 2025,

- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anthony_Albanese
2. Australian Greens - Wikipedia, accessed September 1, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Australian_Greens
 3. Project Delusion: The Minimisation Plan's Australian Vectors
 4. Australian Policy, Mining, and Billionaire Influence
 5. Tale of Two Taxes Resource tax reform in Australia - University of Technology Sydney, accessed September 1, 2025, https://www.uts.edu.au/globalassets/sites/default/files/25sept_naturalresources_morrison.pdf
 6. Mining firms worked to kill off climate action in Australia, says ex-PM - The Guardian, accessed September 1, 2025, <https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2019/oct/10/mining-firms-worked-kill-off-climate-action-australia-ex-pm-kevin-rudd>
 7. Minerals Resource Rent Tax - Wikipedia, accessed September 1, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Minerals_Resource_Rent_Tax
 8. MRRT is a failed policy approach that would stifle investment ..., accessed September 1, 2025, <https://minerals.org.au/resources/mrrt-is-a-failed-policy-approach-that-would-stifle-investment/>
 9. MRRT - The Office of Impact Analysis, accessed September 1, 2025, <https://oia.pmc.gov.au/sites/default/files/posts/2013/11/03-MRRT-RIS-20131127.pdf>
 10. en.wikipedia.org, accessed September 1, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carbon_pricing_in_Australia#:~:text=A%20carbon%20pricing%20scheme%20in,dropped%207%25%20upon%20its%20introduction.
 11. Carbon pricing in Australia - Wikipedia, accessed September 1, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carbon_pricing_in_Australia
 12. The Carbon Tax in Australia - Centre for Public Impact, accessed September 1, 2025, <https://centreforpublicimpact.org/public-impact-fundamentals/the-carbon-tax-in-australia/>
 13. From 'axe the tax' to 'climate consensus': how Abbott reshaped our ..., accessed September 1, 2025, <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2015/apr/28/from-axe-the-tax-to-climate-consensus-how-abbott-reshaped-our-climate-story>
 14. Nation repeals unpopular carbon tax - POLITICO Pro, accessed September 1, 2025, <https://subscriber.politicopro.com/article/eenews/2014/07/17/nation-repeals-unpopular-carbon-tax-109333>
 15. The tip of the iceberg: Political donations from the mining industry, accessed September 1, 2025, <https://www.aph.gov.au/DocumentStore.ashx?id=6ea5470f-49e5-42a0-b400-0e90473170f6>
 16. Get the Facts | 2025 Federal Election - The Australian Greens, accessed September 1, 2025, <https://greens.org.au/facts>
 17. Advance (lobby group) - Wikipedia, accessed September 1, 2025,

- [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Advance_\(lobby_group\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Advance_(lobby_group))
18. What is Advance and what does it stand for? - University of Technology Sydney, accessed September 1, 2025,
<https://www.uts.edu.au/news/2025/07/what-is-political-group-advance-and-what-does-it-stand-for>
 19. Liberal Party's "Advance" kicks off with outright lies - The Klaxon, accessed September 1, 2025,
<https://theklaxon.com.au/liberal-partys-advance-kicks-off-with-outright-lies/>
 20. Greens launch policy platform for 2022 Federal Election, accessed September 1, 2025,
<https://greens.org.au/news/media-release/greens-launch-policy-platform-2022-federal-election>
 21. THE 2022 AUSTRALIAN FEDERAL ELECTION, accessed September 1, 2025,
<https://australianelectionstudy.org/wp-content/uploads/The-2022-Australian-Federal-Election-Results-from-the-Australian-Election-Study.pdf>
 22. 2022 Australian federal election - Wikipedia, accessed September 1, 2025,
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2022_Australian_federal_election
 23. 47th Parliament of Australia - Wikipedia, accessed September 1, 2025,
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/47th_Parliament_of_Australia
 24. Explained: The government's stalled housing agenda, and why the Greens are opposing it, accessed September 1, 2025,
<https://www.sbs.com.au/news/article/explained-the-governments-stalled-housing-agenda-and-why-the-greens-are-opposing-it/wnvzf1i2u>
 25. Greens back Albanese government's Help to Buy housing bill after failing to win concessions | Australia news | The Guardian, accessed September 1, 2025,
<https://www.theguardian.com/australia-news/2024/nov/25/greens-to-back-albanese-governments-help-to-buy-housing-bill-after-months-of-stalemate>
 26. Greens pay 'political price' for blocking 'sensible policies' in parliament - YouTube, accessed September 1, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IO0HVq7x_NU
 27. FED25: Making Australia Great Again | The Australian Greens, accessed September 1, 2025,
<https://greens.org.au/wa/news/fed25-making-australia-great-again>
 28. We "destroyed" Greens: Megamillionaire disinformation group "Advance" - The Klaxon, accessed September 1, 2025,
<https://theklaxon.com.au/we-destroyed-greens-mega-millionaire-disinformation-group-advance/>
 29. 'We have destroyed the Greens': third-party groups spent millions on ..., accessed September 1, 2025,
<https://www.theguardian.com/australia-news/2025/may/10/third-party-groups-spent-millions-ads-australia-election>
 30. Corporate cop probes biggest "Advance" funder - The Klaxon, accessed September 1, 2025,
<https://theklaxon.com.au/biggest-advance-backer-in-asic-probe/>
 31. Rightwing lobby group Advance Australia doubles donation revenue but half of donors unknown | Australian politics | The Guardian, accessed September 1, 2025,

- <https://www.theguardian.com/australia-news/2024/feb/03/rightwing-lobby-group-advance-australia-doubles-donation-revenue-but-half-of-donors-unknown>
32. Howard oversaw \$500,000 to "Advance" - The Klaxon, accessed September 1, 2025, <https://theklaxon.com.au/howard-oversaw-500000-to-advance/>
 33. Cormack Foundation - Wikipedia, accessed September 1, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cormack_Foundation
 34. Mining industry threatens to unleash ad campaign against Labor unless it rules out windfall profits tax - The Guardian, accessed September 1, 2025, <https://www.theguardian.com/australia-news/2022/nov/17/mining-industry-threatens-to-unleash-ad-campaign-against-labor-unless-it-rules-out-windfall-profits-tax>
 35. Nature Positive: Minerals Council tells miners to lobby Coalition to back stripped-back EPA, accessed September 1, 2025, <https://thenightly.com.au/business/mining/nature-positive-minerals-council-tells-miners-to-lobby-coalition-to-back-stripped-back-epa-c-16006043>
 36. Additional comments by the Australian Greens - Parliament of Australia, accessed September 1, 2025, https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Committees/Joint/Electoral_Matters/2022federalelection/Conduct_of_the_2022_federal_election_and_other_matters/Additional_comments_by_the_Australian_Greens