Simon Fraser University CMPT 431 (1097) Assignment 2 Alister Zhao 200124896

Assignment 2 Report

Enhanced Universe Algorithm Approach With Multi-Threads

My algorithm for the Universe with multi-threading is to let the main thread handles the *UpdatePose()* and *controller()* methods, and UpdatePixel() will be multi-threaded. This way, it allows the programs to not to have any extra procedures for putting barriers and synchronization. Also, it always produces the same result as the original Universe.

In addition, each thread will handle a number of robots, ex. thread 1 will update robots with ID between 0 - 250.

Code Modification

- add a new method called threadUpdatePixel(* prt) for multi-threaded calls to UpdatePixel().
- re-modified UpdateAll() methods to use threadUpdatePixel(* prt).

Test Environment

My system specification is:

MacBook Pro 15.4

Mac OS X 10.6.1 Snow Leopard
Intel Core Duo 2.16 GHz
2GB Memory

Observation and Conclusion

- Multi-threaded universe reduces run time by 30~35% when comparing with non-multi-threaded version, and 70% when comparing with the original universe. (see chart on next page)
- Multi-threaded universe produces almost identical result to non-multi-thread universe when 1 core of the CPU is turn off.
- After a numerous runs, I find that when population is small (0~200), both versions of universe produces similar result, so I could not identify which one is truly better than the other. However, when population is bigger (200+), multithreaded version is truly out performing non-multi-threaded version.
- I also noticed that, when number of threads increases beyond 4, the performance gain of the multi-threading decrease. The reason this happens is because the overhead of switching threads for CPU time is increasing. When I run 1000 threads, the multi-threaded universe runs even slower than original.

Data and Run Time Comparison

| Enhance Universe /w Threads (4) | No. of Updates | Population | n M e | | andard eviation | Run 1 | Run : | 2 | Run | 3 | Run 4 | | Run 5 | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|----------------|----------|--------|--------------------|-----------|----------|------|---------------------------------|--|
| | 1000 | 20 | 00 | 1.608 | 0.0455 | 1.56 | 3 | 1.58 | | 1.68 | - | 1.61 | 1.61 | |
| | 1000 | 40 | 00 | 4.004 | 0.015 | 4.01 | | 4.02 | | 4.00 | 4 | 1.01 | 3.98 | |
| | 1000 | 60 | 00 | 8.802 | 0.212 | 8.61 | | 8.92 | | 8.93 | (| 9.01 | 8.54 | |
| | 1000 | 80 | 00 1 | 5.052 | 0.436 | 14.71 | 1 | 5.21 | | 14.52 | 15 | 5.61 | 15.21 | |
| | 1000 | 100 | 00 2 | 3.868 | 0.254 | 23.47 | 2 | 3.96 | | 24.12 | 23 | 3.78 | 24.01 | |
| Enhance Universe | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1000 | 20 | 00 | 1.76 | 0.0122 | 1.78 | 3 | 1.75 | | 1.76 | | 1.75 | 1.76 | |
| | 1000 | 40 | 00 | 6.30 | 0.280 | 6.47 | 7 | 6.05 | | 5.94 | | 5.56 | 6.46 | |
| | 1000 | 60 | | 13.0 | 0.494 | 13.55 | | 2.64 | | 13.47 | | 2.45 | 12.86 | |
| | 1000 | 80 | _ | 24.89 | 0.664 | 25.68 | | 4.96 | | 23.84 | | 1.91 | 25.07 | |
| | 1000 | 100 | 00 | 34.38 | 0.718 | 35.66 | 3 | 34.04 | | 34.05 | 34 | 1.12 | 34.02 | |
| Original Universe | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1000 | 20 | | 3.459 | 0.0726 | 3.43 | | 3.41 | | 3.59 | | 3.43 | 3.44 | |
| | 1000 | 40 | | 3.614 | 0.272 | 13.26 | | 3.98 | | 13.49 | | 3.75 | 13.59 | |
| | 1000 | 60 | | 0.841 | 0.654 | 30.60 | | 31.94 | | 30.29 | | 0.89 | 30.47 | |
| | 1000 | 80 | | 2.085 | 0.58 | 51.22 | | 2.19 | | 52.47 | | 1.85 | 52.70 | |
| | 1000 | 100 | 8 00 | 0.163 | 1.52 | 80.98 | 3 7 | 9.02 | | 80.94 | 78 | 3.13 | 81.75 | |
| | Final Original Result | | | Enhanced | | Enhanced /w | | Ru | duced n Time | Tim | | | Reduced Run Time By | |
| | | | | | | Threads | (En | | hanced Enha | | reads) w | | % hanced / Threads Criginal) | |
| | Pon | ulation R | un Time | Population | Run Time | Population | Run Time | | | | | VS | Original) | |
| | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | |
| | | 200 | 3.459 | 200 | 1.76 | 200 | 1.608 | | 49.12% | | 8.64% | | 53.51% | |
| | | 400 | 13.614 | 400 | 6.30 | 400 | 4.004 | | 53.75% | | 36.41% | | 70.59% | |
| | | 600 | 30.841 | 600 | 13.0 | 600 | 8.802 | | 57.87% | | 32.26% | | 71.46% | |
| | | 800 | 52.085 | 800 | 24.89 | 800 | 15.052 | | 52.21% | | 39.53% | | 71.10% | |
| | | 1000 | 80.163 | 1000 | 34.38 | 1000 | 23.868 | | 57.11% | | 30.57% | | 70.23% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Original | vs Enh | anced vs | Enhance | d /w Threa | ads | | | | | | | |
| | 90 | | | | | | | | 0.1.1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Δ | Δ | Origina Origina | | 2) | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | ed | <u>-)</u> | | | | |
| | | | | | | | _ | Enhanc | | 1^2) | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | v Thread | s | | |
| | (S) | | | | | | | _ | Enhand | ed /v | v Thread | s (N | ^2) | |
| | <u>.</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (s) euri Lime | | | | | | | | , , | | , , | - 11 | , , , | |
| | <u> </u> | | | | A | | | | | | | all | standard | |
| | 22.5 | | | | | 0 | - | devi | ation is | belo | N 5% | | | |
| | | | | A | 0 | 8 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 9 | Ď | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 🕻 | | 250 | 500 |) | 750 | 1000 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Popula | ation | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |