Simon Fraser University CMPT 431 (1097) Assignment 2 Alister Zhao 200124896

# Assignment 2 Report

### **Enhanced Universe Algorithm Approach With Multi-Threads**

My algorithm for the Universe with multi-threading is to let the main thread handles the *UpdatePose()* and *controller()* methods, and UpdatePixel() will be multi-threaded. This way, it allows the programs to have any extra procedures for putting barriers and synchronization. Also, it always produces the same result as the original Universe.

In addition, each thread will handle a number of robots, ex. thread 1 will update robots with ID between 0 - 250.

#### **Code Modification**

- add a new method called threadUpdatePixel(\* prt) for multi-threaded calls to UpdatePixel().
- re-modified UpdateAll() methods to use threadUpdatePixel(\* prt).

#### **Test Environment**

My system specification is:

MacBook Pro 15.4

Mac OS X 10.6.1 Snow Leopard
Intel Core Duo 2.16 GHz
2GB Memory

#### **Observation and Conclusion**

- Multi-threaded universe reduces run time by 30~35% when comparing with non-multi-threaded version, and 70% when comparing with the original universe. (see chart on next page)
- Multi-threaded universe produces almost identical result to non-multi-thread universe when 1 core of the CPU is turn off.
- After a numerous runs, I find that when population is small (0~200), both versions of universe produces similar result, so I could not identify which one is truly better than the other. However, when population is bigger (200+), multithreaded version is truly out performing non-multi-threaded version.
- I also noticed that, when number of threads increases beyond 4, the performance gain of the multi-threading decrease. The reason this happens is because the overhead of switching threads for CPU time is increasing. When I run 1000 threads, the multi-threaded universe runs even slower than original.

## **Data and Run Time Comparison**

Enhance Universe /w Threads (4)	No. of Updates	Population	n <b>M</b> e		andard eviation	Run 1	Run :	2	Run	3	Run 4		Run 5	
	1000	20	00	1.608	0.0455	1.56	3	1.58		1.68	-	1.61	1.61	
	1000	40	00	4.004	0.015	4.01		4.02		4.00	4	1.01	3.98	
	1000	60	00	8.802	0.212	8.61		8.92		8.93	(	9.01	8.54	
	1000	80	00 1	5.052	0.436	14.71	1	5.21		14.52	15	5.61	15.21	
	1000	100	00 2	3.868	0.254	23.47	2	3.96		24.12	23	3.78	24.01	
Enhance Universe														
	1000	20	00	1.76	0.0122	1.78	3	1.75		1.76		1.75	1.76	
	1000	40	00	6.30	0.280	6.47	7	6.05		5.94		5.56	6.46	
	1000	60		13.0	0.494	13.55		2.64		13.47		2.45	12.86	
	1000	80	_	24.89	0.664	25.68		4.96		23.84		1.91	25.07	
	1000	100	00	34.38	0.718	35.66	3	34.04		34.05	34	1.12	34.02	
Original Universe														
	1000	20		3.459	0.0726	3.43		3.41		3.59		3.43	3.44	
	1000	40		3.614	0.272	13.26		3.98		13.49		3.75	13.59	
	1000	60		0.841	0.654	30.60		31.94		30.29		0.89	30.47	
	1000	80		2.085	0.58	51.22		2.19		52.47		1.85	52.70	
	1000	100	8 00	0.163	1.52	80.98	3 7	9.02		80.94	78	3.13	81.75	
	Final Original Result			Enhanced		Enhanced /w		Ru	duced n Time	Tim			Reduced Run Time By	
						Threads	(En		hanced Enha		reads) w		% hanced / Threads Criginal)	
	Pon	ulation R	un Time	Population	Run Time	Population	Run Time					VS	Original)	
		0	0	0		0	0							
		200	3.459	200	1.76	200	1.608		49.12%		8.64%		53.51%	
		400	13.614	400	6.30	400	4.004		53.75%		36.41%		70.59%	
		600	30.841	600	13.0	600	8.802		57.87%		32.26%		71.46%	
		800	52.085	800	24.89	800	15.052		52.21%		39.53%		71.10%	
		1000	80.163	1000	34.38	1000	23.868		57.11%		30.57%		70.23%	
		Original	vs Enh	anced vs	Enhance	d /w Threa	ads							
	90								0.1.1					
							Δ	Δ	Origina Origina	 	2)			
									ed	<u>-)</u>				
							_	Enhanc		1^2)				
											v Thread	s		
	(S)							_	Enhand	ed /v	v Thread	s (N	^2)	
	<u>.</u>													
	(s) euri Lime								, ,		, ,	- 11	, , ,	
	<u> </u>				<b>A</b>							all	standard	
	22.5					0	-	devi	ation is	belo	N 5%			
				A	0	8								
				9	Ď									
	0 🕻		250	500	)	750	1000							
				Popula	ation									