

Problem Set 2
MIT CW Linear Algebra (18.06)

Aviel Livay

February 13, 2021

Section 2.5

Problem 24

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & a & b & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & c & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (1)$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & a & b & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (2)$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & b & 1 & -a & ac \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (3)$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -a & ac - b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (4)$$

Problem 39

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & -a & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -c & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (5)$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & -a & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (6)$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & -a & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & b & bc \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (7)$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & -a & 0 & 0 & 1 & a & ab & abc \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & b & bc \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (8)$$

Section 2.6

Problem 13

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} a & a & a & a & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & b & b & b & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & b & c & c & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ a & b & c & d & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (9)$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} a & a & a & a & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b-a & b-a & b-a & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b-a & c-a & c-a & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & b-a & c-a & d-a & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (10)$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} a & a & a & a & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b-a & b-a & b-a & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c-b & c-b & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c-b & d-b & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (11)$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} a & a & a & a & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b-a & b-a & b-a & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c-b & c-b & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d-c & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (12)$$

so

$$U = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} a & a & a & a \\ 0 & b-a & b-a & b-a \\ 0 & 0 & c-b & c-b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d-c \end{array} \right] \quad (13)$$

And L is calculated as the inverse of the operations

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (14)$$

Problem 18

$$LDU = L_1 D_1 U_1 \quad (15a)$$

$$L_1^{-1} LDU = L_1^{-1} L_1 D_1 U_1 \quad (15b)$$

$$L_1^{-1} LDU = D_1 U_1 \quad (15c)$$

$$L_1^{-1} LDUU^{-1} = D_1 U_1 U^{-1} \quad (15d)$$

$$L_1^{-1} LD = D_1 U_1 U^{-1} \quad (15e)$$

First let's prove that L_1^{-1} is lower triangular. if we write

$$L^{-1} = [\mathbf{y}^1 \quad \mathbf{y}^2 \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{y}^n] \quad (16)$$

where each \mathbf{y}^k is an $n \times 1$ matrix. Then by definition,

$$LL^{-1} = I [\mathbf{e}^1 \quad \mathbf{e}^2 \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{e}^n] \quad (17)$$

where \mathbf{e}^k is the $n \times 1$ matrix with a 1 in the k th row and 0s everywhere else. So

$$L\mathbf{y}^k = \mathbf{e}^k (1 \leq k \leq n) \quad (18)$$

Let's look at the rows that are above k , for example the first row

$$e_1^k = \sum_{i=1}^n L_{1,i} * y_i^k = L_{1,1} * y_1^k \quad (19)$$

$e_1^k = 0$ and also $L_{1,1} \neq 0$ implies that $y_1^k = 0$ One more look at the second row... -

$$e_2^k = \sum_{i=1}^n L_{2,i} * y_i^k = L_{2,1} * y_1^k + L_{2,2} * y_2^k + 0 + \dots + 0 \quad (20)$$

$$= L_{2,1} * 0 + L_{2,2} * y_2^k + 0 + \dots + 0 \quad (21)$$

$$(22)$$

So again $e_2^k = 0$ and also $L_{2,2} \neq 0$ implies that $y_2^k = 0$ We can continue this way till the k 'th row; all $y_i^k = 0$ for $i < k$. Which means in other words that L^{-1} is a lower triangular matrix.

Now let's move on with the proof. $L_1^{-1}L$ is lower triangular because L is triangular and by multiplying it with a left side matrix that is lower triangular and thus doesn't mess with the upper part of L and leaves it zero. Multiplying it with D on the right side - we still maintain this property. So yes $L_1^{-1}LD$ is lower triangular. U^{-1} is upper triangular because of similar considerations. It's easy to show that multiplying two upper triangular matrices gives an upper triangular.

So the equation $L1^{-1}LD = D1U1U^{-1}$ is stating that a lower triangular matrix equals an upper triangular matrix. This is possible only if both sides are actually a diagonal matrix. Both side multiply with a diagonal matrix from which you can deduce that $L1^{-1}L$ is diagonal. Since both $L1^{-1}$ and L have 1's on the diagonal by definition then we have a diagonal matrix which has 1's on the diagonal - which means $L1^{-1}L = I$ which implies $L = L1$. In much the same way it can be deduced that also $U = U1$. And if that is the case then it's easy to replace $L1^{-1}LD = D1U1U^{-1}$ by $ID = D1I$ and deduce that $D = D1$.

Problem 25

I used the following code:

Listing 1: Insert code directly in your document

```
import numpy as np
from scipy.linalg import toeplitz

K = toeplitz([2, -1, 0, 0, 0], [2, -1, 0, 0, 0]).astype('float64')
print(K)

U=K
L=np.zeros((5,5)).astype('float64')
np.fill_diagonal(L,1)

for i in range(1,5):
    L[i,i-1] = U[i,i-1]/U[i-1,i-1];
    U[i, i] = U[i,i] + U[i,i-1]/U[i-1,i-1]
    U[i, i-1] = 0

print("U=_{ }".format(U))
print("L=_{ }".format(L))

LU = np.matmul(L,U)
print("LU=_{ }".format(LU))

LM1 =np.linalg.inv(L)

print("LM1=_{ }".format(LM1))

LM1F = np.zeros((5,5))
```

```

for i in range(0,5):
    for j in range (0,5):
        if i==j:
            LM1F[i,j]=1
        elif i<j:
            LM1F[i,j] = 0
        else:
            LM1F[i, j] = (j+1)/(i+1)

print ("LM1F = {}".format(LM1F))

```

And the formula is (adjusting to 1..n format instead of 0..(n-1):

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j \\ \frac{j}{i}, & \text{if } i \geq j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Problem 26

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 5 & 10 & 8 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 8 & 12 & 9 & 6 & 3 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 & 12 & 8 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \quad (23)$$

Section 2.7

Problem 13

a

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (24)$$

b

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (25)$$

Problem 35 (former 36)

1. lower triangular matrix with 1's on the diagonal.

I shall provide here than a lower triangular matrix stays in the group under multiplication and inverse operations. We shall divide the proof into two parts:

- (a) Proof that multiplying lower triangular matrix with 1 on the diagonal are still lower triangular with 1's on the diagonal.

- i. above the diagonal

Let $L1$ and $L2$ be such lower triangular matrices. If we take a look at $L1 \cdot L2_{i,j}$ where $i < j$ then we see:

$$(L1L2)_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} L1_{i,k} L2_{k,j} \quad (26)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{k=i-1} L1_{i,k} L2_{k,j} + \sum_{k=i}^{k=j-1} L1_{i,k} L2_{k,j} + \sum_{k=j}^{k=n} L1_{i,k} L2_{k,j} \quad (27)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{k=i-1} L1_{i,k} * 0 + \sum_{k=i}^{k=j-1} 0 * 0 + \sum_{k=j}^{k=n} 0 * L2_{k,j} \quad (28)$$

$$= 0 \quad (29)$$

Which means that indeed that above the diagonal we have 0's.

- ii. on the diagonal

If we take a look at $L1 \cdot L2_{i,i}$ then we see:

$$(L1L2)_{i,i} = \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} L1_{i,k} L2_{k,i} \quad (30)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{k=i-1} L1_{i,k} L2_{k,i} + L1_{i,i} L2_{i,i} + \sum_{k=i+1}^{k=n} L1_{i,k} L2_{k,i} \quad (31)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{k=i-1} L1_{i,k} * 0 + 1 * 1 + \sum_{k=i+1}^{k=n} 0 * L2_{k,i} \quad (32)$$

$$= 1 \quad (33)$$

which means that each of the diagonal elements equals 1

- (b) Proof that the inverse of a low triangular matrix with 1 on the diagonal is still a lower triangular with 1's on the diagonal.

Proof by induction

- i. it's true for every 2x2 lower diagonal with 1's on the diagonal.
let's see

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ a & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (34)$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -a & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (35)$$

So the inverse exists and it's a lower triangular with 1's on the diagonal

- ii. suppose it's true for all matrices of size $n \times n$. Let's see the induction step for matrices of size $(n+1) \times (n+1)$.

So suppose that L and L^{-1} are such lower triangular matrices with 1's on the diagonal. Let's build the the following $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ dimension matrix as a lower triangular with 1's on the diagonal.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0}^T \\ \mathbf{b} & L \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x & \mathbf{c}^T \\ \mathbf{d} & M \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0}^T \\ \mathbf{0} & I_{n \times n} \end{bmatrix} \quad (36)$$

where both \mathbf{b} and $\mathbf{0}$ are vectors of dimensions $n \times 1$. When calculating the top left element of the $I_{n+1, n+1}$ matrix on the right, we noticed that x must equal 1. When calculating the top right vector of the $I_{n+1, n+1}$ matrix on the right, we noticed that \mathbf{c}^T must equal 0. This means that the inverse is lower triangular with 1's on the diagonal as well.

2. symmetric matrices

multiplying symmetric matrices not necessarily yield a symmetric matrix. For example

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 4 \\ 20 & 11 \end{bmatrix} \quad (37)$$

which is not a symmetric matrix

3. positive matrices

Are not a closed group. For example inverse of such matrices.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad (38)$$

is a positive matrix. However the inverse matrix here is

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1.5 & -0.5 \end{bmatrix} \quad (39)$$

Which has negative values

4. diagonal matrices

When multiplying two diagonal matrices $D1$ and $D2$ we get D which is diagonal because $D_{i,j}$ is a dot product between row i of matrix $D1$ and column j of matrix $D2$ and when $i \neq j$ the result is 0. Also if the inverse of a diagonal matrix D is D^{-1} then trivially $D_{i,i}^{-1} = \frac{1}{D_{i,i}}$

5. permutation matrices

Stay inside the group because by definition a permutation matrix, when multiplied by another permutation matrix - gives a different permutation matrix.

6. matrices where $Q^{-1} = Q^T$

The first question is whether Q^{-1} stays inside the group. That is if we take the inverse and take the transpose of Q^{-1} then we get the same result.

$$Q^{-1-1} = Q^{T-1} = Q^{-1T} \quad (40)$$

The second question is if Q and R are such matrices. Does QR meets the same?

$$QR^{-1} = R^{-1} \cdot Q^{-1} = R^T \cdot Q^T = (QR)^T \quad (41)$$

7. two more matrix groups:

- (a) upper triangular with 1's on the diagonal
- (b) I matrices

Problem 39 (former 40)

- a $(QQ^T)_{i,i}$ are by definition $\|\mathbf{q}_i\|^2$. However in our case $QQ^T = QQ^{-1} = I$ where all the elements on the diagonal equal 1.
- b $(QQ^T)_{i,j}$ are the dot product between row i and row j of the Q matrix. In much the same way - $QQ^T = QQ^{-1} = I$ which indicates that for every $i \neq j$ the dot product is 0, that is $\mathbf{q}_i^T \mathbf{q}_j = 0$.
- c

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & -\cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \quad (42)$$

Section 3.1

Problem 18

- a True. If M is a symmetric matrix and $A = c \cdot M$ then $A_{i,j} = c \cdot M_{i,j} = c \cdot M_{j,i} = A_{j,i}$.
Also if $M1$ and $M2$ are symmetric matrices and $A = M1 + M2$ then $A_{i,j} = M1_{i,j} + M2_{i,j} = M1_{j,i} + M2_{j,i} = A_{j,i}$.
- b True. If M is a skew symmetric matrix and $A = c \cdot M$ then for $i \neq j$, $A_{i,j} = c \cdot M_{i,j} = c \cdot -M_{j,i} = -A_{j,i}$.
Also if $M1$ and $M2$ are both skew symmetric matrices and $A = M1 + M2$ then $A_{i,j} = M1_{i,j} + M2_{i,j} = -M1_{j,i} - M2_{j,i} = -A_{j,i}$.

- c False. For example, we add two such matrices and get a matrix that's outside of the sub space:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (43)$$

Problem 18

If we add an extra column \mathbf{b} to a matrix A , then the column space gets larger unless the additional column is not a linear combination of the existing columns of the matrix.

An example where the column space grows as result of the addition of an extra column

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \textcircled{1} \\ 1 & 1 & \textcircled{1} \\ 1 & 2 & \textcircled{3} \end{bmatrix} \quad (44)$$

An example where the column space doesn't as result of the addition of an extra column

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \textcircled{2} \\ 1 & 1 & \textcircled{2} \\ 1 & 2 & \textcircled{3} \end{bmatrix} \quad (45)$$

When the additional column is a linear combination of the other columns of A the additional column doesn't add to the space covered by the existing columns. At that very moment, the \mathbf{x} is the linear combination that leads to that \mathbf{b} . This is the case for A and this is also the case for $[A \ \mathbf{b}]$ where \mathbf{b} can be either added to the linear combination multiplied by 0 or all the X 's are 0 except for 1 that multiplies the \mathbf{b} .

Problem 30

- a Suppose \mathbf{u} is a vector in the $\text{sum } S + T$ space. It means that there is a pair of vectors \mathbf{s} in subspace S and \mathbf{t} in subspace T such that $\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{t}$ is in $\text{sum } S + T$ space. Now $c \cdot \mathbf{u} = c \cdot \mathbf{s} + c \cdot \mathbf{t}$ where both $c \cdot \mathbf{s}$ and $c \cdot \mathbf{t}$ are by definition in subspace S and subspace T respectively. Also suppose that there are two vectors $\mathbf{u1}$ and $\mathbf{u2}$ in the $\text{sum } S + T$ space. So there exist $\mathbf{s1}$ and $\mathbf{s2}$ in subspace S and $\mathbf{t1}$ and $\mathbf{t2}$ in subspace T such that $\mathbf{s1} + \mathbf{t1} = \mathbf{u1}$ and $\mathbf{s2} + \mathbf{t2} = \mathbf{u2}$. Adding $\mathbf{u1} + \mathbf{u2} = \mathbf{s1} + \mathbf{t1} + \mathbf{s2} + \mathbf{t2} = \mathbf{s1} + \mathbf{s2} + \mathbf{t1} + \mathbf{t2}$ which is an addition of two vectors: $\mathbf{s1} + \mathbf{s2}$ which belongs by definition to S space and $\mathbf{t1} + \mathbf{t2}$ which belongs by definition to T space. Which proves that $\mathbf{u1} + \mathbf{u2}$ belongs to $\text{sum } S + T$

b \mathbf{s} is a vector of size m (that crosses $\mathbf{0}$). There are many such vectors on the same line and this group of vectors is called S . Same goes for \mathbf{t} belonging to a line T that could be a totally different line. There's no meaning to 'add lines' so all I can think of is the addition of a vector in S with a vector in T creating what could belong to a totally different line **sum** $\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{T}$. As for $S \cup T$, it contains all the lines S and all the lines T . So the span should be the set of all vectors that could be created by adding vectors from $S \cup T$.

Problem 32

$$AB = A \cdot [\mathbf{b}^{(1)}, \mathbf{b}^{(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{b}^{(b)}] = [A \cdot \mathbf{b}^{(1)}, A \cdot \mathbf{b}^{(2)}, \dots, A \cdot \mathbf{b}^{(n)}]$$

and each $A \cdot \mathbf{b}^{(k)}$ is a linear combination of the columns of A so it doesn't add any new information and thus doesn't extend the space covered by A .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (46)$$

So originally the column space in the matrix A was 2 and it was reduced to 1 when squaring up.

An n by n matrix has $C(A) = R^n$ exactly when A is an invertible matrix.