

Problem Set 2  
MIT CW Linear Algebra (18.06)

Aviel Livay

February 20, 2021

**Section 2.5**

**Problem 24**

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & a & b & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & c & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (1)$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & a & b & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (2)$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & b & 1 & -a & ac \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (3)$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -a & ac - b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (4)$$

**Problem 39**

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & -a & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -c & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (5)$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & -a & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -b & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (6)$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & -a & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & b & bc \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (7)$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & -a & 0 & 0 & 1 & a & ab & abc \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & b & bc \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (8)$$

## Section 2.6

### Problem 13

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cccc} a & a & a & a & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & b & b & b & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & b & c & c & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ a & b & c & d & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (9)$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cccc} a & a & a & a & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b-a & b-a & b-a & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b-a & c-a & c-a & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & b-a & c-a & d-a & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (10)$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cccc} a & a & a & a & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b-a & b-a & b-a & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c-b & c-b & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c-b & d-b & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (11)$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|cccc} a & a & a & a & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b-a & b-a & b-a & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c-b & c-b & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d-c & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (12)$$

so

$$U = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} a & a & a & a \\ 0 & b-a & b-a & b-a \\ 0 & 0 & c-b & c-b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d-c \end{array} \right] \quad (13)$$

And  $L$  is calculated as the inverse of the operations

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (14)$$

### Problem 18

$$LDU = L_1 D_1 U_1 \quad (15a)$$

$$L_1^{-1} LDU = L_1^{-1} L_1 D_1 U_1 \quad (15b)$$

$$L_1^{-1} LDU = D_1 U_1 \quad (15c)$$

$$L_1^{-1} LDUU^{-1} = D_1 U_1 U^{-1} \quad (15d)$$

$$L_1^{-1} LD = D_1 U_1 U^{-1} \quad (15e)$$

First let's prove that  $L_1^{-1}$  is lower triangular. if we write

$$L^{-1} = [\mathbf{y}^1 \quad \mathbf{y}^2 \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{y}^n] \quad (16)$$

where each  $\mathbf{y}^k$  is an  $n \times 1$  matrix. Then by definition,

$$LL^{-1} = I [\mathbf{e}^1 \quad \mathbf{e}^2 \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{e}^n] \quad (17)$$

where  $\mathbf{e}^k$  is the  $n \times 1$  matrix with a 1 in the  $k$ th row and 0s everywhere else. So

$$L\mathbf{y}^k = \mathbf{e}^k (1 \leq k \leq n) \quad (18)$$

Let's look at the rows that are above  $k$ , for example the first row

$$e_1^k = \sum_{i=1}^n L_{1,i} * y_i^k = L_{1,1} * y_1^k \quad (19)$$

$e_1^k = 0$  and also  $L_{1,1} \neq 0$  implies that  $y_1^k = 0$  One more look at the second row... -

$$e_2^k = \sum_{i=1}^n L_{2,i} * y_i^k = L_{2,1} * y_1^k + L_{2,2} * y_2^k + 0 + \dots + 0 \quad (20)$$

$$= L_{2,1} * 0 + L_{2,2} * y_2^k + 0 + \dots + 0 \quad (21)$$

$$(22)$$

So again  $e_2^k = 0$  and also  $L_{2,2} \neq 0$  implies that  $y_2^k = 0$  We can continue this way till the  $k$ 'th row; all  $y_i^k = 0$  for  $i < k$ . Which means in other words that  $L^{-1}$  is a lower triangular matrix.

Now let's move on with the proof.  $L_1^{-1}L$  is lower triangular because  $L$  is triangular and by multiplying it with a left side matrix that is lower triangular and thus doesn't mess with the upper part of  $L$  and leaves it zero. Multiplying it with  $D$  on the right side - we still maintain this property. So yes  $L_1^{-1}LD$  is lower triangular.  $U^{-1}$  is upper triangular because of similar considerations. It's easy to show that multiplying two upper triangular matrices gives an upper triangular.

So the equation  $L1^{-1}LD = D1U1U^{-1}$  is stating that a lower triangular matrix equals an upper triangular matrix. This is possible only if both sides are actually a diagonal matrix. Both side multiply with a diagonal matrix from which you can deduce that  $L1^{-1}L$  is diagonal. Since both  $L1^{-1}$  and  $L$  have 1's on the diagonal by definition then we have a diagonal matrix which has 1's on the diagonal - which means  $L1^{-1}L = I$  which implies  $L = L1$ . In much the same way it can be deduced that also  $U = U1$ . And if that is the case then it's easy to replace  $L1^{-1}LD = D1U1U^{-1}$  by  $ID = D1I$  and deduce that  $D = D1$ .

## Problem 25

I used the following code:

---

Listing 1: Insert code directly in your document

---

```
import numpy as np
from scipy.linalg import toeplitz

K = toeplitz([2, -1, 0, 0, 0], [2, -1, 0, 0, 0]).astype('float64')
print(K)

U=K
L=np.zeros((5,5)).astype('float64')
np.fill_diagonal(L,1)

for i in range(1,5):
    L[i,i-1] = U[i,i-1]/U[i-1,i-1];
    U[i, i] = U[i,i] + U[i,i-1]/U[i-1,i-1]
    U[i, i-1] = 0

print("U=_{ }".format(U))
print("L=_{ }".format(L))

LU = np.matmul(L,U)
print("LU=_{ }".format(LU))

LM1 =np.linalg.inv(L)

print("LM1=_{ }".format(LM1))

LM1F = np.zeros((5,5))
```

```

for i in range(0,5):
    for j in range (0,5):
        if i==j:
            LM1F[i,j]=1
        elif i<j:
            LM1F[i,j] = 0
        else:
            LM1F[i, j] = (j+1)/(i+1)

print ("LM1F = {}".format(LM1F))

```

---

And the formula is (adjusting to 1..n format instead of 0..(n-1):

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j \\ \frac{j}{i}, & \text{if } i \geq j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

### Problem 26

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 5 & 10 & 8 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 8 & 12 & 9 & 6 & 3 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 & 12 & 8 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \quad (23)$$

## Section 2.7

### Problem 13

a

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (24)$$

b

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (25)$$

### Problem 35 (former 36)

1. lower triangular matrix with 1's on the diagonal.

I shall provide here than a lower triangular matrix stays in the group under multiplication and inverse operations. We shall divide the proof into two parts:

- (a) Proof that multiplying lower triangular matrix with 1 on the diagonal are still lower triangular with 1's on the diagonal.

- i. above the diagonal

Let  $L1$  and  $L2$  be such lower triangular matrices. If we take a look at  $L1 \cdot L2_{i,j}$  where  $i < j$  then we see:

$$(L1L2)_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} L1_{i,k} L2_{k,j} \quad (26)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{k=i-1} L1_{i,k} L2_{k,j} + \sum_{k=i}^{k=j-1} L1_{i,k} L2_{k,j} + \sum_{k=j}^{k=n} L1_{i,k} L2_{k,j} \quad (27)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{k=i-1} L1_{i,k} * 0 + \sum_{k=i}^{k=j-1} 0 * 0 + \sum_{k=j}^{k=n} 0 * L2_{k,j} \quad (28)$$

$$= 0 \quad (29)$$

Which means that indeed that above the diagonal we have 0's.

- ii. on the diagonal

If we take a look at  $L1 \cdot L2_{i,i}$  then we see:

$$(L1L2)_{i,i} = \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} L1_{i,k} L2_{k,i} \quad (30)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{k=i-1} L1_{i,k} L2_{k,i} + L1_{i,i} L2_{i,i} + \sum_{k=i+1}^{k=n} L1_{i,k} L2_{k,i} \quad (31)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{k=i-1} L1_{i,k} * 0 + 1 * 1 + \sum_{k=i+1}^{k=n} 0 * L2_{k,i} \quad (32)$$

$$= 1 \quad (33)$$

which means that each of the diagonal elements equals 1

- (b) Proof that the inverse of a low triangular matrix with 1 on the diagonal is still a lower triangular with 1's on the diagonal.

Proof by induction

- i. it's true for every 2x2 lower diagonal with 1's on the diagonal.  
let's see

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ a & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (34)$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -a & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad (35)$$

So the inverse exists and it's a lower triangular with 1's on the diagonal

- ii. suppose it's true for all matrices of size  $n \times n$ . Let's see the induction step for matrices of size  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ .

So suppose that  $L$  and  $L^{-1}$  are such lower triangular matrices with 1's on the diagonal. Let's build the following  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  dimension matrix as a lower triangular with 1's on the diagonal.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0}^T \\ \mathbf{b} & L \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x & \mathbf{c}^T \\ \mathbf{d} & M \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0}^T \\ \mathbf{0} & I_{n \times n} \end{bmatrix} \quad (36)$$

where both  $\mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{0}$  are vectors of dimensions  $n \times 1$ . When calculating the top left element of the  $I_{n+1, n+1}$  matrix on the right, we noticed that  $x$  must equal 1. When calculating the top right vector of the  $I_{n+1, n+1}$  matrix on the right, we noticed that  $\mathbf{c}^T$  must equal 0. This means that the inverse is lower triangular with 1's on the diagonal as well.

## 2. symmetric matrices

multiplying symmetric matrices not necessarily yield a symmetric matrix. For example

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 4 \\ 20 & 11 \end{bmatrix} \quad (37)$$

which is not a symmetric matrix

## 3. positive matrices

Are not a closed group. For example inverse of such matrices.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad (38)$$

is a positive matrix. However the inverse matrix here is

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1.5 & -0.5 \end{bmatrix} \quad (39)$$

Which has negative values

## 4. diagonal matrices

When multiplying two diagonal matrices  $D1$  and  $D2$  we get  $D$  which is diagonal because  $D_{i,j}$  is a dot product between row  $i$  of matrix  $D1$  and column  $j$  of matrix  $D2$  and when  $i \neq j$  the result is 0. Also if the inverse of a diagonal matrix  $D$  is  $D^{-1}$  then trivially  $D_{i,i}^{-1} = \frac{1}{D_{i,i}}$

5. permutation matrices

Stay inside the group because by definition a permutation matrix, when multiplied by another permutation matrix - gives a different permutation matrix.

6. matrices where  $Q^{-1} = Q^T$

The first question is whether  $Q^{-1}$  stays inside the group. That is if we take the inverse and take the transpose of  $Q^{-1}$  then we get the same result.

$$Q^{-1-1} = Q^{T-1} = Q^{-1T} \quad (40)$$

The second question is if  $Q$  and  $R$  are such matrices. Does  $QR$  meets the same?

$$QR^{-1} = R^{-1} \cdot Q^{-1} = R^T \cdot Q^T = (QR)^T \quad (41)$$

7. two more matrix groups:

- (a) upper triangular with 1's on the diagonal
- (b) I matrices

### Problem 39 (former 40)

- a  $(QQ^T)_{i,i}$  are by definition  $\|\mathbf{q}_i\|^2$ . However in our case  $QQ^T = QQ^{-1} = I$  where all the elements on the diagonal equal 1.
- b  $(QQ^T)_{i,j}$  are the dot product between row  $i$  and row  $j$  of the  $Q$  matrix. In much the same way -  $QQ^T = QQ^{-1} = I$  which indicates that for every  $i \neq j$  the dot product is 0, that is  $\mathbf{q}_i^T \mathbf{q}_j = 0$ .
- c

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & -\cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \quad (42)$$

## Section 3.1

### Problem 18

- a True. If  $M$  is a symmetric matrix and  $A = c \cdot M$  then  $A_{i,j} = c \cdot M_{i,j} = c \cdot M_{j,i} = A_{j,i}$ .  
Also if  $M1$  and  $M2$  are symmetric matrices and  $A = M1 + M2$  then  $A_{i,j} = M1_{i,j} + M2_{i,j} = M1_{j,i} + M2_{j,i} = A_{j,i}$ .
- b True. If  $M$  is a skew symmetric matrix and  $A = c \cdot M$  then for  $i \neq j$ ,  $A_{i,j} = c \cdot M_{i,j} = c \cdot -M_{j,i} = -A_{j,i}$ .  
Also if  $M1$  and  $M2$  are both skew symmetric matrices and  $A = M1 + M2$  then  $A_{i,j} = M1_{i,j} + M2_{i,j} = -M1_{j,i} - M2_{j,i} = -A_{j,i}$ .



- c False. For example, we add two such matrices and get a matrix that's outside of the sub space:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (43)$$

### Problem 18

If we add an extra column  $\mathbf{b}$  to a matrix  $A$ , then the column space gets larger unless the additional column is not a linear combination of the existing columns of the matrix.

An example where the column space grows as result of the addition of an extra column

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \textcircled{1} \\ 1 & 1 & \textcircled{1} \\ 1 & 2 & \textcircled{3} \end{bmatrix} \quad (44)$$

An example where the column space doesn't as result of the addition of an extra column

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \textcircled{2} \\ 1 & 1 & \textcircled{2} \\ 1 & 2 & \textcircled{3} \end{bmatrix} \quad (45)$$

When the additional column is a linear combination of the other columns of  $A$  the additional column doesn't add to the space covered by the existing columns. At that very moment, the  $\mathbf{x}$  is the linear combination that leads to that  $\mathbf{b}$ . This is the case for  $A$  and this is also the case for  $[A \ \mathbf{b}]$  where  $\mathbf{b}$  can be either added to the linear combination multiplied by 0 or all the  $X$ 's are 0 except for 1 that multiplies the  $\mathbf{b}$ .

### Problem 30

- a Suppose  $\mathbf{u}$  is a vector in the  $\text{sum } S + T$  space. It means that there is a pair of vectors  $\mathbf{s}$  in subspace  $S$  and  $\mathbf{t}$  in subspace  $T$  such that  $\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{t}$  is in  $\text{sum } S + T$  space. Now  $c \cdot \mathbf{u} = c \cdot \mathbf{s} + c \cdot \mathbf{t}$  where both  $c \cdot \mathbf{s}$  and  $c \cdot \mathbf{t}$  are by definition in subspace  $S$  and subspace  $T$  respectively. Also suppose that there are two vectors  $\mathbf{u1}$  and  $\mathbf{u2}$  in the  $\text{sum } S + T$  space. So there exist  $\mathbf{s1}$  and  $\mathbf{s2}$  in subspace  $S$  and  $\mathbf{t1}$  and  $\mathbf{t2}$  in subspace  $T$  such that  $\mathbf{s1} + \mathbf{t1} = \mathbf{u1}$  and  $\mathbf{s2} + \mathbf{t2} = \mathbf{u2}$ . Adding  $\mathbf{u1} + \mathbf{u2} = \mathbf{s1} + \mathbf{t1} + \mathbf{s2} + \mathbf{t2} = \mathbf{s1} + \mathbf{s2} + \mathbf{t1} + \mathbf{t2}$  which is an addition of two vectors:  $\mathbf{s1} + \mathbf{s2}$  which belongs by definition to  $S$  space and  $\mathbf{t1} + \mathbf{t2}$  which belongs by definition to  $T$  space. Which proves that  $\mathbf{u1} + \mathbf{u2}$  belongs to  $\text{sum } S + T$

b  $\mathbf{s}$  is a vector of size  $m$  (that crosses  $\mathbf{0}$ ). There are many such vectors on the same line and this group of vectors is called  $S$ . Same goes for  $\mathbf{t}$  belonging to a line  $T$  that could be a totally different line. There's no meaning to 'add lines' so all I can think of is the addition of a vector in  $S$  with a vector in  $T$  creating what could belong to a totally different line **sum**  $\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{T}$ . As for  $S \cup T$ , it contains all the lines  $S$  and all the lines  $T$ . So the span should be the set of all vectors that could be created by adding vectors from  $S \cup T$ .

### Problem 32

$$AB = A \cdot [\mathbf{b}^{(1)}, \mathbf{b}^{(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{b}^{(b)}] = [A \cdot \mathbf{b}^{(1)}, A \cdot \mathbf{b}^{(2)}, \dots, A \cdot \mathbf{b}^{(n)}]$$

and each  $A \cdot \mathbf{b}^{(k)}$  is a linear combination of the columns of  $A$  so it doesn't add any new information and thus doesn't extend the space covered by  $A$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (46)$$

So originally the column space in the matrix  $A$  was 2 and it was reduced to 1 when squaring up.

An  $n$  by  $n$  matrix has  $C(A) = R^n$  exactly when  $A$  is an invertible matrix.