	Assessor's Name:							
	Review Presentation							
			Not					
echnical Content			Acceptable		Average		Excellen	
1.	Summarized motivation and scope of design	า	1	2	3	4	5	
	Presented Cycle 2 Objectives		1	2	3	4	5	
	Thoroughly addressed meeting of Objective	s	1	2	3	4	5	
	Quality of demonstration (if any)		1	2	3 3 3 3	4	5	
			Not					
isuals or Slide Design			Acceptable		Average		Excellen	
1	Visual appeal of slides		1	2	3 3 3	4	5	
2	Quality of graphs, figures and tables		1	2	3	4	5	
	Clear, concise supporting text		1	2	3	4	5	
			Not					
Organization of Presentation			Acceptable		Average		Excellent	
1.	Appropriate tone for audience		1	2	3 3 3 3	4	5	
2 .	Time management		1	2	3	4	5	
3.	Organization of content		1	2	3	4	5	
5 .	Finished with a convincing conclusion		1	2	3	4	5	
ral Presen	tation Quality							
1.	Team's confidence and enthusism		1	2	3 3 3 3	4	5	
2 .	Team's control of Q&A and quality of respon	ises	1	2	3	4	5	
3 .	Presentation length		1	2	3	4	5	
4	Sharing of presentation among team member	ers	1	2	3	4	5	
5	Preparedness of team		1	2	3	4	5	
dividual A		Note with an X areas that should be in						
		Presentation						
		Score:						
		(1-5 as						
	Name:	above)	Prepara	Eye Cor	Voice Q	Body La	<u>Questions</u>	
1.								
2.		·						
3.								
4 .				ļ			\sqcup	
5 .								
omments:								
					-			