• Problem 1

(a)

$$30030 = 257 (116) + 218$$

$$257 = 218 (1) + 39$$

$$218 = 39 (5) + 23$$

$$39 = 23 (1) + 16$$

$$23 = 16 (1) + 7$$

$$16 = 7 (2) + 2$$

$$7 = 2 (3) + 1$$

Which leads us to the fact that gcd(30030, 257) = 1. by assumption we have the unique prime factorization of $30030 = 2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7 \times 11 \times 13$. By definition of gcd we know that any of $A = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13\}$ is not a prime factor for 257. and on ther other hand we have the fact that $\sqrt{257} \approx 16$ and non of primes up to 16 that are elements of set A divide 257 so there could not be any prime factor for 257 which yields that 257 is prime

(b)

$$4883 = 4369 (1) + 514$$

 $4369 = 514 (8) + 257$
 $514 = 257 (2) + 0$

By part a we know that 257 is prime and thus we factor numbers as follows. $\frac{4883}{257} = 19$, and because 19 is prime, for the factorization we have: $4883 = 257 \times 19$. With the same argue for 4369 we have the factorization, $4369 = 257 \times 17$.

• Problem 2

- (a) We know that every linear combination of a and b in the form ax + by for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a multiple of their greatest common divisor gcd(a, b), by knowing this fact and that there is a linear combination of a, b such that ax + by = 1 it is trivial that gcd(a, b) = 1
- (b) Assume that a is invertible mod b then the equation $ax \stackrel{b}{=} 1$ has a solution for x, which by definition of modular equation leads us to the equation ax = by + 1 for some value of b, which means that ax + by = 1 for some values of x and y which means that gcd(a,b) = 1.

 Conversely assume that gcd(a,b) = 1 which means that ax + by = 1 for some value of x and y now

consider this equation modular b which gives us $\overline{ax} + by \equiv \overline{1}$ which means a is invertible modular $b \equiv \overline{1}$

(c)

$$101 = 17 (5) + 16$$
 (I)
 $17 = 16 (1) + 1$ (II)

By the equation (II) we can write 16 as follows 16 = 17 - 1 by putting this into the equation (I) we have the equation 101 = 17(5) + (17 - 1) which leads us to 101 = 17(6) - 1, Thus 1 = 17(6) - 101(1). Hence $17^{-1} mod(101) = 6$

• Problem 3

(a)

$$\begin{cases} x \stackrel{4}{\equiv} 1 \\ x \stackrel{6}{\equiv} 2 \end{cases} \longrightarrow gcd(4,6) = 2$$

Assume for the sake of contradiction that there is a solution for this system. Means that there is a x such that.

$$\begin{cases} x \stackrel{4}{\equiv} 1 \Rightarrow x = 4k + 1 \ (k \in \mathbb{Z}) \\ x \stackrel{6}{\equiv} 2 \Rightarrow x = 6l + 2 \ (l \in \mathbb{Z}) \end{cases} \Rightarrow 4k + 1 = 6l + 2 \Rightarrow 4k = 6l + 1$$

But in the last equation the Left-Hand-Side is always <u>even</u> and the Right-Hand-Side is always <u>odd</u> which contradicts. Thus there is no such a solution for the system this completes the proof of our counterexampler

(b)

$$\begin{cases} x \stackrel{17}{\equiv} 2 \\ x \stackrel{101}{\equiv} 9 \end{cases}$$

By the first equation we have x = 17k + 2, then by putting this together with the second equation we have that $17k + 2 \stackrel{101}{=} 9$ which leads us to $17k \stackrel{101}{=} 7$. Since we have already calculated the inverse of 17 modulo 101 we can now evaluate the value of k modular 101.

$$6 \times 17k \stackrel{101}{\equiv} 6 \times 7 \Rightarrow k \stackrel{101}{\equiv} 42 \Rightarrow k = 101u + 42$$

Now by putting this together with the last equation we have x = 17(101u + 42) + 2. Thus x = 1717u + 716

• Problem 4

(a) Assume for the sake of contradiction that there are two identity elements e and \acute{e} , we get the contradiction by just applying the definition of identity element on each:

$$m(e, \acute{e}) = \begin{cases} e & \acute{e} \text{ is identity} \\ \acute{e} & e \text{ is identity} \end{cases} \implies e = \acute{e}$$

Which contradict so the identity element must be unique ■

Assume for the sake of contradiction that arbitrary element x of our group has two inverses x_1^{-1} and x_2^{-1} .

$$\begin{cases} m(x, x_1^{-1}) = e & \text{uniqueness of} \\ m(x, x_2^{-1}) = e & \text{identity element} \end{cases} m(x, x_1^{-1}) = m(x, x_2^{-1}) \Rightarrow x_1^{-1} = x_2^{-1} = x^{-1}$$

- (b) We need to show (I)-Injectivity and (II)-Surjectivity
 - (I) Assume that hg = hg by multiplying g^{-1} to the both sides of equation we have h = h.
 - (II) Take arbitrary element $h \in G$ we claim that $g^{-1}h$ is the element that maps under the function $m_g(h)$ to the element h. To prove the claim we have $m_g(g^{-1}h) = gg^{-1}h = h$ as desired.

So function is both injective and surjective together means that the function is Bijection

(c) (I) Closed under operator: This is easy to see that $ax + bz, \dots, cy + dt$ are real numbers since $a, b, c, d, x, y, z, t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$A \times B = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} A \in GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \\ B \in GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \end{cases} \Rightarrow A \times B \in GL_2(\mathbb{R})$$

(II) Existence of Inverse: This follows immediately from the fact the determinant is non-zero and basic Linear-Algebra. Identity element is just identity matrix I_2

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \qquad A^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{1} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$$

(III) $(A \times B) \times C = A \times (B \times C)$: This is easy to verify by Linear-Algebra. Just write it down it is straightforward.

This is not a cummutative group. Counterexample is as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (d) (I) Closed under operator: $\overline{a} \times \overline{b} = \overline{a \times b}$, Thus it is closed.
 - (II) Identity element is: $\overline{1}$ and inverse for every element exists since p is considered to be prime: gcd(p,a) is either p which means p divide a, This is not the case because every element of our group is to be considered lower than p, or gcd(p,a) = 1 which by bezout's coefficients we know that there is an inverse for a modulo p.
 - (III) $\overline{a} \times (\overline{b} \times \overline{c}) = (\overline{a} \times \overline{b}) \times \overline{c}$: which is trivially true by the definition.

Under addition is similar.

- (e) No it is not closed under operator: $\overline{3} \times \overline{5} = \overline{0}$ The largest prime number before 15 is 13 so $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{13\mathbb{Z}} \equiv \mathbb{F}_{13}$ is the maximal subset of $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{15\mathbb{Z}}$ which is a group under multiplication.
- (f) We know that φ is a multiplicative function. Let's evaluate the φ for prime values:

$$\varphi(p) = \#\{1, \dots, p-1\} = p-1$$

$$\varphi(p^{\alpha}) = \#\{1, \dots, p-1, p+1, \dots, p^2-1, p^2+1, \dots, p^{\alpha}-1\} = p^{\alpha-1}(p-1)$$

Now let's evaluate the φ for arbitrary N with the factorization $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_s^{\alpha_s}$.

$$\varphi(N) = \left[\varphi(p_1^{\alpha_1})\right] \cdots \left[\varphi(p_s^{\alpha_s})\right] = \left[p_1^{\alpha_1 - 1}(p_1 - 1)\right] \cdots \left[p_s^{\alpha_s - 1}(p_s - 1)\right]$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{Closed form}} \prod_{\substack{p_i \mid N \\ p_i \text{ prime}}} p_i^{\alpha_i - 1}(p_i - 1) = \prod_{\substack{p_i \mid N \\ p_i \text{ prime}}} p_i^{\alpha_i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right)$$

By multiplying $p_i^{\alpha_i}$ we get the formula as desired.

$$\varphi(N) = N \prod_{\substack{p_i \mid N \\ p_i \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right)$$

• Problem 5

(a) By definition of φ we know that $\varphi(N)$ is the number of number less than N and relatively prime to N. Assume that order of element a is d by Lagrange's Theorem this d should divivde $\varphi(N)$ so $d \times k = \varphi(N)$, Hence $a^{\varphi(N)} \stackrel{N}{\equiv} a^{d \cdot k} \stackrel{N}{\equiv} 1$

(b)

$$31 = 7(4) + 3 \Rightarrow$$
 $3 = 31 + 7(-4)$
 $7 = 3(2) + 1 \Rightarrow$ $1 = 7 + 3(-2)$

$$1 = 7 + [31 + 7(-4)](-2) = 31(-2) + 7(9)$$

Hence inverse of 7 modulo 31 is 13 i.e $7 \times 9 \stackrel{31}{\equiv} 1$

$$m^7 \stackrel{31}{\equiv} x \Rightarrow (m^7)^e \stackrel{31}{\equiv} x^e \Rightarrow 7 \times e \stackrel{\varphi(31)}{\equiv} 1 \Rightarrow \xi \iota$$

- Problem 6
- Problem 7
- Problem 8