

# Worksheet 4

Let  $R$  be a relation from  $A$  to  $B$ , let  $S$  be a relation from  $B$  to  $C$ , and let  $T$  be a relation from  $C$  to  $D$ .

Prove the following statements.

1.  $I_A \circ R = R$

*Proof.* Let  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$  and observe:

$$\begin{aligned} a(I_A \circ R)b &\iff \exists a' \in A : a = a' \wedge a'Rb \\ &\iff aRb \end{aligned}$$

□

2.  $R \circ I_A = R$

*Proof.* Let  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$  and observe:

$$\begin{aligned} a(R \circ I_A)b &\iff \exists b' \in B : b = b' \wedge aRb' \\ &\iff aRb \end{aligned}$$

□

3.  $(R^{-1})^{-1} = R$

*Proof.* Fix  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ :

$$\begin{aligned} a(R^{-1})^{-1}b &\iff bR^{-1}a \\ &\iff b(R^{-1})^{-1}a \end{aligned}$$

□

4.  $(S \circ R)^{-1} = R^{-1} \circ S^{-1}$

*Proof.* Suppose  $(c, a) \in (S \circ R)^{-1}$ . Then by implication:  $a(S \circ R)^{-1}c$ . Hence, there exists a  $b \in B$  such that  $bSc$  and  $aRb$  and  $cS^{-1}b$  and  $bR^{-1}a$ . Therefore  $(c, a) \in (R^{-1} \circ S^{-1})$  and  $(R^{-1} \circ S^{-1}) \subseteq (S \circ R)^{-1}$ . The converse implication is obtained by retracing the given steps. □

5.  $(T \circ S) \circ R = T \circ (S \circ R)$

*Proof.* Assume  $(a, d) \in (T \circ S) \circ R$ . It follows that  $b \in B$  such that  $aRb$  and  $b(T \circ S)d$ . Hence there is a  $c \in C$  such that  $bSc$  and  $cTd$ . This implies  $a(S \circ R)c$ , hence  $aT \circ (S \circ R)d$ . So we can conclude  $T \circ (S \circ R) \subseteq (T \circ S) \circ R$ . The converse implication is similar.  $\square$

6.  $Dom R = Rng R^{-1}$

*Proof.* ( $\subseteq$ ) Fix  $a \in A$  and observe that  $a \in Dom R$ . There there must exist  $b \in B$  such that  $aRb$  and  $bR^{-1}a$ . Hence  $a \in Rng R^{-1}$  and  $Rng R^{-1} \subseteq Dom R$ .  $\square$

*Proof.* ( $\supseteq$ ) Fix  $a \in A$  and observe  $a \in Rng R^{-1}$ . There must be  $b \in B$  such that  $bR^{-1}a$  and  $aRb$ . Hence  $a \in Dom R$  and  $Dom R \subseteq Rng R^{-1}$ .  $\square$

7.  $Rng R = Dom R^{-1}$

*Proof.* ( $\supseteq$ ) Suppose  $b \in Rng R$ . This implies  $a \in A$  such that  $aRb$  and  $bR^{-1}a$ . Hence by the invertibility of  $R$ ,  $b \in Dom R^{-1}$  and  $Dom R^{-1} \subseteq Rng R$ .  $\square$

*Proof.* ( $\subseteq$ ) Fix  $b \in Dom R^{-1}$ . By implication we have  $a \in A$  such that  $bR^{-1}a$  and  $aRb$ . So it follows that  $b \in Rng R$  and  $Rng R \subseteq Dom R^{-1}$ .  $\square$

For Question 8–10, suppose that  $A = B = C$ .

8. If  $R$  and  $S$  are equivalence relations, then  $S \circ R$  is an equivalence relation.

*Proof.* Suppose  $R$  is an equivalence relation from  $A$  to  $B$  and  $S$  is an equivalence relation from  $B$  to  $C$  and  $A = B = C$ .

$$\begin{aligned} S \circ R &\iff \forall a \in A : aSa \wedge aRa \\ &\iff \forall a, b, c \in A : (aSb \wedge bSc) \implies aSa \wedge (aRb \wedge bRc) \implies aRc \\ &\iff \forall a, b \in A : (aSb \wedge bSa) \wedge (aRb \wedge bRa) \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

9. If  $R$  is a partial order, then  $R \circ R$  is a partial order.

*Proof.* Suppose  $R$  is a partial order from  $A$  to  $B$  and  $A = B$

$$\begin{aligned} R &\iff \forall a \in A : aRa \\ &\iff \forall a, b, c \in A : (aRb \wedge bRc) \implies aRc \\ &\iff \forall a, b \in A : (aRb \wedge bRa) \implies a = b \\ &\iff R \circ R \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

10. If  $R$  and  $S$  are partial orders, then it is not generally true that  $S \circ R$  is a partial order.

*Proof.* Let  $R = \leq$  and  $S = |$ . Fix  $a = 3$  and  $b = 5$ . Observe that  $3 \leq 5$ , however  $3 \nmid 5$  hence  $S \circ R$  is not a partial order.  $\square$

**Bonus Questions** Give an example of two relations  $R$  and  $S$  on a set  $A$  such that

11.  $R \circ S \neq S \circ R$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $R = \leq$  and  $S = |x|$ . Fix  $a = -9$  and  $b = 5$ . Observe that  $-9(R \circ S)5 \neq -9(S \circ R)5$ .  $\square$

12.  $S \circ R$  is an equivalence relation, but neither  $R$  nor  $S$  is an equivalence relation.

*Proof.*  $\square$