



This electronically generated report is intended for human resources specialists who have completed the course 'Introduction to Group Dynamics and Network Analysis.' It is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

GROUP 1 - DEMO RANKS

A. Who would you like in your ideal work group?



B. Who would you not want in your ideal work group?



NN 8, NE 16, NC 29%, NT 56%, NR 25%

| ID | CHOICES | IC | PR | ВС | СС | OR | NI |
|----|---------|----|----|----|----|------|----|
| Α | F, H | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0.71 | |
| В | F, H | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 0.86 | Х |
| С | F, D | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 0.86 | Х |
| D | A, G | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0.71 | |
| E | A, D | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 0.71 | |
| F | A, D | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0.71 | |
| G | A, E | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 0.71 | |
| Н | A, F | 4 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 0.71 | |

NN 8, NE 16, NC 38%, NT 44%, NR 50%

| ID | REJECTS | IC | PR | ВС | СС | OR | NI |
|----|---------|----|----|----|----|------|----|
| Α | B, D | 6 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 0.86 | Х |
| В | D, G | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.71 | |
| С | B, E | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0.71 | |
| D | B, H | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0.71 | |
| Е | B, C | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 0.71 | |
| F | C, E | 6 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 0.86 | х |
| G | B, C | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 0.71 | |
| Н | D, C | 5 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 0.71 | |


NN = Number of Nodes, **NE** = Number of Edges, **NC** = Network Centralization, **NT** = Network Transitivity, **NR** = Network Reciprocity **IC** = In-Degree Centrality, **PR** = PageRank Centrality, **BC** = Betweenness Centrality **CC** = Closenness Centrality, **OR** = Other Nodes Reachability (%), **NI** = No In-Degree.




This electronically generated report is intended for human resources specialists who have completed the course 'Introduction to Group Dynamics and Network Analysis.' It is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

GROUP 1 - DEMO RAW SCORES

A. Who would you like in your ideal work group?



B. Who would you not want in your ideal work group?



NN 8, NE 16, NC 29%, NT 56%, NR 25%

| ID | CHOICES | IC | PR | ВС | СС | OR | NI |
|----|---------|------|------|------|------|------|----|
| Α | F, H | 0.71 | 0.30 | 0.19 | 0.78 | 0.71 | |
| В | F, H | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.86 | х |
| С | F, D | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.86 | х |
| D | A, G | 0.43 | 0.15 | 0.29 | 0.64 | 0.71 | |
| Е | A, D | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.33 | 0.71 | |
| F | A, D | 0.57 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.70 | 0.71 | |
| G | A, E | 0.14 | 80.0 | 0.14 | 0.44 | 0.71 | |
| Н | A, F | 0.29 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.54 | 0.71 | |

NN 8, NE 16, NC 38%, NT 44%, NR 50%

| ID | REJECTS | IC | PR | ВС | CC | OR | NI |
|----|---------|------|------|------|------|------|----|
| Α | B, D | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.86 | Х |
| В | D, G | 0.71 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.78 | 0.71 | |
| С | B, E | 0.57 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.64 | 0.71 | |
| D | B, H | 0.43 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.58 | 0.71 | |
| Е | B, C | 0.29 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.44 | 0.71 | |
| F | C, E | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.86 | Х |
| G | B, C | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.47 | 0.71 | |
| Н | D, C | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.39 | 0.71 | |

NN = Number of Nodes, **NE** = Number of Edges, **NC** = Network Centralization, **NT** = Network Transitivity, **NR** = Network Reciprocity **IC** = In-Degree Centrality, **PR** = PageRank Centrality, **BC** = Betweenness Centrality **CC** = Closenness Centrality, **OR** = Other Nodes Reachability (%), **NI** = No In-Degree.