



This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

GROUP 2 - DEMO RANK SCORES

A. Who would you like in your ideal work group?

B. Who would you not want in your ideal work group?





NN 8, NE 16, ND 29%, NC 43%, NT 69%, NR 62%

NN 8, NE 16, ND 29%, NC 10%, NT 0%, NR 25%

ID	CHOICES	IC	PR	ВТ	CL	HU	ND
Α	D, H	5	7	5	7	1	←
В	E, F	2	2	4	3	7	
С	D, B	5	7	5	7	2	←
D	H, F	1	4	1	2	3	
Е	B, F	3	3	5	4	5	
F	B, E	2	1	2	1	6	
G	D, H	4	6	5	6	1	
Н	D, G	2	5	3	5	4	

ID	CHOICES	IC	PR	ВТ	CL	HU	ND
Α	B, E	4	8	8	6	1	
В	D, H	3	5	3	3	3	
С	A, H	4	7	5	5	4	
D	E, C	3	4	1	3	2	
E	H, G	1	1	4	1	5	
F	D, G	4	6	6	4	6	
G	B, E	3	3	7	3	1	
Н	E, F	2	2	2	2	2	





This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

GROUP 2 - DEMO RAW SCORES

A. Who would you like in your ideal work group?


B. Who would you not want in your ideal work group?





NN 8, NE 16, ND 29%, NC 43%, NT 69%, NR 62%

ID	CHOICES	IC	PR	ВТ	CL	HU	ND
Α	D, H	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.18	←
В	E, F	0.43	0.23	0.04	0.50	0.07	
С	D, B	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.15	←
D	H, F	0.57	0.10	0.27	0.57	0.12	
Е	B, F	0.29	0.22	0.00	0.47	0.10	
F	B, E	0.43	0.25	0.19	0.64	0.08	
G	D, H	0.14	0.06	0.00	0.29	0.18	
Н	D, G	0.43	0.09	0.07	0.46	0.12	



NN 8, NE 16, ND 29%, NC 10%, NT 0%, NR 25%

ID	CHOICES	IC	PR	ВТ	CL	HU	ND
Α	B, E	0.14	0.05	0.07	0.32	0.28	
В	D, H	0.29	0.11	0.22	0.50	0.00	
С	A, H	0.14	0.06	0.18	0.39	0.00	
D	E, C	0.29	0.11	0.31	0.50	0.22	
Е	H, G	0.57	0.23	0.20	0.70	0.00	
F	D, G	0.14	0.10	0.16	0.44	0.00	
G	B, E	0.29	0.16	0.14	0.50	0.28	
Н	E, F	0.43	0.19	0.25	0.58	0.22	



This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

GROUP 2 - DEMO SOCIOGRAM

ID	RP	RJ	GP	GJ	MP	MR	BL	OR	IM	Al	II	ST
A	0	1	2	2	0	0	-1	0	1	93	85	neglected
В	3	2	2	2	2	0	1	0	5	106	107	-
С	0	1	2	2	0	0	-1	0	1	93	85	neglected
D	4	2	2	2	1	0	2	0	6	113	107	appreciated
E	2	4	2	2	2	2	-2	0	6	86	103	rejected
F	3	1	2	2	2	0	2	0	4	113	107	-
G	1	2	2	2	1	1	-1	0	3	93	94	-
Н	3	3	2	2	2	1	0	0	6	100	107	controversial

RP Received preferences RJ Received rejections GP Given preferences GJ Given rejections MP Mutual preferences MJ Mutual rejections OR Orientation IM Impact MP Balance AI Affiliation coefficient II Influence coefficient ST Status in the group Very low Low High



This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

GROUP 2 - DEMO SOCIOGRAM

Type I cohesion index : 62.50% , Type II cohesion index : 0.62 Type I conflitct index : 25.00% , Type II conflitct index : 0.25

ID	Count	Median	Mean	Std	Min	P25	P50	P75	Max
Received preferences	8	2	2.00	1.51	0	0	2	3	4
Received rejections	8	2	2.00	1.07	1	1	2	2	4
Given Preferences	8	2	2.00	0.00	2	2	2	2	2
Given rejections	8	2	2.00	0.00	2	2	2	2	2
Mutual preferences	8	1	1.25	0.89	0	0	1	2	2
Mutual rejections	8	0	0.50	0.76	0	0	0	1	2
Balance	8	0	0.00	1.51	-2	-1	0	1	2
Orientation	8	0	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	0	0
Impact	8	4	4.00	2.14	1	2	4	6	6
Affiliation coeff. raw	8	0	0.00	1.51	-2	-1	0	1	2
Influence coeff. raw	8	4	3.25	2.25	0	1	4	5	5
Affiliation coeff.	8	96	100.00	10.00	86	93	96	108	113
Influence coeff.	8	105	100.00	10.00	85	92	105	107	107

Std Standard Deviation Min Minimum value P25 25° percentile P50 50° percentile P75 75° percentile Max Maximum value