


This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

A. Whom would you choose to spend a free outing with?

NN 38, NE 102, NR 22, ND 7%, NC 17%, NT 28%, NR 43%



NN Nodes NE Edges NR Reciprocal edges ND Density NC Centralization NT Transitivity NR Reciprocity

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

A. Whom would you choose to spend a free outing with?

ID	CHOICES	IC	KZ	PR	BT	CL	HU	ND
A	N, P, e	0.08	0.17	0.04	0.01	0.30	0.05	
B	F, Y, f	0.03	0.13	0.01	0.02	0.06	0.02	
C	M, P, V	0.08	0.16	0.03	0.05	0.28	0.08	
D	a, d, l	0.03	0.13	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	
E	C, G, M	0.14	0.19	0.04	0.05	0.28	0.02	
F	B, I, N	0.11	0.17	0.02	0.02	0.11	0.01	
G	M, f, i	0.08	0.17	0.04	0.08	0.29	0.02	
H	C, J, Q	0.00	0.12	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	←
I	F, N, W	0.05	0.14	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.01	
J	Y, k, l	0.11	0.18	0.04	0.03	0.28	0.01	
K	P, R, h	0.00	0.12	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.06	←
L	-	0.00	0.12	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	↔
M	E, G, j	0.19	0.23	0.06	0.11	0.35	0.01	
N	P, V, e	0.11	0.18	0.03	0.03	0.32	0.08	
O	A, i	0.00	0.12	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	←
P	A, Z, e	0.24	0.25	0.07	0.12	0.42	0.02	
Q	P, V, f	0.08	0.16	0.03	0.05	0.27	0.07	
R	N, S, d	0.14	0.19	0.03	0.08	0.29	0.01	
S	P, R, V	0.03	0.13	0.01	0.01	0.22	0.08	
T	P, b, h	0.00	0.12	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.05	←
U	-	0.00	0.12	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	↔
V	-	0.16	0.21	0.05	0.00	0.40	0.00	→
W	E, F, I	0.03	0.13	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.01	
X	P, V, g	0.03	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.07	
Y	J, i, l	0.19	0.23	0.06	0.13	0.37	0.01	
Z	C, e, h	0.05	0.16	0.03	0.08	0.31	0.03	
a	D	0.03	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.00	
b	E, M, j	0.05	0.14	0.01	0.00	0.21	0.02	
c	F, R, d	0.00	0.12	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	←
d	R, Y, l	0.08	0.16	0.02	0.03	0.23	0.02	
e	A, P, V	0.11	0.19	0.06	0.01	0.34	0.07	
f	G, Q, Y	0.08	0.16	0.03	0.05	0.28	0.01	
g	E, M, X	0.03	0.13	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.02	
h	Y, Z, i	0.11	0.17	0.03	0.07	0.31	0.01	
i	M, R, h	0.11	0.18	0.05	0.14	0.35	0.04	
j	E, M, b	0.05	0.15	0.03	0.02	0.26	0.02	
k	J, Y, l	0.03	0.13	0.02	0.00	0.22	0.01	
l	J, Q, Y	0.14	0.20	0.05	0.05	0.29	0.01	

IC In-Degree KZ Katz PR PageRank BT Betweenness CL Closeness HU Hub ND No In-Degree (←) No Out-Degree (→) No In or Out-Degree (↔)

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

A. Whom would you choose to spend a free outing with?

ID	CHOICES	IC	KZ	PR	BT	CL	HU	ND
A	N, P, e	6	14	10	21	10	9	
B	F, Y, f	8	26	27	19	24	20	
C	M, P, V	6	18	18	12	13	1	
D	a, d, l	8	28	25	20	26	33	
E	C, G, M	4	6	11	11	13	17	
F	B, I, N	5	15	22	17	22	34	
G	M, f, i	6	12	9	6	12	12	
H	C, J, Q	9	29	31	29	27	31	←
I	F, N, W	7	22	26	25	23	30	
J	Y, k, l	5	10	8	15	14	23	
K	P, R, h	9	29	31	29	27	7	←
L	-	9	29	31	29	27	36	↔
M	E, G, j	2	3	2	4	4	25	
N	P, V, e	5	11	12	16	7	3	
O	A, i	9	29	31	29	27	24	←
P	A, Z, e	1	1	1	3	1	19	
Q	P, V, f	6	16	16	13	16	4	
R	N, S, d	4	8	14	7	11	32	
S	P, R, V	8	24	24	26	19	2	
T	P, b, h	9	29	31	29	27	8	←
U	-	9	29	31	29	27	36	↔
V	-	3	4	5	29	2	36	→
W	E, F, I	8	27	29	22	25	27	
X	P, V, g	8	28	30	27	26	6	
Y	J, i, l	2	2	3	2	3	29	
Z	C, e, h	7	20	13	5	8	11	
a	D	8	28	28	29	26	35	
b	E, M, j	7	23	23	28	21	15	
c	F, R, d	9	29	31	29	27	18	←
d	R, Y, l	6	19	20	14	18	14	
e	A, P, V	5	7	4	23	6	5	
f	G, Q, Y	6	17	17	10	15	26	
g	E, M, X	8	28	30	24	26	16	
h	Y, Z, i	5	13	15	8	9	22	
i	M, R, h	5	9	7	1	5	10	
j	E, M, b	7	21	19	18	17	13	
k	J, Y, l	8	25	21	29	20	21	
l	J, Q, Y	4	5	6	9	12	28	

IC In-Degree KZ Katz PR PageRank BT Betweenness CL Closeness HU Hub ND No In-Degree (←) No Out-Degree (→) No In or Out-Degree (↔)

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

DEMO 2 | GROUP 1

SNA | NODES ORDERED BY METRIC

A. Whom would you choose to spend a free outing with?

RANK	IC	RANK	KZ	RANK	PR	RANK	BT	RANK	CL	RANK	HU
1	P	1	P	1	P	1	i	1	P	1	C
2	Y	2	Y	2	M	2	Y	2	V	2	S
2	M	3	M	3	Y	3	P	3	Y	3	N
3	V	4	V	4	e	4	M	4	M	4	Q
4	l	5	l	5	V	5	Z	5	i	5	e
4	E	6	E	6	l	6	G	6	e	6	X
4	R	7	e	7	i	7	R	7	N	7	K
5	i	8	R	8	J	8	h	8	Z	8	T
5	h	9	i	9	G	9	l	9	h	9	A
5	F	10	J	10	A	10	f	10	A	10	i
5	e	11	N	11	E	11	E	11	R	11	Z
5	J	12	G	12	N	12	C	12	l	12	G
5	N	13	h	13	Z	13	Q	12	G	13	j
6	f	14	A	14	R	14	d	13	C	14	d
6	d	15	F	15	h	15	J	13	E	15	b
6	Q	16	Q	16	Q	16	N	14	J	16	g
6	A	17	f	17	f	17	F	15	f	17	E
6	C	18	C	18	C	18	j	16	Q	18	c
6	G	19	d	19	j	19	B	17	j	19	P
7	b	20	Z	20	d	20	D	18	d	20	B
7	j	21	j	21	k	21	A	19	S	21	k
7	I	22	I	22	F	22	W	20	k	22	h
7	Z	23	b	23	b	23	e	21	b	23	J
8	B	24	S	24	S	24	g	22	F	24	O
8	g	25	k	25	D	25	I	23	I	25	M
8	D	26	B	26	I	26	S	24	B	26	f
8	S	27	W	27	B	27	X	25	W	27	W
8	X	28	a	28	a	28	b	26	a	28	l
8	W	28	D	29	W	29	H	26	D	29	Y
8	k	28	g	30	g	29	K	26	g	30	I
8	a	28	X	30	X	29	L	26	X	31	H
9	O	29	L	31	U	29	V	27	L	32	R
9	c	29	H	31	T	29	c	27	U	33	D
9	H	29	c	31	O	29	a	27	c	34	F
9	K	29	U	31	H	29	k	27	T	35	a
9	U	29	T	31	L	29	T	27	H	36	V
9	T	29	K	31	c	29	U	27	O	36	U
9	L	29	O	31	K	29	O	27	K	36	L


IC In-Degree KZ Katz PR PageRank BT Betweenness CL Closeness HU Hub

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

A. Whom would you choose to spend a free outing with?


Non reciprocal edges

$X \rightarrow Y$ in network A · not $Y \rightarrow X$ in network A



Reciprocal edges

$X \rightarrow Y$ in network A · $Y \rightarrow X$ in network A



Half symmetrical edges

$X \rightarrow Y$ in network A · $X \rightarrow Y$ in network B



Reversed half symmetrical edges

$X \rightarrow Y$ in network A · $Y \rightarrow X$ in network B



Full symmetrical edges

$X \rightarrow Y, Y \rightarrow X$ in network A · $X \rightarrow Y, Y \rightarrow X$ in network B

No edge of this type

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

A. Whom would you choose to spend a free outing with?

Cliques

Each node can reach every other node: a) without intermediaries; b) ignoring the direction of connections

4

J · Y · k · l

A · N · P · e

E · M · b · j

3

F · I · W

R · c · d

E · M · g

D · d · l

Y · d · l

B · Y · f

P · Z · e

C · P · Z

G · M · i

E · G · M

Y · h · i

F · I · N

C · E · M

N · V · e

Strongly Connected Groups

Each node can reach every other node: a) with or without intermediaries; b) following the direction of connections

22

A · C · E · G · J · M · N · P · Q · R · S · Y · Z · b · d · e · f · h · i · j · k · l

4

B · F · I · W

Weakly Connected Groups

Each node can reach every other node: a) with or without intermediaries; b) ignoring the direction of connections


36

A · B · C · D · E · F · G · H · I · J · K · M · N · O · P · Q · R · S · T · V · W · X · Y · Z · a · b · c · d · e · f · g · h · i · j · k · l

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

B. Whom would you not choose to spend a free outing with?

NN 38, NE 63, NR 4, ND 4%, NC 31%, NT 12%, NR 13%



NN Nodes NE Edges NR Reciprocal edges ND Density NC Centralization NT Transitivity NR Reciprocity

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

DEMO 2 | GROUP 1

SNA | RAW SCORES

B. Whom would you not choose to spend a free outing with?

ID	CHOICES	IC	KZ	PR	BT	CL	HU	ND
A	H, L, T	0.05	0.15	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.05	
B	O, T, g	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03	←
C	O, W, a	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.05	←
D	-	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	↔
E	-	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	↔
F	H, L, T	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.05	←
G	A	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	←
H	O, S, W	0.38	0.35	0.15	0.10	0.47	0.03	
I	H	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03	←
J	A, O, R	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	←
K	H, U, a	0.08	0.18	0.05	0.02	0.25	0.06	
L	-	0.08	0.17	0.02	0.00	0.10	0.00	→
M	H, O, X	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.06	←
N	-	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	↔
O	H, Q, U	0.32	0.31	0.14	0.13	0.42	0.04	
P	H, a, d	0.05	0.16	0.02	0.03	0.21	0.06	
Q	P, V, f	0.03	0.16	0.05	0.08	0.27	0.00	
R	-	0.03	0.14	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.00	→
S	H, O, T	0.03	0.16	0.05	0.01	0.28	0.06	
T	O	0.14	0.21	0.05	0.01	0.30	0.02	
U	-	0.05	0.18	0.06	0.00	0.29	0.00	→
V	-	0.03	0.14	0.02	0.00	0.21	0.00	→
W	H, K	0.08	0.19	0.06	0.02	0.30	0.04	
X	H, a	0.03	0.14	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.05	
Y	K, O, P	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03	←
Z	-	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	↔
a	K, T, g	0.22	0.24	0.05	0.03	0.25	0.02	
b	H, W, a	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.06	←
c	H, L	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.04	←
d	-	0.03	0.14	0.02	0.00	0.17	0.00	→
e	H, O, a	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.07	←
f	H, a	0.03	0.14	0.02	0.01	0.20	0.05	
g	-	0.05	0.16	0.03	0.00	0.21	0.00	→
h	O	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	←
i	-	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	↔
j	-	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	↔
k	O	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	←
l	O, a	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.04	←

IC In-Degree KZ Katz PR PageRank BT Betweenness CL Closeness HU Hub ND No In-Degree (←) No Out-Degree (→) No In or Out-Degree (↔)

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

DEMO 2 | GROUP 1

SNA | RANK SCORES

B. Whom would you not choose to spend a free outing with?

ID	CHOICES	IC	KZ	PR	BT	CL	HU	ND
A	H, L, T	6	13	14	8	15	8	
B	O, T, g	8	17	17	13	17	15	←
C	O, W, a	8	17	17	13	17	9	←
D	-	8	17	17	13	17	23	↔
E	-	8	17	17	13	17	23	↔
F	H, L, T	8	17	17	13	17	8	←
G	A	8	17	17	13	17	22	←
H	O, S, W	1	1	1	2	1	16	
I	H	8	17	17	13	17	14	←
J	A, O, R	8	17	17	13	17	18	←
K	H, U, a	5	6	7	7	8	4	
L	-	5	8	13	13	14	23	→
M	H, O, X	8	17	17	13	17	5	←
N	-	8	17	17	13	17	23	↔
O	H, Q, U	2	2	2	1	2	12	
P	H, a, d	6	12	11	5	10	6	
Q	P, V, f	7	11	9	3	7	21	
R	-	7	16	16	13	16	23	→
S	H, O, T	7	10	6	11	6	2	
T	O	4	4	8	9	3	19	
U	-	6	7	3	13	5	23	→
V	-	7	14	12	13	9	23	→
W	H, K	5	5	4	6	4	13	
X	H, a	7	16	16	12	16	7	
Y	K, O, P	8	17	17	13	17	17	←
Z	-	8	17	17	13	17	23	↔
a	K, T, g	3	3	5	4	8	20	
b	H, W, a	8	17	17	13	17	3	←
c	H, L	8	17	17	13	17	11	←
d	-	7	15	15	13	13	23	→
e	H, O, a	8	17	17	13	17	1	←
f	H, a	7	14	12	10	12	7	
g	-	6	9	10	13	11	23	→
h	O	8	17	17	13	17	19	←
i	-	8	17	17	13	17	23	↔
j	-	8	17	17	13	17	23	↔
k	O	8	17	17	13	17	19	←
l	O, a	8	17	17	13	17	10	←

IC In-Degree KZ Katz PR PageRank BT Betweenness CL Closeness HU Hub ND No In-Degree (←) No Out-Degree (→) No In or Out-Degree (↔)

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

B. Whom would you not choose to spend a free outing with?

RANK	IC	RANK	KZ	RANK	PR	RANK	BT	RANK	CL	RANK	HU
1	H	1	H	1	H	1	O	1	H	1	e
2	O	2	O	2	O	2	H	2	O	2	S
3	a	3	a	3	U	3	Q	3	T	3	b
4	T	4	T	4	W	4	a	4	W	4	K
5	W	5	W	5	a	5	P	5	U	5	M
5	K	6	K	6	S	6	W	6	S	6	P
5	L	7	U	7	K	7	K	7	Q	7	f
6	A	8	L	8	T	8	A	8	a	7	X
6	g	9	g	9	Q	9	T	8	K	8	A
6	U	10	S	10	g	10	f	9	V	8	F
6	P	11	Q	11	P	11	S	10	P	9	C
7	f	12	P	12	f	12	X	11	g	10	l
7	d	13	A	12	V	13	L	12	f	11	c
7	X	14	f	13	L	13	j	13	d	12	O
7	V	14	V	14	A	13	i	14	L	13	W
7	R	15	d	15	d	13	h	15	A	14	I
7	S	16	R	16	R	13	g	16	R	15	B
7	Q	16	X	16	X	13	B	16	X	16	H
8	N	17	N	17	M	13	e	17	M	17	Y
8	j	17	j	17	j	13	d	17	j	18	J
8	i	17	i	17	i	13	c	17	i	19	k
8	h	17	h	17	h	13	b	17	h	19	h
8	B	17	B	17	B	13	C	17	B	19	T
8	C	17	e	17	C	13	Z	17	C	20	a
8	e	17	c	17	e	13	Y	17	e	21	Q
8	D	17	b	17	c	13	D	17	D	22	G
8	c	17	Z	17	b	13	V	17	c	23	U
8	b	17	M	17	D	13	U	17	E	23	j
8	Z	17	Y	17	Z	13	E	17	N	23	i
8	Y	17	D	17	Y	13	k	17	Z	23	g
8	F	17	E	17	E	13	R	17	Y	23	L
8	G	17	F	17	F	13	F	17	F	23	N
8	I	17	k	17	G	13	G	17	G	23	D
8	J	17	G	17	I	13	I	17	I	23	Z
8	M	17	I	17	k	13	N	17	J	23	R
8	k	17	J	17	J	13	M	17	k	23	V
8	E	17	C	17	N	13	J	17	b	23	d
8	l	17	l	17	l	13	l	17	l	23	E

IC In-Degree KZ Katz PR PageRank BT Betweenness CL Closeness HU Hub

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

B. Whom would you not choose to spend a free outing with?

Non reciprocal edges

$X \rightarrow Y$ in network B · not $Y \rightarrow X$ in network B

- A · H

A · L

A · T

B · O

B · T

B · g

C · O

C · W

C · a

F · H

F · L

F · T

G · A

I · H

J · A
- J · O

J · R

K · H

K · U

M · H

M · O

M · X

O · Q

O · U

P · H

P · a

P · d

Q · P

Q · V

Q · f
- S · O

S · T

T · O

W · K

X · H

X · a

Y · K

Y · O

Y · P

a · T

a · g

b · H

b · W

b · a

c · H
- c · L

e · H

e · O

e · a

f · H

f · a

h · O

k · O

l · O

l · a

Reciprocal edges

$X \rightarrow Y$ in network B · $Y \rightarrow X$ in network B

- H · O

H · S

H · W

K · a

Half symmetrical edges

$X \rightarrow Y$ in network B · $X \rightarrow Y$ in network A

- Q · V

Q · f

Reversed half symmetrical edges

$X \rightarrow Y$ in network B · $Y \rightarrow X$ in network A

- Q · f

Full symmetrical edges

$X \rightarrow Y, Y \rightarrow X$ in network B · $X \rightarrow Y, Y \rightarrow X$ in network A

No edge of this type

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

B. Whom would you not choose to spend a free outing with?

Cliques

Each node can reach every other node: a) without intermediaries; b) ignoring the direction of connections

3B · O · T

H · M · O

H · O · e

H · O · S

H · K · W

H · W · b

H · M · X

O · S · T

Strongly Connected Groups

Each node can reach every other node: a) with or without intermediaries; b) following the direction of connections

10H · K · O · P · Q · S · T · W · a · f

Weakly Connected Groups

Each node can reach every other node: a) with or without intermediaries; b) ignoring the direction of connections

32A · B · C · F · G · H · I · J · K · L · M · O · P · Q · R · S · T · U · V · W · X · Y · a · b · c · d · e · f · g · h · k · l

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

A. Whom would you choose to spend a free outing with?

ID	Count	Min	Max	Median	Mean	SD	CV	SK	KT	P25	P75
In degree Centrality	38.00	0.00	0.24	0.07	0.07	0.06	1.49	0.80	0.28	0.03	0.11
PageRank Centrality	38.00	0.01	0.07	0.02	0.03	0.02	1.61	0.62	-0.78	0.01	0.04
Katz Centrality	38.00	0.12	0.25	0.16	0.16	0.04	1.15	0.68	-0.13	0.13	0.18
Betweenness Centrality	38.00	0.00	0.14	0.02	0.03	0.04	1.51	1.24	0.59	0.00	0.05
Closeness Centrality	38.00	0.00	0.42	0.25	0.19	0.14	1.57	-0.23	-1.59	0.03	0.30
Hub Centrality	38.00	0.00	0.08	0.02	0.03	0.03	1.35	1.09	-0.30	0.01	0.04

Min Minumum value **Max** Maximum value **SD** Standard deviation **CV** Coefficient of variation **SK** Skewness **KT** Kurtosis **P25** 25° percentile **P75** 75° percentile

B. Whom would you not choose to spend a free outing with?

ID	Count	Min	Max	Median	Mean	SD	CV	SK	KT	P25	P75
In degree Centrality	38.00	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.04	0.09	1.21	2.83	8.08	0.00	0.05
PageRank Centrality	38.00	0.01	0.15	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.99	2.65	7.45	0.01	0.03
Katz Centrality	38.00	0.13	0.35	0.13	0.15	0.05	1.04	2.72	7.73	0.13	0.16
Betweenness Centrality	38.00	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.61	3.02	8.82	0.00	0.01
Closeness Centrality	38.00	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.11	0.14	1.95	0.99	-0.21	0.00	0.21
Hub Centrality	38.00	0.00	0.07	0.02	0.03	0.02	1.85	0.24	-1.34	0.00	0.05

Min Minumum value **Max** Maximum value **SD** Standard deviation **CV** Coefficient of variation **SK** Skewness **KT** Kurtosis **P25** 25° percentile **P75** 75° percentile

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

DEMO 2 | GROUP 1

SOCIOGRAM

ID	RP	RR	GP	GR	MP	MR	BL	OR	IM	AI	II	ST
A	3	2	3	3	2	0	1	0	5	1	5	ambitendent
B	1	0	3	3	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	marginal
C	3	0	3	3	0	0	3	0	3	3	3	appreciated
D	1	0	3	0	1	0	1	3	1	4	2	marginal
E	5	0	3	0	1	0	5	3	5	8	6	popular
F	4	0	3	3	2	0	4	0	4	4	6	appreciated
G	3	0	3	1	2	0	3	2	3	5	5	appreciated
H	0	14	3	3	0	3	-14	0	14	-14	0	rejected
I	2	0	3	1	2	0	2	2	2	4	4	appreciated
J	4	0	3	3	3	0	4	0	4	4	7	appreciated
K	0	3	3	3	0	1	-3	0	3	-3	0	disliked
L	0	3	0	0	0	0	-3	0	3	-3	0	disliked
M	7	0	3	3	3	0	7	0	7	7	10	popular
N	4	0	3	0	0	0	4	3	4	7	4	appreciated
O	0	12	2	3	0	1	-12	-1	12	-13	0	rejected
P	9	2	3	3	2	0	7	0	11	7	11	popular
Q	3	1	3	3	1	0	2	0	4	2	4	appreciated
R	5	1	3	0	2	0	4	3	6	7	7	appreciated
S	1	1	3	3	1	1	0	0	2	0	2	ambitendent
T	0	5	3	1	0	0	-5	2	5	-3	0	rejected
U	0	2	0	0	0	0	-2	0	2	-2	0	disliked
V	6	1	0	0	0	0	5	0	7	5	6	popular
W	1	3	3	2	1	1	-2	1	4	-1	2	disliked
X	1	1	3	2	1	0	0	1	2	1	2	ambitendent
Y	7	0	3	3	2	0	7	0	7	7	9	popular
Z	2	0	3	0	1	0	2	3	2	5	3	appreciated
a	1	8	1	3	1	1	-7	-2	9	-9	2	rejected
b	2	0	3	3	1	0	2	0	2	2	3	appreciated
c	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	marginal
d	3	1	3	0	1	0	2	3	4	5	4	appreciated
e	4	0	3	3	2	0	4	0	4	4	6	appreciated
f	3	1	3	2	2	0	2	1	4	3	5	appreciated
g	1	2	3	0	1	0	-1	3	3	2	2	ambitendent
h	4	0	3	1	2	0	4	2	4	6	6	appreciated
i	4	0	3	0	1	0	4	3	4	7	5	appreciated
j	2	0	3	0	2	0	2	3	2	5	4	appreciated
k	1	0	3	1	1	0	1	2	1	3	2	marginal
l	5	0	3	2	2	0	5	1	5	6	7	popular

RP Received preferences **RR** Received rejections **GP** Given preferences **GR** Given rejections **MP** Mutual preferences **MR** Mutual rejections **BL** Balance **OR** Orientation **IM** Impact **AI** Affiliation index **II** Influence index **ST** Sociometric status

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

DEMO 2 | GROUP 1

SOCIOGRAM | NODES ORDERED BY METRIC

RANK	BL	RANK	IM	RANK	AI	RANK	II	RANK	ST
1	P	1	H	1	E	1	P	popular	E
1	M	2	O	2	M	2	M	popular	M
1	Y	3	P	2	R	3	Y	popular	P
2	l	4	a	2	P	4	l	popular	V
2	V	5	Y	2	N	4	J	popular	Y
2	E	5	V	2	Y	4	R	popular	l
3	F	5	M	2	i	5	h	appreciated	C
3	R	6	R	3	h	5	E	appreciated	F
3	e	7	A	3	l	5	F	appreciated	G
3	i	7	T	4	j	5	e	appreciated	I
3	N	7	l	4	V	5	V	appreciated	J
3	h	7	E	4	d	6	i	appreciated	N
3	J	8	J	4	Z	6	f	appreciated	Q
4	C	8	N	4	G	6	A	appreciated	R
4	G	8	i	5	D	6	G	appreciated	Z
5	I	8	h	5	I	7	j	appreciated	b
5	d	8	Q	5	e	7	Q	appreciated	d
5	j	8	F	5	F	7	I	appreciated	e
5	Q	8	f	5	J	7	d	appreciated	f
5	b	8	e	6	f	7	N	appreciated	h
5	Z	8	W	6	C	8	Z	appreciated	i
5	f	8	d	6	k	8	C	appreciated	j
6	A	9	L	7	Q	8	b	ambitendent	A
6	k	9	g	7	g	9	B	ambitendent	S
6	D	9	C	7	b	9	g	ambitendent	X
6	B	9	G	8	c	9	D	ambitendent	g
7	X	9	K	8	A	9	S	marginal	B
7	c	10	Z	8	X	9	X	marginal	D
7	S	10	b	8	B	9	W	marginal	c
8	g	10	X	9	S	9	k	marginal	k
9	U	10	U	10	W	9	a	disliked	K
9	W	10	I	11	U	10	H	disliked	L
10	L	10	j	12	T	10	c	disliked	U
10	K	10	S	12	L	10	U	disliked	W
11	T	11	B	12	K	10	T	rejected	H
12	a	11	D	13	a	10	K	rejected	O
13	O	11	k	14	O	10	L	rejected	T
14	H	12	c	15	H	10	O	rejected	a


RP Received preferences RR Received rejections GP Given preferences GR Given rejections BL Balance IM Impact AI Affiliation index II Influence index

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

DEMO 2 | GROUP 1


SOCIOGRAM | GRAPH

Influence index



This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

Affiliation index



This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

DEMO 2 | GROUP 1

SOCIOGRAM | DESCRIPTIVE

Type I cohesion index : 43.14% Type II cohesion index : 0.58
Type I conflict index : 12.70% Type II conflict index : 0.11

ID	Count	Min	Max	Median	Mean	SD	CV	SK	KT	P25	P75
Received preferences	38.00	0.00	9.00	2.50	2.68	2.27	1.49	0.80	0.28	1.00	4.00
Received rejections	38.00	0.00	14.00	0.00	1.66	3.18	1.21	2.83	8.08	0.00	2.00
Given Preferences	38.00	0.00	3.00	3.00	2.68	0.87	1.12	-2.66	5.73	3.00	3.00
Given rejections	38.00	0.00	3.00	2.00	1.66	1.32	1.81	-0.21	-1.76	0.00	3.00
Mutual preferences	38.00	0.00	3.00	1.00	1.16	0.89	1.73	0.17	-0.83	0.25	2.00
Mutual rejections	38.00	0.00	3.00	0.00	0.21	0.58	0.00	3.55	14.69	0.00	0.00
Balance	38.00	-14.00	7.00	2.00	1.03	4.61	3.90	-1.61	3.18	0.00	4.00
Orientation	38.00	-2.00	3.00	0.50	1.03	1.38	1.95	0.21	-1.01	0.00	2.00
Impact	38.00	0.00	14.00	4.00	4.34	3.05	1.15	1.52	2.49	2.00	5.00
Affiliation index	38.00	-14.00	8.00	3.50	2.05	5.19	2.44	-1.67	2.92	1.00	5.00
Influence index	38.00	0.00	11.00	4.00	3.84	2.88	1.56	0.52	-0.12	2.00	6.00

Min Minimum value **Max** Maximum value **SD** Standard deviation **CV** Coefficient of variation **SK** Skewness **KT** Kurtosis **P25** 25° percentile **P75** 75° percentile