


This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

GROUP 1 - DEMO

RANK SCORES

A. Who would you like in your ideal work group?




NN 8, NE 14, ND 25%, NC 38%, NT 57%, NR 29%

| ID | CHOICES | IC | PR | BT | CL | HU | ND |
|----|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| A  | F, H    | 1  | 1  | 3  | 1  | 4  |    |
| B  | F, H    | 5  | 7  | 7  | 6  | 4  | ←  |
| C  | -       | 5  | 7  | 7  | 6  | 5  | ⇌  |
| D  | A, G    | 3  | 4  | 2  | 3  | 3  |    |
| E  | A, D    | 4  | 6  | 5  | 5  | 1  |    |
| F  | A, D    | 2  | 2  | 1  | 2  | 1  |    |
| G  | A, E    | 4  | 5  | 4  | 4  | 3  |    |
| H  | A, F    | 3  | 3  | 6  | 3  | 2  |    |

NN Nodes NE Edges ND Density NC Centralization NT Transitivity NR Reciprocity IC In-Degree PR PageRank BT Betweenness CL Closeness HU Hub ND No In-Degree (←) No Out-Degree (→) No In or Out-Degree (⇌) Very low Low High Very high

B. Who would you not want in your ideal work group?




NN 8, NE 16, ND 29%, NC 38%, NT 44%, NR 50%

| ID | CHOICES | IC | PR | BT | CL | HU | ND |
|----|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| A  | B, D    | 6  | 7  | 5  | 7  | 2  | ←  |
| B  | D, G    | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 7  |    |
| C  | B, E    | 2  | 3  | 2  | 2  | 3  |    |
| D  | B, H    | 3  | 2  | 2  | 3  | 5  |    |
| E  | B, C    | 4  | 5  | 4  | 5  | 1  |    |
| F  | C, E    | 6  | 7  | 5  | 7  | 6  | ←  |
| G  | B, C    | 5  | 4  | 3  | 4  | 1  |    |
| H  | D, C    | 5  | 6  | 3  | 6  | 4  |    |

NN Nodes NE Edges ND Density NC Centralization NT Transitivity NR Reciprocity IC In-Degree PR PageRank BT Betweenness CL Closeness HU Hub ND No In-Degree (←) No Out-Degree (→) No In or Out-Degree (⇌) Very low Low High Very high

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

A. Who would you like in your ideal work group?




NN 8, NE 14, ND 25%, NC 38%, NT 57%, NR 29%

| ID | CHOICES | IC   | PR   | BT   | CL   | HU   | ND |
|----|---------|------|------|------|------|------|----|
| A  | F, H    | 0.71 | 0.30 | 0.17 | 0.73 | 0.07 |    |
| B  | F, H    | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | ←  |
| C  | -       | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | ⇌  |
| D  | A, G    | 0.29 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.51 | 0.15 |    |
| E  | A, D    | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.29 | 0.19 |    |
| F  | A, D    | 0.43 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.57 | 0.19 |    |
| G  | A, E    | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.37 | 0.15 |    |
| H  | A, F    | 0.29 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.51 | 0.18 |    |

**NN** Nodes **NE** Edges **ND** Density **NC** Centralization **NT** Transitivity **NR** Reciprocity **IC** In-Degree **PR** PageRank **BT** Betweenness **CL** Closeness **HU** Hub **ND** No In-Degree (← ) No Out-Degree (→) No In or Out-Degree (⇌)

B. Who would you not want in your ideal work group?



NN 8, NE 16, ND 29%, NC 38%, NT 44%, NR 50%

| ID | CHOICES | IC   | PR   | BT   | CL   | HU   | ND |
|----|---------|------|------|------|------|------|----|
| A  | B, D    | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | ←  |
| B  | D, G    | 0.71 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.78 | 0.05 |    |
| C  | B, E    | 0.57 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.64 | 0.13 |    |
| D  | B, H    | 0.43 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.58 | 0.11 |    |
| E  | B, C    | 0.29 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.44 | 0.17 |    |
| F  | C, E    | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 | ←  |
| G  | B, C    | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.47 | 0.17 |    |
| H  | D, C    | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.39 | 0.12 |    |

**NN** Nodes **NE** Edges **ND** Density **NC** Centralization **NT** Transitivity **NR** Reciprocity **IC** In-Degree **PR** PageRank **BT** Betweenness **CL** Closeness **HU** Hub **ND** No In-Degree (← ) No Out-Degree (→) No In or Out-Degree (⇌)