


This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

A. Who would you choose to spend a free time outing with?

NN 29, NE 85, ND 10%, NC 12%, NT 58%, NR 68%



NN Nodes NE Edges ND Density NC Centralization NT Transitivity NR Reciprocity

Authors: Dr. Pierpaolo CALANNA, PhD, Dr. Gaetano BUONAIUTO (2021-2025), **License of use:** the layout of this report, the customization of charts, as well as the selection of quantitative indices, are subject to copyright.

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

GROUP 1 - DEMO 2

SNA RAW SCORES

A. Who would you choose to spend a free time outing with?

ID	CHOICES	IC	PR	BT	CL	HU	ND
A	D, V, Y	0.25	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.05	
B	P, U, b	0.07	0.01	0.02	0.10	0.02	
C	P	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	←
D	A, R, T	0.21	0.08	0.14	0.34	0.07	
E	A, D, T	0.07	0.01	0.02	0.17	0.08	
F	H, K, b	0.11	0.06	0.00	0.16	0.02	
G	D, P, b	0.04	0.01	0.07	0.07	0.04	
H	F, K, b	0.14	0.06	0.00	0.18	0.02	
I	A, V, Y	0.14	0.04	0.02	0.23	0.06	
J	I, Q, c	0.07	0.02	0.03	0.17	0.03	
K	F, H, b	0.11	0.06	0.00	0.16	0.02	
L	A, E, V	0.11	0.02	0.04	0.21	0.05	
M	Q, S, Y	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03	←
N	D, R, T	0.07	0.04	0.00	0.21	0.06	
O	S, W, a	0.11	0.04	0.00	0.13	0.01	
P	B, G, b	0.11	0.02	0.07	0.11	0.02	
Q	I, J, Y	0.14	0.03	0.05	0.22	0.04	
R	D, N, T	0.14	0.06	0.02	0.26	0.05	
S	O, W, a	0.14	0.04	0.00	0.15	0.01	
T	D, N, R	0.14	0.06	0.01	0.27	0.05	
U	B, H, b	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.06	0.02	
V	A, I, L	0.11	0.05	0.05	0.26	0.05	
W	O, S, a	0.11	0.04	0.00	0.13	0.01	
X	E, L, a	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	←
Y	A, I, Q	0.18	0.05	0.08	0.27	0.05	
Z	L, Q, Y	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03	←
a	O, S, W	0.14	0.04	0.00	0.15	0.01	
b	F, H, K	0.25	0.07	0.02	0.25	0.02	
c	A, J, R	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.14	0.06	

IC In-Degree PR PageRank BT Betweenness CL Closeness HU Hub ND No In-Degree (←) No Out-Degree (→) No In or Out-Degree (↔)

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

GROUP 1 - DEMO 2

SNA RANK SCORES

A. Who would you choose to spend a free time outing with?

ID	CHOICES	IC	PR	BT	CL	HU	ND
A	D, V, Y	1	1	1	1	7	
B	P, U, b	6	21	10	19	20	
C	P	8	25	20	22	27	←
D	A, R, T	2	2	2	2	2	
E	A, D, T	6	20	12	13	1	
F	H, K, b	5	6	20	14	17	
G	D, P, b	7	22	4	20	12	
H	F, K, b	4	4	18	11	19	
I	A, V, Y	4	11	11	7	4	
J	I, Q, c	6	19	9	12	16	
K	F, H, b	5	5	20	14	17	
L	A, E, V	5	17	8	10	11	
M	Q, S, Y	8	25	20	22	15	←
N	D, R, T	6	15	20	9	3	
O	S, W, a	5	14	20	17	24	
P	B, G, b	5	18	5	18	21	
Q	I, J, Y	4	16	7	8	13	
R	D, N, T	4	8	14	5	8	
S	O, W, a	4	13	17	15	26	
T	D, N, R	4	7	15	4	9	
U	B, H, b	7	24	19	21	18	
V	A, I, L	5	10	6	5	10	
W	O, S, a	5	14	20	17	24	
X	E, L, a	8	25	20	22	23	←
Y	A, I, Q	3	9	3	3	6	
Z	L, Q, Y	8	25	20	22	14	←
a	O, S, W	4	12	17	15	25	
b	F, H, K	1	3	13	6	22	
c	A, J, R	7	23	16	16	5	

IC In-Degree PR PageRank BT Betweenness CL Closeness HU Hub ND No In-Degree (←) No Out-Degree (→) No In or Out-Degree (↔) Very low Low High Very high

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

GROUP 1 - DEMO 2

SNA NODES ORDERED BY RANKS

A. Who would you choose to spend a free time outing with?

RANK	IC	RANK	PR	RANK	BT	RANK	CL	RANK	HU
1	A	1	A	1	A	1	A	1	E
1	b	2	D	2	D	2	D	2	D
2	D	3	b	3	Y	3	Y	3	N
3	Y	4	H	4	G	4	T	4	I
4	H	5	K	5	P	5	R	5	c
4	I	6	F	6	V	5	V	6	Y
4	Q	7	T	7	Q	6	b	7	A
4	R	8	R	8	L	7	I	8	R
4	S	9	Y	9	J	8	Q	9	T
4	T	10	V	10	B	9	N	10	V
4	a	11	I	11	I	10	L	11	L
5	F	12	a	12	E	11	H	12	G
5	K	13	S	13	b	12	J	13	Q
5	L	14	O	14	R	13	E	14	Z
5	O	14	W	15	T	14	F	15	M
5	P	15	N	16	c	14	K	16	J
5	V	16	Q	17	S	15	S	17	F
5	W	17	L	17	a	15	a	17	K
6	B	18	P	18	H	16	c	18	U
6	E	19	J	19	U	17	O	19	H
6	J	20	E	20	C	17	W	20	B
6	N	21	B	20	F	18	P	21	P
7	G	22	G	20	K	19	B	22	b
7	U	23	c	20	M	20	G	23	X
7	c	24	U	20	N	21	U	24	O
8	C	25	C	20	O	22	C	24	W
8	M	25	M	20	W	22	M	25	a
8	X	25	X	20	X	22	X	26	S
8	Z	25	Z	20	Z	22	Z	27	C

IC In-Degree PR PageRank BT Betweenness CL Closeness HU Hub

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

GROUP 1 - DEMO 2

SNA EDGES GROUPED BY TYPE

A. Who would you choose to spend a free time outing with?

Non reciprocal edges

$X \rightarrow Y$ in network A · not $Y \rightarrow X$ in network A

- B · b

C · P

E · A

E · D

E · T

G · D

G · b

I · A

J · I

L · A

L · E

M · Q

M · S

M · Y

N · D

P · b
- Q · I

U · H

U · b

X · E

X · L

X · a

Z · L

Z · Q

Z · Y

c · A

c · R

Reciprocal edges

$X \rightarrow Y$ in network A · $Y \rightarrow X$ in network A

- A · D

A · V

A · Y

B · P

B · U

D · R

D · T

F · H

F · K

F · b

G · P

H · K

H · b

I · V

I · Y

J · Q
- J · c

K · b

L · V

N · R

N · T

O · S

O · W

O · a

Q · Y

R · T

S · W

S · a

W · a

Half symmetrical edges

$X \rightarrow Y$ in network A · $X \rightarrow Y$ in network B

- D · R

G · b

M · Q

N · R

N · T

O · S

O · W

P · b

U · b

Reversed half symmetrical edges

$X \rightarrow Y$ in network A · $Y \rightarrow X$ in network B

- E · A

H · F

H · K

J · c

Q · I

R · D

R · N

S · O

S · a

T · N

T · R

W · O

W · a

Z · Y

a · O

b · F
- b · H

b · K

Full symmetrical edges

$X \rightarrow Y, Y \rightarrow X$ in network A · $X \rightarrow Y, Y \rightarrow X$ in network B

- F · H

F · b

H · b

K · b


O · a

R · T

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

A. Who would you choose to spend a free time outing with?


Connected components



This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

B. Who would you choose to organize a study group?

NN 29, NE 81, ND 10%, NC 31%, NT 32%, NR 25%



NN Nodes NE Edges ND Density NC Centralization NT Transitivity NR Reciprocity

Authors: Dr. Pierpaolo CALANNA, PhD, Dr. Gaetano BUONAIUTO (2021-2025), **License of use:** the layout of this report, the customization of charts, as well as the selection of quantitative indices, are subject to copyright.

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

GROUP 1 - DEMO 2

SNA RAW SCORES

B. Who would you choose to organize a study group?

ID	CHOICES	IC	PR	BT	CL	HU	ND
A	E, P, R	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03	←
B	D, R	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03	←
C	-	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	↔
D	R, S, W	0.11	0.03	0.01	0.32	0.04	
E	O, R, b	0.07	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.05	
F	H, Q, b	0.25	0.10	0.04	0.44	0.05	
G	H, L, b	0.11	0.01	0.01	0.11	0.04	
H	F, O, b	0.39	0.12	0.09	0.51	0.04	
I	O, Q, R	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.33	0.04	
J	O, S, W	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.02	
K	H, W, b	0.11	0.04	0.02	0.37	0.05	
L	F, G, H	0.07	0.01	0.00	0.11	0.04	
M	H, K, Q	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.04	←
N	D, R, T	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03	←
O	S, W, a	0.21	0.07	0.10	0.49	0.01	
P	H, R, b	0.11	0.04	0.02	0.33	0.06	
Q	F, H, R	0.14	0.06	0.04	0.43	0.06	
R	S, T, W	0.43	0.09	0.10	0.51	0.01	
S	D, P, Q	0.18	0.07	0.08	0.43	0.01	
T	O, P, R	0.07	0.03	0.02	0.34	0.04	
U	b	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	←
V	F, G, R	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.04	←
W	I, R, b	0.21	0.08	0.08	0.47	0.04	
X	E, G, H	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03	←
Y	F, H, Z	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.04	←
Z	F, H, L	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.04	
a	O, S, W	0.04	0.02	0.00	0.33	0.02	
b	F, H, K	0.29	0.12	0.07	0.53	0.04	
c	J, K, R	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03	←

IC In-Degree PR PageRank BT Betweenness CL Closeness HU Hub ND No In-Degree (←) No Out-Degree (→) No In or Out-Degree (↔)





This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

GROUP 1 - DEMO 2

SNA RANK SCORES

B. Who would you choose to organize a study group?

ID	CHOICES	IC	PR	BT	CL	HU	ND
A	E, P, R	11	19	19	16	19	←
B	D, R	11	19	19	16	22	←
C	-	11	19	19	16	28	↔
D	R, S, W	8	12	13	12	17	
E	O, R, b	9	17	12	14	3	
F	H, Q, b	4	3	8	5	5	
G	H, L, b	8	15	14	13	7	
H	F, O, b	2	2	3	2	11	
I	O, Q, R	10	13	16	11	14	
J	O, S, W	10	18	15	15	23	
K	H, W, b	8	9	11	8	4	
L	F, G, H	9	16	17	13	6	
M	H, K, Q	11	19	19	16	16	←
N	D, R, T	11	19	19	16	21	←
O	S, W, a	5	6	2	3	27	
P	H, R, b	8	10	10	11	1	
Q	F, H, R	7	8	7	7	2	
R	S, T, W	1	4	1	2	25	
S	D, P, Q	6	7	4	6	26	
T	O, P, R	9	11	9	9	15	
U	b	11	19	19	16	24	←
V	F, G, R	11	19	19	16	9	←
W	I, R, b	5	5	5	4	12	
X	E, G, H	11	19	19	16	18	←
Y	F, H, Z	11	19	19	16	13	←
Z	F, H, L	10	18	18	15	10	
a	O, S, W	10	14	19	10	23	
b	F, H, K	3	1	6	1	8	
c	J, K, R	11	19	19	16	20	←

IC In-Degree **PR** PageRank **BT** Betweenness **CL** Closeness **HU** Hub **ND** No In-Degree (←) No Out-Degree (→) No In or Out-Degree (↔)  Very low  Low  High  Very high

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

GROUP 1 - DEMO 2

SNA NODES ORDERED BY RANKS

B. Who would you choose to organize a study group?

RANK	IC	RANK	PR	RANK	BT	RANK	CL	RANK	HU
1	R	1	b	1	R	1	b	1	P
2	H	2	H	2	O	2	H	2	Q
3	b	3	F	3	H	2	R	3	E
4	F	4	R	4	S	3	O	4	K
5	O	5	W	5	W	4	W	5	F
5	W	6	O	6	b	5	F	6	L
6	S	7	S	7	Q	6	S	7	G
7	Q	8	Q	8	F	7	Q	8	b
8	D	9	K	9	T	8	K	9	V
8	G	10	P	10	P	9	T	10	Z
8	K	11	T	11	K	10	a	11	H
8	P	12	D	12	E	11	I	12	W
9	E	13	I	13	D	11	P	13	Y
9	L	14	a	14	G	12	D	14	I
9	T	15	G	15	J	13	G	15	T
10	I	16	L	16	I	13	L	16	M
10	J	17	E	17	L	14	E	17	D
10	Z	18	J	18	Z	15	J	18	X
10	a	18	Z	19	A	15	Z	19	A
11	A	19	A	19	B	16	A	20	c
11	B	19	B	19	C	16	B	21	N
11	C	19	C	19	M	16	C	22	B
11	M	19	M	19	N	16	M	23	J
11	N	19	N	19	U	16	N	23	a
11	U	19	U	19	V	16	U	24	U
11	V	19	V	19	X	16	V	25	R
11	X	19	X	19	Y	16	X	26	S
11	Y	19	Y	19	a	16	Y	27	O
11	c	19	c	19	c	16	c	28	C

IC In-Degree PR PageRank BT Betweenness CL Closeness HU Hub

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

GROUP 1 - DEMO 2

SNA EDGES GROUPED BY TYPE

B. Who would you choose to organize a study group?

Non reciprocal edges

$X \rightarrow Y$ in network B · not $Y \rightarrow X$ in network B

- A · E
- A · P
- A · R
- B · D
- B · R
- D · R
- D · W
- E · O
- E · R
- E · b
- G · H
- G · b
- H · O
- I · O
- I · Q
- I · R
- J · O
- J · S
- J · W
- K · H
- K · W
- L · F
- L · H
- M · H
- M · K
- M · Q
- N · D
- N · R
- N · T
- O · S
- O · W
- P · H
- P · R
- P · b
- Q · H
- Q · R
- R · S
- S · P
- S · Q
- T · O
- T · P
- U · b
- V · F
- V · G
- V · R
- W · I
- W · b
- X · E
- X · G
- X · H
- Y · F
- Y · H
- Y · Z
- Z · F
- Z · H
- Z · L
- a · S
- a · W
- c · J
- c · K
- c · R

Reciprocal edges

$X \rightarrow Y$ in network B · $Y \rightarrow X$ in network B

- D · S
- F · H
- F · Q
- F · b
- G · L
- H · b
- K · b
- O · a
- R · T
- R · W

Half symmetrical edges

$X \rightarrow Y$ in network B · $X \rightarrow Y$ in network A

- D · R
- G · b
- M · Q
- N · R
- N · T
- O · S
- O · W
- P · b
- U · b

Reversed half symmetrical edges

$X \rightarrow Y$ in network B · $Y \rightarrow X$ in network A

- A · E
- D · R
- H · F
- I · Q
- K · H
- N · R
- N · T
- O · S
- O · W
- T · R
- Y · Z
- a · O
- a · S
- a · W
- b · F
- b · H
- b · K
- c · J

Full symmetrical edges

$X \rightarrow Y, Y \rightarrow X$ in network B · $X \rightarrow Y, Y \rightarrow X$ in network A

- F · H
- F · b
- H · b
- K · b
- O · a
- R · T

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

B. Who would you choose to organize a study group?

Connected components

