


This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

A. Whom would you choose to spend a free outing with?

NN 38, NE 102, NR 22, ND 7%, NC 17%, NT 28%, NR 43%



NN Nodes **NE** Edges **NR** Reciprocal edges **ND** Density **NC** Centralization **NT** Transitivity **NR** Reciprocity

Authors: Dr. Pierpaolo CALANNA, PhD, Dr. Gaetano BUONAIUTO (2021-2025), **License of use:** the layout of this report, the customization of charts, as well as the selection of quantitative indices, are subject to copyright.

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

A. Whom would you choose to spend a free outing with?

ID	CHOICES	IC	PR	BT	CL	HU	ND
A	N, P, e	0.08	0.04	0.01	0.30	0.05	
B	F, Y, f	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.06	0.02	
C	M, P, V	0.08	0.03	0.05	0.28	0.08	
D	a, d, l	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	
E	C, G, M	0.14	0.04	0.05	0.28	0.02	
F	B, I, N	0.11	0.02	0.02	0.11	0.01	
G	M, f, i	0.08	0.04	0.08	0.29	0.02	
H	C, J, Q	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	←
I	F, N, W	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.01	
J	Y, k, l	0.11	0.04	0.03	0.28	0.01	
K	P, R, h	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.06	←
L	-	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	↔
M	E, G, j	0.19	0.06	0.11	0.35	0.01	
N	P, V, e	0.11	0.03	0.03	0.32	0.08	
O	A, i	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	←
P	A, Z, e	0.24	0.07	0.12	0.42	0.02	
Q	P, V, f	0.08	0.03	0.05	0.27	0.07	
R	N, S, d	0.14	0.03	0.08	0.29	0.01	
S	P, R, V	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.22	0.08	
T	P, b, h	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.05	←
U	-	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	↔
V	-	0.16	0.05	0.00	0.40	0.00	→
W	E, F, I	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.01	
X	P, V, g	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.07	
Y	J, i, l	0.19	0.06	0.13	0.37	0.01	
Z	C, e, h	0.05	0.03	0.08	0.31	0.03	
a	D	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.00	
b	E, M, j	0.05	0.01	0.00	0.21	0.02	
c	F, R, d	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	←
d	R, Y, l	0.08	0.02	0.03	0.23	0.02	
e	A, P, V	0.11	0.06	0.01	0.34	0.07	
f	G, Q, Y	0.08	0.03	0.05	0.28	0.01	
g	E, M, X	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.02	
h	Y, Z, i	0.11	0.03	0.07	0.31	0.01	
i	M, R, h	0.11	0.05	0.14	0.35	0.04	
j	E, M, b	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.26	0.02	
k	J, Y, l	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.22	0.01	
l	J, Q, Y	0.14	0.05	0.05	0.29	0.01	

IC In-Degree PR PageRank BT Betweenness CL Closeness HU Hub ND No In-Degree (←) No Out-Degree (→) No In or Out-Degree (↔)

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

A. Whom would you choose to spend a free outing with?

ID	CHOICES	IC	PR	BT	CL	HU	ND
A	N, P, e	6	10	21	10	9	
B	F, Y, f	8	27	19	24	20	
C	M, P, V	6	18	12	13	1	
D	a, d, l	8	25	20	26	33	
E	C, G, M	4	11	11	13	17	
F	B, I, N	5	22	17	22	34	
G	M, f, i	6	9	6	12	12	
H	C, J, Q	9	31	29	27	31	←
I	F, N, W	7	26	25	23	30	
J	Y, k, l	5	8	15	14	23	
K	P, R, h	9	31	29	27	7	←
L	-	9	31	29	27	36	↔
M	E, G, j	2	2	4	4	25	
N	P, V, e	5	12	16	7	3	
O	A, i	9	31	29	27	24	←
P	A, Z, e	1	1	3	1	19	
Q	P, V, f	6	16	13	16	4	
R	N, S, d	4	14	7	11	32	
S	P, R, V	8	24	26	19	2	
T	P, b, h	9	31	29	27	8	←
U	-	9	31	29	27	36	↔
V	-	3	5	29	2	36	→
W	E, F, I	8	29	22	25	27	
X	P, V, g	8	30	27	26	6	
Y	J, i, l	2	3	2	3	29	
Z	C, e, h	7	13	5	8	11	
a	D	8	28	29	26	35	
b	E, M, j	7	23	28	21	15	
c	F, R, d	9	31	29	27	18	←
d	R, Y, l	6	20	14	18	14	
e	A, P, V	5	4	23	6	5	
f	G, Q, Y	6	17	10	15	26	
g	E, M, X	8	30	24	26	16	
h	Y, Z, i	5	15	8	9	22	
i	M, R, h	5	7	1	5	10	
j	E, M, b	7	19	18	17	13	
k	J, Y, l	8	21	29	20	21	
l	J, Q, Y	4	6	9	12	28	

IC In-Degree PR PageRank BT Betweenness CL Closeness HU Hub ND No In-Degree (←) No Out-Degree (→) No In or Out-Degree (↔) Very low Low High Very high

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

DEMO 2 | GROUP 1

SNA NODES ORDERED BY RANKS

A. Whom would you choose to spend a free outing with?

RANK	IC	RANK	PR	RANK	BT	RANK	CL	RANK	HU
1	P	1	P	1	i	1	P	1	C
2	Y	2	M	2	Y	2	V	2	S
2	M	3	Y	3	P	3	Y	3	N
3	V	4	e	4	M	4	M	4	Q
4	l	5	V	5	Z	5	i	5	e
4	E	6	l	6	G	6	e	6	X
4	R	7	i	7	R	7	N	7	K
5	i	8	J	8	h	8	Z	8	T
5	h	9	G	9	l	9	h	9	A
5	F	10	A	10	f	10	A	10	i
5	e	11	E	11	E	11	R	11	Z
5	J	12	N	12	C	12	l	12	G
5	N	13	Z	13	Q	12	G	13	j
6	f	14	R	14	d	13	C	14	d
6	d	15	h	15	J	13	E	15	b
6	Q	16	Q	16	N	14	J	16	g
6	A	17	f	17	F	15	f	17	E
6	C	18	C	18	j	16	Q	18	c
6	G	19	j	19	B	17	j	19	P
7	b	20	d	20	D	18	d	20	B
7	j	21	k	21	A	19	S	21	k
7	I	22	F	22	W	20	k	22	h
7	Z	23	b	23	e	21	b	23	J
8	B	24	S	24	g	22	F	24	O
8	g	25	D	25	I	23	I	25	M
8	D	26	I	26	S	24	B	26	f
8	S	27	B	27	X	25	W	27	W
8	X	28	a	28	b	26	a	28	l
8	W	29	W	29	H	26	D	29	Y
8	k	30	g	29	K	26	g	30	I
8	a	30	X	29	L	26	X	31	H
9	O	31	U	29	V	27	L	32	R
9	c	31	T	29	c	27	U	33	D
9	H	31	O	29	a	27	c	34	F
9	K	31	H	29	k	27	T	35	a
9	U	31	L	29	T	27	H	36	V
9	T	31	c	29	U	27	O	36	U
9	L	31	K	29	O	27	K	36	L

IC In-Degree PR PageRank BT Betweenness CL Closeness HU Hub

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

A. Whom would you choose to spend a free outing with?

Non reciprocal edges

$X \rightarrow Y$ in network A · not $Y \rightarrow X$ in network A

- A · N
- B · Y
- B · f
- C · M
- C · P
- C · V
- D · d
- D · l
- E · C
- E · G
- F · N
- G · i
- H · C
- H · J
- H · Q
- I · N
- K · P
- K · R
- K · h
- N · P
- N · V
- N · e
- O · A
- O · i
- P · Z
- Q · P
- Q · V
- R · N
- S · P
- S · V
- T · P
- T · b
- T · h
- W · E
- W · F
- X · P
- X · V
- Y · i
- Z · C
- Z · e
- b · E
- b · M
- c · F
- c · R
- c · d
- d · Y
- d · l
- e · V
- f · Y
- g · E
- g · M
- h · Y
- i · M
- i · R
- j · E
- k · Y
- k · l
- l · Q

Reciprocal edges

$X \rightarrow Y$ in network A · $Y \rightarrow X$ in network A

- A · P
- A · e
- B · F
- D · a
- E · M
- F · I
- G · M
- G · f
- I · W
- J · Y
- J · k
- J · l
- M · j
- P · e
- Q · f
- R · S
- R · d
- X · g
- Y · l
- Z · h
- b · j
- h · i

Half symmetrical edges

$X \rightarrow Y$ in network A · $X \rightarrow Y$ in network B

- Q · V
- Q · f

Reversed half symmetrical edges

$X \rightarrow Y$ in network A · $Y \rightarrow X$ in network B

- f · Q

Full symmetrical edges

$X \rightarrow Y, Y \rightarrow X$ in network A · $X \rightarrow Y, Y \rightarrow X$ in network B

No edge of this type

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

A. Whom would you choose to spend a free outing with?

Cliques

Each node can reach every other node: a) without intermediaries; b) ignoring the direction of connections

4

E · M · b · j

J · Y · k · l

A · N · P · e

3

E · G · M

G · M · i

R · c · d

D · d · l

Y · d · l

B · Y · f

Y · h · i

C · P · Z

P · Z · e

N · V · e

F · I · W

E · M · g

C · E · M

F · I · N

Strongly Connected Groups

Each node can reach every other node: a) with or without intermediaries; b) following the direction of connections

22

A · C · E · G · J · M · N · P · Q · R · S · Y · Z · b · d · e · f · h · i · j · k · l

4

B · F · I · W

Weakly Connected Groups


Each node can reach every other node: a) with or without intermediaries; b) ignoring the direction of connections

36

A · B · C · D · E · F · G · H · I · J · K · M · N · O · P · Q · R · S · T · V · W · X · Y · Z · a · b · c · d · e · f · g · h · i · j · k · l

B. Whom would you not choose to spend a free outing with?

NN 38, NE 63, NR 4, ND 4%, NC 31%, NT 12%, NR 13%



NN Nodes **NE** Edges **NR** Reciprocal edges **ND** Density **NC** Centralization **NT** Transitivity **NR** Reciprocity

Authors: Dr. Pierpaolo CALANNA, PhD, Dr. Gaetano BUONAIUTO (2021-2025), **License of use:** the layout of this report, the customization of charts, as well as the selection of quantitative indices, are subject to copyright.

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

DEMO 2 | GROUP 1

SNA RAW SCORES

B. Whom would you not choose to spend a free outing with?

ID	CHOICES	IC	PR	BT	CL	HU	ND
A	H, L, T	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.05	
B	O, T, g	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03	←
C	O, W, a	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.05	←
D	-	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	↔
E	-	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	↔
F	H, L, T	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.05	←
G	A	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	←
H	O, S, W	0.38	0.15	0.10	0.47	0.03	
I	H	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03	←
J	A, O, R	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	←
K	H, U, a	0.08	0.05	0.02	0.25	0.06	
L	-	0.08	0.02	0.00	0.10	0.00	→
M	H, O, X	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.06	←
N	-	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	↔
O	H, Q, U	0.32	0.14	0.13	0.42	0.04	
P	H, a, d	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.21	0.06	
Q	P, V, f	0.03	0.05	0.08	0.27	0.00	
R	-	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.00	→
S	H, O, T	0.03	0.05	0.01	0.28	0.06	
T	O	0.14	0.05	0.01	0.30	0.02	
U	-	0.05	0.06	0.00	0.29	0.00	→
V	-	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.21	0.00	→
W	H, K	0.08	0.06	0.02	0.30	0.04	
X	H, a	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.05	
Y	K, O, P	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03	←
Z	-	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	↔
a	K, T, g	0.22	0.05	0.03	0.25	0.02	
b	H, W, a	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.06	←
c	H, L	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.04	←
d	-	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.17	0.00	→
e	H, O, a	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.07	←
f	H, a	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.20	0.05	
g	-	0.05	0.03	0.00	0.21	0.00	→
h	O	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	←
i	-	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	↔
j	-	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	↔
k	O	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	←
l	O, a	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.04	←

IC In-Degree PR PageRank BT Betweenness CL Closeness HU Hub ND No In-Degree (←) No Out-Degree (→) No In or Out-Degree (↔)

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

DEMO 2 | GROUP 1

SNA RANK SCORES

B. Whom would you not choose to spend a free outing with?

ID	CHOICES	IC	PR	BT	CL	HU	ND
A	H, L, T	6	14	8	15	8	
B	O, T, g	8	17	13	17	15	←
C	O, W, a	8	17	13	17	9	←
D	-	8	17	13	17	23	↔
E	-	8	17	13	17	23	↔
F	H, L, T	8	17	13	17	8	←
G	A	8	17	13	17	22	←
H	O, S, W	1	1	2	1	16	
I	H	8	17	13	17	14	←
J	A, O, R	8	17	13	17	18	←
K	H, U, a	5	7	7	8	4	
L	-	5	13	13	14	23	→
M	H, O, X	8	17	13	17	5	←
N	-	8	17	13	17	23	↔
O	H, Q, U	2	2	1	2	12	
P	H, a, d	6	11	5	10	6	
Q	P, V, f	7	9	3	7	21	
R	-	7	16	13	16	23	→
S	H, O, T	7	6	11	6	2	
T	O	4	8	9	3	19	
U	-	6	3	13	5	23	→
V	-	7	12	13	9	23	→
W	H, K	5	4	6	4	13	
X	H, a	7	16	12	16	7	
Y	K, O, P	8	17	13	17	17	←
Z	-	8	17	13	17	23	↔
a	K, T, g	3	5	4	8	20	
b	H, W, a	8	17	13	17	3	←
c	H, L	8	17	13	17	11	←
d	-	7	15	13	13	23	→
e	H, O, a	8	17	13	17	1	←
f	H, a	7	12	10	12	7	
g	-	6	10	13	11	23	→
h	O	8	17	13	17	19	←
i	-	8	17	13	17	23	↔
j	-	8	17	13	17	23	↔
k	O	8	17	13	17	19	←
l	O, a	8	17	13	17	10	←

IC In-Degree PR PageRank BT Betweenness CL Closeness HU Hub ND No In-Degree (←) No Out-Degree (→) No In or Out-Degree (↔) Very low Low High Very high

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

DEMO 2 | GROUP 1

SNA NODES ORDERED BY RANKS

B. Whom would you not choose to spend a free outing with?

RANK	IC	RANK	PR	RANK	BT	RANK	CL	RANK	HU
1	H	1	H	1	O	1	H	1	e
2	O	2	O	2	H	2	O	2	S
3	a	3	U	3	Q	3	T	3	b
4	T	4	W	4	a	4	W	4	K
5	W	5	a	5	P	5	U	5	M
5	K	6	S	6	W	6	S	6	P
5	L	7	K	7	K	7	Q	7	f
6	A	8	T	8	A	8	a	7	X
6	g	9	Q	9	T	8	K	8	A
6	U	10	g	10	f	9	V	8	F
6	P	11	P	11	S	10	P	9	C
7	f	12	f	12	X	11	g	10	l
7	d	12	V	13	L	12	f	11	c
7	X	13	L	13	j	13	d	12	O
7	V	14	A	13	i	14	L	13	W
7	R	15	d	13	h	15	A	14	I
7	S	16	R	13	g	16	R	15	B
7	Q	16	X	13	B	16	X	16	H
8	N	17	M	13	e	17	M	17	Y
8	j	17	j	13	d	17	j	18	J
8	i	17	i	13	c	17	i	19	k
8	h	17	h	13	b	17	h	19	h
8	B	17	B	13	C	17	B	19	T
8	C	17	C	13	Z	17	C	20	a
8	e	17	e	13	Y	17	e	21	Q
8	D	17	c	13	D	17	D	22	G
8	c	17	b	13	V	17	c	23	U
8	b	17	D	13	U	17	E	23	j
8	Z	17	Z	13	E	17	N	23	i
8	Y	17	Y	13	k	17	Z	23	g
8	F	17	E	13	R	17	Y	23	L
8	G	17	F	13	F	17	F	23	N
8	I	17	G	13	G	17	G	23	D
8	J	17	I	13	I	17	I	23	Z
8	M	17	k	13	N	17	J	23	R
8	k	17	J	13	M	17	k	23	V
8	E	17	N	13	J	17	b	23	d
8	l	17	l	13	l	17	l	23	E

IC In-Degree PR PageRank BT Betweenness CL Closeness HU Hub

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

B. Whom would you not choose to spend a free outing with?

Non reciprocal edges

$X \rightarrow Y$ in network B · not $Y \rightarrow X$ in network B

- A · H
- A · L
- A · T
- B · O
- B · T
- B · g
- C · O
- C · W
- C · a
- F · H
- F · L
- F · T
- G · A
- I · H
- J · A
- J · O
- J · R
- K · H
- K · U
- M · H
- M · O
- M · X
- O · Q
- O · U
- P · H
- P · a
- P · d
- Q · P
- Q · V
- Q · f
- S · O
- S · T
- T · O
- W · K
- X · H
- X · a
- Y · K
- Y · O
- Y · P
- a · T
- a · g
- b · H
- b · W
- b · a
- c · H
- c · L
- e · H
- e · O
- e · a
- f · H
- f · a
- h · O
- k · O
- l · O
- l · a

Reciprocal edges

$X \rightarrow Y$ in network B · $Y \rightarrow X$ in network B

- H · O
- H · S
- H · W
- K · a

Half symmetrical edges

$X \rightarrow Y$ in network B · $X \rightarrow Y$ in network A

- Q · V
- Q · f

Reversed half symmetrical edges

$X \rightarrow Y$ in network B · $Y \rightarrow X$ in network A

- Q · f

Full symmetrical edges

$X \rightarrow Y, Y \rightarrow X$ in network B · $X \rightarrow Y, Y \rightarrow X$ in network A

No edge of this type

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

B. Whom would you not choose to spend a free outing with?

Cliques

Each node can reach every other node: a) without intermediaries; b) ignoring the direction of connections

3B · O · T

O · S · T

H · O · S

H · M · O

H · O · e

H · W · b

H · K · W

H · M · X

Strongly Connected Groups

Each node can reach every other node: a) with or without intermediaries; b) following the direction of connections

10H · K · O · P · Q · S · T · W · a · f

Weakly Connected Groups

Each node can reach every other node: a) with or without intermediaries; b) ignoring the direction of connections





32A · B · C · F · G · H · I · J · K · L · M · O · P · Q · R · S · T · U · V · W · X · Y · a · b · c · d · e · f · g · h · k · l

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

DEMO 2 | GROUP 1


SOCIOGRAM

ID	RP	RR	GP	GR	MP	MR	BL	OR	IM	AI	II	ST
A	3	2	3	3	2	0	1	0	5	1	5	ambitendent
B	1	0	3	3	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	marginal
C	3	0	3	3	0	0	3	0	3	3	3	appreciated
D	1	0	3	0	1	0	1	3	1	4	2	marginal
E	5	0	3	0	1	0	5	3	5	8	6	popular
F	4	0	3	3	2	0	4	0	4	4	6	appreciated
G	3	0	3	1	2	0	3	2	3	5	5	appreciated
H	0	14	3	3	0	3	-14	0	14	-14	0	rejected
I	2	0	3	1	2	0	2	2	2	4	4	appreciated
J	4	0	3	3	3	0	4	0	4	4	7	appreciated
K	0	3	3	3	0	1	-3	0	3	-3	0	disliked
L	0	3	0	0	0	0	-3	0	3	-3	0	disliked
M	7	0	3	3	3	0	7	0	7	7	10	popular
N	4	0	3	0	0	0	4	3	4	7	4	appreciated
O	0	12	2	3	0	1	-12	-1	12	-13	0	rejected
P	9	2	3	3	2	0	7	0	11	7	11	popular
Q	3	1	3	3	1	0	2	0	4	2	4	ambitendent
R	5	1	3	0	2	0	4	3	6	7	7	appreciated
S	1	1	3	3	1	1	0	0	2	0	2	ambitendent
T	0	5	3	1	0	0	-5	2	5	-3	0	rejected
U	0	2	0	0	0	0	-2	0	2	-2	0	disliked
V	6	1	0	0	0	0	5	0	7	5	6	popular
W	1	3	3	2	1	1	-2	1	4	-1	2	ambitendent
X	1	1	3	2	1	0	0	1	2	1	2	ambitendent
Y	7	0	3	3	2	0	7	0	7	7	9	popular
Z	2	0	3	0	1	0	2	3	2	5	3	appreciated
a	1	8	1	3	1	1	-7	-2	9	-9	2	rejected
b	2	0	3	3	1	0	2	0	2	2	3	appreciated
c	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	marginal
d	3	1	3	0	1	0	2	3	4	5	4	ambitendent
e	4	0	3	3	2	0	4	0	4	4	6	appreciated
f	3	1	3	2	2	0	2	1	4	3	5	ambitendent
g	1	2	3	0	1	0	-1	3	3	2	2	ambitendent
h	4	0	3	1	2	0	4	2	4	6	6	appreciated
i	4	0	3	0	1	0	4	3	4	7	5	appreciated
j	2	0	3	0	2	0	2	3	2	5	4	appreciated
k	1	0	3	1	1	0	1	2	1	3	2	marginal
l	5	0	3	2	2	0	5	1	5	6	7	popular

RP Received preferences RR Received rejections GP Given preferences GR Given rejections MP Mutual preferences MR Mutual rejections BL Balance OR Orientation IM Impact AI Affiliation index II Influence index ST Sociometric status  Very low  Low  High  Very high


This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

Influence index



This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

Affiliation index



This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

DEMO 2 | GROUP 1

SOCIOGRAM NODES ORDERED BY RANKS

RANK	BL	RANK	IM	RANK	AI	RANK	II	RANK	ST
1	P	1	H	1	E	1	P	popular	E
1	M	2	O	2	M	2	M	popular	M
1	Y	3	P	2	R	3	Y	popular	P
2	l	4	a	2	P	4	l	popular	V
2	V	5	Y	2	N	4	J	popular	Y
2	E	5	V	2	Y	4	R	popular	l
3	F	5	M	2	i	5	h	appreciated	C
3	R	6	R	3	h	5	E	appreciated	F
3	e	7	A	3	l	5	F	appreciated	G
3	i	7	T	4	j	5	e	appreciated	I
3	N	7	l	4	V	5	V	appreciated	J
3	h	7	E	4	d	6	i	appreciated	N
3	J	8	J	4	Z	6	f	appreciated	R
4	C	8	N	4	G	6	A	appreciated	Z
4	G	8	i	5	D	6	G	appreciated	b
5	I	8	h	5	I	7	j	appreciated	e
5	d	8	Q	5	e	7	Q	appreciated	h
5	j	8	F	5	F	7	I	appreciated	i
5	Q	8	f	5	J	7	d	appreciated	j
5	b	8	e	6	f	7	N	ambitendent	A
5	Z	8	W	6	C	8	Z	ambitendent	Q
5	f	8	d	6	k	8	C	ambitendent	S
6	A	9	L	7	Q	8	b	ambitendent	W
6	k	9	g	7	g	9	B	ambitendent	X
6	D	9	C	7	b	9	g	ambitendent	d
6	B	9	G	8	c	9	D	ambitendent	f
7	X	9	K	8	A	9	S	ambitendent	g
7	c	10	Z	8	X	9	X	marginal	B
7	S	10	b	8	B	9	W	marginal	D
8	g	10	X	9	S	9	k	marginal	c
9	U	10	U	10	W	9	a	marginal	k
9	W	10	I	11	U	10	H	disliked	K
10	L	10	j	12	T	10	c	disliked	L
10	K	10	S	12	L	10	U	disliked	U
11	T	11	B	12	K	10	T	rejected	H
12	a	11	D	13	a	10	K	rejected	O
13	O	11	k	14	O	10	L	rejected	T
14	H	12	c	15	H	10	O	rejected	a

RP Received preferences RR Received rejections GP Given preferences GR Given rejections BL Balance IM Impact AI Affiliation index II Influence index

This report is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

DEMO 2 | GROUP 1

SOCIOGRAM STATISTICS

Type I cohesion index : 43.14% Type II cohesion index : 0.58
Type I conflict index : 12.70% Type II conflict index : 0.11

ID	Count	Sum	Median	IQR	Mean	SD	Min	P25	P50	P75	Max
Received preferences	38.0	102.0	2	3	2.68	2.27	0	1	2	4	9
Received rejections	38.0	63.0	0	2	1.66	3.18	0	0	0	2	14
Given Preferences	38.0	102.0	3	0	2.68	0.87	0	3	3	3	3
Given rejections	38.0	63.0	2	3	1.66	1.32	0	0	2	3	3
Mutual preferences	38.0	44.0	1	1	1.16	0.89	0	0	1	2	3
Mutual rejections	38.0	8.0	0	0	0.21	0.58	0	0	0	0	3
Balance	38.0	39.0	2	4	1.03	4.61	-14	0	2	4	7
Orientation	38.0	39.0	0	2	1.03	1.38	-2	0	0	2	3
Impact	38.0	165.0	4	3	4.34	3.05	0	2	4	5	14
Affiliation index	38.0	78.0	3	4	2.05	5.19	-14	1	3	5	8
Influence index	38.0	146.0	4	4	3.84	2.88	0	2	4	6	11

IQR Interquartile range SD Standard Deviation Min Minimum value P25 25° percentile P50 50° percentile P75 75° percentile Max Maximum value