



This electronically generated report is intended for human resources specialists who have completed the course 'Introduction to Group Dynamics and Network Analysis.' It is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

GROUP 4 - DEMO RANKS

A. Who would you like in your ideal work group?



B. Who would you not want in your ideal work group?



NN 8, NE 16, NC 52%, NT 44%, NR 38%

ID	CHOICES	IC	PR	ВС	СС	OR	NI
Α	B, E	5	7	7	6	0.71	Х
В	E, F	1	1	1	1	0.57	
С	F, D	3	5	3	4	0.57	
D	B, F	3	4	5	3	0.57	
Е	B, D	3	3	4	3	0.57	
F	B, C	2	2	2	2	0.57	
G	B, C	4	6	6	5	0.71	
Н	B, G	5	7	7	6	0.86	х

NN 8, NE 16, NC 33%, NT 31%, NR 38%

ID	REJECTS	IC	PR	ВС	CC	OR	NI
Α	F, G	4	7	7	7	0.86	
В	F, G	3	5	2	6	0.86	
С	B, E	5	8	8	8	1.00	x
D	G, E	3	3	6	3	0.86	
Е	G, H	2	2	1	2	0.86	
F	A, D	3	6	5	5	0.86	
G	E, D	1	1	4	1	0.86	
Н	G, B	4	4	3	4	0.86	


NN = Number of Nodes, **NE** = Number of Edges, **NC** = Network Centralization, **NT** = Network Transitivity, **NR** = Network Reciprocity **IC** = In-Degree Centrality, **PR** = PageRank Centrality, **BC** = Betweenness Centrality **CC** = Closenness Centrality, **OR** = Other Nodes Reachability (%), **NI** = No In-Degree.




This electronically generated report is intended for human resources specialists who have completed the course 'Introduction to Group Dynamics and Network Analysis.' It is designed as a support tool to facilitate decision-making and does not replace the professional judgment of industry experts. Interpretations drawn from the report should be integrated with other information related to the specific evaluation context.

GROUP 4 - DEMO RAW SCORES

A. Who would you like in your ideal work group?



B. Who would you not want in your ideal work group?



NN 8, NE 16, NC 52%, NT 44%, NR 38%

ID	CHOICES	IC	PR	вс	СС	OR	NI
Α	B, E	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.71	х
В	E, F	0.86	0.27	0.24	0.88	0.57	
С	F, D	0.29	0.14	0.07	0.50	0.57	
D	B, F	0.29	0.14	0.05	0.54	0.57	
Е	B, D	0.29	0.14	0.06	0.54	0.57	
F	B, C	0.43	0.25	0.12	0.64	0.57	
G	B, C	0.14	0.03	0.04	0.14	0.71	
Н	B, G	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.86	Х

NN 8, NE 16, NC 33%, NT 31%, NR 38%

ID	REJECTS	IC	PR	ВС	CC	OR	NI
Α	F, G	0.14	0.05	0.01	0.30	0.86	
В	F, G	0.29	0.07	0.27	0.39	0.86	
С	B, E	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	1.00	х
D	G, E	0.29	0.17	0.08	0.54	0.86	
Е	G, H	0.43	0.22	0.35	0.64	0.86	
F	A, D	0.29	0.07	0.17	0.41	0.86	
G	E, D	0.71	0.28	0.23	0.78	0.86	
Н	G, B	0.14	0.11	0.26	0.44	0.86	

NN = Number of Nodes, **NE** = Number of Edges, **NC** = Network Centralization, **NT** = Network Transitivity, **NR** = Network Reciprocity **IC** = In-Degree Centrality, **PR** = PageRank Centrality, **BC** = Betweenness Centrality **CC** = Closenness Centrality, **OR** = Other Nodes Reachability (%), **NI** = No In-Degree.