Appendix on all confusion matrices supporting the analysis Name Similarity Cluster of Size 5

		391 GROUND TRUTHS			
	*** BASE	GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
	LINE ***	272	119		
l E	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
REDICT	391	272	119	0.696	0.304
E	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
I I	0	0	0	-	-
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		1.0	1.0	0.696	0.821 - 0.696

Table 9: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 5 (MCC).

		391 GROUND TRUTHS			
		GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
		272	119		
H	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
REDICT	289	231	58	0.799	0.201
핊	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
교	102	41	61	0.402	0.598
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		0.849	0.487	1.641	0.824 - 0.747

Table 10: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 5 (Evaluation).

Name Similarity Cluster of Size 6

		224 GROUND TRUTHS			
	*** BASE	GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
	LINE ***	139	85		
CT	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
DIO	224	139	85	0.621	0.379
REDI	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
P	0	0	0	-	-
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		1.0	1.0	0.621	0.766 - 0.621

Table 11: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 6 (MCC).

		224 GROUND TRUTHS			
		GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
		139	85		
H	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
REDICT	145	116	29	0.8	0.2
표	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
딥	79	23	56	0.291	0.709
	•	Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		0.835	0.341	2.346	0.817 - 0.768

Table 12: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 6 (Evaluation).

Name Similarity Cluster of Size 7

		96 GROUND TRUTHS			
	*** BASE	GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
	LINE ***	50	46		
Ė	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
PREDICT	96	50	46	0.521	0.479
(E)	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
딥	0	0	0	-	-
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		1.0	1.0	0.521	0.685 - 0.521

Table 13: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 7 (MCC).

		96 GROUND TRUTHS			
		GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
		50	46		
F	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
REDICT	54	42	12	0.778	0.222
된	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
딥	42	8	34	0.19	0.81
	*	Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		0.84	0.261	2.98	0.808 - 0.792

Table 14: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 7 (Evaluation).

Name Similarity Cluster of Size 8

		66 GROUND TRUTHS			
	*** BASE	GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
	LINE ***	35	31		
CT	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
) io	66	35	31	0.53	0.47
PREDI	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
딥	0	0	0	-	-
	*	Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		1.0	1.0	0.53	0.693 - 0.53

Table 15: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 8 (MCC).

			66 GROUND TRUTHS			
			GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
			35	31		
	F	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
İ	REDIC	32	27	5	0.844	0.156
	RE]	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
İ	ы	34	8	26	0.235	0.765
			Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
			0.771	0.161	5.241	0.806 - 0.803

Table 16: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 8 (Evaluation).

Name Similarity Cluster of Size 9

		45 GROUND TRUTHS			
	*** BASE	GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
	LINE ***	21	24		
H	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
PREDICT	0	0	0	-	-
픺	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
집	45	21	24	0.467	0.533
	•	Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		0.0	0.0	-	- — 0.533

Table 17: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 9 (MCC).

		45 GROUND TRUTHS			
		GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
		21	24		
CT	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
) IO	26	21	5	0.808	0.192
REDI	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
딥	19	0	19	0.0	1.0
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		1.0	0.208	3.883	0.894 - 0.889

Table 18: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 9 (Evaluation).

Name Similarity Cluster of Size 10

				1	
		24 GROUN	D TRUTHS		
	*** BASE	GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
	LINE ***	8	16		
CT	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
010	0	0	0	-	<u>-</u>
PREDI	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
집	24	8	16	0.333	0.667
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		0.0	0.0	-	- — 0.667

Table 19: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 10 (MCC).

		24 GROUND TRUTHS			
		GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
		8	16		
F	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
PREDIC	7	7	0	1.0	0.0
E E	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
교	17	1	16	0.059	0.941
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		0.875	0.0	-	0.933 - 0.958

Table 20: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 10 (Evaluation).

Name Similarity Cluster of Size 3: MCC vs. Expert

		64 GROUND TRUTHS			
	*** BASE	GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
	LINE ***	56	8		
H	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
PREDICT	64	56	8	0.875	0.125
Ξ	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
집	0	0	0	-	-
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		1.0	1.0	0.875	0.933 - 0.875

Table 21: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 3 (MCC).

		64 GROUND TRUTHS			
		GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
		56	8		
CT	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
) IO	60	54	6	0.9	0.1
REDI	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
됴	4	2	2	0.5	0.5
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		0.964	0.75	1.2	0.931 - 0.875

Table 22: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 3 (Expert).

Name Similarity Cluster of Size 4: MCC vs. Expert

		24 GROUND TRUTHS			
	*** BASE	GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
	LINE ***	19	5		
CT	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
DIO.	24	19	5	0.792	0.208
PREDI	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
딥	0	0	0	-	-
	*	Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		1.0	1.0	0.792	0.884 - 0.792

Table 23: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 4 (MCC).

		24 GROUND TRUTHS			
		GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
		19	5		
Į.	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
REDIC	22	18	4	0.818	0.182
<u> </u>	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
P	2	1	1	0.5	0.5
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		0.947	0.8	1.023	0.878 - 0.792

Table 24: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 4 (Expert).

Name Similarity Cluster of Size 5: MCC vs. Expert

		15 GROUND TRUTHS			
	*** BASE	GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
	LINE ***	14	1		
H	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
PREDICT	15	14	1	0.933	0.067
표	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
딥	0	0	0	-	-
	•	Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		1.0	1.0	0.933	0.966 - 0.933

Table 25: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 5 (MCC).

		15 GROUND TRUTHS			
		GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
		14	1		
CT	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
) IO	14	13	1	0.929	0.071
REDI	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
딥	1	1	0	1.0	0.0
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		0.929	1.0	0.929	0.929 - 0.867

Table 26: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 5 (Expert).

Name Similarity Cluster of Size 6: MCC vs. Expert

		19 GROUND TRUTHS			
	*** BASE	GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
	LINE ***	14	5		
H	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
PREDICT	19	14	5	0.737	0.263
Ē	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
딥	0	0	0	-	-
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		1.0	1.0	0.737	0.848 - 0.737

Table 27: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 6 (MCC).

		19 GROUN	D TRUTHS		
		GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
		14	5		
H	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
PREDICT	19	14	5	0.737	0.263
邑	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
교	0	0	0	-	-
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		1.0	1.0	0.737	0.848 - 0.737

Table 28: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 6 (Expert).

Name Similarity Cluster of Size 7: MCC vs. Expert

		12 GROUND TRUTHS			
	*** BASE	GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
	LINE ***	10	2		
H	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
PREDICT	12	10	2	0.833	0.167
邑	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
I I	0	0	0	-	-
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		1.0	1.0	0.833	0.909 - 0.833

Table 29: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 7 (MCC).

		12 GROUND TRUTHS			
		GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
		10	2		
CT	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
) IO	10	10	0	1.0	0.0
REDI	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
딥	2	0	2	0.0	1.0
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		1.0	0.0	-	1.0 — 1.0

Table 30: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 7 (Expert).

Name Similarity Cluster of Size 8: MCC vs. Expert

			4 GROUND TRUTHS			
		*** BASE	GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
		LINE ***	4	0		
1	CT	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
	ĭ	4	4	0	1.0	0.0
	PREDI	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
1	<u>n</u>	0	0	0	-	-
			Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
			1.0	i -	_	1.0 — 1.0

Table 31: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 8 (MCC).

		4 GROUND TRUTHS			
		GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
		4	0		
H	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
REDIC	4	4	0	1.0	0.0
Ē	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
딥	0	0	0	-	-
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		1.0	_	_	1.0 - 1.0

Table 32: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 8 (Expert).

Name Similarity Cluster of Size 9: MCC vs. Expert

		9 GROUND TRUTHS			
	*** BASE	GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
	LINE ***	8	1		
F	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
PREDICT	9	8	1	0.889	0.111
Ξ	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
I I	0	0	0	-	-
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		1.0	1.0	0.889	0.941 - 0.889

Table 33: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 9 (MCC).

		9 GROUND TRUTHS			
		GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
		8	1		
CT	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
) IO	8	8	0	1.0	0.0
REDI	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
딥	1	0	1	0.0	1.0
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		1.0	0.0	-	1.0 — 1.0

Table 34: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 9 (Expert).

Name Similarity Cluster of Size 10: MCC vs. Expert

		1 GROUND TRUTHS			
	*** BASE	GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
	LINE ***	1	0		
CT	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
010	1	1	0	1.0	0.0
PREDI	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
P	0	0	0	-	-
	•	Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		1.0	i -	_	1.0 - 1.0

Table 35: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 10 (MCC).

		1 GROUND TRUTHS			
		GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
		1	0		
Į.	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
REDIC	1	1	0	1.0	0.0
E	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
I I	0	0	0	-	-
	•	Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		1.0	-	-	1.0 - 1.0

Table 36: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 10 (Expert).

50 meters proximity

		92 GROUND TRUTHS			
	*** BASE	GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
	LINE ***	30	62		
F	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
PREDICT	0	0	0	-	-
E	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
딥	92	30	62	0.326	0.674
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		0.0	0.0	-	- — 0.674

Table 37: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 3, 50m before (MCC).

		92 GROUND TRUTHS			
		GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
		30	62		
CT	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
) IO	45	26	19	0.578	0.422
REDI	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
됴	47	4	43	0.085	0.915
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		0.867	0.306	1.888	0.693 - 0.75

Table 38: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 3, 50m before (Evaluation).

50 meters proximity + name

		31 GROUND TRUTHS			
	*** BASE	GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
	LINE ***	27	4		
CT	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
010	31	27	4	0.871	0.129
PREDI	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
집	0	0	0	-	-
	•	Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		1.0	1.0	0.871	0.931 - 0.871

Table 39: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 3, 50m after (MCC).

		31 GROUND TRUTHS			
		GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
		27	4		
H	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
REDIC	19	19	0	1.0	0.0
E	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
딥	12	8	4	0.667	0.333
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		0.704	0.0	-	0.826 - 0.742

Table 40: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 3, 50m after (Evaluation).

50 meters proximity: all remain the same except this

		92 GROUND TRUTHS			
	*** BASE	GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
	LINE ***	31	61		
F	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
PREDICT	0	0	0	-	-
Ξ	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
집	92	31	61	0.337	0.663
	•	Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		0.0	0.0	-	- — 0.663

Table 41: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 3, 50m before (MCC).

		92 GROUND TRUTHS			
		GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
		31	61		
CT	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
l i	45	27	18	0.6	0.4
REDI	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
교	47	4	43	0.085	0.915
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		0.871	0.295	2.034	0.711 - 0.761

Table 42: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 3, 50m before (Expert).

500 meters proximity

		249 GROUND TRUTHS			
	*** BASE	GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
	LINE ***	66	183		
Ę	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
PREDICT	0	0	0	-	-
題	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
딥	249	66	183	0.265	0.735
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		0.0	0.0	-	- — 0.735

Table 43: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 3, 500m before (MCC).

		249 GROUND TRUTHS			
		GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
		66	183		
CT	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
DIG	115	63	52	0.548	0.452
REDI	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
딥	134	3	131	0.022	0.978
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		0.955	0.284	1.929	0.696 - 0.779

Table 44: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 3, 500m before (Evaluation).

500 meters proximity + name

		155 GROUND TRUTHS			
	*** BASE	GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
	LINE ***	148	7		
CT	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
DIG	155	148	7	0.955	0.045
PREDI	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
집	0	0	0	-	-
	*	Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		1.0	1.0	0.955	0.977 - 0.955

Table 45: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 3, 500m after (MCC).

		155 GROUND TRUTHS			
		GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
		148	7		
REDICT	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
	127	125	2	0.984	0.016
	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
딥	28	23	5	0.821	0.179
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		0.845	0.286	3.441	0.909 - 0.839

Table 46: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 3, 500m after (Evaluation).

2000 meters proximity

		198 GROUND TRUTHS			
	*** BASE	GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
	LINE ***	61	137		
Ę	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
PREDICT	0	0	0	-	-
표	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
딥	198	61	137	0.308	0.692
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		0.0	0.0	-	- — 0.692

Table 47: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 3, 2 km before (MCC).

		198 GROUND TRUTHS			
		GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
		61	137		
CT	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
REDIC	81	57	24	0.704	0.296
	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
됴	117	4	113	0.034	0.966
		Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		0.934	0.175	4.021	0.803 - 0.859

Table 48: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 3, 2 km before (Evaluation).

2000 meters proximity + name

		342 GROUND TRUTHS			
	*** BASE	GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
	LINE ***	322	20		
PREDICT	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
	342	322	20	0.942	0.058
	NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
ם	0	0	0	-	-
	•	Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
		1.0	1.0	0.942	0.97 - 0.942

Table 49: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 3, 2 km after (MCC).

			342 GROUND TRUTHS			
			GT. Pos.	GT. neg.		
			322	20		
	REDICT	POSITIVE	True Pos.	False Pos.	Precision	False Discovery Rate
		279	274	5	0.982	0.018
		NEGATIVE	False Neg.	True Neg.	F. Omission Rate	Neg. Predictive Value
	ы	63	48	15	0.762	0.238
			Recall	Fall-out	Positive L. Ratio	F1 score — Accuracy
			0.851	0.25	3.928	0.912 - 0.845

Table 50: Confusion matrix for link-networks of size 3, 2 km after (Evaluation).