Solutions of Homework4

2011013251 Lv Wanqi March 25, 2013

Solution of 15.5-4

As Knuth has proved, $root[i, j-1] \leq root[i, j] \leq root[i+1, j]$. Thus we can modify the Optimal - BST(p, q, n) algorithm on the 9th line $(r \leftarrow i \ to \ j)$ and replace it with the following line:

$$r \leftarrow root[i, j-1] \ to \ root[i+1, j]$$

Therefore the time complexity of the modified algorithm is:

$$T(n) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-l+1} (root[i+1, i+l-1] - root[i, i+l-2] + 1)$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^{n} (root[n-l+2, n] - root[1, l-1] + n - l + 1)$$

$$\leq \sum_{l=1}^{n} (2n)$$

$$= O(n^{2})$$

While we have $T(n) \ge 2 \times (n + (n-1) + \dots + 1) = \Omega(n^2)$ Thus we have $T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$

Solution of 15-3

Idea:

First we sort the *n* points by *x*-coordinate, using an algorithm of $\Theta(nlgn)$, and label them from 1 to *n*.

For a bitonic tour, we can divide it into 2 paths, i.e. tours that start at the leftmost point(point1), go strictly rightward to the rightmost point(point n), and then go strictly leftward back to the starting point. If we make the 2 paths both start at point1, then we have 2 increasing sequences. Assume that the first path ends at point i while the second path ends at point j and the shortest path is m(i, j), and obviously m(i, j) = m(j, i). Thus we have that min(n, n) is the targeted minimum length.

i) if i = j, there must be one end of the 2 paths which is linked to point i - 1, and the other linked to point w $(1 \le w \le i - 2)$, so we have $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}) = \min{\{\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{i} - 1, \mathbf{w}) + \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{i} - 1, \mathbf{i}) + \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{i})\}}$

```
ii)
if j = i + 1, then point i + 1 is linked to point w (1 \le w \le i - 1), so we
have \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) = \min{\{\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{w}) + \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{i} + 1)\}}
iii)
if j > i + 1, then we have \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) = \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} - 1) + \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{j} - 1, \mathbf{j})
pseudocode:
OPTIMAL-BITONIC-TOUR
          \triangleright the variable n is the number of the points
 2
          \triangleright c[i] is point i
 3
          \triangleright d(i,j) is the distance of p[i] and p[j]
      c = \text{sort } points \text{ by } x
     m(0,0) = 0;
 6
      for i \leftarrow 1 to n
 7
          for j \leftarrow 1 to n
             do
 8
                  if i = j
 9
                     then
10
                             for w \leftarrow 1 to i-2
11
12
                                    do
                                        m(i,j) = minm(i-1,w) + d(i-1,i) + d(w,i)
13
                                        c(i, j) = argmin(m(i - 1, w) + d(i - 1, i) + d(w, i))
14
15
          else if j = i + 1
                     then
16
                             for w \leftarrow 1 to i-2
17
                                    do
18
                                        m(i,j) = minm(i,w) + d(w,i+1)
19
                                        c(i, j) = argmin(m(i, w) + d(w, i + 1))
20
          else if j > i + 1
21
22
                     then
                             m(i,j) = m(i,j-1) + d(j-1,j)
23
24
                             c(i,j) = j-1
```

Analysis:

Given that no two points have the same x-coordinate and that all operations on real numbers take unit time, we have $T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$.

Solution of 16.2-6

```
Idea:
```

```
1. find the median value[k]/weight[k] among all value[i]/weight[i] in linear time, and then divide the set of goods G into three subset
```

```
G_1, G_2, G_3 and G = G_1 \cup G_2 \cup G_3

G_1 = \{g_i : value[i]/weight[i] > value[k]/weight[k]\}

G_2 = \{g_i : value[i]/weight[i] = value[k]/weight[k]\}

G_3 = \{g_i : value[i]/weight[i] < value[k]/weight[k]\}
```

meanwhile, calculate the sum weight of each subset $\mathbf{W_1}, \mathbf{W_2}, \mathbf{W_3}$ in linear time and then :

- i) if $W_1 > W$, then $G \leftarrow G_1$, do recursion;
- ii) if $W_1 \leq W$ && $W_1 + W_2 \geq W$, then put G_1 all in and randomly put goods with the weight of $W G_1$ in G_2 in , exit;
- iii) if $W_1 \leq W$ && $W_1 + W_2 < W$, then put G_1, G_2 all in , $G \leftarrow G_3, W \leftarrow W W_1 W_2$, do recusion; **Pseudocode:**

Fractional - Knapsack

```
1 \quad k = \text{median}(G)
 2 partition(G, k, G_1, G_2, G_3)
 W_1 = \text{totalWeight}(G_1)
 4 \quad W_2 = \text{totalWeight}(G_2)
 5 W_3 = \text{totalWeight}(G_3)
    if W_1 > W
 7
         then
 8
                 Fractional - knapsack(G_1, W, P)
 9
         else
10
     if W_1 \leq W \&\& W_1 + W_2 \geq W
11
         then
                P \leftarrow P \cup G_1
12
                W = W - W_1
13
                for each g_i in G_2
14
15
                if W > w_i
                    then
16
                           P \leftarrow P \cup g_i
17
                           W + W - w_i
18
19
                    else
                           P \leftarrow P\{\text{part of } g_i \text{ with the weight of } W\}
20
21
         else
                P \leftarrow P \cup G_1 \cup G_2
22
                 W = W - W_1 - W_2
23
24
                Fractional -knapsack(G_2, W, P)
```

Analysis: $T(n) \leq T(\frac{n}{2} + \Theta(n))$, thus $T(n) = \Theta(n)$