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**Experiment 1:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sample\_large1.tr - Miss Rates | | | | | | |
|  | Direct Map | | | | | |
| 4KB | | 16KB | | 64KB | |
| I | D | I | D | I | D |
| 4B | 0.008642 | 0.3743 | 5.556e-5 | 0.3337 | 1.780e-5 | 0.2208 |
| 16B | 0.00691 | 0.3141 | 1.812e-5 | 0.2647 | 4.804e-6 | 0.1412 |
|  | | | | | | |
|  | 4-Way Associative | | | | | |
| 4KB | | 16KB | | 64KB | |
| I | D | I | D | I | D |
| 4B | 0.01882 | 0.4197 | 1.780e-5 | 0.3431 | 1.780 | 0.2901 |
| 16B | 0.009374 | 0.3818 | 5.274e-6 | 0.2817 | 4.804e-6 | 0.2206 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sample\_large1.tr - Total Execution Cycles | | | |
|  | Direct Mapped | | |
| 4KB | 16KB | 64KB |
| 4B | 1954118075 | 1716115515 | 1162665595 |
| 16B | 1650908395 | 1382068075 | 779835355 |
|  | | | |
|  | 4-Way Associative | | |
| 4KB | 16KB | 64KB |
| 4B | 2231263675 | 1763864955 | 1502614475 |
| 16B | 1978817995 | 1465500395 | 1167075435 |

**Findings:**

In the longer traces, the instruction cache missed much less than the data cache. Likely because instructions are being repeated in loops, as well as functions. Larger cache sizes also vastly decreased the miss rate in the instruction cache by several place values. This trend was also noticeable in the data cache but to a much lower degree. Total cycle time also decreased when the size of the cache increased. This is because the miss rate decreased, while the miss penalty stayed the same. This would not be the case in a real cache, where it would take time to search through a large cache.

Associativity seems to have a mostly negative effect on both the miss rate and the total number of cycles.

A larger block size decreased the miss rate and total cycle count for many of the experiments. The exception is that total execution cycles increased with block size with the direct mapped caches on sample large 2. Pulling more data into the cache at once definitely saved time by causing us to miss less and avoid reaching into secondary memory less often.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sample\_large2.tr – Miss Rates | | | | | | |
|  | Direct Map | | | | | |
| 4KB | | 16KB | | 64KB | |
| I | D | I | D | I | D |
| 4B | 5.606e-5 | 0.5879 | 5.904e-6 | 0.5453 | 5.904e-6 | 0.4848 |
| 16B | 1.796e-5 | 0.5653 | 1.569e-6 | 0.5410 | 1.569e-6 | 0.5030 |
|  | | | | | | |
|  | 4-Way Associative | | | | | |
| 4KB | | 16KB | | 64KB | |
| I | D | I | D | I | D |
| 4B | 5.904e-6 | 0.6204 | 5.904e-6 | 0.5802 | 5.904e-6 | 0.5128 |
| 16B | 1.569e-6 | 0.6051 | 1.569e-6 | 0.5629 | 1.569e-6 | 0.5325 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sample\_large2.tr – Execution Time | | | |
|  | Direct Mapped | | |
| 4KB | 16KB | 64KB |
| 4B | 3100969355 | 2573746235 | 1829292715 |
| 16B | 3342154875 | 3039354555 | 2483921995 |
|  | | | |
|  | 4-Way Associative | | |
| 4KB | 16KB | 64KB |
| 4B | 3649087835 | 3060527755 | 2423110635 |
| 16B | 3577136555 | 3328524075 | 2992607515 |

**Experiment 2:**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | L2 Miss Rate | | Total Execution Time | |
|  | Trace 1 | Trace 2 | Trace 1 | Trace 2 |
| 512KB, 4-Way | 0.2093 | 0.7380 | 414757805 | 1844992985 |
| 512KB, 8-Way | 0.2084 | 0.7439 | 447965961 | 2066107269 |
| 1MB, 8-Way | 0.1848 | 0.5731 | 430900059 | 1612970779 |

Findings:

In experiment 2, there is a significant improvement in the miss rate when a larger cache size is used. It should also be noted that there was an increase in the miss rate when the n-way cache was larger indicating that there was not enough instruction or data calls that would fill our n-way type cache. There is a balance between how many times an instruction key may be hashed and how many available slots in our cash can fill it. In the case of experiment 2, the most efficient cache was the 1MB cache that used 8-way associativity because it struck the right balance of cache storage to hashed instructions and data. It can be assumed that in the case of the 512KB cache, the 4-way associativity was more efficient because it didn’t have many overwrites in the cache and thus, in the 4-way associative, some of these value that were overwritten must have been called again resulting in more misses than were desired.