## CMPS 2200 Assignment 4

In this assignment we'll look at randomness in computation, and huffman coding.

To make grading easier, please place all written solutions directly in answers.md, rather than scanning in handwritten work or editing this file.

All coding portions should go in main.py as usual.

## Part 1: From "Maybe" to "Definitely"

At your new job designing algorithms for really hard problems, you're put to work solving problem X. Your predecessor has left you with an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  for problem X that has a deterministic worst-case work, but only produces the correct output with a certain probability of success. Moreover, we can also check whether the correct result was produced with O(W(n)) work in the worst case.

Let  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I})$  denote the output of an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  on input  $\mathcal{I}$ . So  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I})$  has a probability of  $\epsilon$  of being correct and a failure probability of  $1 - \epsilon$ . Furthermore let  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}))$  denote the output of (deterministically) checking  $\mathcal{A}$ 's solution.

1a) You find that  $\epsilon$  is too small to be reliable. You want to be able to have any guaranteed success probability  $\delta$ , for  $\epsilon < \delta < 1$ . Use  $\mathcal{A}$  to construct an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}'$ , where  $\mathcal{A}'(\mathcal{I}, \delta)$  is the correct output with probability  $\delta$ . It is sufficient to give a high level description of  $\mathcal{A}'$ . What is the work of  $\mathcal{A}'$  in terms of n,  $\delta$ , and  $\epsilon$ ? (**Hint**: Each run of  $\mathcal{A}$  is independent and does not depend on previous runs.)

.

enter answers in answers.md.

.

**1b)** Your boss and co-workers are impressed, but you want to do even better. Show how to convert  $\mathcal{A}$  into an algorithm that always produces the correct result, but has an expected runtime that depends on W(n) and the success probability  $\epsilon$ .

• •

enter answers in answers.md.

.

## Part 2: Fixed-Length vs. Variable-Length Codes

In class we looked at the Huffman coding algorithm for data compresssion. Let's implement the algorithm and look at its empirical performance on a dataset of 5 text files.

2a) We have implemented a means to compute character frequencies in a text file with the function get\_frequencies in main.py. Compute cost for a fixed length encoding for each text file.

- 2b) Complete the implementation of Huffman coding in make\_huffman\_tree. Note that we manipulate binary trees in the priority queue using the object TreeNode. Moreover, once the tree is constructed, we must compute the actual encodings by traversing the Huffman tree that has been constructed. To do this, complete the implementation of get\_code, which is a typical recursive binary tree traversal. That is, given a tree node, we recursively visit the left and right subtrees, appending a 0 or 1 to the encoding in each direction as appropriate. If we visit a leaf of the tree (which represents a character in the alphabet) we store the collected encoding for that character in code.
- **2c)** Now implement huffman\_cost to compute the cost of a Huffman encoding for a character set with given frequencies.
- **2d)** Test your implementation of Huffman coding on the 5 given text files, and fill out a table of the encoding cost of each file for fixed-length and Huffman. Fill out a final column which gives the ratio of Huffman coding cost to fixed-length coding cost. Do you see a consistent trend? If so, what is it?

## enter answer in answers.md

**2e)** Suppose that we used Huffman coding on a document with alphabet  $\Sigma$  in which every character had the same frequency. What is the expected cost of a Huffman encoding for the document? Is it consistent across documents?

enter answer in answers.md