## CMPS 2200 Assignment 1

Name: Shira Rozenthal

In this assignment, you will learn more about asymptotic notation, parallelism, functional languages, and algorithmic cost models. As in the recitation, some of your answer will go here and some will go in main.py. You are welcome to edit this assignment-01.md file directly, or print and fill in by hand. If you do the latter, please scan to a file assignment-01.pdf and push to your github repository.

```
1. (2 pts ea) Asymptotic notation
• 1a. Is 2<sup>n+1</sup> ∈ O(2<sup>n</sup>)? Why or why not? .
```

Yes, it is in  $O(2^n)$  because, as n approaches infinity, the limit of  $2^(n+1)/2^n = 2$ , and so  $2^n+1$  is  $2 * 2^n$ .

• 1b. Is  $2^{2^n} \in O(2^n)$ ? Why or why not?

No, it is not in  $O(2^n)$  because the limit of their quotient approaches infinity as n approaches infinity, so there is no constant > 0 that for all n satisfies  $f(n) \le g(n)$ ,

• 1c. Is  $n^{1.01} \in O(\log^2 n)$ ?

No, it is not in O(log^2 n) because the power function will eventually surpass the logarithmic function, so the limit of their quotient approaches infinity.

• 1d. Is  $n^{1.01} \in \Omega(\log^2 n)$ ?

Yes, it is in 0mega( $log^2$  n) because, as n approaches infinity, the limit of  $n^1.01 / log^2$ n = infinity, and so for all n there exists a constant such that f(n) >= g(n).

• 1e. Is  $\sqrt{n} \in O((\log n)^3)$ ?

No, it is in O((log n)^3) because the limit of their quotient approaches infinity as n approaches infinity, and so the logarithmic function will not asymptotically dominate the square root for all values of n.

• 1f. Is  $\sqrt{n} \in \Omega((\log n)^3)$ ?

Yes, it is in  $Omega((log n)^3)$  because the limit of their quotient approaches infinity as n approaches infinity, and so (in contrast to the question above) sqrt(n) will asymptotically dominate  $((log n)^3)$ .

## 2. SPARC to Python

Consider the following SPARC code of the Fibonacci sequence, which is the series of numbers where each number is the sum of the two preceding numbers. For example, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, 233, 377, 610...

```
\begin{array}{l} foo\ x=\\ \text{ if }\ x\leq 1\ \text{ then }\\ x\\ \text{ else }\\ \text{ let }(ra,rb)=(foo\ (x-1))\ ,\ (foo\ (x-2))\ \text{ in }\\ ra+rb\\ \text{ end } \end{array}
```

- 2a. (6 pts) Translate this to Python code fill in the def foo method in main.py
- 2b. (6 pts) What does this function do, in your own words?

The function takes an integer x as an argument and returns the xth Fibonacci number. The function uses recursion, where it calls itself to calculate the Fibonacci number of the previous two numbers until it reaches the base case of x < 1, in which case it returns x. In the end, it returns the sum of the two previous Fibonacci numbers, which is the xth Fibonacci number.

## 3. Parallelism and recursion

Consider the following function:

```
def longest_run(myarray, key)
    """
    Input:
        `myarray`: a list of ints
        `key`: an int
    Return:
        the longest continuous sequence of `key` in `myarray`
"""
```

E.g.,  $longest_run([2,12,12,8,12,12,12,0,12,1], 12) == 3$ 

- 3a. (7 pts) First, implement an iterative, sequential version of longest\_run in main.py.
- 3b. (4 pts) What is the Work and Span of this implementation?

W(n) = O(n) because the function is iterative, so work grows linearly with input size. S(n) = O(n) because there is no parallelism involved in the function, so S(n) = W(n).

• 3c. (7 pts) Next, implement a longest\_run\_recursive, a recursive, divide and conquer implementation. This is analogous to our implementation of sum\_list\_recursive. To do so, you will need to think about how to combine partial solutions from each recursive call. Make use of the provided class Result.

• 3d. (4 pts) What is the Work and Span of this sequential algorithm?

• 3e. (4 pts) Assume that we parallelize in a similar way we did with sum\_list\_recursive. That is, each recursive call spawns a new thread. What is the Work and Span of this algorithm?

. . .