

Logic

Propositional Logic

And, Or, Not

Negation

Truth Tables

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Consider This  
...

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables

# Discrete Structures: CMPSC 102

Oliver BONHAM-CARTER

Fall 2019  
Week 6

# Logic

Is it logical to say ... ?

## Logic

Propositional Logic

And, Or, Not

Negation

## Truth Tables

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Consider This  
...

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables



- Water is *blue*, the shirt is also *blue*, therefore this shirt is made up of water?

## Logic

Propositional Logic

And, Or, Not

Negation

## Truth Tables

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Consider This  
...

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables

Logic is ...

a **truth-preserving** system of  
inference

- **Truth-preserving:** If the initial statements are True, the inferred statements will also be true
- **System:** A set of mechanistic transformations which are based on syntax alone
- **Inference:** The process of deriving (inferring) new statements from old statements (i.e., finding conclusions based on previous observations)

# Propositional Logic

## Logic

### Propositional Logic

#### And, Or, Not

#### Negation

#### Truth Tables

#### Implication (uni- directional)

#### Implication (multi- directional)

#### Consider This ...

#### Compound Truth Tables

#### Tautologies and Contra- dictions

#### Logical Equivalence

#### Proof by Truth Tables

- A *proposition* is a statement that is either *true* or *false*
- Every proposition is true or false, but its truth value (true or false) may be unknown
- Examples:
  - You are in Discrete Structures (CMPSC102)  $\rightarrow$  True
  - Today is Sunday  $\rightarrow$  False
  - $1 == 2 \rightarrow$  False
  - It is currently raining in Paris  $\rightarrow$  who can say?
  - In Alice's pocket, she has exactly 58 cents  $\rightarrow$  who can say?

## Different from philosophical assessments of truth...

- Philosopher Ludwig Wittgenstein observed, structures have spatial locations, but facts do not
- *The Eiffel Tower could be moved from Paris to Rome, but there is a fact that it is currently in Paris (and nowhere else)*
- "Truth" is something of a system of beliefs (i.e., I believe that it is in Paris, because I have seen it there)

## Logic

### Propositional Logic

#### And, Or, Not

#### Negation

## Truth Tables

### Implication (uni- directional)

### Implication (multi- directional)

### Consider This ...

### Compound Truth Tables

### Tautologies and Contra- dictions

### Logical Equivalence

### Proof by Truth Tables

- A proposition statement:
  - Denoted by a capital letter (i.e., "A")
  - The negation of a proposition statement
    - $\sim A$ : "**not** A"
  - Two proposition statements joined by a *connective*
    - $A \wedge B$ : "A **and** B"
    - $A \vee B$ : "A **or** B"
  - If a connective joins complex statements, parenthesis are added
    - $A \wedge (B \vee C)$ : "A and (B or C)"

# Prepositional Statements

## Logic

### Propositional Logic

#### And, Or, Not

### Negation

### Truth Tables

### Implication (uni- directional)

### Implication (multi- directional)

### Consider This ...

### Compound Truth Tables

### Tautologies and Contra- dictions

### Logical Equivalence

### Proof by Truth Tables



- $A$  = "It is raining"
  - $\sim A$ : "not  $A$ "
  - "It is **not** raining"
- Composition
  - $A$  = "Peanut butter"
  - $B$  = "Jelly"
  - $A \wedge B$ : " $A$  and  $B$ "
  - A sandwich composed of: *peanut butter* **and** *jelly*
- Either one or the other
  - $A$  = "I will wear a white t-shirt"
  - $B$  = "I will wear a blue t-shirt"
  - I will wear a t-shirt:  $A \vee B$  : " $A$  or  $B$ "
  - I will wear the white **or** the blue t-shirt (this is still true!)

# Propositional Statements

## Logic

Propositional Logic

And, Or, Not

Negation

## Truth Tables

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Consider This  
...

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables

- Negation of proposition  $A$  is  $\sim A$
- $A$ : It is sunny.
- $\sim A$ : It is not sunny.
- $A$ : Newton often drank tea.
- $\sim A$ : Newton did not often drink tea.
- $A$ : I am from the planet Zogitron.
- $\sim A$ : I am not from the planet Zogitron. (regretfully)



# Truth Tables

## Logic

### Truth Tables

Conjunction (AND)  
Disjunction (OR)

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Consider This  
..

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables

- Compound propositional statements are built out of simple statements using logical operations: negations, conjugations (using **AND**'s) and disjunctions (using **OR**'s)
- Determined by *Truth Tables*
  - A table of a combinations of truths using the connectives
  - Truth tables define the truth value of a connective for every possible truth value of its terms





# Basic Truth Table

Logic

Truth Tables

Conjunction (AND)

Disjunction (OR)

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Consider This  
..

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables

Let  $A$  be a propositional statement ...

$A$	$\sim A$
True	False
False	True

## In Python

```
True # True
```

```
not True # False
```

```
not False # True
```

```
A = True
```

```
not(A) # False
```

# Logical AND (and its Conjunction)

Logic

Truth Tables

Conjunction (AND)

Disjunction (OR)

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)


Consider This  
...

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables



- Conjunction of  $A$  and  $B$  is:  $A \wedge B$ 
  - $A$ : We are learning logical expressions
  - $B$ : We are learning Python code for logical expressions
  - $A \wedge B$ : We are learning logical expressions and python code for logical expressions

## In Python

```
A = True
B = True
A & B # True
A and B # True
```

# Logical AND (and its Conjunction)

Logic

Truth Tables

Conjunction (AND)

Disjunction (OR)

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Consider This  
..

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables

- Conjunction of  $A$  and  $B$  is:  $A \wedge B$

- $A$ : I like art
- $B$ : I like this work of art
- $A \wedge \sim B$ : I like art but (**AND**) I do not like this work of art

## In Python

```
A = True
```

```
B = True
```

```
A and not(B) # False
```

## Remember

We are discussing only the **Logical Truth** of the statements.  
The *meaning* of the combined statements is human insight.

# Logical AND (and its Conjunction)

Logic

Truth Tables

Conjunction (AND)

Disjunction (OR)

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Consider This  
..

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables

## Table for Conjunction

A	B	$A \wedge B$
False	False	False
False	True	False
True	False	False
True	True	True

A = True

B = True

not(A) and not(B) # False

not(A) and B # False

A and not(B) # False

A and B # True

# Logical OR (and its Disjunction)

Logic

Truth Tables

Conjunction (AND)

Disjunction (OR)

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Consider This  
...

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables

- Also known as the inclusive OR
- Conjunction of  $A$  or  $B$  is:  $A \vee B$ 
  - $A$ : Today is sunny
  - $B$ : It is Monday
  - $A \vee B$ : Today is sunny or it is Monday
- This statement is true if any of the following hold:
  - Today is sunny
  - It is Monday
  - Both
- Otherwise it is false

## In Python

```
A = True
B = False
A or B # True
A | B # True
```

# Logical OR (and its Disjunction)

Logic

Truth Tables

Conjunction (AND)

Disjunction (OR)

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)


Consider This  
..

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables



# Logical OR (and its Disjunction)

Logic

Truth Tables

Conjunction (AND)

Disjunction (OR)

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Consider This  
..

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables

## Truth Table for Disjunction (Inclusive OR)

A	B	$A \vee B$
False	False	False
False	True	True
True	False	True
True	True	True

A = True

B = True

not(A) or not(B) # False

not(A) or B # False

A or not(B) # False

A or B # True

# Exclusive OR

Logic

Truth Tables

Conjunction (AND)

Disjunction (OR)

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Consider This  
...

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables

- The inclusive OR is true if either or both arguments are true
- The exclusive OR is true if both arguments are opposite truths

## Truth Table for Exclusive OR ( $\otimes$ ) (*either or*)

A	B	$A \otimes B$
False	False	False
False	True	True
True	False	True
True	True	False



Logic

Truth Tables

Conjunction (AND)

Disjunction (OR)

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Consider This  
..

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables

## In Python

```
A = False  
B = False  
not(A and not(B)) # True
```

```
A = False  
B = True  
not(A and not(B)) # True
```

```
A = True  
B = False  
not(A and not(B)) # False
```

```
A = True  
B = True  
not(A and not(B)) # True
```

# Implication $\rightarrow$

Logic

Truth Tables

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Meaning

Venn Diagram

In Python

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Consider This  
...

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables

- The conditional implication connective is  $\rightarrow$ 
  - $A \rightarrow B$ : A implies B
  - *If A is true, then B is also true such that the statement  $A \rightarrow B$  is False only when A is True and B is False*
- Logically equivalent to:  $\sim (A \wedge \sim B) == (\sim A \vee B)$

## Truth Table for the Conditional Implication

A	B	$A \rightarrow B$
False	False	True
False	True	True
True	False	False
True	True	True

# Meaning of Conditional Implication ( $\rightarrow$ )

Logic

Truth Tables

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Meaning

Venn Diagram

In Python

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Consider This  
...

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables



- A: Homework is due
- B: It is Monday
- $A \rightarrow B$ 
  - If homework is due, then it must be Monday.
- Can we also conclude...?
  - If it is Monday, then a homework is due.

# Venn Diagram of Implication $\rightarrow$

Logic

Truth Tables

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Meaning

Venn Diagram

In Python

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)


Consider This  
...

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables



Logic

Truth Tables

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Meaning

Venn Diagram

In Python

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Consider This  
...

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables

## Truth Table for the Conditional Implication

A = False

B = False

`not(A) or B # True`

A = False

B = True

`not(A) or B # True`

A = True

B = False

`not(A) or B # False`

A = True

B = True

`not(A) or B # True`

# Implication $\leftrightarrow$

Logic

Truth Tables

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Meaning

Venn Diagram

In Python

Consider This  
...

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables

- The conditional implication connective is  $\leftrightarrow$

- $A \leftrightarrow B$ : "A if and only if B",

- Logically equivalent to:

$$(A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow A) == (A \wedge B) \vee (\sim A \wedge \sim B)$$

## Truth Table for the Conditional Implication

A	B	$A \leftrightarrow B$
False	False	True
False	True	False
True	False	False
True	True	True

# Meaning of Conditional Implication ( $\leftrightarrow$ )

Logic

Truth Tables

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Meaning

Venn Diagram

In Python

Consider This  
...

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables



- A: You can buy the shirt
- B: You have enough money
- $A \leftrightarrow B$ 
  - You can buy the shirt, if and only if, you have enough money (and *vice versa*)
- Can we also conclude...?
  - If you have enough money, then you can buy the shirt.

# Venn Diagram of Implication $\leftrightarrow$

Logic

Truth Tables

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Meaning

Venn Diagram

In Python


Consider This  
...

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables





# Implication $\leftrightarrow$

Logic

Truth Tables

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Meaning

Venn Diagram

In Python

Consider This  
...

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables

## Truth Table for the Conditional Implication

A = False

B = False

$((A \text{ and } B) \text{ or } (\text{not}(A) \text{ and } \text{not}(B))) \# \text{ True}$

A = False

B = True

$((A \text{ and } B) \text{ or } (\text{not}(A) \text{ and } \text{not}(B))) \# \text{ False}$

A = True

B = False

$((A \text{ and } B) \text{ or } (\text{not}(A) \text{ and } \text{not}(B))) \# \text{ False}$

A = True

B = True

$((A \text{ and } B) \text{ or } (\text{not}(A) \text{ and } \text{not}(B))) \# \text{ True}$

# Consider this ...

Logic

Truth Tables

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Consider This  
...

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables

## Try coding these...

- Use Python to test the following conditions:
  - $\sim A \vee B$ , for  $A = \text{True}$  and  $B = \text{False}$
  - $\sim A \vee \sim B$ , for  $A = \text{True}$  and  $B = \text{False}$
  - $\sim A \rightarrow B$ , for  $A = \text{True}$  and  $B = \text{False}$

**THINK**

# Compound Truth tables

Logic

Truth Tables

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Consider This  
...

Compound  
Truth Tables

Legend

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables

A	B	$\sim A$	$A \vee B$	$(\sim A) \wedge (A \vee B)$
0	0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	1	0
1	1	0	1	0

## Legend

- AND is denoted by :  $\wedge$
- OR is denoted by :  $\vee$
- Contradiction is denoted by :  $\sim$
- Equivalency is denoted by :  $\equiv$

# Tautologies and Contradictions

Logic

Truth Tables

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Consider This  
...

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables

- A **tautology** is a compound proposition that is always true.
- A **contradiction** is a compound proposition that is always false.
- A **contingency** is neither a tautology nor a contradiction.
- A compound proposition is satisfiable if there is at least one assignment of truth values to the variables that makes the statement true.

# Tautologies and Contradictions

Logic

Truth Tables

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Consider This  
...

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables

$A$	$\sim A$	$A \vee \sim A$	$A \wedge \sim A$
0	1	1	0
1	0	1	0

- $A \vee \sim A$ : **Tautology**: Statement is always true no matter value of  $A$ .
- $A \wedge \sim A$ : **Contradiction**: Statement is always false, no matter the value of  $A$ .



# Tautologies and Contradictions

Logic

Truth Tables

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Consider This  
...

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables



- Famous song for which the title is a contradiction:  
*With or Without You*, by U2 (1987)

# Logical Equivalence

Logic

Truth Tables

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Consider This  
...

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables

- Two compound propositions,  $A$  and  $B$ , are logically equivalent if  $A \leftrightarrow B$  is a tautology.
- Notation:  $A \equiv B$
- De Morgans Laws:
  - $\sim (A \wedge B) \equiv \sim A \vee \sim B$
  - $\sim (A \vee B) \equiv \sim A \wedge \sim B$
  - How do we know this or prove this claim? A truth table!

# Use a Truth Table to Make a Proof

Consider this!

Prove:  $\sim (A \wedge B) \equiv \sim A \vee \sim B$

A	B	$\sim A$	$\sim B$	$(A \wedge B)$	$\sim (A \wedge B)$	$\sim A \vee \sim B$
0	0					
0	1					
1	0					
1	1					

Complete the table

**THINK**



# Use a Truth Table to Make a Proof

Logic

Truth Tables

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Consider This  
...

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables

Prove:  $\sim (A \wedge B) \equiv \sim A \vee \sim B$

A	B	$\sim A$	$\sim B$	$(A \wedge B)$	$\sim (A \wedge B)$	$\sim A \vee \sim B$
0	0	1	1	0	1	1
0	1	1	0	0	1	1
1	0	0	1	0	1	1
1	1	0	0	1	0	0

# Use a Truth Table to Make a Proof

Logic

Truth Tables

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Consider This  
...

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables

Prove:  $\sim (A \vee B) \equiv \sim A \wedge \sim B$

A	B	$\sim A$	$\sim B$	$(A \vee B)$	$\sim (A \vee B)$	$\sim A \wedge \sim B$
0	0	1	1	0	1	1
0	1	1	0	1	0	0
1	0	0	1	1	0	0
1	1	0	0	1	0	0

# Use a Truth Table to Make a Proof

Logic

Truth Tables

Implication  
(uni-  
directional)

Implication  
(multi-  
directional)

Consider This  
...

Compound  
Truth Tables

Tautologies  
and Contra-  
dictions

Logical  
Equivalence

Proof by  
Truth Tables

Prove:  $\sim (A \leftrightarrow B) \equiv (A \leftrightarrow \sim B)$

A	B	$\sim B$	$A \leftrightarrow B$	$\sim(A \leftrightarrow B)$	$A \leftrightarrow \sim B$
0	0	1	1	0	0
0	1	0	0	1	1
1	0	1	0	1	1
1	1	0	1	0	0

## Truth Table for the Conditional Implication

A = False

B = False

$((A \text{ and } B) \text{ or } (\text{not}(A) \text{ and } \text{not}(B))) \# \text{ True}$